

# Group-theoretical construction of finite-momentum and multi-particle operators for lattice hadron spectroscopy

---

**Justin Foley<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>a</sup> John Bulava<sup>b</sup>, You-Cyuan Jhang<sup>c</sup>, Keisuke J. Juge<sup>d</sup>, David Lenkner<sup>c</sup>, Colin Morningstar<sup>c</sup> and Chik Him Wong<sup>e</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

<sup>b</sup> CERN, Physics Dept. 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup> Dept. of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

<sup>d</sup> Dept. of Physics, University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA 95211, USA

<sup>e</sup> University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Email: [jfoley@physics.utah.edu](mailto:jfoley@physics.utah.edu)

Determining the spectrum of hadronic excitations from Monte Carlo simulations requires the use of interpolating operators that couple to multi-particle states. Recent algorithmic advances have made the inclusion of multi-hadron operators in spectroscopy calculations a practical reality. In this talk, a procedure for constructing a set of multi-hadron interpolators that project onto the states of interest is described. To aid in the interpretation of simulation data, operators are designed to transform irreducibly under the lattice symmetry group. The identification of a set of optimal single-hadron interpolators for states with non-zero momenta is an essential intermediate step in this analysis.

*The XXIX International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - Lattice 2011*

*July 10-16, 2011*

*Squaw Valley, Lake Tahoe, California*

---

<sup>\*</sup>Speaker.

## Introduction

The elucidation of the spectrum of hadronic excitations predicted by QCD is a long-term goal of lattice field theory. While a number of low-lying stable-particle masses are readily accessible, most of the low-lying spectrum has, until recently, lain beyond reach. The evaluation of correlation functions containing disconnected quark-line diagrams, which arise in the isosinglet-meson sector, is extremely challenging. Multi-particle correlators, needed to study states above threshold, are, in general, even more problematic.

The stochastic LapH method, described in Ref. [1], is an efficient algorithm for evaluating hadronic correlators involving same-timeslice quark propagation. This algorithm facilitates the accurate evaluation of hadronic correlation functions using far fewer quark-matrix inversions than earlier methods. Moreover, using this approach, hadronic two-point functions factorize into source and sink contributions, greatly simplifying the evaluation of multi-hadron correlators in particular.

The next step towards understanding the experimentally-accessible spectrum involves the calculation of the lowest-lying stationary-state energies on multiple lattice volumes. In a given symmetry channel, multiple energy levels can be determined from the solutions of a generalized eigenvalue problem involving matrices of hadronic two-point functions [2]. However, the success of this approach depends on the identification of appropriate interpolating operators. Considerable effort has already been invested in the construction of single-particle interpolators [3, 4]. In this note, we report on progress in constructing the multi-hadron interpolators needed above threshold.

Although we are primarily interested in the spectrum of hadrons at rest, two or more hadrons in flight can combine to form a state with zero net momentum. Hence, as an intermediate step in our calculations, it is also necessary to construct interpolating operators for states with non-zero momenta. The analysis is further complicated by the fact that different flavor sectors become intertwined once multi-particle states are taken into account. For example, an isovector two-meson state might have one isosinglet constituent meson. To make sense of lattice data, it is essential to use interpolating operators with well-defined lattice quantum numbers. In particular, we use operators that transform irreducibly under the spatial symmetry group of the lattice. Our simulations are performed with  $2 + 1$  flavors of anisotropic clover fermions, and we are thus able to construct light-hadron operators with definite isospin or G-parity. Due to the finite temporal extent of the lattice, it is also advantageous to use meson operators that are irreducible under time reversal. Here, we focus on the spatial symmetries only. Incorporating the flavor and time-reversal symmetries is straightforward, and will be described in full in a forthcoming journal publication.

## Group theory

In simulations on a cubic spatial lattice, the energies of zero-momentum states are commonly classified according to the irreducible representations (irreps) of the octahedral group, denoted  $O_h$  in Schönflies notation. The zero-momentum irreducible representations are a subset of the irreps of the space group of the cubic lattice, which is the semi-direct product of  $O_h$  and the group of lattice translations  $\mathcal{T}$ . The translation group is Abelian. Hence, all of its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. They are characterized by a lattice momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}$  is invariant under the full space group. Therefore, the method of induced representations is applicable, and the full set of space-group irreps can be deduced from the irreducible representations of the little groups of

the allowed lattice momenta. The little group of the lattice momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  is the subgroup of lattice rotations which leaves  $\mathbf{p}$  invariant. For mesons at rest, the relevant little group is the octahedral group. In the continuum, the little group of non-zero momenta is  $C_{\infty v}$ .  $C_{nv}$  being the symmetry group of an  $n$ -sided regular pyramid. On the lattice, the little group depends on the orientation of the momentum with respect to the lattice axes. Hence, the little group of momenta along a lattice axis,  $(0,0,1)$ , for example, is  $C_{4v}$ ; for planar-diagonal momenta, in the direction  $(0,1,1)$ , say, the little group is  $C_{2v}$ ; and for momenta along a cubic diagonal, the little group is  $C_{3v}$ .

Periodic spatial boundary conditions are enforced in our simulations, and irreps for all lattice states with momenta satisfying  $|\mathbf{p}| \leq 4\pi/L$  can be induced from representations of the little groups listed above. The relevant little group for higher-momentum states moving in the  $(0,1,2)$ ,  $(1,1,2)$  and equivalent directions is  $C_2$ , the two-fold cyclic group. For the remainder of this report, we focus on states with momenta satisfying  $|\mathbf{p}| \leq 4\pi/L$ . While we expect multi-particle states involving pions with higher momenta to play a role in the low-lying spectrum in current lattice simulations, the symmetry properties of these states are generally trivial to deduce.

$C_{4v}$  has five single-valued irreducible representations, which we label  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and  $E$ . We follow the Mulliken naming convention, so  $A$  and  $B$  denote one-dimensional representations, and  $E$  is two-dimensional.  $C_{2v}$  has single-valued irreps  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , and the irreps of  $C_{3v}$  are  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $E$ . The double-valued little-group irreps are one- or two-dimensional. To be consistent with the usual labeling convention for zero-momentum double-valued irreps [5], we use  $G$  to denote two-dimensional representations, and  $F$  to denote one-dimensional fermionic irreps<sup>1</sup>.

In the continuum and infinite-volume limits, non-zero momentum irreps are labeled by the absolute value of the helicity. The continuum representations are two-dimensional except for two zero-helicity irreps. The relationship between the various lattice little-group irreps and their continuum counterparts and the irreps of  $O_h$  are determined by subduction. Table 1 contains the subduction of the irreps of  $O_h$  to  $C_{4v}$ ,  $C_{2v}$  and  $C_{3v}$ . The table tells us, for example, that a pion with momentum along a lattice axis transforms according to the  $A_2$  little-group irrep, and a vector meson, in the  $T_{1u}$  irrep at rest, can appear in the  $A_1$  (scalar) or  $E$  irrep, depending on whether the momentum is parallel or perpendicular to the direction of polarization.

### Single-hadron operator construction

Each space-group irrep is characterized by a set of lattice momenta closed under the action of  $O_h$ , and an irreducible representation of the corresponding little group. In practice, an operator basis for a space-group irrep is found by choosing a reference momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ , and constructing a set of basis operators for the little group of  $\mathbf{p}$ . The complete space-group basis is then obtained by applying a set of rotations which generate  $\mathbf{p}^*$ , the star of  $\mathbf{p}$ , to this initial operator set.

To construct little-irrep bases, we first identify gauge-invariant elemental operators with definite momenta and flavor quantum numbers. The elementals incorporate different gauge-covariant displacements, but their transformation properties under lattice rotations are straightforward to deduce. For example, the general expression for a meson elemental annihilation operator is

$$\phi_{ab}^{AB}(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \left( \tilde{\psi}_a^{(A)} \tilde{D}_i^{(n)\dagger} \right) (\mathbf{x}, t) \left( \tilde{D}_j^{(n)} \tilde{D}_k^{(n)} \tilde{\psi}_b^{(B)} \right) (\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (1)$$

<sup>1</sup>Our labeling convention differs from the convention in Ref. [6], for example

$\Lambda(O_h)$	$\downarrow C_{4v}$	$\downarrow C_{2v}$	$\downarrow C_{3v}$
$A_{1g}$	$A_1$	$A_1$	$A_1$
$A_{1u}$	$A_2$	$A_2$	$A_2$
$A_{2g}$	$B_1$	$B_2$	$A_2$
$A_{2u}$	$B_2$	$B_1$	$A_1$
$E_g$	$A_1 \oplus B_1$	$A_1 \oplus B_2$	$E$
$E_u$	$A_2 \oplus B_2$	$A_2 \oplus B_1$	$E$
$T_{1g}$	$A_2 \oplus E$	$A_2 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2$	$A_2 \oplus E$
$T_{1u}$	$A_1 \oplus E$	$A_1 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2$	$A_1 \oplus E$
$T_{2g}$	$B_2 \oplus E$	$A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus B_1$	$A_1 \oplus E$
$T_{2u}$	$B_1 \oplus E$	$A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus B_2$	$A_2 \oplus E$
$G_{1g/u}$	$G_1$	$G$	$G$
$G_{2g/u}$	$G_2$	$G$	$G$
$H_{g/u}$	$G_1 \oplus G_2$	$2G$	$F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus G$

**Table 1:** Subduction of the irreps of  $O_h$  onto the non-zero momentum little groups  $C_{4v}$ ,  $C_{2v}$ , and  $C_{3v}$ .

where  $a(b)$  and  $A(B)$  are spin and flavor indices, and  $\tilde{\psi}$  denotes a smeared quark field. The action of the gauge-covariant displacement operators is given by

$$\tilde{D}_k^{(n)} \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \tilde{U}_k(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdots \tilde{U}_k(\mathbf{x} + (n-1)\hat{k}, t) \phi(\mathbf{x} + n\hat{k}, t), \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{U}$  is a (stout-)smeared link variable.

Under the action of the element  $R$  of the little group of  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\phi_\alpha(t, \mathbf{p})$  goes to


$$U_R \phi_\alpha(t, \mathbf{p}) U_R^\dagger = \sum_{\beta=1}^M \phi_\beta(t, \mathbf{p}) W_{\beta\alpha}(R)^*. \quad (3)$$

The subset of  $N$  elementals  $\{\phi\}$  therefore generates a representation of the lattice little group, with matrices  $W$ , which is in general reducible. Basis operators for the constituent irreps are formed from linear combinations of these elementals as follows. First, given explicit representation matrices for the irrep  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma^{(\Lambda)}$ , we define the  $N \times N$  projection matrix

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda\lambda} = \frac{d_\Lambda}{n_{G_{\mathbf{p}}^D}} \sum_{R \in G_{\mathbf{p}}^D} \Gamma_{\lambda\lambda}^{(\Lambda)}(R) W_{\beta\alpha}(R)^*, \quad (4)$$

where  $\lambda$  labels a row of the  $\Lambda$  irrep and  $d_\Lambda$  is the dimension of the irrep.  $n_{G_{\mathbf{p}}^D}$  is the order of the group  $G_{\mathbf{p}}^D$ , the double cover of the little group of  $\mathbf{p}$ . If the irrep  $\Lambda$  appears in the elemental representation  $W$ , and the elements of row  $\alpha$  of  $P^{\Lambda\lambda}$  are not all zero, the linear superposition of elementals

$$O_{P_\alpha}^{\Lambda\lambda}(t, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\beta=1}^N P_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda\lambda} \phi_\beta(t, \mathbf{p}) \quad (5)$$



**Figure 1:** Representation of the spatial paths used in the meson elemental operators. All five displacement types are used in the construction of zero-momentum basis operators. Only single-site (SS) and singly-displaced (SD) operators are used in the non-zero momentum channels.

transforms according to the row  $\lambda$  of the irrep  $\Lambda$ . The rank of  $P^{\Lambda\lambda}$  is equal to the multiplicity of  $\Lambda$  in  $W$ . Starting with the operator for row  $\lambda$ , the other operators in the little-irrep basis are given by

$$O_{P_\alpha}^{\Lambda\mu}(t, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{d_\Lambda}{n_{G_\mathbf{p}^D}} \sum_{R \in G_\mathbf{p}^D} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{(\Lambda)}(R)^* U_R O_{P_\alpha}^{\Lambda\lambda}(t, \mathbf{p}) U_R^\dagger. \quad (6)$$

When constructing space-group operators, neither the reference momentum,  $\mathbf{p}$ , nor the rotations used to generate  $\mathbf{p}^*$  are specified uniquely. However, a different choice of reference momentum or star rotations simply amounts to a change of basis, and is of no physical consequence.

### Single-hadron operator selection


In order to project onto different hadronic excitations, a number of spatial-displacement combinations are used in the operator sets. Fig. 1 shows the set of meson-elemental displacements currently in use. Of these, only the single-site and singly-displaced paths have been used in the non-zero momentum single-hadron operators to date. However, we are primarily interested in the lightest finite-momentum states, so we expect the simplest of the displaced interpolators to suffice.

The operator-construction algorithm described above is readily automated, and one can easily generate a large number (in some of the zero-momentum irreps, hundreds or even thousands) of irreducible operators. It is therefore essential to apply a pruning procedure to the candidate operator sets to identify manageable subsets of clean operators that couple strongly to the lowest-lying states in each symmetry channel. The pruning consists of low-statistics analyses of the spectrum in each of the symmetry channels. First, the noisiest of the interpolators are identified and discarded. Cross-correlations between the remaining operators are examined in order to identify a subset of interpolators which couples well to a number of different states.

Effective masses obtained from pruned operators in a non-zero momentum isoscalar meson channel can be seen in Fig. 2. These measurements were performed on approximately 100 configurations on a  $(1.9\text{fm})^3$  spatial lattice. These results correspond to the minimum allowed on-axis momenta.

### Multi-hadron states

To form multi-hadron operators, representation matrices for the space-group operator bases are needed. Matrices for non-zero momentum irreps are obtained as follows. Having chosen a reference momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ , and explicit bases for the little-group irreps  $\{\Lambda\}$  of  $\mathbf{p}$ , with matrices  $\Gamma^{(\Lambda)}$ ,



**Figure 2:** Lowest-lying effective masses in an isoscalar meson irrep containing states with the minimum on-axis lattice momenta. Effective masses were computed using pruned single-meson operators on approximately 100 configurations on a  $(1.9\text{fm})^3$  spatial lattice. The pion mass on these configurations is approximately 400 MeV. Only single-site and singly-displaced operators were used. This particular lattice irrep is relevant for the  $\eta$  meson.

say, one identifies a subset of lattice rotations to generate  $\mathbf{p}^*$ , which we label  $R^*$ . The components of the induced representation matrices are

$$\Gamma_{(\alpha, \mathbf{p}_1), (\beta, \mathbf{p}_2)}^{(\Lambda, \mathbf{p}^*)}(R) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(\Lambda)}(R_2^{-1}RR_1); & \mathbf{p}_2 = R\mathbf{p}_1 \\ 0; & \mathbf{p}_2 \neq R\mathbf{p}_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are momenta in  $\mathbf{p}^*$ , and  $R_i$  is the rotation in  $R^*$  that takes the reference momentum  $\mathbf{p}$  to  $\mathbf{p}_i$ . Hence if  $\mathbf{p}_2 = R\mathbf{p}_1$ ,  $R_2^{-1}RR_1$  is a rotation in the little group of  $\mathbf{p}$ .

The procedure for constructing irreducible multi-hadron operators by combining irreducible single-particle operators is similar to the method used to construct single-hadron operators described previously. The direct products of single-particle irreps form (generally reducible) representations of the space group. These representations can be written in block-diagonal form, each block corresponding to a different relative orientation of the constituent single-particle momenta. To make two-particle operators for the rest spectrum, we need only consider the block with back-to-back single-hadron momenta, which itself forms a representation of  $O_h$ . Here, we use the notation  $[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*]$  to denote this representation, where  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are irreps of the little group of  $\mathbf{p}^*$ , the star of the single-particle momenta. The dimension of this two-particle representation is given by the product of the dimensions of  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ , times the dimension of  $\mathbf{p}^*$ .

Explicitly, the matrices corresponding to the zero-momentum block of the direct-product representations are

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*)}(R) = \left[ \Gamma_{(\alpha_1, \mathbf{p}), (\beta_1, \mathbf{p}')}^{(\Lambda_1; \mathbf{p}^*)}(R) \right] \left[ \Gamma_{(\alpha_2, -\mathbf{p}), (\beta_2, -\mathbf{p}')}^{(\Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*)}(R) \right], \quad (7)$$

where, on the left-hand side, we use  $\alpha, \beta$  to denote the composite row and column indices  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{p})$  and  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \mathbf{p}')$ . In analogy to Eq. 4, we can define a projection matrix

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda\lambda} = \frac{d_\Lambda}{n_{O_h^D}} \sum_{R \in O_h^D} \Gamma_{\lambda\lambda}^{(\Lambda)}(R) M_{\beta\alpha}^{(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*)}(R)^*. \quad (8)$$

Hence, if  $\Lambda$  appears in the decomposition of  $[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*]$ , and given the single-particle irreducible bases  $\{O^{(\Lambda_1)}\}, \{O^{(\Lambda_2)}\}$ , the composite operator

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{\Lambda\lambda} O_{\beta_1}^{(\Lambda_1)}(t, \mathbf{p}') O_{\beta_2}^{(\Lambda_2)}(t, -\mathbf{p}') \quad (9)$$

(summation over  $\beta$ , i.e.,  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  and  $\mathbf{p}'$ , implied) has zero net momentum, and transforms according to the row  $\lambda$  of the octahedral-group irrep  $\Lambda$ . The multiplicity of the irrep  $\Lambda$  in the decomposition of  $[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \mathbf{p}^*]$  is given by the rank of the projection matrix  $P^{\Lambda\lambda}$ , which is simply the trace of  $P^{\Lambda\lambda}$ . Averaging over all rows in  $\Lambda$  gives the multiplicity in terms of the characters of  $\Lambda$  and the little-group irreps:

$$n_\Lambda = \frac{1}{n_{G_p^D}} \sum_{R \in G_p^D} \left\{ \chi^{(\Lambda_1)}(R) \chi^{(\Lambda_2)}(R) \chi^{(\Lambda)*}(R) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Using this formula, one finds, for example, that the six-dimensional representation  $[A_2, A_2; (0, 0, 1)^*]$ , relevant for two pions with on-axis momenta, decomposes into  $A_{1g} \oplus E_g \oplus T_{1u}$ , and the twelve-dimensional pion-nucleon representation  $[A_2, G_1; (0, 0, 1)^*]$  contains the  $G_{1g}, G_{1u}, H_g, H_u$  irreps of  $O_h$ .

### Work in progress

To date, we have pruned single-hadron operators for all light-baryon, isovector-meson, isoscalar-meson, and kaon zero-momentum and non-zero momentum irreps. Two-particle coefficients for all zero-momentum irreps have been computed, and measurements of the single-hadron constituent components have been performed on lattices with estimated  $(3\text{fm})^3$  and  $(4\text{fm})^3$  spatial volumes and a pion mass of approximately 240 MeV. Results for the stationary-state spectra on these lattices will be presented in the near future.

This work was supported by the U.S. NSF under awards PHY-0510020, PHY-0653315, PHY-0704171, PHY-0969863, and PHY-0970137, and through TeraGrid/XSEDE resources provided by the Pittsburgh Supercomputer Center, the Texas Advanced Computing Center, and the National Institute for Computational Sciences under grant numbers TG-PHY100027 and TG-MCA075017.

### References

- [1] C. Morningstar et al., Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 114505
- [2] M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, Nucl.Phys. B339 (1990) 222-252
- [3] S. Basak et al., Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 094506
- [4] S. Basak et al., Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 074501
- [5] R. C. Johnson, Phys. Lett. B114 (1982)
- [6] D. Moore and G. Fleming., Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 014504, Erratum-ibid. D74 (2006) 079905