

Pierpaolo Mastrolia

Unitarity-Cuts and Berry's Phase

Received: 14 November 2009 / Accepted: 6 December 2009
© Springer 2010

Abstract Elaborating on the observation that two-particle unitarity-cuts of scattering amplitudes can be computed by applying Stokes' theorem, we relate the optical theorem to the Berry phase, showing how the imaginary part of arbitrary one-loop Feynman amplitudes can be interpreted as the flux of a complex 2-form.

Keywords Feynman diagrams,, unitarity,, scattering amplitudes,, optical theorem,
Berry phase.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 81T18, 81Q70, 81U20

1 Introduction

Unitarity and geometric phases are two ubiquitous properties of physical systems.

The Berry phase is the phase acquired by a system when it is subjected to a cyclic evolution, resulting only from the geometrical properties of the path traversed in the parameter space because of anholonomy (1; 2).

Unitarity represents the probability conservation in particle scattering processes described by the unitary *scattering operator*, S . The relation, $S = 1 + iT$, between the S -operator and the *transition operator*, T , leads to the optical theorem,

$$-i(T - T^\dagger) = T^\dagger T. \quad (1)$$

The matrix elements of this equation between initial and final states are expressed, in perturbation theory, in terms of Feynman diagrams. The evaluation of the right

hand side requires the insertion of a complete set of intermediate states. Therefore, since $-i(T - T^\dagger) = 2 \operatorname{Im}T$, Equation (1) yields the computation of the imaginary part of Feynman integrals from a sum of contributions from all possible intermediate states. A Feynman diagram is thus responsible for an imaginary part of the scattering amplitudes when the intermediate, virtual particles go on-shell.

The Cutkosky–Veltman rules, implementing the unitarity conditions, allow the calculation of the discontinuity across a branch cut of an arbitrary Feynman amplitude, which corresponds to its imaginary part (3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9). Accordingly, the imaginary part of a given Feynman integral can be computed by evaluating the phase–space integral obtained by cutting two internal particles, which amounts to applying the on-shell conditions and replacing their propagators by the corresponding δ -function, $(p^2 - m^2 + i0)^{-1} \rightarrow (2\pi i) \delta^{(+)}(p^2 - m^2)$.

In later studies the problem of finding the discontinuity of a Feynman integral associated to a singularity was addressed in the language of homology theory and differential forms (10).

More recently multi-particle cuts have been combined with the use of complex momenta (11) for on-shell internal particles into very efficient techniques, by-now known as unitarity-based methods, to compute scattering amplitudes for arbitrary processes (see (12; 13) for a comprehensive list of references).

In this letter we establish an explicit relation between Unitarity and Berry’s phase, by showing that the imaginary part of a general *one-loop* Feynman amplitude, computed by applying the optical theorem, can be interpreted as a Berry phase, resulting from the curved geometry in effective momentum space experienced by the two on-shell particles going around the loop.

In a recent work (14) it has been shown that double-cuts of one-loop scattering amplitudes can be efficiently evaluated by using the well-known *Generalised Cauchy Formula*, also known as *Cauchy-Pompeiu Formula*, or *Cauchy-Green Formula* as well (17). In the case of double-cuts, the 4-dimensional loop-momentum can be decomposed in terms of an ad hoc basis of four massless vectors whose coefficients depend on two complex-conjugated variables, left over as free components after imposing the two on-shell cut-constraints. Therefore, the double-cut phase–space integral is written as a twofold integration over these two variables.

The integration is finally carried out by using Generalised Cauchy Formula as an application of Stokes’ Theorem for rational function of two complex-conjugated variables. As such, the result of the phase–space integration can be naturally interpreted as the flux of a 2-form that is given by the product of the two tree-level amplitudes sewn along the cut.

2 Double-Cut

The two-particle Lorentz invariant phase–space (LIPS) in the K^2 -channel is defined as,

$$\int d^4\Phi = \int d^4\ell_1 \delta^{(+)}(\ell_1^2 - m_1^2) \delta^{(+)}((\ell_1 - K)^2 - m_2^2), \quad (2)$$

where K^μ is the total momentum across the cut. We introduce a suitable parametrization for ℓ_1^μ (14; 15; 16), in terms of four massless momenta, which is a solution of the two on-shell conditions, $\ell_1^2 = m_1^2$ and $(\ell_1 - K)^2 = m_2^2$,

$$\ell_1^\mu = \frac{1-2\rho}{1+z\bar{z}} (p^\mu + z\bar{z} q^\mu + z\epsilon_+^\mu + \bar{z}\epsilon_-^\mu) + \rho K^\mu, \quad (3)$$

where p_μ and q_μ are two massless momenta with the requirements,

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu + q_\mu &= K_\mu, \\ p^2 = q^2 &= 0, \\ 2p \cdot q &= 2p \cdot K = 2q \cdot K \equiv K^2; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

the vectors ε_+^μ and ε_-^μ are orthogonal to both p^μ and q^μ , with the following properties,¹

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_+^2 = \varepsilon_-^2 &= 0 = \varepsilon_\pm \cdot p = \varepsilon_\pm \cdot q, \\ 2\varepsilon_+ \cdot \varepsilon_- &= -K^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The parameter ρ is the pseudo-threshold,

$$\rho = \frac{K^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2 - \sqrt{\lambda(K^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)}}{2K^2}, \quad (7)$$

with the Källén function defined as,

$$\lambda(K^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = (K^2)^2 + (m_1^2)^2 + (m_2^2)^2 - 2K^2 m_1^2 - 2K^2 m_2^2 - 2m_1^2 m_2^2, \quad (8)$$

and depends only on the kinematics.

The complex conjugated variables z and \bar{z} parametrize the degrees of freedom left over by the cut-conditions.

Analogously to the massless case (14), corresponding to the $\rho \rightarrow 0$ limit, because of (3), the LIPS in (2) reduces to the remarkable expression,

$$\int d^4\Phi = (1 - 2\rho) \iint \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2}. \quad (9)$$

The double-cut of a generic n -point amplitude in the K^2 -channel is defined as

$$\Delta \equiv \int d^4\Phi A_L^{\text{tree}}(\ell_1) A_R^{\text{tree}}(\ell_1), \quad (10)$$

where $A_{L,R}^{\text{tree}}$ are the tree-level amplitudes sitting at the two sides of the cut (see Figure 1). By using (9) for the LIPS, and (3) for the loop-momentum ℓ_1^μ , one has,

$$\Delta = (1 - 2\rho) \iint dz \wedge d\bar{z} \frac{A_L^{\text{tree}}(\rho, z, \bar{z}) A_R^{\text{tree}}(\rho, z, \bar{z})}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2}, \quad (11)$$

¹ In terms of spinor variables that are associated to massless momenta, we can define $p^\mu = (1/2)p \cdot \gamma^\mu \cdot p$ and $q^\mu = (1/2)q \cdot \gamma^\mu \cdot q$, hence $\varepsilon_+^\mu = (1/2)q \cdot \gamma^\mu \cdot p$ and $\varepsilon_-^\mu = (1/2)p \cdot \gamma^\mu \cdot q$.

Fig. 1 Double-cut of one-loop amplitude in the K^2 -channel.

where the tree-amplitudes A_L^{tree} and A_R^{tree} are rational in z and \bar{z} . Notice that ρ is independent of z and \bar{z} , therefore its presence in the integrand does not affect the integration algorithm. For ease of notation, we give the ρ -dependence of the integrand as understood.

In (14), we aimed at proposing an efficient method for computing the double-cut of one-loop scattering amplitudes. Accordingly, by applying a special version of the so called *Generalised Cauchy Formula* also known as the *Cauchy-Pompeiu Formula* (17), one can write the twofold integration in z - and \bar{z} -variables appearing in Equation (11) simply as a convolution of an unbounded \bar{z} -integral and a contour z -integral,²

$$\Delta = (1 - 2\rho) \oint dz \int d\bar{z} \frac{A_L^{\text{tree}}(z, \bar{z}) A_R^{\text{tree}}(z, \bar{z})}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2}, \quad (12)$$

where the integration contour has to be chosen as enclosing all the complex z -poles.

In this letter we rather want to focus on what links Equations (11) and (12), namely Stokes' Theorem (14), and on the geometrical interpretation of its consequence: the double-cut Δ in Equation (11) is the flux of a 2-form. It corresponds to an integral over the complex tangent bundle of the Riemann sphere, where the curvature 2-form, Ω , is defined as,³

$$\Omega = \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

The product $A_L^{\text{tree}} A_R^{\text{tree}}$ is a rational function of z and \bar{z} , hence it can be written as ratio of two polynomials, P and Q ,

$$A_L^{\text{tree}}(z, \bar{z}) A_R^{\text{tree}}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{P(z, \bar{z})}{Q(z, \bar{z})}, \quad (14)$$

with the following relations among their degrees,

$$\deg_z Q = \deg_z P, \quad \deg_{\bar{z}} Q = \deg_{\bar{z}} P. \quad (15)$$

² The roles of z and \bar{z} can be equivalently exchanged.

³ In (14) it has been shown that the double-cut of the scalar 2-point function, $\Delta I_2 = \int d^4 \Phi$ amounts to the integral $\iint \Omega = -2\pi i$. This result corresponds to the integration of the first Chern class, $(i/\pi) \iint \Omega = 2$.

3 Optical Theorem

In the double-cut integral (11), we did not make any assumptions on the tree-level amplitudes sewn along the cut, thus providing a general framework to the integration method developed in (14). If we now choose $A_L^{\text{tree}} = A_{m \rightarrow 2}^{*,\text{tree}}$, that is the conjugate scattering amplitude of a process $m \rightarrow 2$, and $A_R^{\text{tree}} = A_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{tree}}$, that is the amplitude of a process $n \rightarrow 2$, then Δ reads,

$$\Delta = \int d^4\Phi A_{m \rightarrow 2}^{*,\text{tree}} A_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{tree}} = -i \left[A_{n \rightarrow m}^{\text{one-loop}} - A_{m \rightarrow n}^{*,\text{one-loop}} \right] = 2 \text{Im} \left\{ A_{n \rightarrow m}^{\text{one-loop}} \right\}, \quad (16)$$

which is the definition of the two-particle discontinuity of the one-loop amplitude $A_{n \rightarrow m}^{\text{one-loop}}$ across the branch cut in the K^2 -channel, corresponding to the field-theoretic version of the optical theorem (1) for one-loop Feynman amplitudes.

On the other side, because of Stokes' Theorem in Equations (11) and (12), one has,

$$\Delta = (1 - 2\rho) \int \int dz \wedge d\bar{z} \frac{A_{m \rightarrow 2}^{*,\text{tree}} A_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{tree}}}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} = (1 - 2\rho) \oint dz \int d\bar{z} \frac{A_{m \rightarrow 2}^{*,\text{tree}} A_{n \rightarrow 2}^{\text{tree}}}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2}, \quad (17)$$

which provides a geometrical interpretation of the imaginary part of one-loop scattering amplitudes, as a flux of a complex 2-form through a surface bounded by the contour of the z -integral (the contour should enclose all the poles in z exposed in the integrand after the integration in \bar{z} (14)).

Given the equivalence of (16) and (17), a correspondence between the imaginary part of scattering amplitudes and the anholonomy of Berry's phase does emerge, since the latter is indeed defined as the flux of a 2-form in presence of curved space (1; 2). In this context, one could establish a parallel description between the Aharonov-Böhm (AB) effect and the double-cut of one-loop Feynman integrals.

In the AB-effect (18), an electron-beam splits with half passing by either side of a long solenoid, before being recombined. Although the beams are kept away from the solenoid, so they encounter no magnetic field ($\mathbf{B} = 0$), they arrive at the recombination with a phase-difference that is proportional to the magnetic flux through a surface encircled by their paths. The non-trivial anholonomy in this case is a consequence of Stokes' Theorem, where the 2-form Berry curvature is written as the differential of the 1-form vector potential ($\nabla \times \mathbf{A}$).

In the case of the double-cut of one-loop Feynman integrals, we could describe the evolution of the system depicted in Figure 1, from the left to the right. The two particles produced in the A_L -scattering, going around the loop and initiating the A_R -process, at the A_R -interaction point would experience a phase-shift due to the non-trivial geometry in effective momentum space induced by the on-shell conditions. As in the AB-effect, the anholonomy phase-shift is a consequence of Stokes' Theorem, and here it corresponds to the imaginary part of the one-loop Feynman amplitude.

Acknowledgements I wish to thank Mario Argeri, Bruce Campbell, Gero von Gersdorf, Bryan Lynn, Ettore Remiddi and Alekski Vuorinen, for stimulating and clarifying discussions, and Michael Berry for his feedback on the manuscript.

References

1. M.V. Berry (1984) Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **392** 45
2. Shapere, A.D., Wilczek, F. (ed.): Geometric Phases in Physics. Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. **5**(1) (1989)
3. L.D. Landau (1959) On analytic properties of vertex parts in quantum field theory *Nucl. Phys.* **13** 181
4. S. Mandelstam (1958) Determination of the Pion-Nucleon scattering amplitude from dispersion relations and unitarity *Gen. Theory. Phys. Rev.* **112** 1344
5. S. Mandelstam (1959) Analytic properties of transition amplitudes in perturbation theory *Phys. Rev.* **115** 1741
6. R.E. Cutkosky (1960) Singularities and discontinuities of Feynman amplitudes *J. Math. Phys.* **1** 429
7. Eden, R.J., Landshoff, P.V., Olive, D.I., Polkinghorne, J.C.: The Analytic S Matrix. Cambridge University Press, London (1966)
8. M.J.G. Veltman (1963) Unitarity and causality in a renormalizable field theory with unstable particles *Physica* **29** 186
9. E. Remiddi (1982) Dispersion relation for Feynman graphs *Helv. Phys. Acta* **54** 364
10. Hwa, R.C., Teplitz, V.L.: Homology and Feynman Integrals. Mathematical Physics Monographs. W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York (1966)
11. R. Britto F. Cachazo B. Feng (2005) Generalized unitarity and one-loop amplitudes in $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills *Nucl. Phys. B* **725** 275
12. Bern, Z., et al.: The NLO multileg working group: summary report. arXiv:0803.0494 [hep-ph]
13. Z. Bern L.J. Dixon D.A. Kosower (2007) On-shell methods in perturbative QCD *Ann. Phys.* **322** 1587
14. Mastrolia, P.: Double-cut of scattering amplitudes and Stokes' theorem. *Phys. Lett. B.* (2009, in press). arXiv:0905.2909 [hep-ph]
15. C. Anastasiou R. Britto B. Feng Z. Kunszt P. Mastrolia (2007) D -dimensional unitarity cut method *Phys. Lett. B* **645** 213
16. C. Anastasiou R. Britto B. Feng Z. Kunszt P. Mastrolia (2007) Unitarity cuts and reduction to master integrals in D dimensions for one-loop amplitudes *JHEP* **0703** 111
17. Ablowitz, M.J., Fokas, A.S.: Complex Variables. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 2nd edn (2003)
18. Y. Aharonov D. Bohm (1959) Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory *Phys. Rev.* **115** 485