# H igh energy factorization in nucleus-nucleus collisions III. Long range rapidity correlations

Francois G elis<sup>(1,2)</sup>, Tuom as Lappi<sup>(2)</sup>, R a ju V enugopa lan<sup>(3)</sup>

- 1. Theory D ivision, PH-TH, Case C01600, CERN, CH-1211, G eneva 23, Sw itzerland
- 2. Institut de Physique Theorique (URA 2306 du CNRS) CEA/DSM/Saclay, Bât. 774 91191, G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France
- 3. Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY -11973, USA

#### A bstract

We obtain a novel result in QCD for long range rapidity correlations between gluons produced in the collision of saturated high energy hadrons or nuclei. This result, obtained in a high energy factorization fram ework, provides strong justi cation for the G lasm a ux tube picture of coherent strong cobr ebs. Our form alism can be applied to \near side ridge" events at RHIC and in future studies of long range rapidity correlations at the LHC.

#### Introduction 1

Long range rapidity correlations in high energy hadronic collisions are of interest in QCD because causality dictates that these correlations are produced at very early times. They therefore provide insight into how color correlations in the hadron wavefunctions become dynamically manifest in multiparticle nal states. Recent observations in nucleus{nucleus collisions at RHIC of a \near side ridge" structure in two-particle correlations [1,2,3] and signi cant forwardbackward multiplicity correlations [4] have reinvigorated interest in the underlying dynam ics of these correlations in QCD. At the LHC, with its wider rapidity coverage, such correlation studies can prove a powerful diagnostic tool both of multiparton correlations in QCD and of highly coherent strong color elds generated at early times in nuclear collisions.

Long range rapidity correlations were previously studied in color ux tube m odels where the non {perturbative dynam ics is at the QCD scale  $\sim$  $\mathbf{1}$ 

fm  $^{-1}$ . H ow ever, the rapid grow th of parton distributions, and the requirem ent that occupation num bers in QCD saturate at  $1 = s$ , w here s is the QCD coupling constant, suggests that the dynam ics of color correlations is controlled instead by a sem i-hard saturation scale  $Q_s$  . The properties of gluons w ith m axim al occupation are described by the C olor G lass C ondensate  $(CGC)$  [\[5\]](#page-12-4); this saturated regime and the approach to it can be computed in a  $w$ eak coupling e ective eld theory (EFT).

In the CGC EFT, partons with longitudinalm om enta  $k^+$  larger than a cuto m om entum  $\rightarrow$  in a high energy hadron or nucleus (m oving in the + z direction) are described as static light-cone color sources while m odes with  $k^+ <$  + are treated as  $QCD$  gauge elds that couple to these color sources [\[6\]](#page-13-0). Because the physics is independent of this separation scale, one obtains a renorm alization group (RG) equation{the JMW LK equation [\[7\]](#page-13-1){describing the evolution of the distribution of fast sources as  $+$  is lowered.

The QCD matter form ed im m ediately after a nucleus-nucleus collision is a G lasm a  $[8]$ . A t leading order (LO), solutions of the Yang $[M]$  ills equations reveal that the G lasm a corresponds at early times  $\cdot$  O  $_{\rm s}$ <sup>1</sup> to highly coher-ent longitudinal chrom oelectric and chrom om agnetic eld con gurations [\[9](#page-13-3)[,10\]](#page-13-4) w ith m axim al occupation num bers  $1=$  s. At leading order, the G lasm a elds are invariant under boosts in the space{tim e rapidity and only depend on their transverse positions in the nuclei and the proper time . Further, the LO G lasm a elds have the spatial structure of ux tubes stretching between the two nuclei, each localized transversely in a region of size  $1=Q_s$ . This geom etricalpicture naturally explains the rapidity correlations observed in the near side ridge in heavy ion collisions  $[11,12]$  $[11,12]$ . H owever, the boost invariance of the G lasm a con qurations at LO is broken by quantum eects and it is im portant to understand their im pact on m ultiparticle correlations.

In two previous papers  $[13,14]$  $[13,14]$  {hereafter referred to as papers I and II{ we applied this e ective eld theory to the inclusive m ultigluon spectra in nucleusnucleus collisions. The m ain result in these papers is a proof of the fact that allthe leading logarithm s that arise in loop corrections to these quantities can be absorbed into universaldistributions for the fast sources ofthe two nuclei. H owever, in the case of the multigluon spectra, our proof was limited to the very peculiar situation w here all the observed gluons lie in a sm all region in rapidity (of size y  $_5$ <sup>1</sup>). This lim itation was due to the fact that we did not resum corrections of the form  $s_j y_i$  y<sub>j</sub>w here  $y_{i,j}$  are the rapidities of the gluonsiand j. T hese corrections becom e im portant w hen the rapidity separation between the observed gluons is of order  $\frac{1}{s}^{-1}$  or larger. Physically, these corrections arise from the radiation of extra gluons between those that are measured. This has a high probability of occurring if the rapidity interval between two measured gluons is larger than  $s^{-1}$ .

The goal of the present paper, the third in this series, is to extend the treatm ent in the previous papers to com pute inclusive multigluon spectra (to leading logarithm ic accuracy) for arbitrary rapidity intervals between the observed gluons. In the case of the two-gluon spectrum, this is the basis for a detailed quan-

titative study of long range rapidity correlations in heavy ion collisions<sup>[1](#page-2-0)</sup>. These results are new and are valid (for any num ber of colors including the physical  $N_c = 3$ ) in a weak coupling schem e where higher order in s contributions{ enhanced by the sam e powers of the rapidity  $\{$ are resummed to all orders. In this leading logarithm ic approxim ation, we will dem onstrate that expectation values of operators can be factorized as a convolution of density functionals from each of the nucleitim es the operator com puted w ith leading order classical elds. These density functionals evolve according to the JIM W LK equation and are universal; they can be extracted, for instance, in electron-nucleus  $\infty$ lisions. A lbeit the focus here w ill be on nucleus{nucleus collisions, the results also apply to the collision of two \saturated" hadrons at very high energies.

W e note that while m ultiparticle correlations in the strong interactions have been extensively studied [\[15](#page-13-9)[,16\]](#page-13-10), none of the literature addresses nucleus{nucleus collisions, for nite  $N_c$ , in a fram ework where gluon elds are the dynam ical degrees of freedom . W e w ill com m ent later on interesting earlier studies [\[15\]](#page-13-9) on two-particle correlations in the context of LocalR eggeon Field T heory.

T he essence of the \technology" needed to resum all the leading logs in m ultigluon spectra forarbitrary rapidity separationsisalready contained in pa-pers I and II, albeit in a som ew hathidden form. In section [2,](#page-2-1) we review them ain results of these papers and we prove a general form ula for the renorm alization group ow in the CGC when one m oves the cuto  $\rightarrow$  of the eective theory by an in nitesim alam ount. In section  $3$ , we show how  $1$ -and  $2$ -gluon inclusive spectra can be obtained from this m aster form  $u$ la. O ur form  $u$ la for the 2-gluon spectrum is expressed in term s of the usual distributions of color sources, and of a new object that has the interpretation of a propagator (in functional space) for the JIM W LK evolution. In the lim it where the two gluons are nearby in rapidity, we recover known results for the 2-gluon spectrum . Finally, in section [4,](#page-10-0)we discuss the com plications that arise w hen one wants to extend these results to the case w here one (or both) of the projectiles is dilute instead of being in the saturation regime. We end with a brief summary and outlook for future work.

## <span id="page-2-1"></span>2 Leading logarithm s at N LO

Consider an inclusive multigluon eld operator O. In papers I and II, we have shown that its LO value  $O_{10}$  can be expressed in term s of light-cone gauge classical Yang ${M}$  ills solutions A , with retarded boundary conditions  $\lim_{x \downarrow 1}$  A  $(x) = 0$ . In coordinate space, in the CGC e ective eld theory, the classical sources are localized along the light-cones in two strips 0 x  $1=$   $+$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span> $^1$  In  $\left[ 11,12\right]$  , a sim pler leading order form ula w as used that does not resum the corrections in  $s\dot{y}_i$  y<sub>j</sub>. This was su cient to justify the existence of long range rapidity correlations and to suggest its relevance for the R H IC data. In particular, for STA R data, w here the relevant rapidity w indow is y 1:5, these resum m ation e ects are not likely to be large. R esum m ations oflong range rapidity corrections are how ever expected to im prove the quantitative description of the STAR and PH O BOS data  $[2,3]$  $[2,3]$  and in future of the LHC data that has a signi cantly w ider rapidity coverage.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 1: Initial space-time surface used in the initialvalue problem for the retarded classical eld A .

and  $0 \quad x^{\dagger}$  $1=$  . We denote by the surface located at a distance  $x = 1$  above the backward light-cone, as illustrated in  $q.1$ . Because the classical elds involved in O<sub>L0</sub> obey retarded boundary conditions, O<sub>L0</sub> can be obtained by solving an initial value problem with initial conditions de ned on the surface .

It is convenient to describe color sources in the nucleiby distributions of W ilson lines

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
{}_{1,2}(y;x_? ) \tT exp ig {Z x_y \n0} dz {1 \n12} e_{1,2}(z ; x_? );
$$
 (1)

w here  $e_1$ , are the color source densities in Lorenz gauge. H ere the upper bound  $x_y$  in the integral is related to y by y ln(P  $x_y$ ), w ith P the longitudinal m om enta of the respective nuclei<sup>[2](#page-3-1)</sup>.

In papers I and II, the next-to-leading order (N LO ) inclusive m ultigluon spectrum was show n to take the form

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
O_{NLO} = \begin{cases} 8 & 8 \ 2 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{cases}
$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times$ 

up to term s that do not contribute at leading logarithm ic accuracy. T he elds

(x) and a  $k_{\text{a}}$  (x) are sm all quantum uctuations propagating over the classical eld A . The form erhas a vanishing boundary condition in the rem ote past and its evolution is driven by a source term consisting of a 1-loop tadpole graph. The latter evolves w ithout any source term, but its boundary condition in the rem ote past is a plane wave  $T^a$  e  $i^{k}$  x (; a and k denote the polarization, color and m om entum of the initial uctuation). Here,  $d^3u$  is the m easure on .

<span id="page-3-1"></span> $^{2}$ W ith this convention, y is the rapidity m easured from the beam rapidity and y = 0 corresponds to including only valence partons that have  $0 \times 1 = P$ .

Further,  $O_{10}$  is a functional of the value of the classical eld A on the surface

and the dierential operator  $\mathbb{T}_u$  acting on  $O_{\mu}$  is the generator of shifts of the value of A on . The only part of the expression for this operator that is in portant for computing leading logarithm ic contributions is

$$
\mathbf{a} \quad \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{e} \quad (\mathbf{a}^{\text{ab}}_{1/2} \mathbf{a}_{\text{b}}) \frac{\mathbf{a}^{\text{ac}}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{\text{c}}}{\mathbf{e}^{\text{ac}}_{1/2} \mathbf{a}_{\text{c}} \mathbf{a}_{\text{c}}}, \qquad (3)
$$

with  $_{1,2}$  dened as in eq. (1) with y  $ln(P = )$ .

The next step in evaluating the NLO corrections is to integrate over the  $m$  om entum  $k$  in eq. (2). One integral appears explicitly in the term that has  $two\, operators$  $T$ , and anotherm om entum integral is hidden in the source term of the uctuation eld. Since in the CGC e ective theory them odes described as elds are bounded by  $k <$ , these longitud in alm om entum integrals have an upper bound. We shall compute only the contribution of modes in the small slices  $0+ < k<sup>+</sup> < 1$  and  $0 < k < 1$ . By integrating out the eld modes in these slices, one is going from the original CGC EFT to a new CGC<sup>0</sup> EFT. The latter diers from the form er because it has an additional layer of (slower) sources while its eld modes now extend only up to smaller cuto s  $\degree$ .

U sing the results of paper I, we have to leading logarithm ic accuracy,

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
Z_{d^{3}u} = \mathbb{T}_{+} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} Z_{d^{3}u} d^{3}v a_{k} a \mathbb{T}_{-} a_{k} a \mathbb{T}_{-}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{a \leq k \leq x} \ln \frac{1}{a^{3}u} H_{+} + \ln \frac{1}{a^{3}u} H_{-}.
$$
\n(4)

In this equation, H are the JMW LK Ham iltonians of the right and left m oving nuclei respectively, at the scales For the nucleus m oving in the  $+ z$ 

direction, the explicit form of the JMW LK H am iltonian is

H + 
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$
  $\frac{Z}{R_a^2}$   $\frac{1}{R_a^2}$   $(\gamma_2)^{\frac{ab}{2}}(x_2; y_2) \frac{Z_a^2}{R_b^2}$  (5)

with  $= 1 = \pm \text{ and where}^4$ 

$$
\frac{a^{b}}{1}(x_{?}, y_{?}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}u_{?}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{(x_{?}^{i} - u_{?}^{i})(y_{?}^{i} - u_{?}^{i})}{(x_{?} - u_{?})^{2}(y_{?} - u_{?})^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
1 + \frac{1}{2}(x_{?}) \frac{y}{1}(y_{?}) - \frac{1}{2}(x_{?}) \frac{y}{1}(u_{?}) - \frac{1}{2}(u_{?}) \frac{y}{1}(y_{?}) \frac{y}{a^{b}};
$$
\n(6)

There is a simular denition for the second nucleus moving in the z direction.

<span id="page-4-0"></span> $3$ The complete operator a  $\mathbb{T}$  is made of three terms, but two of them (not written explicitly here) do not provide any leading logarithm ic contributions.

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>W ilson lines without a rapidity argum ent are de ned as in eq.  $\ell$ ) with y  $\ln(P = )$ { they integrate over all the sources of the CGC EFT down to the cuto . M oreover, the derivatives in the JIM W LK H am iltonian are with respect to the slow est sources of the EFT.

An im portant point to note here is that the relation in eq.  $(4)$  is a property of the operator enclosed in the curly brackets of eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-3), regardless of the details of the observable O under consideration. The second rem arkable property of this result is that the leading logarithm s can be assigned to one or the other of the two nuclei, w ithout any m ixing that would violate factorization.

The expectation value of 0, at NLO, can be represented in the CGC e ective theory as

<span id="page-5-0"></span>D  
\n
$$
C_{L0} + O_{NLO} = D_{1}(y; x_2)D_{2}(y; x_2)
$$
  
\n $W_{+1}(y; x_2)W_{2}(y; x_2)O_{L0} + O_{NLO}$ ; (7)

where W  $\left[1\right]$  (y;x?) are the functional probability distributions for the W ilson line con gurations  $_{1;2}$  (y;x?).

Inserting eq.  $(4)$  in eq.  $(2)$  and substituting the resulting expression on the r.h.s. of eq. [\(7\)](#page-5-0), one can perform an integration by parts  $5$  such that H operates on the distributions  $W_+$  . Let us denote<sup>[6](#page-5-2)</sup>

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
W_{0+} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} (y \, ; \, x_{?}) \right]_{1+} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} h_{+} & i \\ 1 + \ln \frac{0}{0} \\ | -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right]_{\text{dy}} + W_{+} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 \end{array} (y \, ; \, x_{?}) \right] : \tag{8}
$$

This equation is the in nitesimal form of the JIM W LK evolution equation, where  $\, \frac{0}{1}$  is the W ilson line corresponding to a source distribution  $\rm e_{1}^{0}$  =  $\rm e_{1}$  +  $\rm e_{1}$ and  $e_1$  has support only in the interval [y; y + dy].

The argum ent of W  $_{0+}$  in eq. [\(8\)](#page-5-3) extends one step further in rapidity than the argum ent of W  $_+$  { it is dened over the range 0 y ln( $P^+ = {}^{0+}$ ) and is hence a W ilson line in the CGC<sup>0</sup> EFT. Thus our derivation proves that

<span id="page-5-4"></span>D  
\n
$$
D_{\text{LO}} + \underset{0 \le k \le 1}{\bigcirc} E_{\text{LO}} = O_{\text{LO}} : \qquad (9)
$$

The prim e on the r.h.s. indicates that the average is perform ed w ith a probability distribution corresponding to the W ilson lines of the CGC<sup>0</sup> e ective theory. In other words, this identity states that the classical expectation value of 0 in the original  $EFT$ , corrected by quantum uctuations in a sm all slice of  $e$ kl m odes, can be expressed as a purely classical expectation value in a new EFT w ith a lower cuto and w ith a distribution of W ilson lines evolved according to eq.[\(8\)](#page-5-3).

Equation [\(9\)](#page-5-4) describes how to resum the leading logarithm icquantum corrections in a sm all slice of longitudinalm om entum . Successive leading logarithm ic contributions down to  $k = 0$  are obtained by repeating this elem entary step in nitely m any tim es w hile letting the thickness of the slices go to zero. One

 $5$ The JIM W LK H am iltonian is H erm itian.

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span> $6A$ n identical analysis also applies to the second nucleus.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>then obtains D E  $\Omega$ L L og  $=$ Z D  $_1(y; x; 0)$   $_2(y; x; 0)$  W  $_1(y; x; 0)$  W  $_2(y; x; 0)$   $_0$  ;(10)

where  $W$  lim  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  . This expression is the central result of this paper. Eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1) show s that all the leading logarithm s of rapidity, whether they correspond to the rapidity intervals between the nucleiand the tagged gluons or between the various tagged gluons, can be absorbed into the probability distributions W for the trajectories of W ilson lines of the two projectiles. This form ula applies to any inclusive observable for which eq. [\(2\)](#page-3-3) is valid, regardless of w hether the observable is local in rapidity or not. Because our result contains an average over y-dependent \trajectories" of W ilson lines, rather than an average over W ilson lines at a given xed rapidity, it contains a lot of inform ation about multigluon correlations at dierent rapidities.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>3 O ne- and tw o-gluon inclusive spectra



<span id="page-6-2"></span>Figure 2: D iagram m atic representation of the various building blocks in the factorized form ula for the inclusive single gluon spectrum . T he lower part of the qure, representing nucleus  $2$ , is m ade up of identical building blocks.

We will now extract from our general result in eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1), expressions for single and double inclusive gluon spectra. The single inclusive gluon spectrum  $dN_1 = d^3p$  at LO depends only on the W ilson lines  $1,2$  (y;x?) at the rapidity  $y = y_p$  of the produced gluon and not on the w hole rapidity range as in eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1).

Therefore, we can simplify eq.  $(10)$  by inserting the identity

Z

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
1 = \qquad D \ U_{1,2} (x_? ) \qquad U_{1,2} (x_? ) \qquad \quad _{1,2} (y_p ; x_? ) \tag{11}
$$

and by de ning the corresponding probability distributions for con gurations of W ilson lines at the rapidity  $y_p$ Z

$$
Z_{y_p} [U_{1,2}(x_2)]
$$
  $D_{1,2}(y_1x_2) W_{1,2}(y_1x_2) U_{1,2}(x_2) U_{1,2}(y_p_1x_2) :$  (12)

O ne then obtains the allorder leading log result for the single inclusive gluon spectrum in the follow ing form

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\frac{D_{dN_1}}{d^2 p_2 dy_p}^E = \frac{Z}{D U_1(x_2) D U_2(x_2) Z_{y_p} [U_1] Z_{y_p} [U_2] \frac{dN_1 U_1 U_2}{d^2 p_2 dy_p}.
$$
\n(13)

N ote that the distribution  $Z_{y_p}$  [U ] obeys the JIM W LK equation,

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{y_{p}} Z_{y_{p}} \left[ U \right] = H_{y_{p}} Z_{y_{p}} \left[ U \right], \qquad (14)
$$

which m ust be supplem ented by an initial condition at a rapidity close to the fragm entation region of the projectiles. Eq. [\(13\)](#page-7-0) is illustrated in the gure [2.](#page-6-2)

At Leading O rder, the inclusive two-gluon spectrum is sim ply the discon-nected product of two single gluon spectra [\[14](#page-13-8)[,17\]](#page-13-11) each of which depends on W ilson lines at the rapidity of the corresponding gluon. U sing  $eq. (11)$  $eq. (11)$  in  $eq. (10)$  $eq. (10)$ (now we need to insert four such delta functions), one obtains for the resum m ed two-gluon spectrum the expression

$$
\frac{dN_2}{d^2 p_2 dy_p d^2 q_2 dy_q} = \nD U_1^p(x_2) D U_2^p(x_2) D U_1^q(x_2) D U_2^q(x_2)
$$
\n
$$
Z_{y_p y_q} U_1^p \cdot U_1^q Z_{y_p y_q} U_2^p \cdot U_2^q \frac{dN_1 U_1^p \cdot U_2^p}{d^2 p_2 dy_p} \frac{dN_1 U_1^q \cdot U_2^q}{d^2 q_2 dy_q} (15)
$$

w here we have introduced the double probability distribution of W ilson lines as Z

$$
Z_{y_P, y_q} U_{1,2}^P; U_{1,2}^q \t D_{1,2}(y; x_? ) W_{1,2}(y; x_? )
$$
  
\n
$$
U_{1,2}^P(x_? ) U_{1,2}^q(y_2; x_? ) U_{1,2}^q(x_? ) U_{1,2}^q(x_? )
$$
  
\n(16)

Thisdoubledistribution obeystheJMWLK equation with respect to the largest of the two rapidities,

$$
\text{if } y_q > y_p \text{; } \theta_{y_q} Z_{y_p, y_q} \text{ U}^p \text{; } U^q = H_{y_q} Z_{y_p, y_q} \text{ U}^p \text{; } U^q \text{ ; } \tag{17}
$$

w ith the boundary condition

$$
\lim_{y_q \,:\; y_p} Z_{y_p, y_q} U^p; U^q = Z_{y_p} U^p U^p U^q : \qquad (18)
$$

A lternately, this double distribution can be expressed as

$$
Z_{y_P, y_q} U^P, U^q = G_{y_q, y_P} U^q, U^P Z_{y_P} U^P ; \qquad (19)
$$

where the G reen's function G  $_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{q}} \, N_\mathrm{P}}$   $\,$  U  $^\mathrm{q}$  ;U  $^\mathrm{p}$   $\,$  satis es the JIM W LK  $\,$  equation  $^7$  $^7$ 

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Y}_q} G_{\mathbb{Y}_q \mathbb{Y}_p} U^q \mathbf{U}^p = H_{\mathbb{Y}_q} G_{\mathbb{Y}_q \mathbb{Y}_p} U^q \mathbf{U}^p \quad \textbf{.}
$$

w ith the initial condition

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{\mathrm{y}_q \colon \mathrm{y}_p} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{y}_q, \mathrm{y}_p} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{p}} = \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{q}} : \qquad (21)
$$

This G reen's function describes multigluon evolution, between two tagged gluons, in the presence of strong color sources from the projectiles.

O ur result for the double inclusive gluon spectrum, to leading logarithm ic accuracy, can thus be expressed as follow s

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dN_2}{d^2 p_2 dy_p d^2 q_2 dy_q} = \frac{Z}{D U_1^p (x_2) D U_2^p (x_2) D U_1^q (x_2) D U_2^q (x_2)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{dN_2}{d^2 p_2 dy_p d^2 q_2 dy_q} = \frac{Z}{D U_1^p (x_2) D U_2^q (x_2) D U_1^q (x_2) D U_2^q (x_2)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{dN_1 U_1^p U_2^p}{d^2 p_2 dy_p} = \frac{dN_1 U_1^q U_2^q}{d^2 q_2 dy_q} = \frac
$$

Eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-1) generalizes the result in paper II { that result, as in plied by eq. [\(21\)](#page-8-2), is recovered when the rapidities of the two gluons are close to each other. Our form ula for the two-gluon spectrum in eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-1) is illustrated in  $g.$  [\(3\)](#page-9-0). By using eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1), it is straightforward to write down sim ilar form ulae for higher gluon correlations.

Factorization is obviously m anifest in  $\epsilon q$ . [\(10\)](#page-6-1). It is slightly less apparent in  $eq.$  [\(22\)](#page-8-1) because there are m ore factors in the integrand. H owever, both the  $Z$ functionals and the G G reen's functionals are universal objects that describe the partonic content of a nucleus at high energy. The part of the integrand w hich is speci c to the observable under consideration is relegated to the factors dN  $_1$ =d<sup>3</sup>p and  $dN_1 = d^3q$ .

N ote also that these distributions are far m ore general than the  $k_2$  {dependent correlators of W ilson lines that are often discussed in the literature of high energy QCD. The latter appear in a form of factorization which goes under the rubric of  $k_2$  -factorization. This type of factorization is form ulated in term s of single gluon distributions, but is known to be violated [\[16\]](#page-13-10) for 2gluon correlations in collisions involving at least one saturated projectile. It is in this context that one should interpret the results of [\[15\]](#page-13-9) w hich concludes that factorization is violated. In stark contrast, our universal density functionals and

<span id="page-8-0"></span> $7$ W e use here, and previously, the fact that the JMW LK H am iltonian acts only on objects at equalor higher rapidities.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 3: D iagram m atic representation of the various building blocks in the factorized form ula for the inclusive 2-gluon spectrum. As in the previous qure, the corresponding evolution from nucleus 2 at the bottom of the qure is not show n explicitly.

G reen's functions contain all the relevant inform ation on rapidity dependent ngluon correlations. In fact, our factorization result is a general consequence of causality and for this reason should even be valid beyond leading log accuracy.

In practice, solving the JIM W LK equation to compute the r.h.s of eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-1) is m ore conveniently achieved by w riting this equation as a Langevin equa-tion for W ilson lines living on the SU (3) group m anifold [\[18\]](#page-13-12). This stochastic form ulation was im plem ented in the only extant num erical study of the JIM W LK equation [\[19\]](#page-13-13). Because solving the JIM W LK equation can be num erically challenging, a simpler form ulation of high energy evolution is provided by the Balitsky {K ovchegov (BK) equation [\[20\]](#page-13-14), w hich is a closed form mean eld sim pli cation of the JIM W LK expression for 2-point W ilson line correlators in the CGC  $^8$  $^8$ . The BK equation corresponds to a nonlocal G aussian form of the  $Z_{y_p}$ ; $Z_{y_q}$  functionals [\[21\]](#page-13-15) (when expressed in term s of the color source distributions) with a variance  $\frac{2}{\text{A}}$  (y<sub>p<sub> $\text{M}$ </sub> ; x<sub>?</sub>). Because the weight functionals at both</sub>  $y_p$  and  $y_q$  have this G aussian form, the G reen's function G  $y_p$   $y_q$  connecting the two m ust also be a G aussian, w hose variance can be determ ined from num erical solutions of the BK equation. Therefore, quantitative results for eq. [\(22\)](#page-8-1) can be obtained w ithin this BK fram ework. These will be discussed in future work.

<span id="page-9-1"></span> $8$ T he BK equation is valid in the large N<sub>c</sub> lim it for large nuclei.

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>4 D ilute-dense lim it

The results obtained here are valid for the collision of two dense projectiles whose color charge densities of both are given by  $e_1$ ;  $q^{-1}$ . This is the case in large nucleior in nucleons at very high energies. A n interesting question is w hether decreasing the m agnitude of  $e_1$  and/or  $e_2$  in the form ulas we have obtained so far gives the correct answer for dilute-dense or dilute-dilute collisions. Before going further, let us note that the perm itted range for dilute to dense color charge densities is between g and g  $1$ . The upper value, assum ed in our study, corresponds to a fully saturated projectile. The lower value corresponds to a very dilute projectile whose parton density is of order unity ensuring that its density of color charge is proportional to  $q$ .

The answerto the question posed is a m ative for the single inclusive gluon spectrum (eq. [\(13\)](#page-7-0)). By taking the lim it  $e_2$  g in this formula, one recovers im m ediately the wellknow n result for the single inclusive spectrum in pA collisions and likew ise for pp collisions when we let both  $e_1_{22}$  becom e of order g.



<span id="page-10-1"></span>Figure 4: O rder of m agnitude of various contributions to the 2-gluon spectrum as a function of the color charge density  $_2$  in the sm all projectile (the color charge density in the large projectile is held  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $y = 0$  (g<sup>-1</sup>)). Large logarithm s of the energy, w hich becom e relevant in the leading logarithm ic resum m ation, are not considered here.

H ow ever, taking this lim it in the 2-gluon spectrum  $(eq. (22))$  $(eq. (22))$  $(eq. (22))$  does not lead to the correct results for the inclusive 2-gluon spectrum in pA or pp collisions  $[16]$ . The reason of this discrepancy is that the corresponding power counting for the two gluon spectrum is very dierent for \dense" color sources g<sup>1</sup> relative to the case of  $\ddot{\text{d}}$  the sources g. In the power counting for dense sources

g  $^1$  , certain graphs are suppressed that would also be leading graphs for dilute sources g. This is illustrated in gure 4, w here we have displayed the order of m agnitude of three dierent contributions to the 2-gluon spectrum, as a function of  $e_2$ . (For pA collisions,  $e_1$  is xed to be of order g<sup>1</sup>.) One sees that in the dense case, only one of these graphs is in portant, while they are all in portant in the dilute lim it. Since  $\epsilon q$ . [\(22\)](#page-8-1) is obtained by assum ing dense projectiles, it contains only the disconnected graph and m isses the other two { this im plies that eq.[\(22\)](#page-8-1) is not the com plete answer in the dilute lim it. A s noted, this subtlety a ects correlations between two orm ore gluons but not the single gluon spectrum .

The previous discussion only deals with contributions at Leading 0 rder. H ow ever, a sim ilar discrepancy between the power counting in the dense-dense and dilute-dense lim its occurs in the resum m ation of the leading logarithm ic contributions. T here, one sees that the operators included in the JIM W LK H am iltonian are not the only ones that contribute at leading log accuracy in the dilute regime. For instance, when e g, an operator of the form  $q^4 e^2$  ( = e)<sup>4</sup> has the sam e order of m agnitude as the operators in the JMW LK H am iltonian in the dilute lim it (but is totally suppressed in the dense regim e). Such an operator, w ith a prefactor of order  $e^2$  and four derivatives w ith respect to the color source, corresponds to \pom eron splittings" in the energy evolution  $[16,22,23,24,25]$  $[16,22,23,24,25]$  $[16,22,23,24,25]$  $[16,22,23,24,25]$  $[16,22,23,24,25]$ { w hile the JIM W LK evolution only has \pom eron m ergings" (because the num ber of e's in the prefactor is always equal to or greater than the num ber of derivatives). In principle, one would like a form alism where both lim its contain the right physics. Unlike previous works which address the full S-m atrix for high energy scattering, our focus w ill be on the m ore lim ited goal of com puting inclusive gluon spectra in dense-dilute collisions. W e believe that substantial progress in this direction is feasible and w ill further address this topic in a future publication.

### 5 C onclusions

In this paper, we obtained in eq.  $(10)$  a general result for inclusive n-qluon production at arbitrary rapidities in the collision of two dense projectiles (such as heavy nuclei) with charge densities given by  $\mathrm{e}_{1;2}$  g  $^1$  . The result is expressed in term sofuniversalW -density m atrix functionalsw hich contain inform ation on n-gluon correlations in the wavefunctions of the dense projectiles. O ur form alism is strictly valid in the leading logarithm ic approximation in  $x$ . We anticipate, on the basis of simple causality argum ents, that the structure of our result will hold beyond leading logarithm ic accuracy.

W e explicitly w rote down the corresponding expressions for single and double inclusive gluon production w ith arbitrarily large rapidity separations between tagged gluons. Until this point, there was no m icroscopic  $QCD$  based form alism thatallow sthecom putation ofthenearsideridgecorrelationsin nucleus-nucleus collisions when the rapidity separation between the m easured particles is of the order of  $1=$  s or m ore. O ur form alism lls this gap and allow s for future quanti-

tative com parisons and predictions for the rapidity dependence of the the ridge like structures observed in central nucleus (nucleus collisions at RHIC and in future at the LHC. At the LHC, one m ay have the possibility of studying such structures that m ay span 6-10 units in rapidity. Such long range correlations therefore open a new window on the study of multiparton correlations in  $QCD$ as well as the provide a \chronom eter" of the strong eld initial \G lasm a" stage of heavy ion collisions.

W hen both projectiles are dense, we argued that only  $\P$  om eron m ergings" that are fully included in the JIMW LK Ham iltonian are relevant for inclusive gluon production. When one or both of the projectiles becomes dilute, our power counting suggests that \Pomeron splitting" contributions, become equally in portant as the \m erging" contributions for correlations involving two orm ore gluons. Because these are not included in the JMW LK form alism, they cannot be obtained by taking a naive low density lim it of the dense-dense formalism discussed in this paper. A smooth interpolation from the dilute-dense to the dense-dense lim its for multigluon inclusive distributions requires that we rst compute corrections to the JMW LK H am iltonian in the dilute-dense lim it. W hile there have been several such studies in the context of the S-m atrix for high energy scattering, they are in their infancy for inclusive multigluon production [26]. These studies will be in portant for extending our studies for nucleus {nucleus collisions to asymmetric systems such as high energy proton { nucleus collisions. This work is in progress and will be addressed in a future publication.

#### A cknow ledgem ents

We thank the Center for Theoretical Sciences of the Tata Institute for Fundam ental Research for their support during the program \Initial Conditions in Heavy Ion Collisions". R.V.'s research is supported by the US Department of Energy under DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. F.G.'s work is supported in part by Agence Nationale de la Recherche via the programme ANR -06-BLAN -0285-01.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>R eferences

- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[1] J. Putschke, J. Phys. G 34, S679 (2007).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[2] STAR, M.D augherity, arX iv:0806.2121 [nuclex].
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[3] PHOBOS, B.A ber et al., J.Phys. G 35, 104080 (2008).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[4] STAR, BK. Srivastava, Int. J. M od. Phys. E16, 3371 (2007).
- [5] E. Iancu and R. Venugopalan, arX iv hep-ph/0303204; H. Weigert, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 55, 461 (2005).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span> $[6] L.D.M Chernan and R. Venuqopalan, Phys. Rev.D 49, 2233 (1994); ibid.$ 3352 (1994); ibid. D 50, 2225 (1994).
- [7] J. Jalilian-M arian, A . K ovner, L. D . M cLerran and H . W eigert, Phys. R ev. D 55, 5414 (1997); J. Jalilian-M arian, A. K ovner, A. Leonidov and H. W eigert, Nucl. Phys. B 504, 415 (1997); ibid. Phys. Rev. D 59, 014014 (1998); E.Iancu, A .Leonidov and L.D .M cLerran, N ucl.Phys.A 692, 583 (2001); ibid. Phys. Lett. B 510, 133 (2001); E. Ferreiro, E. Iancu, A. Leonidov and L.M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 703, 489 (2002).
- <span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>[8] T. Lappiand L.M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 200 (2006).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[9] A .K ovner, L.D .M cLerran and H .W eigert, Phys.R ev.D 52, 3809 (1995).
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[10] D. K harzeev, A. K rasnitz and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Lett. B 545, 298 (2002).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[11] A .D um itru,F.G elis,L.M cLerran and R .Venugopalan,N ucl.Phys.A 810, 91 (2008);
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>[12] S.G avin, L.M cLerran and G.M oschelli, [arX iv:0806.4718 \[nucl-th\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/0806.4718)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[13] F.G elis, T. Lappiand R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 78,054019 (2008).
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[14] F.G elis,T .Lappiand R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.D 78,054020 (2008).
- [15] M. C iafaloni and G. M archesini, Nucl. Phys. B 109, 261 (1976); M A. Braun, Eur.Phys.J.C 48, 501 (2006); ibid. arX iv:0801.0493.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span><span id="page-13-10"></span>[16] J.Jalilian-M arian and Y.V.K ovchegov, Phys.R ev.D 70, 114017 (2004).
- [17] D.K harzeev, E.Levin and L.M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 748, 627 (2005); N.A m esto, L.M cLerran and C.Pajares, Nucl.Phys.A 781, 201 (2007).
- <span id="page-13-13"></span><span id="page-13-12"></span>[18] J.-P.Blaizot,E.Iancu and H .W eigert,N ucl.Phys.A 713,441 (2003).
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>[19] K .R um m ukainen and H .W eigert, N ucl.Phys.A 739,183 (2004).
- [20] I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. B 463, 99 (1996); Y. V. K ovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 60,034008 (1999).
- <span id="page-13-16"></span><span id="page-13-15"></span> $[21]$  H. Fu $\overline{\mu}$ , F. G elis and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 780, 146 (2006).
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>[22] A .H .M ueller,A .I.Shoshiand S.M .H .W ong,N ucl.Phys.B 715 (2005) 440.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span> $[23]$  E. Iancu and D.N. Triantafyllopoulos, Nucl. Phys. A  $756$  (2005) 419.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>[24] A.K ovner and M.Lublinsky, Phys.R ev.D 71 (2005) 085004.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>[25] A.K ovner and M.Lublinsky, Phys.R ev.D 72 (2005) 074023.
- [26] A.K ovner and M.Lublinsky, JHEP 11,083 (2006).