WIT2012 Workshop on Intelligent Trackers





A Self Seeded First Level Track Trigger for ATLAS

André Schöning

for the ATLAS collaboration



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



Institute of Physics, University Heidelberg

André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

WIT2012, Pisa, April 3-5, 2012

Motivation

• Today, no clear picture about full spectrum of physics analysis at Phase II (L=5·10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹, Year>2022)

Need to design a robust and flexible L1 Trigger system that can cope with the unexpected, i.e. with enough redundancy

• Many of the scenarios we can think of today involve objects at (or near) the electroweak scale



André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

Rate Reduction using Track Cluster Match

Using track-cluster matching in E_T / p_T , a rate reduction of up to a factor ~10 can be achieved



Challenges of L1 Track Trigger

Challenges

O(10⁷) channels in strip detectors O(10⁸) channels in pixel detectors O(5000) central tracks per collision at LHC phase II O(10) Tbit/s data in tracker central region

Simplifications → **Data Reduction**

- only (selected layers of) strip detectors
- reduce data rate by:

 - * kinematical filtering \rightarrow Self Seeded Track Trigger

Trigger Bandwidth Solutions

two baseline concepts for L1 Track Trigger in ATLAS:

"Region of Interest"

- spatial cluster filter
- external trigger information (calo, muon, ...)
- new level L0 trigger required
- all tracks in regions



Double Frontend Buffer → talk D.Wardrope

André Schöning, Heidelberg Pl

"Self Seeded Track Trigger"

- momentum filter of clusters
- cluster size + local coincidence
- special HW design required
- all high p_T tracks



Utopia Geometry



studies only for central region

ATLAS Utopia Strip Layer Design for Phase II



Double strip layers

- gap 7.35 mm
- tilted by 10 (16) degrees
- 80 µm pitch
- stereo angle (standard)
- no stereo angle for track trigger



Pixel + Strip Sensor Layers

Long Strips ($\Delta z=10cm$)

Short Strips ($\Delta z=2.5cm$)

Pixel (not used)



Layer combinations studied for track trigger:

- #0, #1, #2 (only short strips)
- #3, #4 (only long strips)
- #2, #3, #4 (mixed, outer layers)

Questions addressed

• Study of high p_{τ} local filter algorithms (Frontend)

- cluster size filter algorithm
- "offset method"

Best number of silicon double layers for triggers (2,3,4,...)?

Best layer combinations?

study combinations "012", "34", "234"

Performance:

- data reduction versus p_r-threshold
- data reduction versus track finding efficiency

Cluster Size Filter

Due to the rectangular strip geometry several strips collect charge if low momentum tracks are bent in the magnetic field



Complication: strip layers are tilted (10 degrees)

André Schöning, Heidelberg Pl

Results Cluster Method (layer #0)





THEIT

muons percentage of hits lustersize 100 2 80 6 7 8+ 60 40 20 TLAS Montecarlo 0 400 50k 125 150 200 250 300 600 800 1k 2k 10k pT [MeV]

keep clusters with 1 or 2 hits



WIT2012, Pisa, April 3-5, 2012

André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

11

Coincidence "Offset" Method



• can be combined in a single step by defining acceptance windows

Muon Momentum Selectivity (layer #0)



Good momentum discrimination!

Possible Hardware Realisation



Fast Clustering Block → M.Newcomer

André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

Simulation

GEANT4: ATLAS modified Utopia layout

Strip Sensors

- tilt angle 10 degrees
- no stereo angle
- Minimum Bias Events (PYTHIA) with 50, 100, 200, 400 events

Signal tracks:

- high p_{τ} muons implanted in Minimum Bias events
- Chi² fit simulates track trigger processor (varied Chi² cut)
 - trigger rate calculation
- Matching with truth information
 - efficiency calculation
 - purity calculation

Rejection as Function of p_TThreshold



• most tracks (at low p_{T}) are rejected already with a low p_{T} threshold

- rejection power higher if cluster size and offset cut are used
- rejection power affected by high pileup

e, μ , π^{\pm} Rejection (single particle)



Secondary Interactions



Source of (low momentum) background

André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

Performance of Detector Filters

pileup 100 minimum bias (Pythia)
p_T>10 GeV (offset)



offset cut only

		27-153 degrees	40-140 degrees		
	# hits (layer)	# hits (SS 3 accept.)	# hits (LS 2 accept.)		
SS 1:	6.4%	4.3%	2.8%		
SS 2:	5.5%	4.7%	2.9%		
SS 3:	5.1%	5.1%	3.4%		
LS 1:	8.0%	8.0%	6.2%		
LS 2:	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%		

Reduction factors of:15-30 on short strip layers~15 on long strip layers

André Schöning, Heidelberg Pl

Performance of Detector Filters

pileup 100 minimum bias (Pythia)
p_T>10 GeV (offset)



cluster+offset cut

		27-153 degrees	40-140 degrees		
	# hits (layer)	# hits (SS 3 accept.)	# hits (LS 2 accept.)		
SS 1:	4.0%	3.7%	1.7%		
SS 2:	3.4%	2.9%	1.8%		
SS 3:	3.2%	3.2%	2.1%		
LS 1:	4.5%	4.5%	3.5%		
LS 2:	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%		

Reduction factors of:25-50 on short strip layers~25 on long strip layers

Simulation of Full Track Trigger

- Local hit filtering (cluster size + offset method)
- Link hits in all used layers (no redundancy)



Hardware Implementation:

fast lookups using next generation of associative memory chips $(\rightarrow 3D)$



Track Efficiency vs Track Rate

cluster size + offset cut p_⊤>10 GeV

3 double layers give sufficient low rate



André Schöning, Heidelberg PI

WIT2012, Pisa, April 3-5, 2012

Track Efficiency vs Purity

cluster size + offset cut p₇>10 GeV

3 double layers good purity



André Schöning, Heidelberg Pl

23

WIT2012, Pisa, April 3-5, 2012

Track Efficiency vs Purity

only offset cut p_⊤>10 GeV →higher efficiency w/o cluster size cut



André Schöning, Heidelberg Pl

Parameter Studies

Choose chi² cut which maximises product: *efficiency*² * *purity*

ATLAS Monte	Carlo	with cluster size cut			
p_t threshold	layer set	efficiency	purity	rate	χ^2 -cut
	0/1/2	0.726	0.468	0.507	12.0
10.0	2/3/4	0.656	0.551	0.231	12.0
	3/4	0.720	0.026	5.349	6.0
	0/1/2	0.743	0.309	0.097	10.0
15.0	2/3/4	0.640	0.750	0.029	10.0
	3/4	0.746	0.006	3.312	6.0

For p_{τ} threshold of 15 GeV rates of "only" 0.1 tracks/event

Analysis of Efficiency Losses

Set #012 (short strips)

single hit efficiency ~98% in six layers \rightarrow ~12% loss cluster size cut ~1% per layer inefficiency of offset method ~0.4% \rightarrow ~1.2% loss inefficiency track fit

- \rightarrow ~6% loss
 - \rightarrow >1% loss

filtering algorithms affected by high pileup by up to 5%

Higher efficiency >95% possible be adding **more redundancy**:

- e.g. requiring 2x3 hits out of four double layers



more studies required

Summary

- Design of a Self-Seeded First Level Track Trigger studies
- Local filtering algorithms: cluster size and coincidence
- At least 3 double layers for reasonable purity and trigger rate
- Design with more redundancy (4 double layers) would improve track efficiency

 Self Seeded Track Trigger at ATLAS possible with "minor" design changes of the Utopia design (no stereo angle, frontend electronics)