# First observation of two hyperfine transitions in antiprotonic  ${}^{3}$ He

S.Friedreich<sup>a</sup>, D. Barna<sup>b,c</sup>, F. Caspers<sup>d</sup>, A. Dax<sup>b</sup>, R.S. Hayano<sup>b</sup>, M. Hori<sup>b,e</sup>, D. Horváth<sup>c,f</sup>, B. Juhász<sup>a</sup>, T. Kobayashi<sup>b</sup>, O. Massiczek<sup>a</sup>, A. Sótér<sup>e</sup>, K. Todoroki<sup>b</sup>, E. Widmann<sup>a</sup>, J. Zmeskal<sup>a</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Boltzmanngasse 3, A-1090 Vienna, Austria*

*<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

*<sup>c</sup>KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, H-1525 Budapest, PO Box 49, Hungary*

*<sup>d</sup>CERN, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland*

<sup>e</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Strasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany *f Institute of Nuclear Research of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4001 Debrecen, PO Box 51, Hungary*

#### Abstract

We report on the first experimental results for microwave spectroscopy of the hyperfine structure of  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup>. Due to the helium nuclear spin,  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> has a more complex hyperfine structure than  $\bar{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>, which has already been studied before. Thus a comparison between theoretical calculations and the experimental results will provide a more stringent test of the three-body quantum electrodynamics (QED) theory. Two out of four super-super-hyperfine (SSHF) transition lines of the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  state were observed. The measured frequencies of the individual transitions are <sup>11</sup>.12559(14) GHz and 11.15839(18) GHz, less than 1 MHz higher than the current theoretical values, but still within their estimated errors. Although the experimental uncertainty for the difference of these frequencies is still very large as compared to that of theory, its measured value agrees with theoretical calculations. This difference is crucial to be determined because it is proportional to the magnetic moment of the antiproton.

*Keywords:* antiprotonic helium, microwave spectroscopy, hyperfine structure, three-body QED *PACS:* 36.10.-k, 32.10.Fn, 33.40.+f

#### 1. Introduction

It was observed for the first time at KEK in Japan in <sup>2</sup> 1991 [\[1\]](#page-7-0) that antiprotons stopped in helium can survive <sup>3</sup> for several microseconds.

 If an antiproton is approaching a helium atom at its ionization energy (24.6 eV) or below, the antiproton can eject one of the two electrons from the ground state of the helium atom, replace it and thus get captured. This exotic, metastable three-body *antiprotonic helium*, i.e. <sup>9</sup>  $\bar{p}$ He<sup>+</sup>, consists of one electron in the ground state, the helium nucleus and the antiproton [\[2](#page-7-1)[–4\]](#page-7-2). The atoms oc- cupy circular states with *L* close to *n*, where *L* is the an- gular momentum quantum number and *n* the principal quantum number. The electron remains in the ground 14 state. The antiproton is, due to its high mass, most likely <sup>15</sup> to be captured into states with high angular momentum, <sup>16</sup> i.e.  $n = n_0 \equiv \sqrt{M^*/m_e} \sim 38$ ,  $M^*$  being the reduced mass of the system.

 About 97% of these exotic atoms find themselves in states dominated by Auger decay and ionize within a few nanoseconds because of the Auger excitation of the electron. Afterwards, the remaining antiprotonic helium ion undergoes Stark mixing due to the electric field of the surrounding helium atoms. The antiprotons then an- nihilate within picoseconds with one of the nucleons of the helium nucleus because of the overlap of their wave functions.

<sup>27</sup> Only 3% of the antiprotonic helium atoms remain in <sup>28</sup> metastable, radiative decay-dominated states. In this <sup>29</sup> case, the change of orbital angular momentum in the <sup>30</sup> Auger transition is large and thus Auger decay is sup-31 pressed. Consequently, these states are relatively long  $\frac{32}{2}$  lived, having a lifetime of about 1-2  $\mu$ s. This time window can be used to do microwave spectroscopy mea-34 surements.

# 2. Hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium

*Email address:* susanne.friedreich@oeaw.ac.at (S.Friedreich)

<sup>35</sup> The interaction of the magnetic moments of its constituting particles gives rise to a splitting of the  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> 36

*Preprint submitted to Physics Letters B January 13, 2013*

energy levels. The coupling of the electron spin  $\vec{S}_e$  37 and the orbital angular momentum of the antiproton *L* and the orbital angular momentum of the antiproton *L* <sup>39</sup> leads to the primary splitting of the state into a doublet <sup>40</sup> structure, referred to as *hyperfine (HF) splitting*. The quantum number  $\vec{F} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}_e$  defines the two substates<br>as  $F_+ = L + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $F_- = L - \frac{1}{2}$ . The non-zero spin of the  $\frac{42}{10}$  as  $F_+ = L + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $F_- = L - \frac{1}{2}$ . The non-zero spin of the <sup>3</sup>He nucleus causes a further, so-called *super-hyperfine* <sup>44</sup> *(SHF) splitting*, which can be characterized by the quantum number  $\vec{G} = \vec{F} + \vec{S}_h = \vec{L} + \vec{S}_e + \vec{S}_h$ , where  $\vec{S}_h$ <br>46 is the spin of the helium nucleus. This results in four is the spin of the helium nucleus. This results in four <sup>47</sup> SHF substates. At last, the spin-orbit interaction of the <sup>48</sup> antiproton orbital angular momentum and antiproton  $\frac{s_{\text{sp}}}{s_{\text{p}}}$  in combination with the contact spin-spin and <sup>50</sup> the tensor spin-spin interactions between the particles <sup>51</sup> result in a further splitting of the SHF states into eight  $52$  substates – as illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) –, which we call <sup>53</sup> *super-super-hyperfine (SSHF) splitting*. This octuplet <sup>54</sup> structure can be described by the quantum number  $J = G + S_{\bar{p}} = L + S_{\bar{e}} + S_{\bar{h}} + S_{\bar{p}}$ . Even though the mag-<sup>56</sup> netic moment of the antiproton is larger than that of the  $57<sup>3</sup>$  He nucleus, the former has a smaller overlap with the <sup>58</sup> electron cloud. Therefore, it creates a smaller splitting. <sub>50</sub>

60 In  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>, however, where the <sup>4</sup>He nucleus has <sup>61</sup> zero spin, only a quadruplet structure is present. <sup>62</sup> The hyperfine structure of the  $(n, L) = (37, 35)$ <br><sup>63</sup> state of  $\overline{D}^4He^+$  was already extensively studied. ss state of  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup> was already extensively studied. <sup>64</sup> Through comparison of the experimental results to <sup>107</sup> <sup>65</sup> state-of-the-art three-body QED calculations a new <sup>66</sup> experimental value for the spin magnetic moment of <sup>67</sup> the antiproton was obtained as  $\mu_s^{\bar{p}} = 2.7862(83)\mu_N$  [\[5\]](#page-7-3),  $\epsilon_{\text{69}}$  where  $\mu_{\text{N}}$  is the nuclear magneton. This is more precise than the previous measurement by Kreissl et <sup>70</sup> al. [\[6\]](#page-7-4). The agreement with  $\mu_s^p$  was within 0.24% [\[7,](#page-7-5) [8\]](#page-7-6). 71

72 New microwave spectroscopy measurements with 115  $\overline{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> were started, studying the state  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$ .<br>It was the first attempt to measure the microwave tran-<sup>74</sup> It was the first attempt to measure the microwave tran- $75$  sition frequencies of antiprotonic  ${}^{3}$ He. Transitions be-<sup>76</sup> tween the SSHF states can be induced by a magnetic  $77$  field oscillating in the microwave frequency range. Due  $120$  $78$  to technical limitations of the microwave input power,  $121$ 79 only the transitions which flip the spin of the electron 122 80 can be measured. There are four such "allowed" SSHF 123 transitions for the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  state of  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup>, of 82 which we report on two:

$$
v_{\text{HF}}^{-}: J^{--} = L - \frac{3}{2} \longrightarrow J^{+-} = L - \frac{1}{2}
$$
  

$$
v_{\text{HF}}^{-+}: J^{-+} = L - \frac{1}{2} \longrightarrow J^{+-} = L + \frac{1}{2} \qquad (1)
$$

<sup>83</sup> The interest in  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> arose from its more complex <sup>84</sup> structure due to the additional coupling of the nuclear 85 spin with the antiproton orbital momentum. Such a measurement will allow a more rigorous test of the-87 ory. The theoretical calculations have been developed 88 by two different groups [\[9–](#page-7-7)[11\]](#page-7-8). The hyperfine structure <sup>89</sup> for  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> has been calculated by V. Korobov [\[12\]](#page-7-9) with <sup>90</sup> the most accurate variational wave functions.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>3. Laser-microwave-laser spectroscopy

<sup>91</sup> The experimental technique is a three-step pro-<sup>92</sup> cess, referred to as *laser-microwave-laser spectroscopy* <sup>93</sup> (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

94 After antiprotonic helium is formed, the atoms <sup>95</sup> in the hyperfine substates are all equally populated. <sup>96</sup> Therefore at first a population asymmetry between 97 the SSHF states of the measured radiative decay state 98  $(n, L)$  needs to be created. This depopulation is induced<br>99 by a short laser pulse, which transfers the majority by a short laser pulse, which transfers the majority of the antiprotons from one of the HF states of the <sup>101</sup> radiative decay-dominated, metastable parent state <sup>102</sup> to the Auger decay-dominated, short-lived daughter  $103$  state ( $f^+$  transition in Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The bandwidth of the <sup>104</sup> laser is narrow enough so that the *f*<sup>−</sup> transition is not <sup>105</sup> excited. Thus the antiprotons in the other HF state are not affected, which results in the desired population asymmetry. The antiprotons in the short-lived daughter state annihilate within a few nanoseconds. Afterwards, a microwave frequency pulse, tuned around the transition frequency between two SSHF  $(\bar{p}^3He^+)$  substates This is more  $111$  of the parent state, is applied to the antiprotonic helium atoms. If the microwave field is on resonance with one of the SSHF transitions, this will cause a <sup>114</sup> population transfer and thus a partial refilling of one of the previously depopulated states. Then, a second laser pulse is applied again to the same transition  $(f<sup>+</sup>)$  as before, which will again result in subsequent <sup>118</sup> Auger decay of the transferred atoms and annihilation of the antiprotons. Thus the number of annihilations after the second laser pulse will be larger if more antiprotons were transferred by the microwave pulse.

> The annihilation decay products  $-$  primarily charged pions, but also electrons and positrons – resulting from <sup>125</sup> the decay of the daughter state after the two laser pulses, <sup>126</sup> are detected by two Cherenkov counters (see Section [4\)](#page-3-0).

> Prior to the first laser-induced population transfer a large annihilation peak (prompt) is caused by the  $_{129}$  majority of  $\overline{p}He^+$  atoms which find themselves in Auger decay-dominated states and annihilate within picoseconds after formation. At later times, this peak

 exhibits an exponential tail due to  $\overline{p}He^+$  atoms in the metastable states cascading more slowly towards the nucleus. This constitutes the background for the laser-induced annihilation signals. As mentioned above, the daughter state has a very short lifetime of ∼10 ns and thus the population transfer is indicated by a sharp annihilation peak against the background during the two laser pulses. The area under these peaks is proportional to the population transferred to the Auger decay-dominated state. This spectrum is called an *ana- logue delayed annihilation time spectrum* or ADATS. The spectrum with the two laser-induced peaks super- imposed on the exponential tail is displayed in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1) 145

146 Since the intensity of the antiproton pulse fluctuates from shot to shot, the peaks must be normalised by the total intensity of the pulse (total). This ratio is referred to as *peak-to-total*. The peak-to-total (ptt) corresponds 150 to the ratio of the peak area  $(I(t_1)$  or  $I(t_2)$ ) to the to- tal area under the full spectrum (see Fig. [2.](#page-2-1) If the sec- ond laser annihilation peak is further normalised to the first one, the total cancels out. The frequencies of the two SSHF transitions can now be obtained as distinct <sup>155</sup> lines by plotting  $\frac{I(t_2)}{I(t_1)}$  as a function of the microwave fre-<sup>156</sup> quency. The ratio  $\frac{I(t_2)}{I(t_1)}$  is largely independent of the in- tensity and position of the antiproton beam. The height of the microwave spectrum lines depends on the time delay between the two laser pulses and collisional re- laxation effects which are estimated to be 1 MHz at  $161 \quad 6 \text{ K}$  [\[13\]](#page-7-10).

<sup>162</sup> For these measurements the two pulsed lasers were <sup>163</sup> fixed to a wavelength of 723.877 nm (see Section [5\)](#page-4-0), with a pulse length of 10-12 ns, to induce the  $f^+$ 164 <sup>165</sup> laser transition between the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  and the  $(n', L') = (37, 33)$  state. The laser fluence was in the <sup>166</sup>  $(n', L') = (37, 33)$  state. The laser fluence was in the range of 20-40 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> the laser waist  $\approx$  5 mm lead-<sup>167</sup> range of 20-40 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>, the laser waist ∼5 mm, lead-<br><sup>167</sup> ing to a depletion efficiency of about 50%. There are 168 ing to a depletion efficiency of about 50%. There are <sup>169</sup> several limitations to the choice of the measured state, <sup>170</sup> such as availability of a laser source in the required <sup>171</sup> frequency range or the splitting of the transitions be-<sup>172</sup> tween the HF states of the daughter and the parent state. 173 The laser transition between the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  and<br>174 the  $(n', L') = (37, 33)$  state was chosen because it is the  $(n', L') = (37, 33)$  state was chosen because it is<br>easily stimulated and the primary population is large <sup>175</sup> easily stimulated and the primary population is large, <sup>176</sup> thus leading to a large signal. The captured fraction of 177 antiprotons for the measured metastable state  $(n, L) =$ <br>178 (36.34) is  $(3-4) \times 10^{-3}$  [15]. 178 (36, 34) is  $(3-4)\times10^{-3}$  [\[15\]](#page-7-11).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Auger decaying daughter state



Figure 1: A schematic drawing of the laser-microwave-laser method. The dashed arrows indicate the laser transitions between the SHF levels of the radiative decay-dominated state  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  and the Auger decay-dominated state  $(n, L)$  $(37, 33)$  of  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup>. The wavy lines illustrate the microwave-<br>induced transitions between the SSHE levels of the long-lived induced transitions between the SSHF levels of the long-lived state.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

Figure 2: Part of the analog delayed annihilation time spectrum (ADATS) with the two laser-stimulated annihilation peaks against the exponentially decaying background of the metastable cascade. *T* denotes the delay time between the two laser pulses. The photomultipliers are gated off during the initial  $\bar{p}$  pulse arrival [\[14\]](#page-7-12). Thus the prompt peak is cut off and only the annihilations due to the metastable state depopulation are recorded.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>4. Experimental setup

<sub>179</sub> The antiprotons for the experiment were provided by the AD (Antiproton Decelerator) at CERN [\[16\]](#page-7-13), with 181 a pulsed beam of  $(1-3)\times10^7$  antiprotons at an energy of 5.3 MeV and a repetition rate of about 100 s. The particles were stopped in a helium gas target, cooled down to a temperature of about 6 K. The usual gas pressure was in the range of 150-500 mbar. The gas target was a cylindrical chamber, which also acted as a microwave resonance cavity. In order to measure the annihilation decay products two Cherenkov counters were mounted around the target volume. The result- ing photons are detected by photomultipliers, which 191 are gated off during the initial  $\bar{p}$  pulse arrival [\[14\]](#page-7-12). The microwave pulse was synthesized by a vector network analyzer (VNA, Rhode & Schwarz ZVB20) and amplified by a traveling wave tube amplifier (TWTA, TMD PTC6358). A waveguide system then 196 transmitted the microwave pulse of ∼20  $\mu$ s to the cavity.<br><sup>197</sup> Fig. 3 gives an overview of the central part of the setup. Fig. [3](#page-4-1) gives an overview of the central part of the setup. 10<sup>8</sup> 

 The experimental method and the general design of 200 the setup were the same as for  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup> [\[17\]](#page-7-14). However, a new cryostat with compressor-based cooling system was built, which led to improvements of the operation and more efficient use of the measurement time. The microwave cavity was now cooled directly by mounting it on a coldhead. Only the cavity was filled with the helium gas and by means of the coldhead cooled down to about 6 K. Liquid nitrogen and liquid helium were no longer needed for the cooling process. The temperature stabilization was much faster compared to the old system. The cryostat could be operated continuously and thus saved ∼10% of beamtime pre- viously needed for refilling of the cryogenic coolants. 

 Out of the four "allowed" SSHF transitions of the <sup>215</sup> state  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  of  $\bar{p}^3He^+$ , two of them lie within 32 MHz from each other. Therefore, these two transitions can be measured with a single cavity with a resonance frequency of 11.14 GHz, which is in the middle between the two SSHF transition frequencies. For the other two transitions another cavity with a reso- nance frequency of 16.13 GHz will be used in the future. 

 The cavity was built of brass, which has proven to be the optimum combination of machinability and thermal conductivity and is further non-magnetic. The central frequency of a cylindrical microwave cavity  $_{227}$  is defined by its dimensions – length *l* and radius *r*. The cavity used for the measurements of the 11 GHz

 transitions has a radius of 16.19 mm and a length of 26.16 mm. It is oriented in a way that the antiproton beam and the laser beam enter along the axis of the cavity. Two stainless steel meshes (transmissibility  $2^{33}$  >90%, 250  $\mu$ m thick, wire thickness 0.05 mm, wire distance  $0.75$  mm) on both faces of the cylinder confine the radio-frequency field inside the cavity, still allowing the laser and the antiprotons to enter the target.

238 • Radius and length – and their ratio  $(\frac{2r}{l})^2$  – also determine which field modes can resonate inside the cavity and at which frequency. For this experiment <sup>241</sup> the transverse magnetic mode  $TM_{110}$  for a cylindrical cavity has been chosen, which is parallel to the axis of the cylindrical cavity and independent of the cavity length, i.e. on the direction of the antiproton beam. It is useful to have a homogeneous field over a large range in the direction of the antiproton beam in order to be less sensitive to the stopping region of the antiprotons in the gas. It is also desirable that the field distribution is uni- form over the region where the laser is applied – which is usually smaller than the diameter of the slowed down antiproton beam to achieve sufficient laser power density. Further, the size of the homogeneous resonating field in transverse direction to the beam should be com-parable to the stopping distribution of the antiprotons.

 A broad resonance of the cavity is necessary to allow scanning over a large enough frequency range in order to measure both SSHF transitions with the same cavity at an equal power level [\[17\]](#page-7-14). The field is measured with a small (∼2 mm) pin antenna opposite to the waveg- uide input. Thus the power in the cavity was monitored and the input power adjusted for every frequency point, with a power fluctuation of ∼16% over the frequency range. The only drawback is that considerably high input power, i.e. up to 200 W, might be required.

To obtain a sufficiently broad resonance with a FWHM of at least 100 MHz the cavity is over-coupled to the waveguide system through an iris, a rectangular aperture in the cavity. The iris of the 11 GHz target has a size of  $7\times8$  mm, the longer side in radial direc- tion. This way the resonance width of the cavity was  $272 \sim 140$  MHz. These are fixed parameters of the setup. When designing the target, the width of the resonance can be optimized by changing the iris dimensions – and consequently also the central frequency shifts, which can be readjusted by changing the radius. The length <sub>277</sub> may also have to be adapted in order to exclude interfer- ences with other field modes. In particular the iris size is crucial for a successful measurement since it may cause polarization degeneration of the mode in the cavity. The

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Figure 3: Drawing of the central part of the experimental setup, a cross-section of the cryostat.

<sup>281</sup> whole microwave part of the setup was designed using <sup>282</sup> the High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) Soft-<sup>283</sup> ware [\[18\]](#page-7-15).

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>5. Results

<sup>284</sup> First, a scan over the laser frequency range was done <sup>285</sup> to determine the frequency offset and the splitting of the <sup>286</sup> two HF lines (see Fig. [4\)](#page-4-2) to ensure that only one of the <sup>287</sup> two hyperfine levels of the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  state is de-<br><sup>288</sup> populated by laser stimulation. populated by laser stimulation.

289 The splitting is  $\Delta f = 1.72 \pm 0.03$  GHz, similar to the transition at ~726.090 nm in  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>, with a splitting 290 the transition at ~726.090 nm in  $\bar{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>, with a splitting <sup>291</sup> of  $\Delta f = 1.75 \pm 0.01$  GHz. Due to the different SSHF<br><sup>292</sup> energy level spacings, one of the laser transition peaks energy level spacings, one of the laser transition peaks has a lower amplitude and larger width. Each of these peaks consists of another two sub-peaks, correspond- ing to transitions from one SHF substate of the parent state to the same SHF substate of the daughter state. Two of the four SHF substates, respectively, are lying close enough to each other to have a frequency differ- ence smaller than the laser linewidth (∼100 MHz) and the Doppler width (∼300 MHz) and can thus not be re- solved while the other two lines have a splitting in the range of the broadening and thus result in a smaller and broader peak.

 The measurements were all performed with a delay time *T* between the two lasers of 350 ns and a target pressure of 250 mbar. These parame- ters shall provide a first comparison with results in  $\bar{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>. A study at different laser delay times and

<span id="page-4-2"></span>

Figure 4: Laser resonance profile for the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$ state of  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup>, displaying the two laser transitions  $f^+$  and  $f^$ between the HF states of the parent and the daughter state, at a target pressure of 250 mbar. The peaks are fitted with four Voigt functions referring to the four "allowed" E1 transitions between the SHF states of the parent state (refer to Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The arrows indicate the corresponding theoretical transition frequencies.

<sup>309</sup> target pressures is planned to be done in the future.

Two of the four "allowed" SSHF resonance transi-<sup>312</sup> tions in  $\overline{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> could be observed. The scans of the two 313 microwave-induced transitions are displayed in Fig. [5.](#page-5-0) 314 They were both fitted with the function of their natural 315 line shape. For a two-level system, which is affected by  $316$  an oscillating magnetic field for a time *T*, the line shape  $317$  is given by [\[19\]](#page-7-16)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
X(\omega) = A \frac{|2b|^2}{|2b|^2 + (\omega_0 - \omega)^2} \times \sin^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ |2b|^2 + (\omega_0 - \omega)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T \right\}.
$$
 (2)

318 Here  $X(\omega)$  is the probability that an atom is transferred<br>319 from one HF state to the other.  $\omega$  is the angular 319 from one HF state to the other,  $\omega$  is the angular<br>220 frequency of the magnetic field and  $\omega_0$  is the angular 320 frequency of the magnetic field and  $\omega_0$  is the angular<br>321 frequency of the transition between the two energy frequency of the transition between the two energy  $322$  levels.  $A = 1$  in an ideal two-level system. Thus A <sup>323</sup> is a scaling term added for the fitting procedure. It <sup>324</sup> takes into account the fact that the real system is not 325 an ideal two level one. The parameter  $b = \Omega/2$  is a time independent part of the transition matrix elements <sup>327</sup> between two energy levels, with the Rabi frequency 328  $\Omega$ . In the case of a complete  $\pi$ -pulse, one obtains  $\frac{1}{228}$   $|b|T = \pi/2$ . This is referred to as the optimum case.  $|b|T = \pi/2$ . This is referred to as the optimum case,<br>330 since together with  $X(\omega) = 1$  at resonance this gives since together with  $X(\omega) = 1$  at resonance this gives

310

the smallest width for the transition line,  $\Gamma = \frac{0.799}{T}$  [\[19\]](#page-7-16). 332 The Fourier transform of the rectangular microwave <sup>333</sup> pulse gives a lower limit for the transition line width. 334

From the fit, the frequencies for the measured  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-1}$  and<br><sub>235</sub>  $v^{-+}$  transitions can be obtained. All relevant results are  $\frac{H}{337}$  summarized in Table [1.](#page-6-0) For a pulse of length  $T = 350$  ns  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-+}$  transitions can be obtained. All relevant results are 338 the expected width is  $\Gamma = 2.28$  MHz [\[19\]](#page-7-16), which is roughly in agreement with the measurement. In order to roughly in agreement with the measurement. In order to 340 determine the optimum power to induce an electron spin 341 flip and thus the maximum population transfer between <sup>342</sup> two SSHF states, the signal was tested at several dif-<sup>343</sup> ferent microwave powers. The  $v_{\text{HF}}^{--}$  transition was mea-<br><sub>244</sub> sured with a nower of about 10 W and the  $v^{-+}$  transition sured with a power of about 10 W and the  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-+}$  transition<br>or at about 7.5 W. The magnetic field is depending on the 345 at about 7.5 W. The magnetic field is depending on the <sup>346</sup> microwave power through the relation

$$
B_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 Q P}{\pi a^2 d \omega J_1^2 (p_{11})}}
$$
(3)

347 for the magnetic field in a cylindrical cavity with radius *a* and length *d*. The resonance frequency is denoted  $\omega$ ,  $J_1^2(p_{11})$  is a first root of Bessel function  $J_1(x)$ , *P*<br>see is the microwave nower and *Q* is the measured value is the microwave power and *Q* is the measured value for the coupling factor of the cavity. This leads to an average oscillating magnetic field amplitude  $B_0$ 353 of  $0.24(4) \times 10^{-4}$  T and  $0.19(3) \times 10^{-4}$  T, respectively, inside the microwave cavity. The Rabi frequency <sup>355</sup>  $\Omega = \frac{\mu B_0}{\hbar}$ , with  $\mu$  denoting the calculated averaged mag- netic dipole moment, is dependent on the microwave power. Using the values for the magnetic field and the magnetic dipole moment, we obtain a Rabi frequency in the range of 10 MHz for both powers. This is also in agreement with the results using the relations <sup>361</sup>  $|b|T = \pi/2$  and  $b = \Omega/2$ . The microwave power has to be chosen to achieve a complete  $\pi$ -pulse. Due to lack of 362 be chosen to achieve a complete  $\pi$ -pulse. Due to lack of time for more accurate power studies the two transitions time for more accurate power studies the two transitions have been measured at only one power each, which are slightly different from each other. These measure- ments will have to be repeated with improved statistics. 367

 There were also systematic effects, which had to be considered. The largest influence was due to the shot- to-shot fluctuations of the antiproton beam. These ef-<sup>371</sup> fects were reduced by normalising to the total intensity of the pulse and further normalising the second annihi-373 lation peak to the first one (refer to Section [3\)](#page-1-0). There- fore mainly shot-to-shot fluctuations of the microwave power and deviations in the laser position and fluence from day to day – although considerably smaller – con-377 tributed to the error quoted in Table [1.](#page-6-0) These contri-butions cannot be assessed individually. They are contained in the error obtained from the fit.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 5: Scan over the microwave frequency for two of the four SSHF transitions for the  $(n, L) = (36, 34)$  state of  $\overline{p}^3He^+$ , at a target pressure of 250 mbar. Each transition is fitted with at a target pressure of 250 mbar. Each transition is fitted with Eq. [2](#page-4-3) (solid lines). The frequencies of the measured transitions are 11.12559(14) GHz and 11.15839(18) GHz. The dashed curve shows a simulation using collision rates obtained from comparison between experiment and simulation.

 The experiment has been numerically simulated by solving the optical Bloch equations in order to estimate important measurement parameters, in particular the required microwave power and the signal-to-noise ratio. The Bloch equations describe the depopulation of states, in this experiment induced by laser light and mi-crowave radiation and under the influence of collisional

379 380

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 1: The first experimental results for the  $v_{\text{HF}}^{--}$  and  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-+}$  in comparison with three-body QED calculations, where  $v_{\text{tot}}$  denote the SSHE transition frequencies  $\delta$  is the relative error of the measur  $v_{\text{HF}}$  denote the SSHF transition frequencies,  $\delta_{\text{exp}}$  is the relative error of the measured frequencies and Γ the resonance line width. The theoretical precision is  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$ . line width. The theoretical precision is ∼5×10−<sup>5</sup>

				$v_{\rm exp}$ (GHz) $\delta_{\rm exp}$ (ppm) $\Gamma$ (MHz) Korobov [12, 21] $\Delta v_{\rm th-exp}$ (ppm)		<b>Kino</b> [11] $\Delta v_{\text{th-exp}}$ (ppm)
	$v_{\text{HF}}^{-1}$ (GHz) 11.125 59(14)		13 2.08(22)	11.12500(55)	$-53$ 11.125 15(55)	-39
	$v_{\text{HF}}^{-+}$ (GHz) 11.158 39(18)	16	1.92(19)	11.15773(55)	$-59$ 11.157 56(55)	-74
$\Delta v_{\text{HF}}^{\pm}$ (GHz)	0.03279(22)			0.0327219(16)		

<sup>388</sup> effects. For most parameters, such as microwave power, <sup>389</sup> *Q* value and laser delay, the measured values were <sup>390</sup> taken. The rates of collisional effects – inducing relax-391 ations between the SSHF states – were obtained from 433 392 adjusting the simulation to the experimental results  $434$ for the  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-1}$  resonance, and they are comparable to the values for  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^4$ . <sup>394</sup> values for  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>. Fig. [5](#page-5-0) shows the results of the simula-395 tions in comparison to the fitted measurement data. The 437 <sup>396</sup> numerical simulations are explained in detail in [\[20\]](#page-7-18). 438 397

<sup>398</sup> The measured hyperfine transition frequencies agree <sup>399</sup> with theory [\[12\]](#page-7-9) within less than 1 MHz. The current  $400$  precision of ∼20 ppm is still worse than for the most <sup>401</sup> recent results with  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>, which gave an error of 3 ppm for the individual transition lines [\[5\]](#page-7-3). Due to limitations in antiproton beam quality this precision for  $\bar{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup> 403 <sup>404</sup> is not likely to be improved anymore. However, it is <sup>405</sup> also unlikely to achieve an error for  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> as small 406 as for  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>. There are eight instead of four SSHF  $_{407}$  energy levels in  $\overline{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> and thus the measured signal 408 will be only about half of the signal obtained for  $\bar{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup>. 409 Therefore much higher statistics would be required. 451 410

411 A comparison of the theoretical values for the two 453 <sup>412</sup> SSHF transitions at 11 GHz with the measurement <sup>413</sup> results shows that there is a small shift in frequency to-<sup>414</sup> wards higher values for both transitions. The frequency 415 difference  $\Delta v_{\text{th-exp}}$  between theory and experiment is <br>416 ~0.6 MHz for  $v_{\text{tr}}$  and ~0.7 MHz for  $v_{\text{tr}}$  respectively. <sup>416</sup> ~0.6 MHz for  $v_{\text{HF}}^{-1}$  and ~0.7 MHz for  $v_{\text{HF}}^{1+}$  respectively. <sup>417</sup> According to V. Korobov [\[21\]](#page-7-17), this discrepancy is most <sup>418</sup> likely due to the theoretical limits of the Breit-Pauli <sup>419</sup> approximation that has been used for the calculations. <sup>420</sup> The relative error of the theoretical frequencies is estiand mated to be  $\alpha^2 = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ . The theoretical error for the theoretical experiment  $422$  frequency difference between theory and experiment  $461$ 423 would then be ∼0.6 MHz. Together with the experi- $424$  mental error of ~0.2 MHz there is agreement between  $463$ 425 experiment and theory. Higher order correction terms  $464$ need to be calculated to improve the theoretical re-427 sults. The work on these calculations is in progress [\[21\]](#page-7-17). 428 <sup>429</sup> A density dependent shift could also contribute to

this deviation. The density dependence is found to be much smaller for the M1 transitions, the electron spin <sup>432</sup> flip transitions induced by the microwave, than for the E1 transitions induced through laser stimulation [\[8\]](#page-7-6). <sup>434</sup> For  $\overline{p}^4$ He<sup>+</sup> theoretical calculations of Grigory Koren-man [\[22,](#page-7-19) [23\]](#page-7-20) confirmed that the density dependence <sup>436</sup> is very small. Also for  $\overline{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> theory predicts a col-lisional shift much smaller than our error bars [\[13\]](#page-7-10).

The deviation between the experimental and theoreti-<sup>440</sup> cal values for the frequency difference  $\Delta v_{\text{HF}}^{\pm} = v_{\text{HF}}^{-+} - v_{\text{HF}}^{-}$ <br>  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$  between the two SSHE lines at 11 GHz is 68 kHz out of <sup>441</sup> between the two SSHF lines at 11 GHz is 68 kHz out of <sup>442</sup> 32 MHz. This difference is important due to its proportionality to the magnetic moment of the antiproton. The error of the theoretical value for  $\Delta v_{\text{HF}}^{\pm}$  is 1.6 kHz, which<br>us is considerably smaller than the error of 220 kHz for the <sup>445</sup> is considerably smaller than the error of 220 kHz for the <sup>446</sup> value obtained from the measured transitions. The rea-<sup>447</sup> son is that in theory the splitting between the transition <sup>448</sup> lines can be calculated directly and the errors are the same for all transitions within the hyperfine structure whereas the experimental value of the splitting is received from the difference of the single transition lines. <sup>452</sup> Even though the experimental error is much larger than the theoretical one, there is agreement between theory <sup>454</sup> and experiment within their errors.

# 6. Conclusions

We have reported on the first microwave spectro-456 scopic measurement of the hyperfine structure of  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup>.

Two of the four favoured SSHF resonance transitions <sup>458</sup> in  $\bar{p}^3$ He<sup>+</sup> were observed and are in agreement with the-<sup>459</sup> ory [\[12\]](#page-7-9) within the estimated theoretical error. Also the <sup>460</sup> frequency difference  $\Delta v_{\text{HF}}^{\pm}$  agrees with theoretical cal-<sup>461</sup> culations. However, the experimental error for  $\Delta v_{\text{HF}}^{\pm}$  is still very large compared to theory.

<sup>463</sup> A systematic study of these transitions and improved statistics will allow a higher precision, in particular for the frequency difference between the SSHF transition <sup>466</sup> frequencies, for which the experimental error is still considerably larger than the theoretical error. It is fur-<sup>468</sup> ther planned to measure also the two SSHF transitions <sup>469</sup> at 16 GHz. The density dependence should be tested <sub>470</sub> and different laser delay timings and microwave powers <sup>471</sup> will be studied.

### 7. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. V. Korobov and Dr. G. Korenman for fruitful discussions on the theoretical framework. Further, we want to thank especially Ms. Silke Federmann and project student Mr. Mario Krenn for their help before and during the beamtime. This work has received funding from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [I–198–N20] as a joint FWF–RFBR (Russian Foundation for Basic Research) project, the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), the Hungarian National Science Funds (OTKA K72172), the European Science Foundation (EURYI) and the Munich Advanced Photonics Cluster (MAP) of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

### References

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] M. Iwasaki et al., Discovery of antiproton trapping by long-lived metastable states in liquid helium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1246–1249.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[2] T. Yamazaki et al., Formation of long-lived gas-phase antiprotonic helium atoms and quenching by  $H_2$ , Nature 361 (1993) 238–240.
- [3] T. Yamazaki et al., Antiprotonic helium, Phys. Rep. 366 (2002) 183–329.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[4] R.S. Hayano et al., Antiprotonic helium and CPT invariance, Reports on Progress in Physics 70(12) (2007) 1995–2065.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[5] T. Pask et al., A precise measurement of the antiprotonic helium hyperfine structure, Phys.Lett. B 678 (2009) 55–59.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[6] A. Kreissl et al., Remeasurement of the magnetic moment of the antiproton, Z. Phys. C 37 (1988) 557–561.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[7] E. Widmann et al., Hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium revealed by a laser-microwave-laser resonance method, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 243402.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[8] T. Pask et al., Collisional effects on the antiprotonic helium hyperfine structure measurement, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys 41 (2008) 081008.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[9] D. Bakalov, V.I. Korobov, Hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium energy levels, Phys. Rev. A 57 (1998) 1662–1667.
- [10] V.I. Korobov, D. Bakalov, Fine and hyperfine structure of the  $(37,35)$  state of the  ${}^{4}$ He<sup>+</sup> $\bar{p}$  atom, J. Phys. B 34 (2001) 519.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[11] Y. Kino et al., High precision calculation of the fine and hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium-3,4 atoms, Hyperfine Interactions 146–147 (2003) 331–336.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[12] V. Korobov, Hyperfine structure of the metastable states of the  $3$ He<sup>+</sup> $\bar{p}$  atom, Phys. Rev. A **73** (2006) 022509.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[13] G.Y. Korenman, personal communication, 2010.
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[14] M. Hori et al., Analog Cherenkov detectors used in laser spectroscopy experiments on antiprotonic helium, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 496 (2003) 102–122.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[15] M. Hori et al., Primary populations of metastable antiprotonic  ${}^{4}$ He and  ${}^{3}$ He atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 093401.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[16] S. Maury et al., Status of the antiproton decelerator: AD, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 56A (1997) 349–357.
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[17] J. Sakaguchi et al., Cryogenic tunable microwave cavity at 13GHz for hyperfine spectroscopy of antiprotonic helium, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 533 (2004) 598–611.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>[18] Ansoft, http://[www.ansoft.com](http://www.ansoft.com/products/hf/hfss/)/products/hf/hfss/, 2010.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[19] S. Flugge, Encyclopedia of physics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1959.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[20] T. Pask et al., Collisional effects on the measurement of the antiprotonic helium hyperfine structure, Proceedings for the Pbar08 Conference on Cold Antimatter Plasmas and Application to Fundamental Physics (AIP) Japan, Okinawa, 2008.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[21] V.I. Korobov, personal communication, 2010.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[22] G.Y. Korenman, S.N. Yudin, Coupled-channel analysis of collisional effects on HFS transitions in antiprotonic helium atoms, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 39(6) (2006) 1473-1484.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[23] G.Y. Korenman, S.N. Yudin, Collisional effects on HFS transitions of antiprotonic helium, Hyperfine Interactions 194 (2009) 29–35.