A combined ultrasonic flow meter and binary vapour mixture analyzer for the ATLAS silicon tracker

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2013 JINST 8 P02006

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-0221/8/02/P02006)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-0221/8/02), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-0221) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.138.125.163 This content was downloaded on 08/07/2014 at 09:38

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

RECEIVED: *October 18, 2012* REVISED: *December 12, 2012* ACCEPTED: *January 13, 2013* PUBLISHED: *February 12, 2013*

A combined ultrasonic flow meter and binary vapour mixture analyzer for the ATLAS silicon tracker

R. Bates,*^a* **M. Battistin,***^b* **S. Berry,***^b* **J. Berthoud,***^b* **A. Bitadze,***^a* **P. Bonneau,***^b*

- **J. Botelho-Direito,***^b* **N. Bousson,***^c* **G. Boyd,***^d* **G. Bozza,***^b* **E. Da Riva,***^b* **C. Degeorge,***^e*
- **C. Deterre,***^f* **B. DiGirolamo,***^b* **M. Doubek,***^g* **D. Giugni,***^h* **J. Godlewski,***^b* **G. Hallewell,***c*,¹
- **S. Katunin,***ⁱ* **D. Lombard,***^b* **M. Mathieu,***^c* **S. McMahon,***^j* **K. Nagai,***^k*
- **E. Perez-Rodriguez,***^b* **C. Rossi,***^l* **A. Rozanov,***^c* **V. Vacek,***^f* **M. Vitek***^f* **and L. Zwalinski***^b*
- *^aSUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow,*

Glasgow, G62 7QB, United Kingdom

^bCERN,

1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

- *^cCentre de Physique des Particules de Marseille, Aix-Marseille Universite, CNRS/IN2P3, ´ 163 Avenue de Luminy, 13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France*
- *^dThe Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma,*

440 West Brooks Street, Norman, OK 73019, United States of America

e Indiana University, Department of Physics, 727 East Third St.,

Bloomington, IN 47405-7105, United States of America

^f Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron , Notkestraße 85, D-22607

Hamburg, Germany

- *^gCzech Technical University in Prague, Department of Applied Physics,*
- *Technicka 4, 166 07 Prague 6, Czech Republic ´*
- *h INFN Milano and Universita di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica, `*

Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

ⁱB.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI),

188300 St. Petersburg, Russia

^jRutherford Appleton Laboratory - Science & Technology Facilities Council,

Harwell Science and Innovations Campus, Didcot OX11 OQX, United Kingdom

^kGraduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba,

1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

^lDepartment of Mechanical Engineering - Thermal Energy and Air Conditioning Division, Universita degli Studi di Genova Via All'Opera Pia 15a - 16145 Genova, Italy `

E-mail: gregh@cppm.in2p3.fr

¹Corresponding author.

ABSTRACT: We describe a combined ultrasonic instrument for gas flow metering and continuous real-time binary gas composition measurements. The combined flow measurement and mixture analysis algorithm employs sound velocity measurements in two directions in combination with measurements of the pressure and temperature of the process gas mixture.

The instrument has been developed in two geometries following extensive computational fluid dynamics studies of various mechanical layouts. A version with an axial sound path has been used with binary gas flows up to 230 l.min⁻¹, while a version with a sound path angled at 45[°] to the gas flow direction has been developed for use in gas flows up to 200001 .min⁻¹.

The instrument with the axial geometry has demonstrated a flow resolution of $\leq 1\%$ of full scale for flows up to 2301.min⁻¹ and a mixture resolution of 3.10⁻³ for C₃F₈/C₂F₆ molar mixtures with \sim 20 %C₂F₆. Higher mixture precision is possible in mixtures of gases with widely-differing molecular weight (mw): a sensitivity of $< 5.10^{-5}$ to traces of C₃F₈ in nitrogen (mw difference 160) has been seen in a long duration (1yr) continuous study.

A prototype instrument with 45° crossing angle has demonstrated a flow resolution of 1.9% of full scale for linear flow velocities up to 15 ms^{-1} .

Although this development was motivated by a requirement of the ATLAS silicon tracker evaporative fluorocarbon cooling system, the developed instrument can be used in many applications where continuous knowledge of binary gas composition is required. Applications include the analysis of hydrocarbons, vapour mixtures for semi-conductor manufacture and anaesthetic gas mixtures.

KEYWORDS: Detector cooling and thermo-stabilization; Gas systems and purification; Materials for gaseous detectors

ARXIV EPRINT: [1210.4835](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.4835)

Contents

1 Introduction

The development of a combined flowmeter and binary gas analyzer is motivated by a possible future upgrade of the ATLAS silicon tracker evaporative cooling system, in which the currently-used *octafluoro-propane* fluorocarbon evaporative coolant (R218, C₃F₈; molecular weight = 188) [\[1\]](#page-32-0) will be blended with the more volatile *hexafluoro-ethane* (R116, C_2F_6 ; mw = 138).

In the new instrument sound transit times will be continuously measured in opposite directions in flowing gas at known temperature and pressure to deduce the C_3F_8/C_2F_6 flow rate and mixture composition, the latter with a required precision of better than $\pm 1\%$. The instrument — implemented with axial and angled sound path geometries following extensive Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) studies — is described in the following sections together with its electronics and Supervisory, Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) software.

An evaporative coolant based on a C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixture will afford the silicon substrates a better safety margin against leakage current-induced thermal runaway [\[2\]](#page-32-1) caused by cumulative radiation damage as the LHC luminosity profile increases. The temperature of the silicon substrates of the ATLAS Semi Conductor Tracker (SCT) must be maintained at -7° C or lower [\[3\]](#page-32-2) while the ATLAS pixel detector modules must be maintained at 0° C or lower [\[4\]](#page-32-3), being typically operated around $-10\degree$ C.

At full power dissipation, thermal resistances through the support structures attaching the silicon modules to the coolant channels predicate a typical evaporation temperature of ~ -25 °C in the on-detector cooling channels of the 204 SCT and pixel cooling circuits. With pure C_3F_8 this temperature corresponds to an evaporation pressure of $1.7 \text{ bar}_{\text{abs}}$. In the highest power cooling circuits (with a dissipation \sim 288 Watts — corresponding to \sim 6 W per silicon detector module —

Fluorocarbon	Median (Minimum) Evaporation	Sound velocity (ms^{-1}) in superheated
Coolant	pressure for evaporation at -25°C	vapour $(20^{\circ}C, 1 \text{ bar}_{\text{abs}})$
C_3F_8	1.7 (1.7) bar _{abs}	115.0
90 % $C_3F_8/10\%C_2F_6$	2.2 (1.8) bar _{abs}	116.8
$80\%C_3F_8/20\%C_2F_6$	$2.7(2.1)$ bar _{abs}	118.7
$70\%C_3F_8/30\%C_2F_6$	3.2 (2.3) bar _{abs}	120.7

Table 1. Evaporation pressures at -25° C evaporation temperature in C₃F₈ and several C₃F₈/C₂F₆ blends.

we are presently unable to achieve in-tube evaporation temperatures (pressures) below $\sim -16.5^{\circ}$ C $(2.3 \text{ bar}_{\text{abs}})$ [\[2\]](#page-32-1) due to excessive pressure drops (in the range 500–800 mbar, depending on power dissipation in the cooling circuit) in inaccessible regions of the exhaust vapour return system. The addition of the more volatile C_2F_6 as part of a binary blend will raise the evaporation pressure for the same evaporation temperature — as indicated in table 1 — allowing the pressure drop in the exhaust to be overcome.

In table [1](#page-4-0) the minimum and median evaporation pressures are those at which 100 % and 50 % of the injected refrigerant have been respectively transformed to vapour. In the ATLAS evaporative cooling system the coolant flow is fixed (typically to \sim 120% of the flow necessary for simultaneous full power operation of all the silicon detector modules in the circuit) and a fraction of the coolant (dependent on the power dissipated in the silicon modules which constitute the "ondetector" evaporator) is evaporated in electrically-powered heaters in the exhaust system (see [\[1\]](#page-32-0) for more details). The minimum pressures shown in table [1](#page-4-0) correspond to those at the outputs of those heaters.

In operation with C_3F_8/C_2F_6 blends it will be necessary to monitor the C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixture ratio to a precision of 1% or better and if necessary to adjust it with the addition of more of either component. The mixture ratio is best monitored in the warm region of the vapour return tubing (far from the on-detector evaporative cooling channels) where the vapour mixture is in the superheated (single phase) state, simplifying sound velocity predictions. In table [1](#page-4-0) the sound velocities at 20° C, 1 barabs, calculated using the NIST-REFPROP package [\[5\]](#page-32-4) are shown as examples.

Additionally, the present underground compressor-driven C_3F_8 circulation plant will be replaced by a thermosiphon equipped with a surface-mounted condenser, allowing the ∼90 m depth of the ATLAS experimental cavern to be exploited to hydrostatically generate the required fluorocarbon liquid delivery pressure. A combined mixture analyzer/flow meter will be installed in the superheated vapour return to the surface condenser, where a high flow of \sim 200001.min⁻¹ is expected. An ultrasonic gas mixture analyzer will also monitor for un-condensable gas (air) ingress into the sub-atmospheric vapour (headspace) volume of the surface condenser.

The sound velocity in a binary gas mixture at known temperature and pressure is a unique function of the molar concentration of the two components of differing molecular weight. Ultrasonic binary gas analysis was first used in particle physics for the analysis of the N_2/C_5F_{12} (dodecafluoro-pentane: mw = 288) Cherenkov gas radiator of the SLD Cherenkov Ring Imaging Detector [\[6\]](#page-32-5), as a simpler alternative to on-line refractive index monitoring. Since then it has been adopted in all the major ring imaging Cherenkov detectors, including DELPHI, COMPASS and LHCb.

The molar concentration of the two component vapours is determined from a comparison of sound velocity measurements with velocity-composition look-up table data gathered from prior measurements in calibration mixtures or from theoretical derivations made with an appropriate equation of state. The NIST-REFPROP package [\[5\]](#page-32-4) is based on the most accurate pure fluid and mixture models currently available for a wide range of fluid combinations. It currently implements three models for the thermodynamic properties of pure fluids: equations of state explicit in Helmholtz energy, the modified Benedict-Webb-Rubin (BWR) equation of state, and an extended corresponding states (ECS) model. Mixture calculations employ a model that applies mixing rules to the Helmholtz energy of the mixture components [\[5\]](#page-32-4). NIST-REFPROP is presently the optimum for calculating sound velocity in fluorocarbon mixtures — including those containing C_2F_6 and C_3F_8 . It is not, however configured for calculations in mixtures of fluorocarbons with other gases — including N_2/C_3F_8 ; a combination also of interest in this work in the detection of leaks of the present C_3F_8 evaporative coolant into the ATLAS silicon tracker nitrogen gas envelope. Sound ve-locity predictions in N₂/C₃F₈ mixtures were made using a PC-SAFT^{[1](#page-5-0)} equation of state [\[7\]](#page-32-6). Sound velocity predictions made with the NIST-REFPROP and PC-SAFT approaches are compared with measurements in section 3.1.

Figure [1](#page-6-1) illustrates the variation of sound velocity with molecular weight for a variety of families of gases, including the monatomic (noble) gases, gases with di-atomic molecules, including oxygen (O_2) and nitrogen (N_2) , tri-atomic gases including carbon dioxide (CO_2) and hydrocarbons of the alkane $(C_nH_{(2n+2)})$ and alkene $(C_nH_{(2n)})$ families. Also shown are the members of the saturated fluorocarbon (C*n*F(2*n*+2)) family of vapours used in particle physics as Cherenkov radiators and evaporative coolants for silicon tracking detectors.

It can be seen from figure [1](#page-6-1) that the log sound velocity — log molecular weight zone is bounded by two parallel limits. The upper limit represents the monatomic gases with $γ$, [the ratio of specific heat at constant pressure to that at constant volume (C_p/C_v) = 1.66. The noble gases lie along this limit. The lower limit is defined by $\gamma = 1$. Gases with progressively more complex chained molecules tend toward the lower limit. It can be seen from figure [1](#page-6-1) that while the log sound velocity — log molecular weight trajectory follows an index of -0.5 for the noble gases, the index steepens for more complex molecular shapes. This is a manifestation of their deviation from a simple ideal gas formulation of sound velocity, c, defined by;

$$
c = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma RT}{m}}\tag{1.1}
$$

where *R* is the molar gas constant (8.314 J.mole⁻¹K⁻¹), *T* is the absolute temperature (K) and *m* is the molar weight (expressed in kg) — toward more complex formalisms based on more sophisticated equations of state. The "non-ideality" of a gas group is manifested through the variation (decrease) of γ with (increasing) molecular weight, under conditions of equivalent temperature and pressure.

Within the ATLAS experiment the new instrument has been used for flowmetry and mixture analysis of C_3F_8/C_2F_6 blends and also as a sensitive detector of leaks of the present C_3F_8 evaporative coolant into the silicon tracker nitrogen gas envelope. The instrument is described along with test results in the following sections.

¹Perturbed Chain — Statistical Associating Fluid Theory.

Figure 1. Variation of sound velocity with molecular weight for a variety of families of gases, at temperature 295 K and pressure 1 bar_{abs}.

2 Principle of operation

Figure [2](#page-7-0) illustrates the principle of operation of the instrument. In custom microcontroller-based electronics (section 4), the transmitting transducer is excited with a short burst of 1-8 high voltage 50 kHz square wave pulses generated in a driver circuit from a TTL precursor pulse train, itself generated in the microcontroller. The receiving transducer is connected to a DC biasing circuit followed by an amplifier and comparator. A fast (40 MHz) transit time clock, generated in the same microcontroller, is started in synchronism with the rising edge of the first transmitted 50 kHz sound pulse. The first received and amplified sound pulse crossing the comparator threshold level stops this clock (figure [2\)](#page-7-0). The time between the transmitted and first received sound pulses is measured by the microcontroller, which also handles communication via a USB/RS232 interface.

Figure [3](#page-7-1) illustrates the 50 kHz capacitative ultrasonic transducer, originally developed during the 1980s for the Polaroid autofocus instant camera and now marketed by SensComp as the Model 604142 instrument grade transducer. The transducer has a diameter of 42.9 mm and comprises a gold coated mylar foil stretched over a metallic backing plate etched with a spiral groove. The backing plate is biased and excited at a high voltage (80–360 V) with the case and foil grounded. Although the transducer was originally developed for operation in air at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure, the spiral groove allows gas to access both sides of the diaphragm, allowing operation over a wide pressure range. We have tested and operated these transducers in non corrosive gases at pressures ranging from 5 bar_{abs} to sub-atmospheric pressures of around 100 mbar_{abs} and temperatures in the range -30 to $+45^{\circ}$ C.

In the custom electronics sound bursts are sent in opposite directions which may be aligned with — or at an angle to — the gas flow. Rolling average transit times, temperature and pressure

Figure 2. Principle of measurement of transit time between the first transmitted sound pulse and the first over-threshold detected pulse.

Figure 3. The SensComp 50 kHz capacitative ultrasonic transducer, originally developed during the 1980s for the Polaroid autofocus instant camera.

data continually stream from a FIFO memory to a supervisory computer presently via RS232 or USB, and in the future also by CANbus or Ethernet.

The gas mixture and flow rate are continuously calculated using SCADA software implemented in PVSS-II [\[8\]](#page-32-7). Transit times in both directions are used to compute the gas flow rate while the averages of the sound velocities in the two directions are used together with temperature and pressure to compute the binary gas composition by comparison with stored *velocity vs. concentration* look-up tables (section 6). These tables may be created from prior measurements in calibration mixtures or from theoretical thermodynamic calculations. In future versions of the instrument these calculations may be made in an on-board microcontroller.

3 Mechanics, fluid dynamics studies and measurements

The instrument has been constructed and evaluated in two distinct geometries:

- (i) with an axial sound path: used as a combined gas mixture analyzer/in line flow meter for fluorocarbon gas flows up to 250 l.min⁻¹ and as a C_3F_8 leak analyzer at sampling rates of around 100 ml.min^{-1} ;
- (ii) with an angled crossing sound path: a geometry required for fluorocarbon flows around 1.2 kgs−¹ . Such vapour mass flows are equivalent to volume flow of ∼20000 l.min−¹ in 70 %C₃F₈/ 30 %C₂F₆ (density 3.58 kgm⁻³) or ~18400 l.min⁻¹ in pure C₃F₈: (density 3.90 kgm⁻³) in temperature and pressure conditions (20 °C, 500 mbar_{abs}) characteristic of the superheated vapour return in the future ATLAS thermosiphon installation [\[9\]](#page-32-8).

These implementations are described in the following sections.

3.1 "Pinched axial" geometry for analysis and flowmetry in gas flows up to 250 l.min⁻¹

The mechanical envelope and ultrasonic transducer mounting are illustrated in figure [4.](#page-9-0) The transducers are mounted around 666 mm apart in a flanged assembly made from cold-rolled seamlesss 304L stainless steel tube with overall length \sim 850 mm. The temperature in the tube is monitored by six NTC thermistors — (100 kΩ at 25 $^{\circ}$ C) — giving an average temperature measurement uncertainty of better than ± 0.3 °C. Pressure is monitored with a transducer having ± 1 mbar precision.

The transducers are centered and mounted flush with the inboard ends of wide bore sections of tube, between which are welded a pair of diameter reducing cones and a 'pinched' region (of inner diameter 44.3 mm, compared with the transducer diameter of 42.9 mm) through which all the vapour is channelled. Vapour is diverted around the ultrasonic transducers through the use of 5 cm long axial flow-deflecting cones machined from $PEEK(\mathbb{R})$.

In this simple geometry the vapour flow rate is calculated from the sound transit times measured parallel, t_{down} , and anti-parallel, t_{up} , to the flow direction, according to the following algorithm:

$$
t_{\text{down}} = \frac{L}{(c+v)}, \qquad t_{\text{up}} = \frac{L}{(c-v)}
$$
(3.1)

where *v* is the linear flow velocity, (ms^{-1}) , *c* is the sound velocity in the gas (ms^{-1}) and *L* is the distance (m) between transducers.

The gas volume flow V ($m³s⁻¹$) can then be inferred from the two transit times by:

$$
V = \frac{L \cdot A(t_{\text{up}} - t_{\text{down}})}{2(t_{\text{up}} \cdot t_{\text{down}})}
$$
(3.2)

where A is the internal cross sectional area of the axial flow tube between the ultrasonic transducers $(m²)$.

The sound velocity can also be inferred from the two transit times via:

$$
c = \frac{L(t_{\text{up}} + t_{\text{down}})}{2(t_{\text{up}} \cdot t_{\text{down}})}
$$
(3.3)

Figure 4. The 'pinched axial' mechanical envelope, showing an ultrasonic transducer, its mounting and axial flow-deflecting cone, together with tubes for pressure sensing and the evacuation and the injection of calibration gas.

It can be seen from eqs. (3.1) – (3.3) that knowledge of the temperature of the gas is not necessary for ultrasonic flowmetry alone.

Three such instruments have been constructed for studies of C_2F_6/C_3F_8 blends. Figure [5](#page-10-0) illustrates one of these instruments installed in the ATLAS C_2F_6/C_3F_8 blend development recirculator. The oil-less piston compressor of the recirculator is shown in the left foreground.

The upper plots of figure [6](#page-11-0) show the linearity of the ultrasonic flow meter element of the instrument in C₃F₈ vapour at 20℃ and 1 bar_{abs} (C₃F₈ density \sim 7.9 kgm⁻³) through comparison with a mass flow meter^{[2](#page-9-1)} and with a volumetric gas meter^{[3](#page-9-2)} at flows up to a maximum of 230 l.min⁻¹; the limiting flow in the presently-available C_3F_8/C_2F_6 blend recirculator. At flows above 150 l.min⁻¹ it was noted that the internal rotating piston mechanics of the gas meter became very noisy, having been drained of the normal lubricant charge to avoid contaminating the oil-less compressor of the recirculator.

The horizontal error bars on the two sets of flow data points in the upper plots of figure [6](#page-11-0) represent the quoted precisions of the respective comparison instruments. These are also represented in the lower plot of figure [6](#page-11-0) as vertical error bars on the points representing the difference in flow measured between the ultrasonic flowmeter and the reference instruments. The uncertainties attributable to the ultrasonic flowmeter — shown as vertical error bars in the two flow comparison plots in the upper plots of figure 6 — reflect the combination of the uncertainty in the main tube

²Bronkhorst F-113AI-AAD-99-V; full scale 100 l.min⁻¹ C₃F₈; precision: $\pm 0.8\%$ of reading plus $\pm 0.2\%$ full scale. ³Schlumberger Delta G16: maximum flow rate 417 l.min⁻¹, precision ± 1 % of full scale.

Figure 5. 'Pinched axial' geometry analyzer/flow meter installed in the ATLAS C_2F_6/C_3F_8 blend, recirculator followed by a Schlumberger Delta G16 integrating gas meter (right foreground).

diameter (\pm 0.5 mm), timing precision (\pm 100 ns, as discussed in section 4) and transducer spacing $(\pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ following length calibration in a quasi-ideal static gas, according to the procedure discussed in section 5). A tube diameter uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would represent the dominant error: an uncertainty of $\pm 2.2\%$ of flow value. However this is probable overestimate based on the maximum local diameter tolerance quoted by the tube manufacturer: the diameter of the central tube would be very unlikely to have such a constant deviation from the nominal diameter over the whole length of 50 cm.

The *rms* deviation of the ultrasonic flowmeter relative to the fit with the Schlumberger gas meter is ± 2.31 .min⁻¹ (around 1.0% of the limiting flow of 2301.min⁻¹) while that relative to the Bronkhorst flowmeter is ± 0.24 l.min⁻¹, around 0.27% of the maximum flow of 901.min⁻¹ reached in the comparison of the two instruments.

A Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) study was undertaken using the *OpenFOAM* ® package $[10]$ with the SST k- ω [\[11\]](#page-32-10) turbulence model and a mesh of 545000 polyhedral cells, in order to evaluate the likely effect of the ultrasonic transducers, flow deflection and diameter reduction cones on the measurement precision. "Start condition" volume flows of 100 and 200 l.min−¹ of C₃F₈ at 1 bar_{abs} and 20[°]C (density \sim 7.9 kgm⁻³), corresponded to transversally-averaged axial flow velocities of 1.08 and 2.16 ms⁻¹ in the 44.3mm ID "pinched" tube linking the transducers. The average velocity along the 666 mm *axis* between the centres of the two transducer disks covering the full acoustic path including the centre pinched tube and the two conical regions — was estimated by CFD simulation. The CFD calculations, shown in figures [7](#page-12-0) and 8 and in table [2,](#page-11-1) suggest a 9% deficit compared with the flow averaged across the whole cross section of the pinched

Figure 6. *Upper plot*: 'pinched axial' ultrasonic flow meter comparisons with a Schlumberger Delta G16 gas and a Bronkhorst F-113AI-AAD-99-V mass flow meter in C₃F₈ vapour at 1 bar_{abs} and 20[°]C (density ∼7.9 kgm−³). *Lower plot*: differences between flow measurements in the ultrasonic flowmeter and the reference instruments.

Table 2. "Pinched Axial" flowmeter: comparison of the average velocity in the 44.3 mm "pinch" tube with the CFD-calculated average velocity along the axis linking the centres of the two transducers.

Starting	Expected average flow	CFD average velocity	Difference between flow
flow rate	velocity in 44.3 mm ID	along axis linking	velocity along tube axis
$(l. \text{min}^{-1})$	'pinch' tube (ms^{-1})	transducer centres (ms^{-1})	and average velocity $(\%)$
100	.08	0.983	-9.14
200	2.16	1.95	-9.65

tube, due to:

- a vortex trailing the upstream ultrasonic transducer within the 83 mm upstream reduction cone (figure [4\)](#page-9-0) where the tube inner diameter shrinks from 72.1 mm to 44.3 mm;
- the slab-like obstruction of the downstream transducer, in front of which the vapour is axially decelerated, then swept transversely to leave the acoustic sensing volume.

The CFD results of figure [8](#page-12-1) and table [2](#page-11-1) show the on-axis flow velocity deficit to be fairly constant with flow rate, suggesting that linear calibration against other instrumentation should be possible, as independently demonstrated in figure [6.](#page-11-0) A transverse profile shows the effect of the

Figure 7. Stream lines and contours of the axial component of gas flow velocity (ms^{-1}) in a longitudinal cut along the tube. Inset a transverse cut showing the higher axial flow zones immediately following the upstream transducer on its 120◦ 3-point mounting (also shown in figure [4\)](#page-9-0).

Figure 8. Axial flow velocity (C_3F_8 at 1 bar_{abs}, 20 $^{\circ}$ C) along the 666 mm central axis between the ultrasonic transducers. The average velocities in the 44.3 mm diameter tube of 1.08 ms^{-1} (left plot) and 2.16 ms^{-1} (right plot) respectively correspond to volume flow rates of 100 and 200 l.min⁻¹.

three-point transducer support on the flow in the annular passage around the transducer and downstream of it, giving rise to three zones of high axial velocity near the tube wall. Since the inner diameter of the pinched tube is well matched to that of the transducers, the higher velocity zones compensate the perceived loss along the axis.

Figure [9](#page-13-0) illustrates the sound velocity measured in the instrument in varying C_2F_6/C_3F_8 molar mixing ratios at a temperature of 19.2 °C and a pressure of 1.14 bar_{abs}. The sound velocity predictions of the NIST-REFPROP and PC-SAFT formalisms are also plotted. For comparison, their respective differences from the measurements are plotted on a secondary axis.

The mixtures of C_3F_8 and C_2F_6 shown in figure [9](#page-13-0) span the region of thermodynamic interest to the ATLAS silicon tracker cooling application and were set up by partial pressure ratio in the previously-evacuated tube, to create molar mixtures. Component partial pressures were set using a MKS "Baratron" capacitative absolute pressure gauge with a precision of ± 1 mbar. The transducer foil inter-distance had been previously established using the gas calibration procedure described in section 5, to a precision of ± 0.1 mm.

Individual contributions (shown in parentheses below) to the overall 0.05 ms^{-1} sound velocity measurement error, ∂c , (equivalent to 0.042 % at 20 % C₂F₆ concentration) were due to:

Figure 9. *Upper plots*: comparison between measured sound velocity data and theoretical predictions in molar C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixtures of thermodynamic interest, at 1.14 bar_{abs} and 19.2[°]C. NIST-REFPROP sound velocity predictions shown within $\pm 1\%$ band, after [\[12\]](#page-33-0). The binary gas mixture uncertainty of 0.3% is illustrated in red. *Lower plots*: differences between the measurements and the NIST-REFPROP and PC-SAFT predictions.

- ± 0.2 °C temperature stability in the sonar tube (equivalent to ± 0.044 ms⁻¹);
- \pm 4 mbar pressure stability in the sonar tube (\pm 0.012 ms⁻¹) with blend circulation machine in operation;
- ± 0.1 mm transducer inter-foil measurement uncertainty (± 0.018 ms⁻¹);
- ± 100 ns electronic transit time measurement uncertainty ($\pm 0.002 \text{ ms}^{-1}$).

The 16-bit precision of the analog input electronics results in an intrinsic accuracy 10-20 times finer than the operational stabilities in temperature and pressure quoted above.

The average difference between measured and the NIST-REFPROP [\[5\]](#page-32-4) and PC-SAFT [\[7\]](#page-32-6) predicted sound velocities in mixtures with (0→30 %) C₂F₆ in C₃F₈ were around 0.03 % and 0.3 % respectively at pressures around 1 bar_{abs} and temperatures around 19℃. It is recognized that the present version of NIST-REFPROP [\[5\]](#page-32-4) is more mature than the PC-SAFT equation of state and is thus the more precise in predicting the thermophysical properties of mixtures of saturated fluorocarbons (having molecular structures of the form $C_nF_{(2n+2)}$).

The precision of mixture determination, ∂ (mix), at any concentration of the two components is given by;

$$
\partial(\text{mix}) = \frac{\partial c}{m} \tag{3.4}
$$

where *m* is the local slope of the sound velocity/ concentration curve and ∂c is the uncertainty in the sound velocity measurement. For example, in a blend of 20% C₂F₆ in C₃F₈ — the sound velocity uncertainty of 0.05 ms⁻¹ yields a concentration uncertainty ~0.3 % at 20 %C₂F₆, where the slope of the sound velocity/ concentration curve is $\sim 0.18 \text{ ms}^{-1}\%^{-1}$.

The precision of the sound velocity determinations of the NIST-REFPROP package [\[5\]](#page-32-4) for C_2F_6 and C_3F_8 has been independently estimated in [\[12\]](#page-33-0), as part of an extensive set of comparisons between calculated thermodynamic and thermophysical parameters and measurement data from a large number of source references for 20 refrigerant fluids. The NIST-REFPROP determinations of sound velocity in C_2F_6 (C₃F₈) superheated vapour over the temperature & pressure ranges 210– 475 K & 0.8–32 bar_{abs} (245–342 K & 0.1-16.2 bar_{abs}), based respectively on the thermodynamic measurement data of 17 and 13 groups, were compared with sound velocity measurements made for each vapour by 2 groups. In the case of C_3F_8 the average deviation was around 1%, while for C_2F_6 the average deviation was around 0.2 %. No comparisons have made between sound velocity measurements and predictions from NIST-REFPROP or PC-SAFT for C_2F_6/C_3F_8 molar mixtures until this work. Since C_3F_8 is the dominant component in the mixture figure [9](#page-13-0) illustrates the 1% sound velocity band (in green) from [\[12\]](#page-33-0). Clearly NIST-REFPROP sound velocity predictions are very much closer to our measurements than this. Indeed, figure [9](#page-13-0) illustrates the present maturity of NIST-REPROP for the category of saturated fluorocarbons. In the case of our measurements we show the 0.3 % mixture uncertainty (horizontal red error bars) coming from our sound velocity measurement uncertainty of 0.05 ms⁻¹. We do not show error bars for PC-SAFT predicted sound velocities although it seems that the \sim 0.3 ms^{-1} (\sim 0.3 %) average difference with our measurements is likely to reflect that uncertainty given the present level of maturity of this equation of state.

In a second application related to the ATLAS evaporative cooling system, we use ultrasonic binary gas analysis to detect low levels of C_3F_8 vapour leaking into the N₂ environmental gas surrounding the ATLAS pixel tracker. Gas is aspirated from the pixel tracker volume through an ultrasonic analysis tube with a vacuum pump. A low flow of around 100 ml.min^{-1} is achievable through the (150 m long, 8 mm inner diameter) aspiration tube, with the analyzer tube operating at a pressure of 985 mbar_{abs} ± 2 mbar. Exhaust gas from the analysis tube (typically containing $>$ 99.9 % N_2) is vented to an air extraction system for return to the surface. The analyzer has been in continuous operation since January 2010, and is located — together with its data acquisition and supervisory computer — in a subterranean technical cavern alongside the ATLAS experimental cavern, whose floor is 92 m below the surface. A future expansion to this system will allow the envelope gases of the ATLAS silicon microstrip tracker (SCT) and inner detector volumes to be monitored. Additional analysis tubes are foreseen, together with full automation of gas stream selection.

Figure [10](#page-15-0) compares measured sound velocities in molar concentrations of up to 10 % C_3F_8 in N_2 with sound velocity predictions from the PC-SAFT equation of state [\[7\]](#page-32-6). The data of figure [10](#page-15-0) are abstracted from measurements and predictions made over the full concentration range from pure

Figure 10. Comparison of sound velocity measurements (\circ) and PC-SAFT predictions (\circ) in C₃F₈/N₂ mixtures at 1bar abs and 25°C. Mixture resolution is $\sim 4.10^{-5}$ for concentrations of $\rm {<}1\%$ C₃F₈ in N₂.

 C_3F_8 to pure N₂. The PC-SAFT equation of state was used as NIST-REFPROP is not presently configured for calculations of mixtures of saturated fluorocarbons with N_2 .

A reduction in sound velocity of 0.86 ms⁻¹ from that of pure nitrogen is typically observed when the full pixel detector cooling system of 88 independent circuits is fully operating. From the \sim 12.27 ms⁻¹%⁻¹ average gradient of the sound velocity-concentration curve for C₃F₈ concentrations in the range $0\rightarrow 0.5\%$ (figure [10\)](#page-15-0) this sound velocity difference indicates, via eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-14-0), a C_3F_8 leak ingress of 0.07% (figure [11\)](#page-16-0). It is evident from comparison of figures [9](#page-13-0) and 10 that the gradient of the sound velocity-composition curve (and consequently the resolution in mixture determination) improves considerably with increasing difference in molecular weights of the two components. Thus for N₂/C₃F₈ the intrinsic sound velocity uncertainty of around ± 0.05 ms⁻¹ correspondingly yields a mixture uncertainty of ± 0.004 %.

Figure [11](#page-16-0) illustrates a 1-year continuous log of the C_3F_8 contamination of the pixel detector environmental N₂ envelope. Fluctuations of the measured C_3F_8 contamination are correlated with the development of leaks in some of the 88 individual cooling circuits, which have been identified by progressive turn-on or turn-off, but depend also on the purge rate of the N_2 gas around the pixel detector, which is typically around 1 m³hr⁻¹. Figure [12](#page-16-1) illustrates the C₃F₈ contamination increase seen in the progressive turn on of cooling circuit groups following the 2011-2012 newyear shutdown of the detector. Though none of the leaks is presently considered severe enough to require the related cooling circuit (and the corresponding section of the ATLAS pixel detector) to be shut down, figure [12](#page-16-1) illustrates how the ultrasonic vapour analyzer can be used to rapidly identify a leaking circuit.

Figure 11. Long duration (1 year) log of C_3F_8 leak contamination in the N₂ environmental gas surrounding the ATLAS pixel detector.

Figure 12. The increase of C_3F_8 leak contamination during the progressive turn-on of cooling circuits of the ATLAS pixel detector, January 16, 2012.

3.2 Angled crossing geometry for analysis and flowmetry at flows up to 20000 l.min⁻¹

A high flow capacity ultrasonic flow meter/analyzer will be installed in the principal vapour return tube of the ATLAS 60kW thermosiphon evaporative cooling recirculator [\[9\]](#page-32-8) at a location where returning superheated fluorocarbon vapour will have a temperature of 20 \degree C and pressure of 500 mbarabs. An instrument with an "angled crossing" sound geometry has been developed for use in a flow of around 18400 l.min⁻¹ in pure C₃F₈ (around 20000 l.min⁻¹ in a blend containing $70\%C_3F_8/30\%C_2F_6$.

In its simplest implementation, an angled path ultrasonic flow meter consists of a pair of transducers of diameter *d* separated by a distance *L*, and aligned on a sound path intersecting the main tube, of internal diameter D_{Main} , at an angle α . The vapour flow rate is calculated from the opposed sound transit times, t_{down}, and t_{up}, according to the following algorithm:

$$
t_{\text{down}} = \frac{L}{(c + v \cos \alpha)}, \qquad t_{\text{up}} = \frac{L}{(c - v \cos \alpha)}
$$
(3.5)

where *v* is the linear flow velocity (ms−¹), *c* the speed of sound in the gas and the distance *L* between transducers is entirely contained within the main flow tube of diameter, D_{Main} , implying $L \leq D_{\text{Main}} / \sin \alpha$.

The gas volume flow, V, (m^3s^{-1}) is inferred from the two transit times by:

$$
V = \frac{L \cdot \pi \cdot D_{\text{Main}^2}(t_{\text{up}} - t_{\text{down}})}{8 \cos \alpha (t_{\text{up}} \cdot t_{\text{down}})}
$$
(3.6)

while the sound velocity can be inferred from the two transit times via:

$$
c = \frac{L(t_{\text{up}} + t_{\text{down}})}{2(t_{\text{up}} \cdot t_{\text{down}})}
$$
(3.7)

If the transducers are attached to the inner wall of the main tube they will impinge on the flow, creating eddies that will affect the measured gas flow velocity. A preferable geometry has the transducers backed-off an additional distance L' (counting both sides) to position their inner edges flush with the internal surface of the main tube. This minimal non-impinging transducer spacing can be defined as:

$$
L = \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha} + L', \quad \text{where} \quad L' = \frac{d}{\tan \alpha} \tag{3.8}
$$

Alternatively the transducers may be withdrawn a longer distance L' with respect to the internal surface of the main tube. The latter configuration with a pair of transverse tube stubs allows the changing of a transducer without interruption of the main gas flow: the transducers can be backed off outboard of quarter-turn ball valves, which when open allow the sound path to traverse the main tube.

In configurations where the transducer spacing includes an element L' containing quasi-static vapour in communication with the flow in the main tube the formalism for calculating the flow velocity is modified from that of eq. (3.5) as follows:

$$
t_{\text{down}} = \frac{L'}{c} + \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha (c + v \cos \alpha)}, \qquad t_{\text{up}} = \frac{L'}{c} + \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha (c - v \cos \alpha)}
$$
(3.9)

The sound velocity, *c*, is the physical root derived from the relations of eq. [\(3.9\)](#page-17-2) in terms of measurables L , D_{Main} , α , t_{up} and t_{down} :

$$
c = \frac{\left(t_{\text{up}} + t_{\text{down}}\right)\left(2L' + \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin\alpha}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(t_{\text{up}} + t_{\text{down}}\right)^2 \left(2L' + \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin\alpha}\right)^2 - \left(16L't_{\text{up}}t_{\text{down}}\left(L' + \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin\alpha}\right)\right)}}{4t_{\text{up}}t_{\text{down}}}
$$
\n(3.10)

allowing the gas flow velocity, v, to be calculated as

$$
v = \frac{c (ct_{\text{up}} - \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha} - L')}{\cos \alpha (ct_{\text{up}} - L')}
$$
 (3.11a)

or as

$$
v = \frac{c \left(\frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha} + L' - ct_{\text{down}}\right)}{\cos \alpha (ct_{\text{down}} - L')}
$$
\n(3.11b)

CFD simulations were made using the $OpenFORM(\mathbb{R})$ package [\[10,](#page-32-9) [11\]](#page-32-10) to investigate the interaction between the vapour flow in the main tube and the placement of the ultrasonic transducers. The interaction of the flow with the static vapour in the two tube stubs of total length L' was also of interest since the transmitted sound pulse might be expected to be disturbed by the presence of vortices in the tube stubs.

Each of the following transducer spacing configurations illustrated in figure [13a](#page-19-0)-c was evaluated:

- a. $L = 3D_{\text{Main}}/\sin \alpha$: transducers far from the main tube to minimize turbulence effects and allow for valve isolation — figure [13a](#page-19-0);
- b. $L = D_{\text{Main}} / \sin \alpha + d / \tan \alpha$: transducer edges flush with the inner wall of the main tube figure [13b](#page-19-0);
- c. $L = D_{\text{Main}} / \sin \alpha$: half of each transducer face (diameter, d , = 44 mm) impinging on the flow in the main tube — figure [13c](#page-19-0).

In all cases, the flow conditions were chosen to correspond to those in the main vapour return of the future thermosiphon recirculator, with a mass flow of 1.2kgs⁻¹ of C₃F₈ at 20[°]C and a pressure of 500 mbar_{abs}, (density 3.901 kgm⁻³).

In each transducer configuration simulations were made with sound tube crossing angles of 15◦ , 30◦ and 45◦ . In each case two alternatives (133.7 and 211.6 mm) were considered for the main tube internal diameter (corresponding to the two most probable choices, using standard tube sizes), with corresponding C₃F₈ average linear flow velocities of 21.92 and 8.75 ms⁻¹. In addition three simulations were made, corresponding to the three crossing angles for half flow in a main tube of internal diameter 133.7 mm.

The number of cells used in the simulation mesh varied between 3 and 8 million, depending on the geometry. The SST $k - \omega$ [\[11\]](#page-32-10) turbulence model was adopted in the CFD simulations. Since the fluid flow velocity is lower than Mach 0.3 the resulting variation of density within the system is negligible, allowing the flow in the tube to be modelled as incompressible.

Figure [14](#page-20-0) shows negligible influence of the sound tube stubs on the main stream for the case of maximum ($3D_{\text{Main}}/\sin\alpha$) transducer spacing, corresponding to figure [13a](#page-19-0). The stream lines

Figure 13. Angled flow meter configuration with: (a) 'maximum' transducer spacing $(3D_{\text{Main}}/\sin \alpha)$; (b) 'mid' transducer spacing $(D_{\text{Main}} / \sin \alpha + d / \tan \alpha)$;

(c) 'minimum' transducer spacing $(D_{\text{Main}}/\sin\alpha)$, with transducers impinging on the main flow.

remain parallel to each other even close to the stub intersections. Due to the drag force of the main stream on the quasi-static vapour in the sound tube stubs, a slow counterclockwise-rotating vortex occurs in the upper (downstream) stub, while a clockwise-rotating vortex occurs in the lower (upstream) stub. Despite these vortices, the average vapour speed in the two sound tube stubs must be zero, from conservation of mass. It can be seen that a wake follows the downstream tube stub, although this does not influence the flow measurement as it lies outside the sound path. The result is a rather uniform axial velocity distribution across the diameter of the main tube, as shown in figure [15.](#page-20-1)

The area of the elliptical aperture, *a*, formed by the intersection of the inner diameter, *D^s* , of transversal sound tube stubs and the main tube is given by:

$$
a = \frac{D_s^2}{4\sin\alpha}.\tag{3.12}
$$

The effect of elliptical aperture variation can be seen in figure [15.](#page-20-1) At the shallower crossing angles of 15° and 30° the larger aperture is manifested as a slight increase in the effective diameter of the main tube at the aperture of the downstream sound tube stub. At 45° crossing angle, however, the effective diameter corresponds closely to that of the main tube. Simulations were not therefore carried out at crossing angles exceeding 45° since the $(t_{up}-t_{down})$ transit time difference is progressively reduced as α tends toward 90 $^{\circ}$, resulting in reduced accuracy in flow measurement. Following the conclusion of prototype tests, discussed later, a crossing angle of 45◦ was adopted for the final instrument, this also being mechanically easier to fabricate in a dissimilar diameter tube cross than the more oblique angles.

The flow profiles in the main tube for the transducer configurations of figures [13a](#page-19-0) and 13b are similar, (as shown in figures [14](#page-20-0) and 16) and exhibit no major influence of the transducers over the main stream flow. On the other hand, in the configuration of figure [13c](#page-19-0) half the surface area of each transducer is immersed in the main stream. These obstructions create vortices in the sound path near the transducers (figure [17\)](#page-21-0), reducing the accuracy of sound transit time difference

Figure 14. Contours of the axial component of gas flow velocity (ms^{-1}) on the symmetry plane with stream lines: transducer spacing $(3D_{\text{Main}}/\sin \alpha)$, 45[°] intersection and $D_{\text{Main}} = 133.7$ mm.

Figure 15. Axial flow velocity in (and beyond) the main tube vs. position along the sound path between the transducers in the configuration with $(3D_{\text{Main}}/\sin\alpha)$ spacing. The position along the sound path is a dimensionless projection perpendicular to the axis of the main tube and normalised to D_{Main} . The average velocity in the 133.7 mm diameter main tube is 21.92 ms^{-1} .

Figure 16. Contours of the axial component of velocity on the symmetry plane (ms^{-1}) , with stream lines: transducer spacing $(D_{\text{Main}}/\sin\alpha + d/\tan\alpha)$, 45° crossing and $D_{\text{Main}} = 133.7$ mm.

Figure 17. Contours of the axial component of velocity on the symmetry plane (ms^{-1}) with stream lines: transducer spacing ($D_{\text{Main}}/\sin \alpha$) with 45[°] crossing and $D_{\text{Main}} = 133.7$ mm. Roughly half the surface area of each transducer impinges into the flowing vapour.

measurements. For this reason we have not pursued an internally-mounted transducer configuration through to prototyping.

Table [3](#page-22-0) compares the CFD-reconstructed average axial flow velocity, *Vc*, (column 3) with the "start condition" average flow, *v*, in the main tube (column 2) for the 21 different geometrical and flow combinations that were simulated. Geometric corrections for the different fractional lengths of the sound path in the flowing gas are also evaluated. The results for the two different main tube diameters, D_{Main} , show no major influence of this parameter on the calculated flow except in the

case (figure [13c](#page-19-0)) where the transducers impinge on the flow in the main tube. While the choice with $D_{\text{Main}} = 211.6$ mm would allow for a lower pressure drop, the choice with $D_{\text{Main}} = 133.7$ mm is preferable for measurement accuracy, since the velocity component parallel to the sound wave is greater. An inner diameter of 133.7 mm has been subsequently chosen for the local tubing where the ultrasonic flowmeter/analyzer will be installed.

The CFD-calculated average velocity component, V_c , in the direction of flow in the main tube (table [3](#page-22-0) column 3) is averaged in a cylindrical volume defined by the diameter and spacing of the two transducers, using axial velocity values at points along the whole sound path, including where applicable — the regions beyond the confines of the main flow tube. The signed percentage deficit of the calculated flow, *Vc*, from the average "starting value" axial flow, *v*, in the main tube,

is given by $((v-V_c)/v)$, and is shown in column 4 of table [3,](#page-22-0) where it is also compared with the simple expected geometrical deficit, *GD*. *GD* can be expressed as a percentage based on the ratio of the sound path crossing the flowing vapour, $(D_{\text{Main}}/\sin\alpha)$, to the total length, *L*, including the path length, L', in quasi-static vapour:

$$
GD = \frac{100\left(L - \frac{D_{\text{Main}}}{\sin \alpha}\right)}{L} \tag{3.13}
$$

Taking an example for the configuration of figure $13a$ with $15°$ crossing angle in a 137.1 mm diameter main tube, a value of 64.4 % for $((v-V_c)/v)$ is calculated from CFD. In this geometry 2/3 of the sound path is characterized by quasi-static flow. The expected geometric deficit from sound path arguments according to eq. [\(3.13\)](#page-23-0) would be 66.6 %, meaning that the flow velocity measured in such an instrument would need to be multiplied by a geometrical correction factor, *GCF*, to find the axial flow velocity in the main tube, where (maintaining the sign convention of the expected geometric deficit) *GCF* is expressed in terms of *GD* as:

$$
GCF = \left(1 - \frac{GD}{100}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.14}
$$

In the configuration of figure [13a](#page-19-0), *GCF* = 3, while in the configuration of figure [13b](#page-19-0) *GCF* depends explicitly on the crossing angle and main tube diameter, as shown in column 7 of table [3.](#page-22-0) In the configuration of figure [13c](#page-19-0), where the entire sound path is in the flowing vapour, *GCF* would be unity.

The difference between the CFD-calculated average axial velocity deficit (table [3](#page-22-0) column 4) and the expected geometrical deficit (table [3](#page-22-0) column 5) is a quality estimator for the particular geometry of ultrasonic flow meter, taking into account the effects of turbulence and vortices in the sound path which are only accessible via the CFD analysis. It can be seen from table [3](#page-22-0) that the differences are minimised in the geometry of figure [13a](#page-19-0) where the transducers are backed off a significant distance from the main tube. In this geometry the average CFD computed deficit was (65.77 ± 1.52) %. The standard deviation of the deficits calculated from the 7 independent CFD analyses is thus a reasonable indicator of the precision of CFD predictions and can be applied to data in column 6 of table [3.](#page-22-0)

It can also be seen (table [3](#page-22-0) column 8) that the elliptical interface area of the intersecting sound tubes (or the transducer and its support in the geometry of figure [14c](#page-20-0)) has little influence on the CFD reconstructed average axial velocity for crossing angles between 15 and 45◦ .

While most of the data in table [3](#page-22-0) were calculated at the nominal fluorocarbon mass flow rate of 1.2 kgs⁻¹ expected in thermosiphon operation at the full cooling capacity of 60 kW, simulations were also carried out at half-flow in a tube of 133.7 mm internal diameter and a sound path angle of 30° for each of the three transducer configurations if figure [13.](#page-19-0) These studies were aimed to check for possible variations of the velocity distribution with the flow rate in the main tube, which might make it difficult to calibrate the instrument. These results are shown in italics in table [3](#page-22-0) while the axial flow velocity profile across the main tube is compared with that at full flow in figure [18.](#page-24-0) The similarity of the flow profiles and the expected deficits — calculated according to eqs. [\(3.13\)](#page-23-0) and (3.14) — for 30 \degree crossing angle at full and half flow leads us to believe that the variations in turbulence within the sound path are insignificant over the flow range expected, and that the calibration of the instrument against, for example, a volumetric flow meter should be linear.

Figure 18. Axial flow velocity in (and beyond) the 133.7 mm diameter main tube vs. position along the sound path between the transducers in the three configurations for 30° crossing angle: right: at full flow of 21.92 ms⁻¹; left: at half flow of 10.96 ms⁻¹. The position along the sound path is a dimensionless projection perpendicular to the axis of the main tube and normalised to D_{Main} .

In conclusion, CFD simulations have shown that the angled flow meter with transducers withdrawn from the main flow stream can provide a reliable measurement of the average flow velocity, since:

- the sound path covers the whole velocity profile of the main stream;
- the device does not significantly influence the flow;
- vapour in the intersecting sound tube stubs is almost static and the vortices in them are slow;
- calibration is straightforward as the velocity distribution is uniform also for different flow rates;
- the slight turbulent effects do not appear to degrade the sound path over the expected flow range;
- a crossing angle of 45◦ is preferred.

We have constructed and tested a prototype ultrasonic flowmeter (figures $19, 20$ $19, 20$) with 45° crossing angle using PVC tubing of similar diameter to that foreseen in the ATLAS thermosiphon installation. The flowmeter was based on a sound tube of 45 mm inner diameter crossing a main tube of 103 mm inner diameter, integrated into an air 'cannon' of total length 7m. The total sound path length was 695 mm, with 550 mm being in static gas in the tube stubs.

The extra length of the sound tube stubs included the lengths of quarter turn ball valves to allow testing of a geometry similar to the final instrument, allowing transducer changeover without stopping the flowing fluorocarbon vapour.

Figure 19. Prototype angled ultrasonic flowmeter with 45° crossing angle implemented in PVC tubing of 103 mm internal diameter.

Air was injected from a standard 8 bar building delivery network via a venturi, which could aspirate additional room air for a total maximum flow velocity of around 16 ms−¹ . The ultrasonic flowmeter was calibrated against an anemometer^{[4](#page-25-1)} installed downstream, as shown in figure [19.](#page-25-0) The gas flow velocity in the ultrasonic flowmeter was calculated according to the formalism of eqs. (3.10) and $(3.11a)$, $(3.11b)$. The horizontal error bars in the upper plot of figure [20](#page-26-1) (and the vertical error bars in the lower plot of figure [20\)](#page-26-1) represent the quoted 2 % of full scale error of the anemometer. In the upper plot of figure [20](#page-26-1) the vertical error bars of $\pm 3.1\%$ of reading reflect the combination of the uncertainty in sound velocity measurement $(\pm 0.05 \text{ ms}^{-1})$, crossing angle in the PVC tube $(\pm 1^{\circ})$, PVC main tube diameter $(\pm 1$ mm), timing precision $(\pm 100 \text{ ns})$ and transducer spacing $(\pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ following length calibration in a quasi-ideal static gas, according to the procedure discussed in section 5). The main tube diameter and sound tube crossing angle uncertainty represent the dominant error contributions to the measured flow rate: respectively $\pm 1.8\%$ and $\pm 2.2\%$. The *rms* accuracy of the ultrasonic flowmeter relative to the fit is equivalent to $\pm 1.9\%$ of the full scale flow of 15 ms^{-1} achievable with the available compressed air supply.

Although calibration with expensive fluorocarbons and their mixtures was not possible in an open system, the encouraging test results have permitted the construction to start on a full scale stainless steel angled ultrasonic flowmeter with 45° crossing angle and a main tube of 137 mm inner diameter.

⁴Amprobe model TMA10A: 25 ms⁻¹ full scale, intrinsic accuracy \pm 2 % FS.

Figure 20. *Upper plot*: calibration of the PVC prototype 45[°] angled ultrasonic flowmeter vs. Amprobe TMA10A anemometer. *Lower plot*: differences between the anemometer and ultrasonic flowmeter plotted with error bars representing the quoted anemometer uncertainty of $\pm 2\%$ of the full scale of 25 ms⁻¹.

4 Electronics

The custom electronics — shown in figure 21 — is based on a Microchip \Re dsPIC 16 bit microcontroller. This generates the 50 kHz sound burst signals emitted by the transducers and includes a 40 MHz transit clock that is stopped when an amplified sound signal from a receiving transducer crosses a user-definable comparator threshold. Although the electronics is normally configured for bidirectional 'straight through' transit time measurements with a pair of transducers, calibration operations in echo mode are also possible as in the case of the angled flowmeter geometry, as discussed in section 5.

The HV bias for the vibrating foils of the capacitative transducers, settable in the range $+80 \rightarrow +360$ V, is generated by a DC-DC converter. The ultrasonic interface board with the DC-DC conversion and energy storage capacitors for the transducer HV excitation is shown on the right of figure [21.](#page-27-0)

When transmitting, a transducer can be excited (depending on the mode selected in the firmware of the microcontroller) with either a single negative-going HV square pulse or by a train of up to 8 pulses (figure [2\)](#page-7-0). The excitation pulses are built by combining 50 kHz TTL pulses from the microcontroller with the DC-DC converter output. When receiving, a transducer is biased with a flat HVDC voltage and its signal passed to a differential amplifier with a virtual ground and programmable gain, followed by a comparator. During a firmware-defined interval in the range $200-300 \mu s$ following transmission the comparator output is "blanked" — to eliminate the effects of noise pickup and higher velocity sound propagation through the metal envelope of the instrument

Figure 21. *Left*: block diagram of the bidirectional time-of-flight measuring electronics. *Right*: implementation.

during the cycling of the transmitting transducer. We have found "ringing" the transmitter with a single pulse to a be useful technique to minimise the "blanking" period, particularly where short transmission times are used, as for example in the echo mode of distance calibration of transducers in the angled flowmeter (section 5).

The upper trace of figure [22](#page-28-1) illustrates the response of the receiving SensComp 604142 transducer biased at $+330$ V when the identically-biased transmitting transducer is excited or "rung" with a single negative HV pulse of $10 \mu s$ duration. The lower trace illustrates the signal after amplification (gain \times 150). The signal was transmitted through 666 mm of nitrogen at a pressure of 1 barabs.

Sound transit times are computed from the number of 40 MHz pulses counted between the rising edge of the first transmitted 50 KHz sound pulse, and the time the first received, amplified sound pulse crosses the comparator threshold. Transit times, computed alternately in the two transmission directions are continuously entered into an internal FIFO memory. When a measuring cycle is requested by the supervisory computer a time-stamped running average from the 300 most recent transit times in each direction in the FIFO memory is output, together with the average temperature and pressure, at a rate of up to 20 averaged samples per second.

In addition to the I/O connectivity for communications, the ultrasonic transducers, pressure and temperature sensors, the local instrument electronics incorporates two (4–20 mA) analog outputs to provide feedback for adjustment of the C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixing ratio by the external gas mixture control system.

Data is taken by the Supervisory, Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) computer over a serial bus running under USB or RS232. Future versions of the electronics will implement Ethernet or CANbus running the CANOpen communication protocol. The SCADA software — implemented as a PVSS-II project [\[8\]](#page-32-7) — sends various commands to the local electronics to start, stop or reset the instrument, and retrieve data from the FIFO memory.

The analyzing software which processes the data, makes analyses and generates control signals to the electronics is described in section 6.

Figure 22. Oscillogram showing: *upper trace* — signal from a SensComp 604142 ultrasonic transducer in response to "ringing" an identical transmitting transducer with a single 10 µs duration negative-going square wave (both transducers with 330 V bias): *lower trace* — signal after ×150 amplification.

5 Transducer inter-distance calibration and transit time uncertainty estimation

For high precision mixture and flow analysis the uncertainty in the sound flight distance should be minimized. Following transducer installation it is necessary to perform a transducer foil interdistance calibration. The most convenient method is to calculate this distance using measured sound transit times with the tube filled with a pure gas (or gases) having well-known sound velocity dependence on temperature and pressure. We initially made calibrations of the 'pinched axial' instruments using xenon, whose sound velocity and mw (175.5 ms⁻¹ at 20[°]C, 137 units — figure [1\)](#page-6-1) are closest [\[14,](#page-33-1) [15\]](#page-33-2) to those of the fluorocarbon mixtures in the ATLAS application [\[1\]](#page-32-0), and whose thermo-physical behaviour is that of an ideal gas. Later calibrations demonstrated sufficient precision with nitrogen and argon, which are considerably cheaper and more widely available. The typical precision in transducer inter-distance measured in this way is ± 0.1 mm.

We are presently using the simplest technique for transit time measurement, with a single comparator. The threshold level must be low enough not to miss the first rising edge yet high enough not to trigger on noise. The threshold crossing time is delayed relative to the true pulse arrival time. In early calibrations with reference gases the threshold was scanned down to the point at which noise triggered the random stopping of the 40 MHz transit time clock, then backed up to get out of the noise. The slope and shape of the rising edge of the of the first received sound pulse — shown

in figure 22 — was found to be constant over a wide range of excitation amplitude (200–300 V). A threshold level of $\sim 15 \text{ mV}$ — referenced to the receiving transducer output — was found to give a stable transit time 50–75 ns longer than the minimum value that was intermittently seen through the random noise-induced stops when lower thresholds were used. This threshold was found satisfactory for static measurements and measurements with flow in all the gases considered in this work. We combine this error with the 25 ns transit time clock resolution to quote a conservative estimate for the timing uncertainty of 100 ns. In future electronics developments we plan to investigate a multi-threshold implementation aimed at reconstructing the rising edge of the first sound pulse to better extrapolate to its start time.

As a precursor to the measurements in C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixtures, transducer inter-distance calibration was followed with measurements in pure C_3F_8 and C_2F_6 . The average difference between measured sound velocities and the predictions $[16–18]$ $[16–18]$) was less than 0.04 % in both pure fluids, and was a strong indicator of a correct transducer inter-distance calibration.

A similar transducer inter-distance calibration procedure will be used in the angled high flow ultrasonic analyzer/flow meter. This configuration must allow for the possible replacement of transducers without interruption of the high vapour flow of around 200001.min⁻¹. A transducer may be replaced following closure of the quarter-turn ball valve in the transverse sound tube (as illustrated in figure [19\)](#page-25-0). Following transducer re-installation the air is evacuated from the closed stub of tube outboard of the closed ball valve and the calibration gas injected. The electronics is able to operate in echo mode to find the distance between the transducer foil and the equator of the ball in the closed valve. The calibration gas is then evacuated and the valve is opened to bring the new transducer into contact with the process gas.

The total sound path can be measured with the valves open and the flowmeter filled with static calibration gas before the start of operations with fluorocarbon vapour: the distances of the two transducers from the equators of their valves are subtracted from the total path length to find the distance between the outboard equators of the two ball valves. This distance is assumed to be a 'hard parameter', remaining unchanged following any subsequent transducer replacement.

6 SCADA and analysis software

The specialized software for the gas analyzer operation — illustrated in figure 23 — is coded as a standalone component in the PVSS II, v3.8 SCADA environment [\[8,](#page-32-7) [16\]](#page-33-3); a standard at CERN.

The main tasks of the software include:

- vapour flow rate determination;
- sound velocity and molar mixture concentration determination;
- communication with the custom electronics, to start and stop the measuring cycle, and to request time-stamped bidirectional sound transit times, temperature and pressure data from the instrument FIFO memory;
- calculation and transmission of the set-points for the analog $(4{\text -}20m)$ output signals for C_3F_8/C_2F_6 ratio adjustment in the external cooling plant;

Figure 23. The main activities of the PVSS-II SCADA software of the gas analyzer/flow meter.

- visualization via a Graphical User Interface (GUI);
- archiving of sound transit times, velocities, flow, mixture composition, temperature and pressure into a local and/or remote data base;
- in the angled flowmeter an echo mode for locating the distance of the transducers from their closed ball valves during calibration with a quasi-ideal gas (section 5).

The present software $[16]$ for C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixture analysis uses a pre-loaded look-up table of NIST-REFPROP generated sound velocity with 0.25 % granularity in C_3F_8/C_2F_6 molar mixture and covering the expected range of temperature and pressures (16.2→26.1 °C, 800→1600 mbar_{abs} with 0.3[°]C & 50 mbar granularity). These conditions correspond to the superheated C_3F_8/C_2F_6 binary gas mixture environment in the vapour return line some tens of metres from the evaporative zone within the silicon tracker.

The algorithm calculates mixture composition by minimizing a quadratic norm, n_i , for each (c_i, T_i, P_i) table entry:

$$
n_i = k_1 (p_{i,\text{table}} - p_{\text{running average}})^2 + k_2 (t_{i,\text{table}} - t_{\text{running average}})^2 + k_3 (c_{i,\text{table}} - c_{\text{running average}})^2 \quad (6.1)
$$

where p, t & $c_{\text{running average}}$ are real-time outputs of the instrument FIFO memory and $k_{1,2,3}$ are weights [\[16\]](#page-33-3) that express the dependency of the mixture prediction on the error that comes from the differences between the table and measured c , T or P values.

An expanded software version [\[16,](#page-33-3) [19\]](#page-33-5) will implement a C_3F_8/C_2F_6 database covering a much larger *c*, *T*, *P* range, from near the critical temperature and pressure down to the expected thermosiphon condenser temperature and pressure of -70° C and 300 mbar_{abs}. This development forms part of an ongoing study [\[7,](#page-32-6) [14\]](#page-33-1) to feed back improved C_3F_8/C_2F_6 thermophysical data into NIST-REFRPOP [\[5\]](#page-32-4) AND PC-SAFT models. The software will allow "zooming" to smaller sub-tables (*O* ∼ 10,000 *c*, *T*, *P* data points), corresponding to a narrower process range — as in the present application — in various C_3F_8/C_2F_6 mixtures.

The expanded database will also include air/fluorocarbon mixtures over a temperature and pressure range centred on thermosiphon condenser conditions [\[9\]](#page-32-8). This database will provide a look up table to determine the level of air ingress into the sub-atmospheric pressure condenser. Look-up table data will be gathered from prior measurements in calibration mixtures and from theoretical data using equation of state predictions.

7 Conclusion

We have developed a combined, real-time ultrasonic flow meter and binary gas analyzer with custom electronics and dedicated SCADA software running under PVSS-II, a CERN standard. The instrument has been developed in two geometries following extensive computational fluid dynamics studies of various mechanical layouts. A version with an axial sound path has been used with binary gas flows up to 230 l.min⁻¹, while a version with a sound path angled at 45° to the gas flow direction has been developed for use in gas flows up to 20000 l.min⁻¹.

The binary gas composition measurement revealed a resolution of 3.10⁻³ for mixtures of $\rm{C_3F_8}$ with C_2F_6 (80%/20%) — adequate for a blend control system [\[19\]](#page-33-5) — while a sensitivity of < 5.10^{-5} to traces of C₃F₈ in nitrogen is seen in an instrument that has been in continuous operation for more than one year. These measurements have demonstrated the higher mixture precision possible in mixtures of gases with components of increasingly-different molecular weights: the C_3F_8/C_2F_6 and C_3F_8/N_2 combinations respectively having molecular weight differences of 50 and 160.

Flow measurements in the instrument implemented in a pinched axial geometry have been compared with two commercial devices operating according to different physical principles. A flow resolution of 0.3 % of full scale was seen in comparison with a Bronkhorst F-113AC-AAD-99-V thermal flowmeter for flows up to 901.min⁻¹ while a flow resolution of 1% of full scale was seen in comparison with a Schlumberger Delta G16 volumetric gas meter for flows up to 2301 .min⁻¹.

An instrument with an angled sound path geometry has been developed for installation in the vapour return path of the 60 kW thermosiphon recirculator currently under construction for the ATLAS silicon tracker where high fluorocarbon flow rates (up to 20000 l.min⁻¹) and velocities (up to 22 ms^{-1}) are expected. Computational fluid dynamics studies were made to determine the preferred geometry, including transducer spacing and placement, together with the sound path crossing angle with respect to the vapour flow direction. A preferred geometry with a main tube inner diameter of 137 mm and a sound crossing angle of 45◦ has been chosen. Tests in air (in comparison with an Amprobe TMA10A commercial anemometer) of a 45◦ prototype built in PVC tube of similar diameter have demonstrated a flow measurement precision of $\pm 1.9\%$ of the full scale linear flow of 15 ms⁻¹. The production of a stainless steel variant of the instrument has started and further closed circuits tests with fluorocarbons are planned.

A further variant of the instrument, under development to allow the detection and elimination of incondensable vapour accumulating in the condenser of a fluorocarbon recirculator [\[9\]](#page-32-8) will be reported in a future publication.

The instruments described in this work have many potential applications, including the analysis and flowmetry of hydrocarbons, vapour mixtures for semi-conductor manufacture and anaesthetic gas mixtures.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank CERN and their home institutes for support for this project. University of Indiana participation was supported through U.S. Department of Energy contract DE-AC02- 98CH10886. University of Oklahoma participation was supported through DOE contract DE-FG02-04ER41305. A. Bitadze acknowledges support for his PhD research from the ATLAS inner detector group and the particle physics group of the University of Glasgow. R. Bates acknowledges support from the U.K. Science and Technology Facilities Council. M. Doubek, V. Vacek and M. Vitek acknowledge individual support and support for this project through the following grants in the Czech Republic: MSM grants 6840770035 & LA08015 and SGS/FIS grant10-802460 of the Czech Technical University, Prague. We also thank Jaroslav Vavra of the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory for valuable discussions at the outset of this project.

References

- [1] D. Attree, B. Anderson, E. Anderssen, V. Akhnazarov, R. Apsimon, et al., *The evaporative cooling system for the ATLAS inner detector*, 2008 *JINST* 3 [P07003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/07/P07003)
- [2] G. Viehhauser, *Evaporative cooling in ATLAS — present and future*, *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* A 628 (2011) 251.
- [3] ATLAS collaboration, *Inner Detector technical design report*, vols 1&2, CERN-LHCC-97-016 & 17.
- [4] ATLAS collaboration, *Pixel Detector technical design report*, CERN-LHCC-98-13.
- [5] E. Lemmon, M. Huber and M. McLinden, *'REFPROP' Standard reference database 23*, version 9.0, U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (2010).
- [6] G. Hallewell, G. Crawford, D. McShurley, G. Oxoby and R. Reif, *A sonar-based instrument for the ratiometric determination of binary gas mixtures*, *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* A 264 (1988) 219.
- [7] G. Hallewell, V. Vacek and V. Vins, *Properties of saturated fluorocarbons: experimental data and modeling using perturbed-chain-SAFT*, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 292 (2010) 64.
- [8] *PVSS II – Process visualization and control system, version 3.8* (2009) ETM professional control GmbH, A-7000, Eisenstadt, Austria <http://www.etm.at/>.
- [9] J. Botehlo-Direito et al., *General description of the full scale thermosiphon cooling system for ATLAS SCT and Pixel detectors*, CERN EN-CV Report, document [1083852 ver.1](https://edms.cern.ch/document/1083852/1) (27 November 2010); M. Battistin et al., *5th ATLAS Thermo-siphon cooling workshop*, CERN, October 20, 2011.
- [10] OpenFOAM®, *The open source computational fluid dynamics toolbox*, version 2.1.0 (2011).
- [11] F.R. Menter, *Two-equation eddy-viscosity turbulence models for engineering applications*, *AIAA J.* 32 (1994) 1598.
- [12] E. Lemmon and R. Span, *Short fundamental equations of state for 20 fluids*, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 51 (2006) 785.
- [13] G. Bozza and E. Da Riva, *Simulation results for the combined ultrasonic flow meter and binary vapour mixture analyser for the ATLAS silicon tracker*, CERN EN-CV Report, document [1180058](https://edms.cern.ch/document/1180058/3) [ver.3](https://edms.cern.ch/document/1180058/3) (25 April 2012).
- [14] V. Vacek, G. Hallewell and S. Lindsay, *Velocity of sound measurements in gaseous per-fluorocarbons and their mixtures*, *Fluid Phase Equilibr.* 185 (2001) 305.
- [15] V. Vacek, G. Hallewell, S. Ilie and S. Lindsay, *Perfluorocarbons and their use in cooling systems for semiconductor particle detectors*, *Fluid Phase Equilibr.* 174 (2000) 191.
- [16] R. Bates et al., *An acoustic fluorocarbon coolant mixture analyzer for the ATLAS silicon tracker*, in proc. of *2nd International conference on Advancements in Nuclear Instrumentation, Measurement Methods and their Applications* (ANIMMA) Ghent, Belgium, 6–9 June, 2011, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 59 (2012) 2367.
- [17] G. Hallewell, V. Vacek and M. Doubek, *Novel and simple sonar gas analyzers*, in proc. of *9th Asian Thermophysical Properties Conference*, Beijing, October 19–22, 2010, CD ROM Paper 109296: 1-6 (2010).
- [18] A. Bitadze et al., *A combined on-line acoustic flow meter and fluorocarbon coolant mixture analyzer for the ATLAS silicon tracker*, in proc. of *13th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems* (ICALEPS2011) Grenoble, 10–14 October 2011.
- [19] R. Bates et al., *The study of C*2*F*6*/C*3*F*⁸ *saturated fluorocarbon refrigerant blends for the ATLAS Silicon tracker*, paper to be submitted to JINST.