H igh energy factorization in nucleus-nucleus collisions III. Long range rapidity correlations

Francois Gelis^(1,2), Tuom as Lappi⁽²⁾, Raju Venugopalan⁽³⁾

- 1. Theory Division, PH-TH, Case C01600, CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland
- Institut de Physique Theorique (URA 2306 du CNRS) CEA/DSM /Saclay, Bât. 774
 91191, G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France
- 3. Physics D epartm ent, B rookhaven N ational Laboratory U pton, NY -11973, U SA

A bstract

We obtain a novel result in QCD for long range rapidity correlations between gluons produced in the collision of saturated high energy hadrons or nuclei. This result, obtained in a high energy factorization fram ework, provides strong justi cation for the G lasm a ux tube picture of coherent strong color elds. Our form alism can be applied to \near side ridge" events at R H IC and in future studies of long range rapidity correlations at the LHC.

1 Introduction

Long range rapidity correlations in high energy hadronic collisions are of interest in QCD because causality dictates that these correlations are produced at very early times. They therefore provide insight into how color correlations in the hadron wavefunctions become dynamically manifest in multiparticle nal states. Recent observations in nucleus{nucleus collisions at RHIC of a \near side ridge" structure in two-particle correlations [1,2,3] and signi cant forwardbackw ard multiplicity correlations [4] have reinvigorated interest in the underlying dynam ics of these correlations in QCD. At the LHC, with its wider rapidity coverage, such correlations in QCD and of highly coherent strong color elds generated at early times in nuclear collisions.

Long range rapidity correlations were previously studied in color ux tube models where the non {perturbative dynamics is at the QCD scale 1

fm ¹. How ever, the rapid grow th of parton distributions, and the requirement that occupation numbers in QCD saturate at $1 = {}_{s}$, where ${}_{s}$ is the QCD coupling constant, suggests that the dynamics of color correlations is controlled instead by a semi-hard saturation scale Q_s ${}_{QCD}$. The properties of gluons with maximal occupation are described by the Color G lass C ondensate (CGC) [5]; this saturated regime and the approach to it can be computed in a weak coupling elective eld theory (EFT).

In the CGC EFT, partons with longitudinalm om enta k^+ larger than a cuto m om entum $^+$ in a high energy hadron or nucleus (m oving in the + z direction) are described as static light-cone color sources while m odes with $k^+ < ^+$ are treated as QCD gauge elds that couple to these color sources [6]. Because the physics is independent of this separation scale, one obtains a renorm alization group (RG) equation {the JIM W LK equation [7]{describing the evolution of the distribution of fast sources as $^+$ is low ered.

The QCD matter form ed immediately after a nucleus-nucleus collision is a G lasma [8]. At leading order (LO), solutions of the Yang{M ills equations reveal that the G lasm a corresponds at early times Q_s^{-1} to highly coherent longitudinal chrom oelectric and chrom om agnetic eld con gurations [9,10] with maximal occupation numbers 1 = s. At leading order, the G lasma elds are invariant under boosts in the space{time rapidity and only depend on their transverse positions in the nuclei and the proper time . Further, the LO G lasma elds have the spatial structure of ux tubes stretching between the two nuclei, each localized transversely in a region of size $1=Q_s$. This geom etrical picture naturally explains the rapidity correlations observed in the near side ridge in heavy ion collisions [11,12]. How ever, the boost invariance of the G lasma con gurations at LO is broken by quantum e ects and it is in portant to understand their in pact on multiparticle correlations.

In two previous papers [13,14] {hereafter referred to as papers I and II{ we applied this e ective eld theory to the inclusive multipluon spectra in nucleus-nucleus collisions. The main result in these papers is a proof of the fact that all the leading logarithms that arise in loop corrections to these quantities can be absorbed into universal distributions for the fast sources of the two nuclei. However, in the case of the multipluon spectra, our proof was limited to the very peculiar situation where all the observed gluons lie in a small region in rapidity (of size y s¹). This limitation was due to the fact that we did not resum corrections of the form significant when the rapidities of the gluons i and j. These corrections become in portant when the rapidity separation between the observed gluons is of order s¹ or larger. Physically, these corrections arise from the radiation of extra gluons between those that are measured. This has a high probability of occurring if the rapidity interval between two measured gluons is larger than s¹.

The goal of the present paper, the third in this series, is to extend the treatment in the previous papers to compute inclusive multipluon spectra (to leading logarithm ic accuracy) for arbitrary rapidity intervals between the observed gluons. In the case of the two-gluon spectrum, this is the basis for a detailed quantitative study of long range rapidity correlations in heavy ion collisions¹. These results are new and are valid (for any number of colors including the physical N_c = 3) in a weak coupling scheme where higher order in $_{\rm s}$ contributions{ enhanced by the same powers of the rapidity{are resummed to all orders. In this leading logarithm ic approximation, we will demonstrate that expectation values of operators can be factorized as a convolution of density functionals from each of the nuclei times the operator computed with leading order classical elds. These density functionals evolve according to the JIM W LK equation and are universal; they can be extracted, for instance, in electron-nucleus collisions. A lbeit the focus here will be on nucleus{nucleus collisions, the results also apply to the collision of two \saturated" hadrons at very high energies.

We note that while multiparticle correlations in the strong interactions have been extensively studied [15,16], none of the literature addresses nucleus{nucleus collisions, for nite N_c , in a fram ework where gluon elds are the dynamical degrees of freedom . We will comment later on interesting earlier studies [15] on two-particle correlations in the context of LocalReggeon Field Theory.

The essence of the \technology" needed to resum all the leading logs in multigluon spectra for arbitrary rapidity separations is already contained in papers I and II, albeit in a som ewhat hidden form. In section 2, we review them ain results of these papers and we prove a general form ula for the renorm alization group ow in the CGC when one moves the cuto $^+$ of the elective theory by an in nitesim allow out. In section 3, we show how 1- and 2-gluon inclusive spectra can be obtained from this master form ula. Our form ula for the 2-gluon spectrum is expressed in terms of the usual distributions of color sources, and of a new object that has the interpretation of a propagator (in functional space) for the JIM W LK evolution. In the limit where the two gluons are nearby in rapidity, we recover known results for the 2-gluon spectrum. Finally, in section 4, we discuss the complications that arise when one wants to extend these results to the case where one (or both) of the projectiles is dilute instead of being in the saturation regime. We end with a brief summary and outbok for future work.

2 Leading logarithm s at N LO

Consider an inclusive multipluon eld operator O. In papers I and II, we have shown that its LO value O_{LO} can be expressed in terms of light-cone gauge classical Yang{M ills solutions A , with retarded boundary conditions $\lim_{x^0!} 1$ A (x) = 0. In coordinate space, in the CGC e ective eld theory, the classical sources are localized along the light-cones in two strips 0 x 1= +



Figure 1: Initial space-time surface used in the initial value problem for the retarded classical ed A $\,$.

and 0 x^{\dagger} 1= . We denote by the surface located at a distance x = 1= above the backward light-cone, as illustrated in g.1. Because the classical elds involved in O_{LO} obey retarded boundary conditions, O_{LO} can be obtained by solving an initial value problem with initial conditions de ned on the surface .

It is convenient to describe color sources in the nuclei by distributions of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{W}}$ ilson lines

^Z_{x_y}
{1,2}(y;x?) T expig dz
$$\frac{1}{r_{?}^{2}}$$
 e_{1,2}(z;x_?); (1)

where $e_{1,2}$ are the color source densities in Lorenz gauge. Here the upper bound x_y in the integral is related to y by y $\ln(P \ x_y)$, with P the longitudinal momenta of the respective nuclei².

In papers I and II, the next-to-leading order (NLO) inclusive multipluon spectrum was shown to take the form

$$O_{NLO} = \frac{{}^{8}_{<} Z}{:} d^{3} \mathfrak{a} \qquad \mathbb{T}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{a} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3} 2E_{k}} \frac{Z}{d^{3} \mathfrak{a} d^{3} \mathfrak{a} a_{k} a} \qquad \mathbb{T} a_{+k} a \qquad \mathbb{T} a_{$$

up to term s that do not contribute at leading logarithm ic accuracy. The elds

(x) and a $_{k-a}(x)$ are small quantum uctuations propagating over the classical ed A . The form er has a vanishing boundary condition in the rem ote past and its evolution is driven by a source term consisting of a 1-bop tadpole graph. The latter evolves without any source term, but its boundary condition in the rem ote past is a plane wave $T^a = e^{ik - x}$ (; a and k denote the polarization, color and momentum of the initial uctuation). Here, d^3x is the measure on .

 $^{^2}W$ ith this convention, y is the rapidity measured from the beam rapidity and y = 0 corresponds to including only valence partons that have 0 $\,$ x $\,$ 1=P $\,$.

Further, O $_{\rm LO}\,$ is a functional of the value of the classical $\,$ eld A $\,$ on the surface

and the di erential operator \mathbb{T}_u acting on O_{LO} is the generator of shifts of the value of A on . The only part of the expression for this operator that is in portant for computing leading logarithm ic contributions is³

a
$$T = 0 \left(\begin{array}{c} ab \\ 1;2 \\ a_{b} \end{array} \right) - 0 \left(\begin{array}{c} ac \\ 1;2 \\ 1;2 \\ A_{c} \\ (u) \end{array} \right) ;$$
 (3)

with $_{1,2}$ de ned as in eq. (1) with y $\ln(P =)$.

The next step in evaluating the NLO corrections is to integrate over the momentum k in eq. (2). One integral appears explicitly in the term that has two operators T, and anotherm om entum integral is hidden in the source term of the uctuation eld . Since in the CGC elective theory the modes described as elds are bounded by k < , these longitudinalmom entum integrals have an upper bound. We shall compute only the contribution of modes in the small slices $^{0\mathrm{t}}$ < k^{+} < $^{+}$ and 0 < k < . By integrating out the eld modes in these slices, one is going from the original CGC EFT to a new CGC 0 EFT. The latter di ers from the form er because it has an additional layer of (slower) sources while its eld modes now extend only up to smaller cuto s 0 .

U sing the results of paper I, we have to leading logarithm ic accuracy,

$$\overset{Z}{\operatorname{d}^{3}\mathfrak{u}} \qquad \overset{\mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{\mathbb{T}}} + \frac{1}{2} \overset{X}{\underset{a}{\overset{\mathbb{Z}}{\xrightarrow{2}}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2\mathrm{E}_{k}} \overset{Z}{\operatorname{d}^{3}\mathfrak{u}} \mathrm{d}^{3}\mathfrak{v} a_{ka} \qquad \overset{\mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{\mathbb{T}}} a_{+ka} \qquad \overset{\mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{\mathbb{T}}}$$

$$H + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A^{a}}{R^{a}_{a}} \frac{A^{b}_{a}}{R^{a}_{a}} (; y_{?}) \frac{A^{b}_{a}}{R^{b}_{b}} (; y_{?}) \frac{A^{b}_{b}}{R^{b}_{b}} (; x_{?});$$
(5)

with = 1 = + and where⁴

$${}^{ab}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{y}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{d^{2}u_{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{2}^{i} - u_{2}^{i})(\mathbf{y}_{2}^{i} - u_{2}^{i})}{(\mathbf{x}_{2} - u_{2})^{2}(\mathbf{y}_{2} - u_{2})^{2}} \right]$$

$${}^{b}_{1} + {}^{1}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) {}^{y}_{1}(\mathbf{y}_{2}) - {}^{1}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) {}^{y}_{1}(\mathbf{u}_{2}) - {}^{1}_{1}(\mathbf{u}_{2}) {}^{y}_{1}(\mathbf{y}_{2})]$$

$${}^{i}_{ab} = (6)$$

There is a similar de nition for the second nucleus moving in the $\ \ z$ direction.

 $^{^3{\}rm The}$ complete operator a $~~{\rm T}$ is made of three terms, but two of them (not written explicitly here) do not provide any leading logarithm ic contributions.

 $^{^4}$ W ilson lines without a rapidity argument are de ned as in eq.() with y ln(P =) (they integrate over all the sources of the CGC EFT down to the cuto . Moreover, the derivatives in the JIM W LK H am iltonian are with respect to the slowest sources of the EFT.

An important point to note here is that the relation in eq. (4) is a property of the operator enclosed in the curly brackets of eq. (2), regardless of the details of the observable 0 under consideration. The second remarkable property of this result is that the leading logarithm s can be assigned to one or the other of the two nuclei, without any mixing that would violate factorization.

The expectation value of O , at NLO , can be represented in the CGC e ective theory as

$$D = E Z$$

$$O_{L0} + O_{NL0} = D_{1}(y;x_{?})D_{2}(y;x_{?})$$

$$W_{+} (y;x_{?})W_{2}(y;x_{?}) O_{L0} + O_{NL0}; (7)$$

where W $[_{1,2}(y;x_2)]$ are the functional probability distributions for the W ilson line con gurations $_{1,2}(y;x_2)$.

Inserting eq. (4) in eq. (2) and substituting the resulting expression on the r.h.s. of eq. (7), one can perform an integration by parts 5 such that H operates on the distributions W $\,$. Let us denote 6

$$\begin{array}{c} h & + & i \\ W_{0+} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (y; x_{?}) & 1 + \ln \frac{0}{0+} & H + W_{+} & 1 \end{bmatrix} (y; x_{?}) : \qquad (8) \\ | \underbrace{\{z_{--}\}}_{dy} \}$$

This equation is the in nitesimal form of the JIMW LK evolution equation, where 0_1 is the W ilson line corresponding to a source distribution $e^0_1 = e_1 + e_1$ and e_1 has support only in the interval [y; y + dy].

The argument of W $_{0+}$ in eq. (8) extends one step further in rapidity than the argument of W $_{+}$ { it is dened over the range 0 y ln (P^t = $^{0+}$) and is hence a W ilson line in the CGC⁰ EFT. Thus our derivation proves that

$$D = E = D = E_{0}$$

$$O_{L_{0}} + O_{|-|\{Z^{0}\}} = O_{L_{0}} :$$

$$O_{L_{0}} + O_{|-|\{Z^{0}\}} = O_{L_{0}} :$$
(9)

The prime on the rhs. indicates that the average is performed with a probability distribution corresponding to the W ilson lines of the CGC⁰ e ective theory. In other words, this identity states that the classical expectation value of 0 in the original EFT, corrected by quantum uctuations in a small slice of eld modes, can be expressed as a purely classical expectation value in a new EFT with a lower cuto and with a distribution of W ilson lines evolved according to eq. (8).

Equation (9) describes how to resum the leading logarithm ic quantum corrections in a small slice of longitudinal momentum. Successive leading logarithm ic contributions down to k = 0 are obtained by repeating this elementary step in nitely many times while letting the thickness of the slices go to zero. One

 $^{^5\,{\}rm The}\,{\rm JIM}\,{\rm W}$ LK H am iltonian is H erm itian .

 $^{^{6}\}mathrm{A}\,\mathrm{n}$ identical analysis also applies to the second nucleus.

then obtains $D \in Z$ $O = D_{1}(y;x_{?})D_{2}(y;x_{?}) W_{1}(y;x_{?}) W_{2}(y;x_{?}) O_{LO};(10)$

where W lim ${}_{!0}$ W . This expression is the central result of this paper. Eq. (10) shows that all the leading logarithms of rapidity, whether they correspond to the rapidity intervals between the nuclei and the tagged gluons or between the various tagged gluons, can be absorbed into the probability distributions W for the trajectories of W ilson lines of the two projectiles. This form ula applies to any inclusive observable for which eq. (2) is valid, regardless of whether the observable is local in rapidity or not. Because our result contains an average over y-dependent \trajectories" of W ilson lines, rather than an average over W ilson lines at a given xed rapidity, it contains a lot of information about multipluon correlations at di erent rapidities.

3 One-and two-gluon inclusive spectra



Figure 2: Diagram matic representation of the various building blocks in the factorized formula for the inclusive single gluon spectrum. The lower part of the gure, representing nucleus 2, is made up of identical building blocks.

We will now extract from our general result in eq. (10), expressions for single and double inclusive gluon spectra. The single inclusive gluon spectrum $dN_1=d^3p$ at LO depends only on the W ilson lines $_{1,2}(y;x_2)$ at the rapidity $y = y_p$ of the produced gluon and not on the whole rapidity range as in eq. (10).

Therefore, we can simplify eq. (10) by inserting the identity

Ζ

1

$$= D U_{1;2}(x_{?}) U_{1;2}(x_{?}) \qquad _{1;2}(y_{p};x_{?}) \qquad (11)$$

and by de ning the corresponding probability distributions for con gurations of W ilson lines at the rapidity $y_{\rm p}$ $_{\rm Z}$

$$Z_{y_{p}}[U_{1,2}(x_{?})] \qquad D_{1,2}(y;x_{?}) W_{1,2}(y;x_{?}) U_{1,2}(x_{?}) _{1,2}(y_{p};x_{?}):$$
(12)

One then obtains the all order leading log result for the single inclusive gluon spectrum in the following form

$$\frac{D}{d^{2}p_{2} dy_{p}} E = \sum_{L = 0}^{Z} DU_{1}(x_{2}) DU_{2}(x_{2}) Z_{y_{p}}[U_{1}]Z_{y_{p}}[U_{2}] \frac{dN_{1}U_{1};U_{2}}{d^{2}p_{2} dy_{p}}$$
:

Note that the distribution Z_{y_p} [U] obeys the JIM W LK equation,

7

$$(0_{y_p} Z_{y_p} [U] = H_{y_p} Z_{y_p} [U];$$
 (14)

which must be supplemented by an initial condition at a rapidity close to the fragmentation region of the projectiles. Eq. (13) is illustrated in the gure 2.

At Leading Order, the inclusive two-gluon spectrum is simply the disconnected product of two single gluon spectra [14,17] each of which depends on W ilson lines at the rapidity of the corresponding gluon. Using eq. (11) in eq. (10) (now we need to insert four such delta functions), one obtains for the resum m ed two-gluon spectrum the expression

$$\frac{dN_{2}}{d^{2}p_{2} dy_{p}d^{2}q_{2} dy_{q}} = DU_{1}^{p}(x_{2})DU_{2}^{p}(x_{2})DU_{1}^{q}(x_{2})DU_{2}^{q}(x_{2})$$

$$Z_{y_{p},y_{q}}U_{1}^{p};U_{1}^{q}Z_{y_{p},y_{q}}U_{2}^{p};U_{2}^{q}\frac{dN_{1}U_{1}^{p};U_{2}^{p}}{d^{2}p_{2} dy_{p}} \frac{dN_{1}U_{1}^{q};U_{2}^{q}}{d^{2}q_{2} dy_{q}} (15)$$

where we have introduced the double probability distribution of W ilson lines as $\frac{7}{2}$

$$Z_{y_{p},y_{q}} U_{1,2}^{p}; U_{1,2}^{q} \qquad D_{1,2}(y; x_{?}) W_{1,2}(y; x_{?})$$
$$U_{1,2}^{p}(x_{?}) \qquad U_{1,2}^{p}(x_{?}) \qquad U_{1,2}^{q}(x_{?}) \qquad U_{1,2}^{q}(x_{?}) \qquad (16)$$

T his double distribution obeys the JIM W LK equation with respect to the largest of the two rapidities,

$$if y_{q} > y_{p}; \quad \varrho_{y_{q}} Z_{y_{p}, y_{q}} U^{p}; U^{q} = H_{y_{q}} Z_{y_{p}, y_{q}} U^{p}; U^{q}; \quad (17)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\lim_{y_q ! y_p} Z_{y_p, y_q} U^p; U^q = Z_{y_p} U^p U^p U^q :$$
(18)

A lternately, this double distribution can be expressed as

$$Z_{y_{p},y_{q}} U^{p}; U^{q} = G_{y_{q},y_{p}} U^{q}; U^{p} Z_{y_{p}} U^{p};$$
(19)

where the G reen's function G_{y_q,y_p} U^q; U^p satisfies the JIM W LK equation⁷

$$\mathcal{Q}_{y_{q}} \mathcal{G}_{y_{q}, y_{p}} U^{q}; U^{p} = H_{y_{q}} \mathcal{G}_{y_{q}, y_{p}} U^{q}; U^{p}; \qquad (20)$$

with the initial condition

$$\lim_{y_q ! y_p} G_{y_q, y_p} U^q; U^p = U^q U^q :$$
(21)

T his G reen's function describes multipluon evolution, between two tagged gluons, in the presence of strong color sources from the projectiles.

O ur result for the double inclusive gluon spectrum , to leading logarithm ic accuracy, can thus be expressed as follows

Eq. (22) generalizes the result in paper II { that result, as in plied by eq. (21), is recovered when the rapidities of the two gluons are close to each other. Our form ula for the two-gluon spectrum in eq. (22) is illustrated in g.(3). By using eq. (10), it is straightforward to write down sim ilar form ulae for higher gluon correlations.

Factorization is obviously manifest in eq. (10). It is slightly less apparent in eq. (22) because there are more factors in the integrand. However, both the Z – functionals and the G G reen's functionals are universal objects that describe the partonic content of a nucleus at high energy. The part of the integrand which is speci c to the observable under consideration is relegated to the factors dN $_1$ =d³p and dN $_1$ =d³q.

Note also that these distributions are far more general than the k_2 (dependent correlators of W ilson lines that are often discussed in the literature of high energy QCD. The latter appear in a form of factorization which goes under the rubric of k_2 -factorization. This type of factorization is form ulated in terms of single gluon distributions, but is known to be violated [16] for 2-gluon correlations in collisions involving at least one saturated projectile. It is in this context that one should interpret the results of [15] which concludes that factorization is violated. In stark contrast, our universal density functionals and

 $^{^7{\}rm W}$ e use here, and previously, the fact that the JIM W LK H am iltonian acts only on objects at equal or higher rapidities.



Figure 3: Diagram matic representation of the various building blocks in the factorized form ula for the inclusive 2-gluon spectrum. As in the previous gure, the corresponding evolution from nucleus 2 at the bottom of the gure is not shown explicitly.

G reen's functions contain all the relevant inform ation on rapidity dependent n-gluon correlations. In fact, our factorization result is a general consequence of causality and for this reason should even be valid beyond leading log accuracy.

In practice, solving the JM W LK equation to compute the rhs of eq. (22) is more conveniently achieved by writing this equation as a Langevin equation for W ilson lines living on the SU (3) group manifold [18]. This stochastic form ulation was implemented in the only extant numerical study of the JIM W LK equation [19]. Because solving the JIM W LK equation can be numerically challenging, a simpler form ulation of high energy evolution is provided by the Balitsky {K ovchegov (BK) equation [20], which is a closed form meaneld simplication of the JIM W LK expression for 2-point W ilson line correlators in the CGC ⁸. The BK equation corresponds to a nonlocal G aussian form of the $Z_{\rm Yp}$; $Z_{\rm Yq}$ functionals [21] (when expressed in terms of the color source distributions) with a variance $^2_{\rm A}$ ($y_{\rm Prq}$; x_2). Because the weight functionals at both $y_{\rm p}$ and $y_{\rm q}$ have this G aussian form , the G reen's function G $_{\rm Yp}$, $y_{\rm q}$ connecting the two m ust also be a G aussian, whose variance can be determ ined from numerical solutions of the BK fram ew ork. These will be discussed in future work.

 $^{^8\,{\}rm T}\,{\rm he}\,{\rm B}\,{\rm K}\,$ equation is valid in the large N $_{\rm c}\,$ lim it for large nuclei.

4 Dilute-dense lim it

The results obtained here are valid for the collision of two dense projectiles whose color charge densities of both are given by $e_{1,2}$ g¹. This is the case in large nuclei or in nucleons at very high energies. An interesting question is whether decreasing the magnitude of e_1 and/or e_2 in the form ulas we have obtained so far gives the correct answer for dilute-dense or dilute-dilute collisions. Before going further, let us note that the permitted range for dilute to dense color charge densities is between g and g¹. The upper value, assumed in our study, corresponds to a fully saturated projectile. The lower value corresponds to a very dilute projectile whose parton density is of order unity ensuring that its density of color charge is proportional to g.

The answer to the question posed is a mative for the single inclusive gluon spectrum (eq. (13)). By taking the limit e_2 g in this formula, one recovers immediately the well known result for the single inclusive spectrum in pA collisions and likewise for pp collisions when we let both $e_{1,2}$ become of order g.



Figure 4:0 rder ofm agnitude of various contributions to the 2-gluon spectrum as a function of the color charge density $_2$ in the small projectile (the color charge density in the large projectile is held xed $_1 = 0$ (g 1)). Large logarithm s of the energy, which become relevant in the leading logarithm ic resummation, are not considered here.

However, taking this lim it in the 2-gluon spectrum (eq. (22)) does not lead to the correct results for the inclusive 2-gluon spectrum in pA or pp collisions [16]. The reason of this discrepancy is that the corresponding power counting for the two gluon spectrum is very di erent for \dense" color sources g^1 relative to the case of \dilute" sources g. In the power counting for dense sources

g¹, certain graphs are suppressed that would also be leading graphs for dilute sources g. This is illustrated in gure 4, where we have displayed the order of magnitude of three di erent contributions to the 2-gluon spectrum, as a function of e_2 . (For pA collisions, e_1 is xed to be of order g¹.) One sees that in the dense case, only one of these graphs is in portant, while they are all in portant in the dilute lim it. Since eq. (22) is obtained by assuming dense projectiles, it contains only the disconnected graph and m isses the other two { this in plies that eq. (22) is not the com plete answer in the dilute lim it. As noted, this subtlety a ects correlations between two orm ore gluons but not the single gluon spectrum.

The previous discussion only deals with contributions at Leading Order. However, a similar discrepancy between the power counting in the dense-dense and dilute-dense limits occurs in the resummation of the leading logarithm ic contributions. There, one sees that the operators included in the JIMW LK H am iltonian are not the only ones that contribute at leading log accuracy in the dilute regime. For instance, when e q, an operator of the form $q^4 e^2 (= e)^4$ has the same order of magnitude as the operators in the JIM W LK Ham iltonian in the dilute lim it (but is totally suppressed in the dense regim e). Such an operator, with a prefactor of order e² and four derivatives with respect to the color source, corresponds to \pom eron splittings" in the energy evolution [16,22,23,24,25] { while the JIM W LK evolution only has \pom eron m ergings" (because the num ber of e's in the prefactor is always equal to or greater than the num ber of derivatives). In principle, one would like a form alism where both lim its contain the right physics. Unlike previous works which address the full S-m atrix for high energy scattering, our focus will be on the more lim ited goal of com puting inclusive gluon spectra in dense-dilute collisions. We believe that substantial progress in this direction is feasible and will further address this topic in a future publication.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we obtained in eq. (10) a general result for inclusive n-gluon production at arbitrary rapidities in the collision of two dense projectiles (such as heavy nuclei) with charge densities given by $e_{1,2}$ g¹. The result is expressed in term s of universal W -density matrix functionals which contain information on n-gluon correlations in the wavefunctions of the dense projectiles. Our form alism is strictly valid in the leading logarithm ic approximation in x. We anticipate, on the basis of simple causality arguments, that the structure of our result will hold beyond leading logarithm ic accuracy.

W e explicitly w rote down the corresponding expressions for single and double inclusive gluon production with arbitrarily large rapidity separations between tagged gluons. Until this point, there was no m icroscopic QCD based form alism that allows the computation of the near side ridge correlations in nucleus-nucleus collisions when the rapidity separation between the measured particles is of the order of 1 = s or m ore. O ur form alism lls this gap and allows for future quanti-

tative com parisons and predictions for the rapidity dependence of the the ridge like structures observed in central nucleus{nucleus collisions at RHIC and in future at the LHC.At the LHC, one may have the possibility of studying such structures that may span 6-10 units in rapidity. Such long range correlations therefore open a new window on the study of multiparton correlations in QCD as well as the provide a \chronom eter" of the strong eld initial \G lasm a" stage of heavy ion collisions.

W hen both projectiles are dense, we argued that only \Pom eron m ergings" that are fully included in the JIMW LK Hamiltonian are relevant for inclusive gluon production. W hen one or both of the projectiles becom es dilute, our power counting suggests that \Pomeron splitting" contributions, become equally in portant as the \m erging" contributions for correlations involving two orm ore gluons. Because these are not included in the JIM W LK form alism, they cannot be obtained by taking a naive low density lim it of the dense-dense formalism discussed in this paper. A smooth interpolation from the dilute-dense to the dense dense limits for multipluon inclusive distributions requires that we rst compute corrections to the JIM W LK Ham iltonian in the dilute-dense lim it. W hile there have been several such studies in the context of the S-m atrix for high energy scattering, they are in their infancy for inclusive multipluon production [26]. These studies will be important for extending our studies for nucleus {nucleus collisions to asymmetric systems such as high energy proton { nucleus collisions. This work is in progress and will be addressed in a future publication.

A cknow ledgem ents

We thank the Center for Theoretical Sciences of the Tata Institute for Fundam ental Research for their support during the program $\Initial Conditions$ in Heavy Ion Collisions". R.V.'s research is supported by the US Department of Energy under DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. F.G.'s work is supported in part by Agence Nationale de la Recherche via the program me ANR-06-BLAN-0285-01.

R eferences

- [1] J.Putschke, J.Phys.G 34, S679 (2007).
- [2] STAR, M. Daugherity, arX iv:0806.2121 [nuclex].
- [3] PHOBOS, B. Alver et al., J. Phys. G 35, 104080 (2008).
- [4] STAR, BK. Srivastava, Int. J. M od. Phys. E16, 3371 (2007).
- [5] E. Jancu and R. Venugopalan, arX iv hep-ph/0303204; H.W eigert, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 55, 461 (2005).

- [6] L.D.M cLerran and R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2233 (1994); ibid.
 3352 (1994); ibid. D 50, 2225 (1994).
- [7] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran and H. Weigert, Phys. Rev. D 55, 5414 (1997); J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov and H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. B 504, 415 (1997); ibid. Phys. Rev. D 59, 014014 (1998); E. Iancu, A. Leonidov and L. D. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 692, 583 (2001); ibid. Phys. Lett. B 510, 133 (2001); E. Ferreiro, E. Iancu, A. Leonidov and L.M. CLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 703, 489 (2002).
- [8] T. Lappiand L. M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 200 (2006).
- [9] A.Kovner, L.D.M cLerran and H.W eigert, Phys. Rev. D 52, 3809 (1995).
- [10] D. Kharzeev, A. Krasnitz and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Lett. B 545, 298 (2002).
- [11] A.Dum itru, F.Gelis, L.M cLerran and R.Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 810, 91 (2008);
- [12] S.Gavin, L.M cLerran and G.M oschelli, arX iv:0806.4718 [nucl-th].
- [13] F.Gelis, T.Lappiand R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 78,054019 (2008).
- [14] F.Gelis, T.Lappiand R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 78,054020 (2008).
- [15] M. Ciafaloni and G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. B109, 261 (1976); M A. Braun, Eur. Phys. J. C 48, 501 (2006); ibid. arX iv:0801.0493.
- [16] J.Jalilian-Marian and Y.V.Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 70, 114017 (2004).
- [17] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 748, 627 (2005);
 N. Am esto, L. McLerran and C. Pajares, Nucl. Phys. A 781, 201 (2007).
- [18] J.P.B laizot, E. Iancu and H.W eigert, Nucl. Phys. A 713, 441 (2003).
- [19] K.Rummukainen and H.Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A 739, 183 (2004).
- [20] I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. B 463, 99 (1996); Y. V. Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 60,034008 (1999).
- [21] H. Fujii, F. Gelis and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 780, 146 (2006).
- [22] A.H.M ueller, A.I.Shoshiand S.M.H.W ong, Nucl. Phys. B 715 (2005) 440.
- [23] E. Iancu and D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, Nucl. Phys. A 756 (2005) 419.
- [24] A.Kovner and M.Lublinsky, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 085004.
- [25] A.Kovner and M.Lublinsky, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 074023.
- [26] A.Kovner and M.Lublinsky, JHEP 11,083 (2006).