

CERN-PH-EP/2010-053
2011/02/25

CMS-QCD-10-019

Measurement of the Isolated Prompt Photon Production Cross Section in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

The differential cross section for the inclusive production of isolated prompt photons has been measured as a function of the photon transverse energy E_T^γ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using data recorded by the CMS detector at the LHC. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 2.9 pb^{-1} . Photons are required to have a pseudorapidity $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$ and $E_T^\gamma > 21$ GeV, covering the kinematic region $0.006 < x_T < 0.086$. The measured cross section is found to be in agreement with next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD calculations.

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

arXiv:1012.0799v2 [hep-ex] 24 Feb 2011

*See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

The measurement of isolated prompt photon production in proton-proton (pp) collisions provides a test of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD) and the possibility to constrain the parton distribution functions (PDF) of the proton. Such a measurement complements deep-inelastic scattering, Drell-Yan pair production, and jet production measurements [1–3]. At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [4], a significant increase of centre-of-mass energy with respect to previous collider experiments [5–9] allows the exploration of new kinematic regions in the hard-interaction processes in hadron-hadron collisions [10]. Isolated prompt photon production also represents a background to searches for new phenomena involving photons in the final state.

In high-energy pp collisions, single prompt photons are produced directly in qg Compton scattering and $q\bar{q}$ annihilation, and in the fragmentation of partons with large transverse momentum. Photons are also produced in the decay of hadrons, which can mimic prompt production. This background, mostly from the decays of energetic π^0 and η mesons, can be reduced by imposing isolation criteria on the photon candidates.

This Letter presents a measurement of the differential production cross section of isolated prompt photons as a function of the photon transverse energy E_T^γ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The analyzed data sample corresponds to $2.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity, as recorded by the CMS detector at the LHC [11]. Isolated prompt photons with a pseudorapidity $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$ and $E_T^\gamma > 21$ GeV are studied. Here, $\eta^\gamma = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ and $E_T^\gamma = E^\gamma \sin(\theta)$, where E^γ is the photon energy and θ is the polar angle of the photon momentum measured with respect to the counterclockwise beam direction. This measurement exploits the difference between the electromagnetic shower profiles of prompt photons and of photon pairs from neutral-meson decays.

Photons are detected in the lead tungstate (PbWO_4) crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), covering $|\eta| < 3.0$, comprising barrel and end cap sections. The analysis presented in this Letter is restricted to the barrel section, which covers $|\eta| < 1.479$. Light produced in the crystals is read out by avalanche photodiodes (APD) in the ECAL barrel. The ECAL barrel granularity is $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.0174 \times 0.0174$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle measured with respect to the beam direction. The ECAL has an ultimate energy resolution better than 0.5% for unconverted photons with transverse energies above 100 GeV [12]. In 2010 collision data, for $E_T > 20$ GeV, this resolution is already better than 1% in the barrel [13]. Surrounding the ECAL there is a brass and scintillator sampling hadron calorimeter (HCAL), covering $|\eta| < 3.0$. For $|\eta| < 1.479$, the calorimeter modules are arranged in projective towers with a segmentation of $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.0870 \times 0.0870$. The ECAL and HCAL surround a tracking system with multiple silicon pixel and microstrip layers, covering $|\eta| < 2.5$. Both the tracker and the calorimeters are immersed in a 3.8 T axial magnetic field. A detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [14].

Photons are reconstructed from clusters of energy deposited in the ECAL, using the same algorithm and granularity at the trigger level and in the offline analysis. Energy deposits within $|\Delta\phi| < 0.304$ and $|\Delta\eta| < 0.044$ are grouped into clusters [15]. The clustering algorithm efficiently reconstructs the energy of photons that convert in the material in front of the ECAL. The clustered energy is corrected taking into account interactions in the material in front of the ECAL and electromagnetic shower containment [16]; the correction is parametrised as a function of cluster size, η , E_T , and is on average 1%. The triggers used to collect the analysed data sample require the presence of at least one reconstructed electromagnetic cluster with a minimum transverse energy of 20 or 25 GeV. The trigger is fully efficient for $E_T^\gamma > 21$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$, defining the phase space of the measurement. Depending on the LHC instanta-

neous luminosity, rate-reduction factors were applied to the triggers at 20 GeV. Consequently, photons with $E_T^\gamma < 26$ GeV are taken from a restricted data-set having an integrated luminosity of $2.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. No photon isolation criteria are applied at the trigger level.

The event selection requires at least one reconstructed primary interaction vertex consistent with a pp collision [17]. The time of the ECAL signals is required to be compatible with that of collision products [18]. Topological selection criteria are used to suppress direct interactions in the ECAL APDs [19]. The residual contamination has an effect smaller than 0.2% on the measured cross section over the entire E_T^γ range considered. Contamination from non-collision backgrounds is estimated to be negligible [16].

Photon candidates are built from ECAL energy clusters fully contained in the barrel section. The photon candidate pseudorapidity is corrected for the position of the primary interaction vertex. The absolute photon energy scale is determined with electrons from reconstructed Z-boson decays with an uncertainty estimated to be less than 1%. Consistent results are obtained with low-energy photons from π^0 decays. The linearity of the response of detector and electronics has been measured with laser light and test beams, to a precision better than 1% in the energy range probed in this Letter [13]. Showers initiated by charged hadrons are rejected by requiring $E^{\text{HCAL}}/E^\gamma < 0.05$, where E^{HCAL} is the sum of energy in the HCAL towers within $R < 0.15$, with $R^2 = (\eta - \eta^\gamma)^2 + (\phi - \phi^\gamma)^2$. Electrons are rejected by requiring the absence of hits in the first two layers of the pixel detector that would be consistent with an electron track matching the observed location and energy of the photon candidate (pixel veto requirement).

The photon candidates must satisfy three isolation requirements that reject photons produced in hadron decays: (1) $\text{Iso}_{\text{TRK}} < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$, where Iso_{TRK} is the sum of the p_T of tracks compatible with the primary event vertex in an annulus $0.04 < R < 0.40$, excluding a rectangular strip of $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.015 \times 0.400$ to remove the photon's own energy if it converts into an e^+e^- pair; (2) $\text{Iso}_{\text{ECAL}} < 4.2 \text{ GeV}$, where Iso_{ECAL} is the transverse energy deposited in the ECAL in an annulus $0.06 < R < 0.40$, excluding a rectangular strip of $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.04 \times 0.40$; and (3) $\text{Iso}_{\text{HCAL}} < 2.2 \text{ GeV}$, where Iso_{HCAL} is the transverse energy deposited in the HCAL in an annulus $0.15 < R < 0.40$. The requirements were designed with two other objectives in mind. First, the use of relatively loose photon identification and isolation selection criteria reduces the dependence of the results on the details of the simulation of the detector noise, the underlying event, and event pile-up. Second, the shape of the isolation regions is designed to allow the use of electrons to determine the efficiency of the isolation requirements in data. The isolation requirements also reduce the uncertainty on the signal due to the knowledge of the photon fragmentation functions. In total, 4×10^5 photon candidates fulfill the selection criteria.

While the isolation requirements remove the bulk of the neutral-meson background, a substantial contribution remains, mainly caused by fluctuations in the fragmentation of partons, where neutral mesons carry most of the energy and are isolated. A modified second moment of the electromagnetic energy cluster about its mean η position, $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$, is used to measure the isolated prompt photon yield. It is calculated as

$$\sigma_{\eta\eta}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{25} w_i (\eta_i - \bar{\eta})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{25} w_i},$$

where $w_i = \max(0, 4.7 + \ln(E_i/E))$, E_i is the energy of the i^{th} crystal in a group of 5×5 centred on the one with the highest energy, and $\eta_i = \hat{\eta}_i \times \delta\eta$, where $\hat{\eta}_i$ is the η index of the i^{th} crystal and $\delta\eta = 0.0174$; E is the total energy of the group and $\bar{\eta}$ the average η weighted by w_i in the same group [20]. Since $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ expresses the extent in η of the cluster, it discriminates between clusters belonging to isolated prompt photons, for which the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution is very narrow

and symmetric, and photons produced in hadron decays, for which the distribution is dominated by a long tail towards higher values. Given the axial configuration of the CMS magnetic field, interactions with the material in front of the ECAL have a small influence on the shower profile along the η direction, such that $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ is not affected by uncertainties on the modeling of such effects. The mean of the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distributions is found to be independent of the number of reconstructed interaction vertices, and therefore it does not show sensitivity to pileup interactions.

The isolated prompt photon yield is estimated with a binned extended maximum likelihood fit to the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution with the expected signal and background components. This is performed in each E_T^γ bin using MINUIT [21]. The signal component shape is obtained from photon events generated with PYTHIA 6.420 [22] and the D6T parameter set [23], and simulated with GEANT 4 [24]. The $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution of electrons from Z-boson decays is observed to be shifted when comparing data and simulated events. The shift is $+(8 \pm 3) \times 10^{-5}$ and corresponds to 0.9% of the average of the simulated photon $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ values, which are corrected for the observed shift. The background component shape is extracted from data by taking the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution of events in a background-enriched isolation sideband defined by requiring $2 < \text{Iso}_{\text{TRK}} < 5$ GeV/c, while keeping all other selection criteria unchanged. This choice provides a sufficient number of events while minimising the bias to the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution due to the positive correlation between $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ and Iso_{TRK} . Both signal and background shapes are obtained separately for each E_T^γ bin. Figure 1 illustrates the result of the two-component fit for the $45 < E_T^\gamma < 50$ GeV bin, which is representative of the fits in all E_T^γ bins. The isolated prompt photon signal yield, N^γ , is extracted with this fitting procedure. For $\sigma_{\eta\eta} < 0.01$, the fraction of isolated prompt photons in data after full selection increases from 38% at $E_T^\gamma = 25$ GeV to 80% at $E_T^\gamma = 100$ GeV.




Figure 1: Measured $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution for photons with $45 < E_T^\gamma < 50$ GeV. The fit result (solid) and the background component (dashed) are also shown.

The differential cross section as a function of E_T^γ is defined as

$$d^2\sigma/dE_T^\gamma d\eta^\gamma = N^\gamma / (L \mathcal{U} \epsilon \Delta E_T^\gamma \Delta \eta^\gamma),$$

where ΔE_T^γ is the size of the E_T^γ bin, $\Delta\eta^\gamma = 2.9$, L is the integrated luminosity, and \mathcal{U} denotes bin-by-bin corrections that account for E_T^γ reconstruction effects and finite detector resolution in η^γ and isolation quantities. The overall efficiency ϵ is the product of the photon trigger, reconstruction, and selection efficiencies. The trigger is fully efficient for $E_T^\gamma > 21$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$, as previously mentioned. The efficiency of the isolation criteria is measured in data using an electron sample from Z-boson decays and is found to be higher than in simulation by $\rho_\epsilon = 1.035 \pm 0.017$ (stat + syst). The photon reconstruction and selection efficiencies are determined from PYTHIA events with prompt photons and are scaled by ρ_ϵ . The estimated efficiency is $\epsilon = 0.916 \pm 0.034$ (stat + syst) and does not change appreciably with E_T^γ or η^γ . Using events generated with PYTHIA, the values of \mathcal{U} are calculated as a function of E_T^γ for prompt photons with $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$ and particle-level isolation less than 5 GeV. The latter is defined as the sum of the p_T of simulated particles within $R < 0.4$. The resulting values of \mathcal{U} decrease from 1.01 to 0.97 as E_T^γ increases and are listed in Table 1.

The total systematic uncertainty on the measured cross section includes contributions from the uncertainties in the shapes of the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distributions of signal and background, the efficiency, the photon energy scale, the binning of the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distributions, and the \mathcal{U} corrections. The largest contribution is due to the limited knowledge of the background component shape, which affects the measurement for two reasons. First, photon candidates selected from the isolation sideband have more associated activity in the isolation region than the true background. This effect is investigated by comparing the sideband and true $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distributions in simulated di-jet events. Events from the sideband emphasize the tail of the background $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution, such that the cross section values extracted using the true background $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution are systematically lower by 15% for $E_T^\gamma < 85$ GeV and 7% otherwise. Second, the sideband requirements also select some prompt photons. This effect is investigated by comparing the isolation sideband $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distributions of simulated samples with and without prompt photons. Samples with prompt photons enhance the peaking part of the background distribution, such that the cross section values extracted using the samples without prompt photons are systematically higher by 12%. These two effects are checked with data by changing the isolation sideband limits so as to accentuate each of them. The observed variations in the extracted cross section agree with the estimated systematic uncertainties given above. The systematic uncertainty on the cross section due to the efficiencies is $\pm 3.8\%$, independent of E_T^γ and is dominated by the uncertainty in the efficiency of the pixel veto requirement. The full inefficiency of the pixel veto requirement, estimated with simulated events, is assigned to the systematic uncertainty and is mostly due to the rejection of prompt photons that convert in, or before, the first layer of the pixel detector. The use of simulation to estimate this inefficiency is supported by the 10% accuracy with which the material distribution is known [25]. All the other sources of uncertainty have an effect on the measured cross section smaller than $\pm 3\%$.

The measured isolated prompt photon cross section as a function of E_T^γ , including both statistical and total systematic uncertainties, is reported in Table 1. The 11% overall uncertainty on the integrated luminosity is considered separately. The data are shown in Fig. 2, together with next-to-leading order (NLO) pQCD predictions from JETPHOX 1.1 [26] using the CT10 PDFs [1] and the BFG set II of fragmentation functions (FF) [27]. The renormalization, factorization, and fragmentation scales (μ_R , μ_F , and μ_f) are all set to E_T^γ . The hadronic energy surrounding the photon is required to be at most 5 GeV within $R < 0.4$ at the parton level. To estimate the effect of the choice of theory scales in the predictions, the three scales are varied independently and simultaneously between $E_T^\gamma/2$ and $2E_T^\gamma$. Retaining the largest variations the predictions change by $(+30, -22)\%$ to $(+12, -6)\%$ with increasing E_T^γ . The uncertainty on the predictions due to the PDFs is estimated from the envelope of predictions obtained using three global-fit

Table 1: Isolated prompt photon cross section for $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$ and in bins of E_T^γ . Uncertainties in the cross sections are statistical. An additional 11% luminosity uncertainty is not included in the systematic uncertainty (third column). The last column reports the corrections for finite detector resolution. A correction to account for extra activity ($C = 0.97 \pm 0.02$) is applied to the theoretical predictions, as explained in the text.

E_T^γ (GeV)	$d^2\sigma/dE_T^\gamma d\eta^\gamma$ (nb/GeV)	Syst. Unc. (%)	\mathcal{U}
21–23	2.17 ± 0.03	+13, –16	1.01
23–26	1.39 ± 0.02	+13, –16	1.01
26–30	0.774 ± 0.010	+13, –16	1.01
30–35	0.402 ± 0.006	+13, –16	1.00
35–40	0.209 ± 0.004	+13, –16	1.00
40–45	$(124.4 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-3}$	+13, –16	1.00
45–50	$(74.0 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$	+13, –16	1.00
50–60	$(40.3 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$	+13, –16	1.00
60–85	$(12.36 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$	+14, –16	0.99
85–120	$(2.43 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$	+14, –9	0.98
120–300	$(0.188 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-3}$	+13, –9	0.97




Figure 2: Measured isolated prompt photon differential cross section and NLO pQCD predictions, as a function of E_T^γ . The vertical error bars show the statistical uncertainties, while the shaded areas show the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. A correction to account for extra activity ($C = 0.97 \pm 0.02$) is applied to the theoretical predictions, as explained in the text. The 11% luminosity uncertainty on the data is not included.

parametrizations, CT10, MSTW2008 [3], and NNPDF2.0 [2], as recommended by the PDF4LHC working group [28]. This uncertainty is about $\pm 6\%$ over the considered E_T^γ range. Predictions obtained using the CTEQ6.1M PDFs [29], extensively used in previous comparisons with data, are consistent with those obtained with CT10 to within 3%. Finally, using the BFG set I of FFs instead of the BFG set II yields negligible differences in the predictions. The theoretical predictions include an additional correction factor $C(E_T^\gamma)$ to account for the presence of contributions from the underlying event and parton-to-hadron fragmentation, which tend to increase the energy in the isolation cone. Using simulated PYTHIA events, C is determined as the ratio between the isolated fraction of the total prompt photon cross section at the hadron level and the same fraction obtained after turning off both multiple-parton interactions and hadronization. Four different sets of PYTHIA parameters (Z2 [30], D6T, DWT, and Perugia-0 [31]) are considered. The value $C = 0.97 \pm 0.02$ is taken as the correction, its uncertainty covering the results obtained with the different PYTHIA parameter sets.

As expected, the correction reduces the predicted cross section, since the presence of extra activity results in some photons failing the isolation requirements.




Figure 3: Ratio of the measured isolated prompt photon differential cross section to the NLO pQCD predictions. The vertical error bars show the statistical uncertainties, while the shaded areas show the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. The 11% luminosity uncertainty on the data is not included. The two sets of curves show the uncertainties on the theoretical predictions due to their dependency on the renormalization, factorization, and fragmentation scales, and on the PDFs. A correction to account for extra activity ($C = 0.97 \pm 0.02$) is applied to the theoretical predictions, as explained in the text.

Predictions from NLO pQCD are found to be in good agreement with the measured cross sections, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The measured pattern is better described by the theoretical predictions than in previous measurements at lower \sqrt{s} and higher $x_T = 2E_T/\sqrt{s}$ [8, 9, 32–37].

In conclusion, a measurement of the differential cross section for the production of isolated

prompt photons with $21 < E_T^\gamma < 300$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.45$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV has been presented. This measurement is performed in the kinematic regime $0.006 < x_T < 0.086$, probing a previously unexplored region at low x_T , and agrees with NLO pQCD predictions in the whole x_T range. This measurement establishes a benchmark for photon identification and background estimation, and constrains the rate of one of the background processes affecting searches for new physics involving photons.

We wish to congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC machine. We thank the technical and administrative staff at CERN and other CMS institutes, and acknowledge support from: FMSR (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); Academy of Sciences and NICPB (Estonia); Academy of Finland, ME, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); PAEC (Pakistan); SCSR (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); MST and MAE (Russia); MSTD (Serbia); MICINN and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

References

- [1] H.-L. Lai, M. Guzzi, J. Huston et al., “New parton distributions for collider physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (Oct, 2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024.
- [2] NNPDF Collaboration, “A first unbiased global NLO determination of parton distributions and their uncertainties”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B838** (2010) 136, arXiv:1002.4407. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.05.008.
- [3] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne et al., “Parton distributions for the LHC”, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C63** (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5.
- [4] L. Evans and P. Bryant, “LHC Machine”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08001. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001.
- [5] M. Diakonou et al., “Direct production of high pT single photons in pp collisions at the CERN ISR”, *Phys. Lett.* **B87** (1979) 292. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(79)90985-7.
- [6] T. Ferbel and W. R. Molzon, “Direct-photon production in high-energy collisions”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **56** (1984) 181. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.56.181.
- [7] UA2 Collaboration, “Direct photon production at the CERN pbar-p collider”, *Phys. Lett.* **B176** (1986) 239. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)90957-3.
- [8] D0 Collaboration, “Measurement of the isolated photon cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett.* **B639** (2006) 151, arXiv:hep-ex/0511054. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.04.048.
- [9] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV using the CDF Detector”, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 111106, arXiv:0910.3623. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.111106.
- [10] R. Ichou and D. d’Enterria, “Sensitivity of isolated photon production at TeV hadron colliders to the gluon distribution in the proton”, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 014015, arXiv:1005.4529. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.014015.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of CMS Luminosity”, *CMS Physics Analysis Summary* **CMS-PAS-EWK-10-004** (2010).
- [12] P. Adzic et al., “Energy resolution of the barrel of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter”, *JINST* **2** (2007) P04004. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/2/04/P04004.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, “Electromagnetic calorimeter calibration with 7 TeV data”, *CMS Physics Analysis Summary* **CMS-PAS-EGM-10-003** (2010).
- [14] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, “CMS Physics Technical Design Report Volume I : Detector Performance and Software”, *CMS Technical Design Report* **CMS-TDR-008-1** (2006).
- [16] CMS Collaboration, “Photon reconstruction and identification at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *CMS Physics Analysis Summary* **CMS-PAS-EGM-10-005** (2010).

-
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “CMS tracking performance results from early LHC Operation”, arXiv:1007.1988. Published in the European Physical Journal C. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1491-3.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Time Reconstruction and Performance of the CMS Electromagnetic Calorimeter”, *JINST* **5** (2010) T03011, arXiv:0911.4044. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/5/03/T03011.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Electromagnetic calorimeter commissioning and first results with 7 TeV data”, *CMS Note* **CMS-NOTE-2010-012** (2010).
- [20] T. C. Awes et al., “A simple method of shower localization and identification in laterally segmented calorimeters”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A311** (1992) 130. doi:10.1016/0168-9002(92)90858-2.
- [21] F. James and M. Roos, “Minuit: a system for function minimization and analysis of the parameter errors and correlations”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **10** (1975) 343. doi:10.1016/0010-4655(75)90039-9.
- [22] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual”, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175. doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026.
- [23] TeV4LHC QCD Working Group Collaboration, M. G. Albrow et al., “Tevatron-for-LHC Report of the QCD Working Group”. 2006. arXiv:hep-ph/0610012.
- [24] GEANT 4 Collaboration, “GEANT 4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* **A506** (2003) 250. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “Studies of Tracker Material in the CMS Detector”, *CMS Physics Analysis Summary* **CMS-PAS-TRK-10-003** (2010).
- [26] S. Catani, M. Fontannaz, J. P. Guillet et al., “Cross section of isolated prompt photons in hadron-hadron collisions”, *JHEP* **05** (2002) 028, arXiv:hep-ph/0204023. doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/05/028.
- [27] L. Bourhis, M. Fontannaz, and J. P. Guillet, “Quark and gluon fragmentation functions into photons”, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C2** (1998) 529, arXiv:hep-ph/9704447. doi:10.1007/s100520050158.
- [28] M. Botje et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Recommendations”. 2011. arXiv:1101.0538.
- [29] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston et al., “New Generation of Parton Distributions with Uncertainties from Global QCD analysis”, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195. doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012.
- [30] R. Field, “Early LHC Underlying Event Data - Findings and Surprises”, in *Proceedings of the Hadron Collider Physics Symposium 2010*. 2010. arXiv:1010.3558.
- [31] P. Z. Skands, “The Perugia Tunes”, in *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC*, p. 284. 2009. arXiv:1003.4220v1.
- [32] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the isolated prompt photon cross-sections in $\bar{p}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 2734. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.68.2734.

- [33] CDF Collaboration, "Prompt photon cross-section measurement in $\bar{p}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV", *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2998. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.48.2998.
- [34] CDF Collaboration, "Precision Measurement of the Prompt Photon Cross Section in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 2662. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2662.
- [35] D0 Collaboration, "Isolated Photon Cross Section in the Central and Forward Rapidity Regions in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 5011, arXiv:hep-ex/9603006. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5011.
- [36] D0 Collaboration, "Isolated Photon Cross Section in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 2786, arXiv:hep-ex/9912017. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2786.
- [37] CDF Collaboration, "Direct photon cross section with conversions at CDF", *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 074008, arXiv:hep-ex/0404022. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074008.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth, V.M. Ghete, J. Hammer¹, S. Häseler, C. Hartl, M. Hoch, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler, G. Kasieczka, W. Kiesenhofer, M. Krammer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, M. Pernicka, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, F. Teischinger, W. Waltenberger, G. Walzel, E. Widl, C.-E. Wulz

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

L. Benucci, L. Ceard, K. Cerny, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, T. Maes, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, M. Selvaggi, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

V. Adler, S. Beauceron, F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, O. Devroede, R. Gonzalez Suarez, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Maes, M. Maes, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

O. Charaf, B. Clerboux, G. De Lentdecker, V. Dero, A.P.R. Gay, G.H. Hammad, T. Hreus, P.E. Marage, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wickens

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

S. Costantini, M. Grunewald, B. Klein, A. Marinov, J. Mccartin, D. Ryckbosch, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, L. Vanelderden, P. Verwilligen, S. Walsh, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, G. Bruno, J. Caudron, J. De Favereau De Jeneret, C. Delaere, P. Demin, D. Favart, A. Giammanco, G. Grégoire, J. Hollar, V. Lemaitre, J. Liao, O. Militaru, S. Ovyn, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, L. Quertenmont, N. Schul

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Bely, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, D. De Jesus Damiao, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W. Carvalho, E.M. Da Costa, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, V. Oguri, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, S.M. Silva Do Amaral, A. Sznajder, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo

Instituto de Fisica Teorica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Sao Paulo, Brazil

F.A. Dias, M.A.F. Dias, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E. M. Gregores², F. Marinho, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

N. Darmanov¹, L. Dimitrov, V. Genchev¹, P. Iaydjiev¹, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, R. Trayanov, I. Vankov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Dyulendarova, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, E. Marinova, M. Mateev, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, J. Wang, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, M. Xu, M. Yang, J. Zang, Z. Zhang

State Key Lab. of Nucl. Phys. and Tech., Peking University, Beijing, China

Y. Ban, S. Guo, W. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, B. Zhu

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

A. Cabrera, B. Gomez Moreno, A.A. Ocampo Rios, A.F. Osorio Oliveros, J.C. Sanabria

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, K. Lelas, R. Plestina³, D. Polic, I. Puljak

University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Dzelalija

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, S. Morovic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, M. Galanti, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran⁴, M.A. Mahmoud⁵

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

A. Hektor, M. Kadastik, K. Kannike, M. Müntel, M. Raidal, L. Rebane

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

V. Azzolini, P. Eerola

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

S. Czellar, J. Härkönen, A. Heikkinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, J. Klem, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, D. Ungaro, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

K. Banzuzi, A. Korpela, T. Tuuva

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

D. Sillou

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, F.X. Gentit, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, M. Marionneau, L. Millischer, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, I. Shreyber, M. Titov, P. Verrecchia

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Bianchini, M. Bluj⁶, C. Broutin, P. Busson, C. Charlot, T. Dahms, L. Dobrzynski, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenaue, P. Miné, C. Mironov, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Thiebaux, B. Wyslouch⁷, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram⁸, J. Andrea, A. Besson, D. Bloch, D. Bodin, J.-M. Brom, M. Cardaci, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte⁸, F. Drouhin⁸, C. Ferro, J.-C. Fontaine⁸, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, S. Greder, P. Juillot, M. Karim⁸, A.-C. Le Bihan, Y. Mikami, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

F. Fassi, D. Mercier

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

C. Baty, N. Beaupere, M. Bedjidian, O. Bondu, G. Boudoul, D. Boumediene, H. Brun, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, A. Falkiewicz, J. Fay, S. Gascon, B. Ille, T. Kurca, T. Le Grand, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, S. Tosi, Y. Tschudi, P. Verdier, H. Xiao

E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Academy of Science, Tbilisi, Georgia

V. Roinishvili

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

G. Anagnostou, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, R. Jussen, K. Klein, J. Merz, N. Mohr, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, M. Weber, B. Wittmer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, W. Bender, M. Erdmann, J. Frangenheim, T. Hebbeker, A. Hinzmann, K. Hoepfner, C. Hof, T. Klimkovich, D. Klingebiel, P. Kreuzer, D. Lanske[†], C. Magass, G. Masetti, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Bontenackels, M. Davids, M. Duda, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Giffels, W. Haj Ahmad, D. Heydhausen, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Linn, A. Nowack, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, J. Rennefeld, P. Sauerland, A. Stahl, M. Thomas, D. Tornier, M.H. Zoeller

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, M. Bergholz⁹, K. Borras, A. Cakir, A. Campbell, E. Castro, D. Dammann, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, A. Flossdorf, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, J. Hauk, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, I. Katkov, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, A. Knutsson, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, W. Lohmann⁹, R. Mankel, M. Marienfeld, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, J. Olzem, A. Parenti, A. Raspereza, A. Raval, R. Schmidt⁹, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, M. Stein, J. Tomaszewska, D. Volyanskyy, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Autermann, S. Bobrovskiy, J. Draeger, H. Enderle, U. Gebbert, K. Kaschube, G. Kaussen, R. Klanner, J. Lange, B. Mura, S. Naumann-Emme, F. Nowak, N. Pietsch, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, M. Schröder, T. Schum, J. Schwandt, A.K. Srivastava, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, R. Wolf

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

J. Bauer, V. Buege, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, G. Dirkes, M. Feindt, J. Gruschke, C. Hackstein, F. Hartmann, S.M. Heindl, M. Heinrich, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, S. Honc,

T. Kuhr, D. Martschei, S. Mueller, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, O. Oberst, A. Oehler, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, D. Piparo, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, M. Renz, C. Saout, A. Scheurer, P. Schieferdecker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, D. Troendle, J. Wagner-Kuhr, M. Zeise, V. Zhukov¹⁰, E.B. Ziebarth

Institute of Nuclear Physics "Demokritos", Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Manolagos, A. Markou, C. Markou, C. Mavrommatis, E. Petrakou

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

L. Gouskos, T.J. Mertzimekis, A. Panagiotou¹

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, V. Patras, F.A. Triantis

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

A. Aranyi, G. Bencze, L. Boldizsar, G. Debreczeni, C. Hajdu¹, D. Horvath¹¹, A. Kapusi, K. Krajczar¹², A. Laszlo, F. Sikler, G. Vesztergombi¹²

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi, V. Veszpremi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, M. Jindal, M. Kaur, J.M. Kohli, M.Z. Mehta, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, A.P. Singh, J.B. Singh, S.P. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Ahuja, S. Bhattacharya, B.C. Choudhary, P. Gupta, S. Jain, S. Jain, A. Kumar, R.K. Shivpuri

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

R.K. Choudhury, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, S.K. Kataria, A.K. Mohanty¹, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, P. Suggiseti

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M. Guchait¹³, A. Gurtu, M. Maity¹⁴, D. Majumder, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, A. Saha, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad, N.K. Mondal

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics & Mathematics (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, S.M. Etesami, A. Fahim, M. Hashemi, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, A. Mohammadi, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh, M. Zeinali

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Dimitrov^a, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, L. Lusito^{a,b,1}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, N. Manna^{a,b}, B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^{a,b}, G.A. Pierro^a, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, F. Romano^{a,c}, G. Roselli^{a,b}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, R. Trentadue^a, S. Tupputi^{a,b}, G. Zito^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^a, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^a, P. Giacomelli^a, M. Giunta^a, C. Grandi^a, S. Marcellini^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G. Siroli^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b,1}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^a

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, S. Frosali^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, C. Genta^a, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,1}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, S. Colafranceschi¹⁵, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

P. Fabbriatore, R. Musenich

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^{a,b}, F. De Guio^{a,b,1}, L. Di Matteo^{a,b}, A. Ghezzi^{a,b,1}, M. Malberti^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, A. Martelli^{a,b}, A. Massironi^{a,b}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, S. Sala^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}, V. Tancini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli "Federico II" ^b, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, C.A. Carrillo Montoya^a, A. Cimmino^{a,b}, A. De Cosa^{a,b}, M. De Gruttola^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,16}, A.O.M. Iorio^a, L. Lista^a, M. Merola^{a,b}, P. Noli^{a,b}, P. Paolucci^a

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Università di Trento (Trento) ^c, Padova, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, P. Bellan^{a,b}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^a, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, E. Conti^a, M. De Mattia^{a,b}, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Fanzago^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, P. Giubilate^{a,b}, A. Gresele^{a,c}, S. Lacaprara^{a,17}, I. Lazzizzera^{a,c}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, M. Mazzucato^a, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, L. Perrozzi^{a,1}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, S. Vanini^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

P. Baesso^{a,b}, U. Berzano^a, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Torre^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{a,b}, C. Viviani^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, B. Caponeri^{a,b}, L. Fano^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, A. Lucaroni^{a,b,1}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Nappi^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, L. Servoli^a, S. Taroni^{a,b}, M. Valdata^{a,b}, R. Volpe^{a,b,1}

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

P. Azzurri^{a,c}, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^{a,b}, T. Boccali^{a,1}, G. Broccolo^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^a, R.T. D'Agnolo^{a,c}, R. Dell'Orso^a, F. Fiori^{a,b}, L. Foà^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, A. Kraan^a, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^a, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, F. Palmonari^a, S. Sarkar^{a,c}, G. Segneri^a, A.T. Serban^a, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b,1}, A. Venturi^{a,1}, P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma "La Sapienza" ^b, Roma, Italy

L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, D. Del Re^{a,b}, E. Di Marco^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, D. Franci^{a,b}, M. Grassi^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, G. Organtini^{a,b}, A. Palma^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^{a,b,1}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^c, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, C. Biino^a, C. Botta^{a,b,1}, N. Cartiglia^a, R. Castello^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, A. Graziano^{a,b,1}, C. Mariotti^a, M. Marone^{a,b}, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, G. Mila^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^{a,b}, M.M. Obertino^{a,c}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^{a,b,1}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, V. Sola^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, D. Trocino^{a,b}, A. Vilela Pereira^{a,b,1}

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

F. Ambroglini^{a,b}, S. Belforte^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, D. Montanino^{a,b}, A. Penzo^a

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S.G. Heo

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Chang, J. Chung, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, D.J. Kong, H. Park, D. Son, D.C. Son

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

Zero Kim, J.Y. Kim, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, D.H. Moon, S.K. Park, H.B. Rhee, E. Seo, S. Shin, K.S. Sim

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, S. Kang, H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M.J. Bilinskas, I. Griglionis, M. Janulis, D. Martisiute, P. Petrov, T. Sabonis

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

H. Castilla Valdez, E. De La Cruz Burelo, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sánchez Hernández, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P. Allfrey, D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, H. Silverwood

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

M. Ahmad, I. Ahmed, M.I. Asghar, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

T. Frueboes, R. Gokieli, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szeleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

N. Almeida, A. David, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, P. Martins, P. Musella, A. Nayak, P.Q. Ribeiro, J. Seixas, P. Silva, J. Varela¹, H.K. Wöhri

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

I. Belotelov, P. Bunin, M. Finger, M. Finger Jr., I. Golutvin, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, V. Smirnov, A. Volodko, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St Petersburg), Russia

N. Bondar, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, V. Matveev, A. Pashenkov, A. Toropin, S. Troitsky

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, V. Kaftanov[†], M. Kossov¹, A. Krokhotin, N. Lychkovskaya, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

E. Boos, M. Dubinin¹⁸, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, L. Sarycheva, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, S. Bitioukov, V. Grishin¹, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, A. Korablev, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitsky, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic¹⁹, M. Djordjevic, D. Krpic¹⁹, J. Milosevic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, P. Arce, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, C. Diez Pardos, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, G. Codispoti, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, M. Chamizo Llatas, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Felcini²⁰, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, C. Jorda, P. Lobelle Pardo, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez²¹, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, M. Sobron Sanudo, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A.J. Bell²², D. Benedetti, C. Bernet³, W. Bialas, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, S. Bolognesi, H. Breuker, G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, T. Camporesi, E. Cano, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, B. Curé, D. D'Enterria, A. De Roeck, F. Duarte Ramos, A. Elliott-Peisert, B. Frisch, W. Funk, A. Gaddi, S. Gennai, G. Georgiou, H. Gerwig, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, M. Gouzevitch, P. Govoni, S. Gowdy, L. Guiducci, M. Hansen, J. Harvey, J. Hegeman, B. Hegner, C. Henderson, G. Hesketh, H.F. Hoffmann, A. Honma, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, P. Lecoq, C. Leonidopoulos, C. Lourenço, A. Macpherson, T. Mäki, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M.U. Mozer, M. Mulders, E. Nesvold¹, M. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, L. Orsini, E. Perez, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, G. Polese, A. Racz, G. Rolandi²³, T. Rommerskirchen, C. Rovelli²⁴, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, M. Simon, P. Sphicas²⁵, D. Spiga, M. Spiropulu¹⁸, F. Stöckli, M. Stoye, P. Tropea, A. Tsirou, A. Tsyganov, G.I. Veres¹², P. Vichoudis, M. Voutilainen, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, F. Meier, D. Renker, T. Rohe, J. Sibille²⁶, A. Starodumov²⁷

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

P. Bortignon, L. Caminada²⁸, Z. Chen, S. Cittolin, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, J. Eugster, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, A. Hervé, W. Hintz, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, C. Marchica²⁸, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, P. Meridiani, P. Milenovic²⁹, F. Moortgat, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, T. Punz, A. Rizzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, M.-C. Sawley, B. Stieger, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny²⁰, M. Weber, L. Wehrli, J. Weng

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

E. Aguiló, C. Amsler, V. Chiochia, S. De Visscher, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Millan Mejias, C. Regenfus, P. Robmann, A. Schmidt, H. Snoek, L. Wilke

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

Y.H. Chang, K.H. Chen, W.T. Chen, S. Dutta, A. Go, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, M.H. Liu, Z.K. Liu, Y.J. Lu, J.H. Wu, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci, S. Cerci³⁰, Z. Demir, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, T. Karaman, A. Kayis Topaksu, A. Nart, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut³¹, B. Tali, H. Topakli, D. Uzun, L.N. Vergili, M. Vergili, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, E. Yildirim, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

M. Deliomeroglu, D. Demir³², E. Gülmez, A. Halu, B. Isildak, M. Kaya³³, O. Kaya³³, S. Ozkorucuklu³⁴, N. Sonmez³⁵

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

P. Bell, F. Bostock, J.J. Brooke, T.L. Cheng, E. Clement, D. Cussans, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, M. Hansen, D. Hartley, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, B. Huckvale, J. Jackson, L. Kreczko, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold³⁶, K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, S. Ward

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

L. Basso, K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, B. Camanzi, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, B.W. Kennedy, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, G. Ball, J. Ballin, R. Beuselinck, O. Buchmuller, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, G. Karapostoli, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko²⁷, A. Papageorgiou, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi³⁷, D.M. Raymond, N. Rompotis, A. Rose, M.J. Ryan, C. Seez, P. Sharp, A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, S. Tourneur, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, D. Wardrope, T. Whyntie

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

M. Barrett, M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu

Baylor University, Waco, USA

K. Hatakeyama

Boston University, Boston, USA

T. Bose, E. Carrera Jarrin, A. Clough, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, J. St. John, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA

A. Avetisyan, S. Bhattacharya, J.P. Chou, D. Cutts, A. Ferapontov, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, G. Landsberg, M. Narain, D. Nguyen, M. Segala, T. Speer, K.V. Tsang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

M.A. Borgia, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, D. Cebra, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, P.T. Cox, J. Dolen, R. Erbacher, E. Friis, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, H. Liu, S. Maruyama, T. Miceli, M. Nikolic, D. Pellett, J. Robles, T. Schwarz, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, R. Vasquez Sierra, C. Veelken

University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

V. Andreev, K. Arisaka, D. Cline, R. Cousins, A. Deisher, J. Duris, S. Erhan, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, C. Plager, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], J. Tucker, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, F. Giordano, G. Hanson, G.Y. Jeng, S.C. Kao, F. Liu, H. Liu, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, G. Pasztor³⁸, A. Satpathy, B.C. Shen[†], R. Stringer, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, E. Dusingberre, D. Evans, F. Golf, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, B. Mangano, J. Muelmenstaedt, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, H. Pi, M. Pieri, R. Ranieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma¹, S. Simon, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Barge, R. Bellan, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, J. Incandela, C. Justus, P. Kalavase, S.A. Koay, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, F. Rebasoo, J. Ribnik, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, J.R. Vlimant

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, M. Gataullin, D. Kcira, V. Litvine, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, V. Timciuc, P. Traczyk, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, Y. Yang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

B. Akgun, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, S.Y. Jun, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, J. Russ, N. Terentyev, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, M.E. Dinardo, B.R. Drell, C.J. Edelmaier, W.T. Ford, B. Heyburn, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner, S.L. Zang

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

L. Agostino, J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, S. Das, N. Eggert, L.J. Fields, L.K. Gibbons, B. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, V. Kuznetsov, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, D. Puigh, D. Riley, A. Ryd, X. Shi, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Vaughan, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

A. Biselli, G. Cirino, D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, M. Atac, J.A. Bakken, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, I. Bloch, F. Borchering, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, M. Demarteau, D.P. Eartly, V.D. Elvira, S. Esen, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, D. Green, K. Gunthoti, O. Gutsche, A. Hahn, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, E. James, H. Jensen, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, R. Khatiwada, B. Kilminster, B. Klima, K. Kousouris, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, P. Limon, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, T. McCauley, T. Miao, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko³⁹, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, S. Popescu⁴⁰, R. Pordes, O. Prokofyev, N. Saoulidou, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, P. Tan, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, F. Yumiceva, J.C. Yun

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Gartner, S. Goldberg, B. Kim, S. Klimenko, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, K. Matchev, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, Y. Pakhotin, C. Prescott, R. Remington, M. Schmitt, B. Scurlock, P. Sellers, N. Skhirtladze, D. Wang, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA

C. Ceron, V. Gaultney, L. Kramer, L.M. Lebolo, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, D. Bandurin, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, M. Jenkins, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, S. Sekmen, V. Veeraraghavan

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, S. Guragain, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, R. Ralich, I. Vodopiyanov

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, I.M. Anghel, L. Apanasevich, Y. Bai, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, C. Dragoiu, E.J. Garcia-Solis, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, F. Lacroix, C. O'Brien, C. Silvestre, A. Smoron, D. Strom, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak, B. Bilki, K. Cankocak⁴¹, W. Clarida, F. Duru, C.K. Lae, E. McCliment, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, E. Norbeck, J. Olson, Y. Onel, F. Ozok, S. Sen, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, A. Bonato, C. Eskew, D. Fehling, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, Z.J. Guo, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, S. Rappoccio, M. Swartz, N.V. Tran, A. Whitbeck

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, O. Grachov, M. Murray, D. Noonan, V. Radicci, S. Sanders, J.S. Wood, V. Zhukova

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

T. Bolton, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze, Z. Wan

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, M. Boutemour, S.C. Eno, D. Ferencek, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, M. Kirn, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, K. Rossato, P. Rumerio, F. Santanastasio, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar, E. Twedt

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

B. Alver, G. Bauer, J. Bendavid, W. Busza, E. Butz, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, V. Dutta, P. Everaerts, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, K.A. Hahn, P. Harris, Y. Kim, M. Klute, Y.-J. Lee, W. Li, C. Loizides, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, M. Rudolph, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Sung, E.A. Wenger, S. Xie, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

P. Cole, S.I. Cooper, P. Cushman, B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, P.R. Duderø, G. Franzoni, J. Haupt, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, V. Rekovic, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky

University of Mississippi, University, USA

L.M. Cremaldi, R. Godang, R. Kroeger, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

K. Bloom, S. Bose, J. Butt, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, J. Keller, T. Kelly, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, C. Lundstedt, H. Malbouisson, S. Malik, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

U. Baur, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S.P. Shipkowski, K. Smith

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, O. Boeriu, M. Chasco, K. Kaadze, S. Reucroft, J. Swain, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

A. Anastassov, A. Kubik, N. Odell, R.A. Ofierzynski, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

L. Antonelli, D. Berry, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, T. Kolberg, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, J. Warchol, M. Wayne, J. Ziegler

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, J. Gu, C. Hill, P. Killewald, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, M. Rodenburg, G. Williams

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

N. Adam, E. Berry, P. Elmer, D. Gerbaudo, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, A. Hunt, J. Jones, E. Laird, D. Lopes Pegna, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

J.G. Acosta, X.T. Huang, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, S. Oliveros, J.E. Ramirez Vargas, A. Zatserklyaniy

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

E. Alagoz, V.E. Barnes, G. Bolla, L. Borrello, D. Bortoletto, A. Everett, A.F. Garfinkel, Z. Gece, L. Gutay, Z. Hu, M. Jones, O. Koybasi, A.T. Laasanen, N. Leonardo, C. Liu, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, K. Potamianos, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

P. Jindal, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA

C. Boulahouache, V. Cuplov, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, J.H. Liu, J. Morales, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, Y.S. Chung, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, H. Flacher,

A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, Y. Gotra, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, D. Orbaker, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

A. Bhatti, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, C. Mesropian, M. Yan

Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

O. Atramentov, A. Barker, D. Duggan, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, D. Hits, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, R. Patel, A. Richards, K. Rose, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

J. Asaadi, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, A. Gurrola, T. Kamon, V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, C.N. Nguyen, J. Pivarski, A. Safonov, S. Sengupta, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback, M. Weinberger

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Bardak, J. Damgov, C. Jeong, K. Kovitangoon, S.W. Lee, P. Mane, Y. Roh, A. Sill, I. Volobouev, R. Wigmans, E. Yazgan

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

E. Appelt, E. Brownson, D. Engh, C. Florez, W. Gabella, W. Johns, P. Kurt, C. Maguire, A. Melo, P. Sheldon, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, M. Balazs, S. Boutle, M. Buehler, S. Conetti, B. Cox, B. Francis, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, R. Yohay

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, P. Lamichhane, M. Mattson, C. Milstène, A. Sakharov

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

M. Anderson, M. Bachtis, J.N. Bellinger, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, J. Efron, L. Gray, K.S. Grogg, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton¹, M. Herndon, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, J. Leonard, D. Lomidze, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, D. Reeder, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson, M. Weinberg

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 2: Also at Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil
- 3: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 4: Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt
- 5: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 6: Also at Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
- 7: Also at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA
- 8: Also at Université de Haute-Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 9: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 10: Also at Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 11: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 12: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 13: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
- 14: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

- 15: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy
- 16: Also at Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
- 17: Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell' INFN, Legnaro, Italy
- 18: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 19: Also at Faculty of Physics of University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 20: Also at University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- 21: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 22: Also at Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- 23: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell' INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 24: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy
- 25: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 26: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
- 27: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- 28: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
- 29: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
- 30: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 31: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 32: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 33: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 34: Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey
- 35: Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey
- 36: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- 37: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- 38: Also at KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- 39: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 40: Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania
- 41: Also at Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey