

# More on $N = 8$ Attractors

Anna Ceresole<sup>?</sup>, Sergio Ferrara<sup>†; ‡</sup>,  
Alessandra Gnecchi<sup>†</sup> and Alessio Marrani<sup>~</sup>

<sup>?</sup> INFN, Sezione di Torino, and  
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino,  
Via Pietro Giuria, 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy  
ceresole@to.infn.it

<sup>†</sup> Theory Division - CERN,  
CH 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland  
sergio.ferrara@cern.ch

INFN - LNF,  
Via Enrico Fermi 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
University of California, Los Angeles, CA USA

<sup>~</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica "Galileo Galilei", and  
INFN, Sezione di Padova,  
Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy  
alessandra.gnecchi@pd.infn.it

<sup>~</sup> Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics,  
Department of Physics, 382 Via Pueblo Mall, Varian Lab,  
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA  
marrani@lnf.infn.it

## ABSTRACT

We examine few simple extremal black hole configurations of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity. We first elucidate the relation between the BPS Reissner-Nordstrom black hole and the non-BPS Kaluza-Klein dyonic black hole. Their classical entropy, given by the Bekenstein-Hawking formula, can be reproduced via the attractor mechanism by suitable choices of symplectic frame. Then, we display the embedding of the axion-dilaton black hole into  $N = 8$  supergravity.

# 1 Introduction

It has been known for some time [1] that extremal BPS black hole (BH) states coming from string and M theory compactifications to four and five dimensions, preserving various fractions of the original  $N = 8$  supersymmetry, can be invariantly classified in terms of orbits of the fundamental representations of the exceptional groups  $E_{7(7)}$  and  $E_{6(6)}$ . These are the duality groups of the low energy actions, whose discrete subgroups appear as symmetries of the non-perturbative spectrum of BPS states [2]. These orbits, which have been further studied in ([3, 4, 5]), correspond to well defined categories of allowed entropies of extremal BHs in  $d = 5$  and in  $d = 4$ , given in terms of the cubic  $E_{6(6)}$  invariant  $I_3$  ([1, 4, 6]) and the quartic  $E_{7(7)}$  invariant  $I_4$  ([7, 8, 9]). There are three types of orbits depending on whether the BH background preserves 1=2, 1=4 or 1=8 of the original supersymmetry. Only 1=8 BPS states have non vanishing entropy and regular horizons, while 1=4 and 1=2 BPS configurations lead to vanishing classical entropy.

The  $N = 8$  attractors have been explored in [9] by solving the criticality condition for the suitable BH effective potential and extending the lore of  $N = 2$  special Kähler geometry [10].

In this note we focus on some specific simple configurations in  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity which capture some representatives of the regular (sometimes, called "large"), i.e. with non-vanishing classical entropy, extremal BPS and non-BPS BH charge orbits of the theory. One is the Reissner-Nordstrom (RN) dyonic BH, with electric and magnetic charge  $e$  and  $m$  respectively, and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (in unit of Planck mass) [11]

$$S_{RN} = e^2 + m^2 ; \tag{1.1}$$

Another one is the Kaluza-Klein (KK) dyonic BH, with a KK monopole charge  $p$  and a KK momentum  $q$ , which is dual to a D0-D6 brane configuration in Type IIA supergravity. Its Bekenstein-Hawking entropy reads

$$S_{KK} = |pq| ; \tag{1.2}$$

One more interesting example is the extremal axion-dilaton BH, a subsector of pure  $N = 4$  supergravity in  $d = 4$  which was considered in the past in [12, 13].

Our aim is to show how the entropies of these BHs can be obtained in the context of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity by exploiting the attractor mechanism [14, 15, 10, 16] for extremal BPS and non BPS BHs. Earlier studies for some specific cases were examined in [17, 18].

It is in fact known that while the BH charge configuration with entropy given by (1.1) is 1/8 BPS [11], the entropy (1.2) is related to a non BPS one. Indeed, the  $E_{7(7)}$  quartic invariant  $I_4$  on these configurations reduces to

$$\frac{q}{I_4^{RN}} = e^2 + m^2 ; \tag{1.3}$$

$$\frac{q}{I_4^{KK}} = |pq| ; \tag{1.4}$$

In particular we note that, if the magnetic (or electric) charge is switched off, the RN BH remains regular, whereas the KK BH reaches zero entropy ( $I_4 = 0$ ) and becomes 1/2 BPS [3].

The simplest way to obtain these configurations is to observe that the BPS and non-BPS charge orbits with  $I_4 \neq 0$  in  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity are given by [1]

$$O_{1=8 \text{ BPS}} : \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}}; \quad I_4 > 0; \quad (1.5)$$

$$O_{\text{non BPS}} : \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(6)}}; \quad I_4 < 0; \quad (1.6)$$

The moduli spaces corresponding to the above disjoint orbits are [19]

$$M_{1=8 \text{ BPS}} = \frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}$$

$$M_{\text{non BPS}} = \frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}; \quad (1.7)$$

Hence, a convenient representative of these orbits is given by the (unique)  $E_6$ -singlets in the decomposition of the fundamental representation 56 of  $E_{7(7)}$  into the two relevant non-compact real forms of  $E_6$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RN} \quad O_{1=8 \text{ BPS}} : & \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \langle E_{7(7)} \supset E_{6(2)} \times U(1); \\ & : 56 \supset (27;1) + (1;3) + \overline{27}; 1 + (1; 3); \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KK} \quad O_{\text{non BPS}} : & \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \langle E_{7(7)} \supset E_{6(6)} \times SO(1;1); \\ & : 56 \supset (27;1) + (1;3) + (27^0; 1) + (1^0; 3); \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where the  $U(1)$  charges and  $SO(1;1)$  weights are indicated, and the prime denotes the contravariant representations. Notice that, consistently with the group factors  $U(1)$  and  $SO(1;1)$ , 27 is complex for  $E_{6(2)}$ , whereas it is real for  $E_{6(6)}$ . Both  $E_{6(2)} \times U(1)$  and  $E_{6(6)} \times SO(1;1)$  are maximal non-compact subgroups of  $E_{7(7)}$ , with symmetric embedding.

Our result is simply stated as follows.

The two extremal BH charge configurations determining the embedding of RN and KK extremal BHs into  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity with entropies (1.1) and (1.2), are given by the two  $E_6$ -singlets in the decompositions (1.8) and (1.9).

The two situations can be efficiently associated to two different parametrizations of the real symmetric scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$  ( $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 70, \text{rank} = 7$ ) of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity.

For the branching (1.8), pertaining to the RN extremal BH, the relevant parametrization is the  $SU(8)$ -covariant one. This corresponds to the Cartan's decomposition basis, where the coset coordinates  $x_{ijkl}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 8$ ) sit in the four-fold antisymmetric self-real irrep 70 of  $SU(8)$ . The attractor mechanism implies that at the horizon

$$x_{ijkl} = 0; \quad (1.10)$$

i.e. the scalar configuration at the event horizon of the 1/8-BPS extremal BH is given by the origin of  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ . Some care should be taken with regards to "at" directions [8, 19].

Due to the existence of the moduli space  $\frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}$  ( $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 40, \text{rank} = 4$ ) of the  $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS

attractor solutions, strictly speaking 40 scalar degrees of freedom out of 70 are actually undetermined at the event horizon of the given  $\frac{1}{8}$  BPS RN extremal BH. In other words, 40 real scalar degrees of freedom, spanning the quaternionic symmetric coset  $\frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}$  (which is the compact [20] of the vector multiplets' scalar manifold of  $N = 2, d = 4$  "magic" supergravity based on  $J_3^C$ ), can be set to any real value, without affecting the RN BH entropy (1.1).

It should be noticed that, consistently with the Gaillard-Zumino formulation of electromagnetic duality in presence of scalar fields [21], the solution (1.10) to the attractor equations is the only one allowed in presence of a compact underlying symmetry (in this case  $U(1)$ ).

On the other hand, the best parametrization for the branching (1.9), pertaining to the KK extremal BH, is given by the KK radius

$$r_{KK}^3 = V^{1/3} e^{2\sigma}; \quad (1.11)$$

by the 42 real scalars  $\phi_{ijkl}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 8$ ) sitting in the 42 of  $USp(8)$ , and by the 27 real axions  $a^I$  ( $I = 1, \dots, 27$ ) sitting in the 27 of  $USp(8)$  (or equivalently, in the 27 of  $E_{6(6)}$ ).

In virtue of the attractor mechanism, the KK radius is stabilized as follows [22]:

$$r_{KK}^3 = V_H e^{6\sigma_H} = 4 \frac{q}{p}; \quad (1.12)$$

while all axions vanish:

$$a_H^I = 0; \quad (1.13)$$

The 42 real scalars  $\phi_{ijkl}$  are actually undetermined at the event horizon of the non-BPS KK BH, without affecting its entropy (1.2). Indeed, they span the moduli space  $\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$  ( $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 42, \text{rank} = 6$ ) of the non-BPS attractor solutions, which is the real symmetric scalar manifold of  $N = 8, d = 5$  supergravity [19].

It should be clear from our discussion that the possibility of having a non-vanishing scalar stabilized at the horizon of the KK extremal BH is related to the presence of a singlet in the relevant decomposition of the 70 scalars. This in turn is related to the existence of an underlying non-compact symmetry ( $SO(1;1)$  in the present case), admitting no compact sub-symmetry.

An alternative way to obtain eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) is to use appropriate truncations for the bare charges in the corresponding expression of the quartic invariant  $I_4$ , which is known to be related to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy by the formula

$$S = \frac{p}{4} \sqrt{I_4}; \quad (1.14)$$

The manifestly  $SU(8)$ -invariant expression of  $I_4$  reads as follows:

$$I_4 = \text{Tr} Z Z^y{}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} r^2 Z Z^y + 8 \text{Re} \text{Pf}(Z); \quad (1.15)$$

where  $Z = Z_{AB}(\cdot)$  is the central charge  $8 \times 8$  skew-symmetric matrix. Since (1.15) is moduli-independent, it can be evaluated at  $\sigma = 0$  without loss of generality, and in such a case  $Z_{AB}$  is replaced by  $Q_{AB}$ , the bare charge matrix in the  $SU(8)$  basis.

Considering the RN black hole, we will see that a suitable truncation of the  $N = 8$  bare charge matrix  $Q_{AB}$  ( $A, B = 1, \dots, 8$ ), reduces it to the form

$$Q_{AB}^{RN} = (z_{ab}; 0); z = e + im; \quad (1.16)$$

where  $a, b = 1, 2$  and  $\tau = \frac{e}{m}$ . Thus one obtains

$$I_4 = |z|^4 = e^2 + m^2 \tau^2; \quad (1.17)$$

which is nothing but Eq. (1.3) and it is also the same result as in pure  $N = 2, d = 4$  supergravity, which has a  $U(1)$  global symmetry [11].

On the other hand, the manifestly  $E_{6(6)}$ -invariant expression of  $I_4$  in terms of the cubic invariant  $I_3$ , as function of the bare electric and magnetic charges is given by [1, 23, 5]:

$$I_4 = p^0 q_0 + p^i q_i^2 + 4 q_0 I_3(p) - p^0 I_3(q) + f I_3(p); I_3(q)g; \quad (1.18)$$

By truncating the fluxes in such a way that

$$p^i = 0 = q_i; \quad (1.19)$$

one obtains ( $p^0 = p, q_0 = q$ )

$$I_4 = (pq)^2; \quad (1.20)$$

which now coincides with Eq. (1.4).

We will show that there is yet another way to obtain the two entropies for RN and KK black holes (1.1) and (1.2). This consists in using the attractor equations for the effective black hole potential  $\frac{\partial V_{BH}}{\partial \phi} = 0$  and the expression of the entropy as the value of such potential at the critical point,

$$S = V_{BH}|_{\text{crit}}; \quad (1.21)$$

The plan of this paper is as follows.

In Sect. 2 we consider various bases of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity, namely the  $SL(8; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $SU(8)$ - and  $USp(8)$ -covariant ones, exploiting the relevant branchings of the  $U$ -duality group  $E_{7(7)}$ . Then, Sect. 3 is devoted to the computation of the fundamental quantities for the geometry of the scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$  in the  $SL(8; \mathbb{R})$ -covariant basis. Then, Sect. 4 analyses the  $E_{6(6)}$ -covariant basis, with the goal of exhibiting the connection with  $N = 8, d = 5$  supergravity: the  $d = 4$  effective BH potential is recast in a manifestly  $d = 5$  covariant form. Moreover, the charge configurations of this potential leading to vanishing axion fields are studied along with the corresponding attractor solutions. In Sect. 5 the embedding of the axion-dilaton extremal BH in  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity, through an intermediate embedding into  $N = 4, d = 4$  theory with 6 vector multiplets, is analyzed. Finally, Sect. 6 contains an outlook, as well as some concluding comments and remarks. The paper also contains in an Appendix the embedding of the  $d = 5$  uplift of the stu model (the so-called  $(SO(1; 1))^2$  model) into  $d = 5$  maximal supergravity.

## 2 Symplectic Frames

The de Wit-Nicolai [24] formulation of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity is based on a symplectic frame where the maximal non-compact symmetry of the Lagrangian is  $SL(8;R)$  [25], according to the decomposition

$$E_{7(7)} \supset SL(8;R);$$

$$56 \supset 28 + 28^0; \tag{2.1}$$

where  $SL(8;R)$  is a maximal non-compact subgroup of  $E_{7(7)}$ , and  $28$  is its two-fold antisymmetric irreducible representation. Since the theory is pure, the  $R$ -symmetry, namely  $SU(8)$ , is the stabilizer of the scalar manifold. It is not a symmetry of the Lagrangian, but only of the equations of motion. The maximal compact symmetry of the Lagrangian is the intersection of  $SL(8;R)$  with  $SU(8)$ , which is  $SO(8)$  (the maximal compact subgroup of  $SL(8;R)$  itself).

Another symplectic frame corresponds to the decomposition (1.9). In this case, the maximal non-compact symmetry of the Lagrangian is  $E_{6(6)} \supset SO(1;1) \times T_{27}$ , with  $\times$  denoting the semi-direct group product and  $T_{27}$  standing for the 27-dimensional Abelian subgroup of  $E_{7(7)}$ . The maximal compact symmetry is now  $USp(8)$ , which is also the maximal compact symmetry of the Lagrangian. Note that all terms in the Lagrangian are  $SU(8)$  invariant, with the exception of the vector kinetic terms, which are  $SU(8)$ -invariant only on-shell.

Let us decompose  $E_{7(7)}$  along two different maximal non-compact subgroups according to the following diagram:

$$E_{7(7)} \supset SL(8;R)$$

$$\# \qquad \qquad \qquad \# \tag{2.2}$$

$$E_{6(6)} \supset SO(1;1) \supset SL(6;R) \supset SL(2;R) \supset SO(1;1):$$

If one goes first horizontally, the 56 of  $E_{7(7)}$  decomposes as

$$56 \supset 28 + 28^0!$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \supset (15;1;1) + (6;2;1) + (1;1;3) + \\ & \quad + (15^0;1;1) + (6^0;2;1) + (1;1;3): \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Alternatively, one can first go downward, and use that

$$E_{6(6)} \supset SL(6;R) \supset SL(2;R);$$

$$27 \supset (15;1) + (6^0;2);$$

$$1 \supset (1;1); \tag{2.4}$$

thus obtaining:

$$56 \supset (27;1) + (1;3) + (27^0;1) + (1;3)!$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \supset (15;1;1) + (6^0;2;1) + (1;1;3) + \\ & \quad + (15^0;1;1) + (6;2;1) + (1;1;3): \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, either way on the diagram and irrespectively of the intermediate decomposition, one obtains the same irreducible representations of  $SL(6;R) \times SL(2;R) \times SO(1;1)$ , which enjoys a unique embedding in the U-duality group  $E_{7(7)}$ . In particular, one sees that the singlets are indeed the same in the two cases, and the alternative decompositions are related by the interchange of  $(15;1;1)$  with  $(\overline{15}^0;1;1)$ . Then one concludes that these two formulations, corresponding to two different symplectic frames, can be interchanged by dualizing 15 out of the 28 vector fields.

An analogous argument holds if one decomposes  $E_{7(7)}$  according to two different maximal compact subgroups along the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{7(7)} & \rightarrow & SU(8) \\
\# & & \# \\
E_{6(2)} \times U(1) & \rightarrow & SU(6) \times SU(2) \times U(1)
\end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

This time, going first horizontally along the diagram, the result reads:

$$\begin{array}{l}
56 \rightarrow (15;1;1) + (6;2;1) + (1;1;3) + \\
\overline{28} \rightarrow \overline{15};1;1 + \overline{6};2;1 + (1;1;3)
\end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

Equivalently, one can first go vertically on the diagram and use

$$\begin{array}{l}
E_{6(2)} \rightarrow SU(6) \times SU(2); \\
27 \rightarrow (15;1) + \overline{6};2; \\
1 \rightarrow (1;1);
\end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

thus obtaining:

$$\begin{array}{l}
56 \rightarrow (27;1) + \overline{27};1 + (1;3) + (1;3) + \\
\overline{27} \rightarrow \overline{15};1;1 + (6;2;1) + (1;1;3)
\end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

Again, either of the two alternative branchings in (2.6), which are related by the interchange of  $(15;1;1)$  with  $\overline{15};1;1$ , yield the same decomposition into irreducible representations of  $SU(6) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ . Moreover, the  $U(1)$  singlet which commutes with  $SU(6) \times SU(2)$  is the same as the one which commutes with  $E_{6(2)}$ .

Let us now turn to the scalar sector. As mentioned above, the coordinate system for the scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$  based on the Cartan decomposition, the real scalars  $\phi_{ijk1}$  sit in the 70 (four-fold antisymmetric and self-real irreducible representation) of  $SU(8)$  with  $i = 1; \dots; 8$ . The embedding of the RN extremal BH is related to the further decomposition

$$\begin{array}{l}
SU(8) \rightarrow SU(6) \times SU(2) \times U(1); \\
70 \rightarrow (20;2;0) + (15;1;2) + \overline{15};1;2
\end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, for describing the KK extremal BH one decomposes  $SU(8)$  under its maximal subgroup  $USp(8)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} SU(8) & \rightarrow USp(8); \\ 70 & \rightarrow 42 + 27 + 1; \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where 42 and 27 are respectively the four-fold and two-fold antisymmetric irreducible representations (both skew-traceless and self-real) of  $USp(8)$ .

The crucial difference between (2.10) and (2.11) is that the latter decomposition contains a real singlet, whereas the first one does not. This is related to an underlying maximal compact  $U(1)$  symmetry which is present for (2.10) and not for (2.11). This feature explains the different behaviour of the two solutions at the attractor point: the RN solution has the behaviour (1.10) while the KK solution is given by (1.12)–(1.13).

### 3 $SL(8; \mathbb{R})$ -Basis

In this section we aim at making contact between the symplectic formalism for extended supergravities reviewed in [26] and the original formulation of  $N = 8$  supergravity of [24] for some of the key geometrical objects that are relevant for the present investigation (see also [27] for recent developments).

We start by considering the coset representative for  $E_{7(7)=SU(8)}$ , which is parametrized as [24]

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ij}^{IJ} & v_{ijKL} \\ v^{kIJ} & u_{KL}^{kl} \end{pmatrix}; \tag{3.1}$$

The sub-matrices  $u$  and  $v$  carry indices of both  $E_{7(7)}$  and  $SU(8)$  ( $I = 1; \dots; 8$ ,  $J = 1; \dots; 8$ ) but one can choose a suitable  $SU(8)$  gauge for the fields, and then retain only manifest invariance with respect to the rigid diagonal subgroup of  $E_{7(7)} = SU(8)$ , without distinction among the two types of indices. Comparing the notation of [24] (in particular the appendix B) with the symplectic formalism of [21, 26], we can identify

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1 & v \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u_{ij}^{kl} = (P^{-1/2})_{ij}^{kl}; \\ v^{ijk1} = (P^{-1/2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nk1} \end{pmatrix};$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ u & -v \end{pmatrix}; \tag{3.2}$$

Since sections are sub-matrices of the symplectic representation, relatively to electric and magnetic subgroups, their explicit indices components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}^{kl} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P^{-1/2})_{ij}^{kl} - (P^{-1/2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nk1}; \\ h_{ijk1} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (P^{-1/2})_{ij}^{kl} + (P^{-1/2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nk1}; \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$



where, in matrix notation,

$$P = \frac{1}{2} Y Y^Y ; \quad Y = B \frac{\tanh \frac{p}{2} \frac{B^Y B}{B^Y B}}{B^Y B} ; \quad B_{ijk1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{2} \delta_{ijk1} ; \quad (3.4)$$

the last definition coming from the choice of the symplectic gauge for the coset representative in Eq. (B.1) of [24]. If one defines

$$P^{\prime} = \frac{1}{2} Y^Y Y ; \quad (3.5)$$

and uses the identity

$$(P^{\prime 1=2}) Y^Y = Y^Y (P^{\prime 1=2}) ; \quad (3.6)$$

the following simple expressions for  $f$  and  $h$  are finally achieved:

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{P^{\prime 1=2}} (P^{\prime 1=2}) Y^Y = \frac{1}{2} [1 - Y^Y] \frac{1}{1 - Y Y^Y} ; \quad (3.7)$$

$$h = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{P^{\prime 1=2}} + (P^{\prime 1=2}) Y^Y = \frac{1}{2} [1 + Y^Y] \frac{1}{1 - Y Y^Y} ; \quad (3.8)$$

The above notations are such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{1=2} &= \frac{p}{1 - Y Y^Y} \quad ! \quad P_{ij}^{k1} = \frac{k1}{ij} Y_{ijm n} Y^{m nk1} \\ P^{\prime 1=2} &= \frac{p}{1 - Y^Y Y} \quad ! \quad P^{k1}_{ij} = \frac{k1}{ij} Y^{k1m n} Y_{m nij} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

It is easily checked that the symplectic sections satisfy the usual relations

$$\begin{aligned} i(f^Y h - h^Y f) &= 1 ; \\ h^T f - f^T h &= 0 ; \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

These are obtained writing the symplectic sections as in (3.7) and (3.8), and using the identity

$$Y P^{\prime 1} = P^{\prime 1} Y ; \quad (3.11)$$

The kinetic matrix is given in terms of the symplectic sections by [26]

$$N = h f^{-1} ; \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8) yield

$$\begin{aligned} N &= i [1 + Y^Y] \frac{1}{1 - Y Y^Y} \frac{p}{1 - Y Y^Y} \frac{1}{1 - Y^Y} = \\ &= i \frac{1 + Y^Y}{1 - Y^Y} \\ &+ \\ N_{ijk1} &= i \left( \frac{k1}{m n} + Y^{m nk1} \right) \left( \frac{m n}{ij} Y^{ijm n} \right)^{-1} ; \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We now turn to the central charge function, which is defined by

$$Z_{ij} = f_{ij}{}^{kl} q_{kl} - h_{ijkl} p^{kl}; \quad (3.14)$$

where electric and magnetic charges are in the same  $SO(8)$  adjoint representation as vector fields. Using the definitions in (3.3), one obtains<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (P^{1=2})_{ij}{}^{kl} (P^{1=2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nkl} q_{kl} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (P^{1=2})_{ij}{}^{kl} + (P^{1=2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nkl} p^{kl} = \\ &= (P^{1=2})_{ij}{}^{kl} Q_{kl} - (P^{1=2})_{mn}^{ij} Y^{m nkl} Q_{kl} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 4 \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} Q_{kl} - \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} Y^{m nkl} Q_{kl} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where the complex charges

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (q_{ij} + i p^{ij}) \quad (3.16)$$

have been introduced.

Then one can also give an expression for the BH potential, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{BH} &= \frac{1}{2} Z_{ij} \bar{Z}^{ij} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (1 - Y \bar{Y})^{-1} ijkl Q_{kl} Q_{ij} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{ij} Q_{ab} \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{ij} Y_{cdkl} Q_{kl} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{ij} \frac{1}{ab} \bar{Y}^{abkl} Q_{kl} - \frac{1}{1 - Y \bar{Y}} \frac{1}{ij} Q_{cd} + \\ &\quad + (1 - \bar{Y} Y)^{-1} ijkl \bar{Y}^{ijab} Y_{klmn} Q_{ab} Q_{mn} : \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, in the expansion around the zero field configuration, the BH receives contribution from the term

$$V_{BH}(\phi = 0) = \frac{1}{4} Q_{ij} Q^{ij} : \quad (3.18)$$

The linear term in the expansion of the BH potential near the point  $\phi = 0$  receives contributions from the second and third row of Eq. (3.17), yielding the condition

$$Q_{ij} - ijkl Q_{kl} - Q_{ij} - ijkl Q_{kl} = 0; \quad (3.19)$$

$$Q_{ij} Q_{kl} - \frac{1}{4!} Q_{ij} Q_{kl} - ijklmn pq = 0 : \quad (3.20)$$

The configuration corresponding to charges  $Q_{AB}$  in the singlet of  $SU(2) \times SU(6)$  trivially satisfies condition (3.20). Furthermore, it sets to zero the linear term for all values of  $\phi$ , implying the  $\phi = 0$  point to be an attractor point for this configuration.

<sup>1</sup>The expression with explicit indices is given by

$$P^{ij}{}_{kl} = (P^{\nu})_{kl}{}^{ij}$$

## 4 $E_{6(6)}$ -Basis and Relation to $d = 5$

This section is aimed to establish the relation between the  $N = 8, d = 4$  theory and  $N = 8, d = 5$  supergravity ([28, 29]), especially for what concerns the effective BH potential.

In our normalisations the kinetic Lagrangian for vector fields in the  $N = 2$  theory reads (with  $F = \frac{1}{2}(\partial A - \partial A) = \partial[A_j]$  [30, 31])

$$L = \dots \text{Im} N F F + \text{Re} N F F ; \quad (4.1)$$

where  $N$  is the  $d = 4$  vector kinetic matrix, with  $\text{rank} N = 0; 1; \dots; 27$ . The effective BH potential is given by [16]

$$V_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{2} Q^T M(N) Q ; \quad (4.2)$$

where  $Q$  is the symplectic charge vector  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ , and the matrix  $M$  reads [16]

$$M(N) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \text{Im} N + \text{Re} N (\text{Im} N)^{-1} \text{Re} N & \text{Re} N (\text{Im} N)^{-1} \\ (\text{Im} N)^{-1} \text{Re} N & (\text{Im} N)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad (4.3)$$

The  $d = 5$  U-duality group  $E_{6(6)}$  acts linearly on the 27 vectors  $\hat{A}^I$ , with  $I = 1; \dots; 5$  and  $I = 1; \dots; 27$ . The  $d = 5$  vector kinetic matrix  $\hat{N}_{IJ}$  is a function of the scalar fields spanning the  $d = 5$  scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$  ( $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 42; \text{rank} = 6$ ).

According to the splitting  $\mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{I}g$ , the  $d = 4$  kinetic vector matrix assumes the block form

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ N_{00} & N_{0J} \\ N_{I0} & N_{IJ} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad (4.4)$$

By using the formula obtained in [32] which determine  $N$  in terms of ve-dimensional quantities, in a normalization<sup>2</sup> that is suitable for comparison to  $N = 2$ , one obtains

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{3} d_{IJK} a^I a^J a^K & i e^2 a_{IJ} a^I a^J + e^6 \\ \frac{1}{2} d_{IKL} a^K a^L + i e^2 a_{IK} a^K & \frac{1}{2} d_{IJK} a^I a^K + i e^2 a_{KJ} a^K \\ \frac{1}{2} d_{IKL} a^K a^L + i e^2 a_{IK} a^K & d_{IJK} a^K - i e^2 a_{IJ} \end{pmatrix} ; \quad (4.5)$$

<sup>2</sup> Compared to the notation of [32], here we use  $N_{IJ} = 4N_{IJ}$ ,  $2\hat{N}_{IJ} = a_{IJ}$ ,  $d_{IJK} = d_{IJK} = 4$  and  $a^I = a^I$ .

Since the  $d_{IJK}$  tensor, the  $a^I$  fields, the  $d = 5$  vector kinetic matrix  $a_{IJ}$  and the fields are real, the expressions for  $\text{Im } N$  and  $\text{Re } N$  are given by

$$\text{Im } N = e^6 \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{B} \\ \text{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{C} \\ \text{A} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 1 + e^4 a_{IJ} a^I a^J \\ e^4 a_{IK} a^K \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e^4 a_{KJ} a^K \\ e^4 a_{IJ} \end{array} \end{array}; \quad (4.6)$$

$$\text{Re } N = \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{B} \\ \text{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{C} \\ \text{A} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3} d_{KLM} a^K a^L a^M \\ \frac{1}{2} d_{ILM} a^L a^M \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} d_{JLM} a^L a^M \\ d_{IJK} a^K \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3} d \\ \frac{1}{2} d_I \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} d_J \\ d_{IJ} \end{array}; \quad (4.7)$$

where the following shorthand notation has been introduced:

$$d = d_{IJK} a^I a^J a^K; \quad d_I = d_{IJK} a^J a^K; \quad d_{IJ} = d_{IJK} a^K; \quad (4.8)$$

The inverse matrix  $(\text{Im } N)^{-1}$  can be determined by noticing the block structure of (4.6). Then, by performing computations analogous to those of [22], one finds

$$(\text{Im } N)^{-1} = e^6 \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{B} \\ \text{A} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{C} \\ \text{A} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ a^I \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} a^J \\ a^I a^J + e^4 a^{IJ} \end{array} \end{array}; \quad (4.9)$$

where  $a^{IJ} = (a_{IJ})^{-1}$ . Inserting the above expressions into Eq. (4.2), the  $N = 8, d = 4$  effective BH potential can finally be rewritten in a  $d = 5$  language:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BH}} = & (p^0)^2 \frac{1}{2} e^2 a_{IJ} a^I a^J + \frac{1}{2} e^6 + \frac{1}{8} e^6 \left( \frac{d^2}{9} + e^4 a^{IJ} d_I d_J \right) + \\ & + p^0 p^I e^2 a_{IJ} a^J - \frac{1}{4} e^6 \left( \frac{1}{3} d d_I + 2e^4 a^{KJ} d_K d_{JI} \right) + \\ & + p^I p^J \left( \frac{1}{2} e^2 a_{IJ} + \frac{1}{8} e^6 \left( d_I d_J + 4e^4 a^{KL} d_{IK} d_{LJ} \right) \right) + \\ & + \frac{1}{6} q_0 p^0 e^6 d + \frac{1}{6} q_I p^0 e^6 \left( d a^I + 3e^4 a^{KI} d_K \right) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} q_0 p^I e^6 d_I - \frac{1}{2} q_I p^J e^6 \left( d_J a^I + 2e^4 a^{KI} d_{JK} \right) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (q^0)^2 e^6 + q_0 q_I e^6 a^I + \frac{1}{2} q_I q_J e^6 \left( a^I a^J + e^4 a^{IJ} \right); \quad (4.10) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this formula becomes identical to the corresponding one of [22] concerning (purely cubic)  $N = 2$  geometries [33, 34], where  $a_{IJ} = 4e^4 g_{ij}$  and  $V = e^6$ .

The potential (4.10), because of the definitions (4.8), can be seen to be a polynomial of degree up to sixth in the axion fields, whose general solutions are hard to determine. However, one can consider in particular attractor solutions with vanishing axion fields. These are given by specific charge configurations that solve the following attractor equations:

$$\frac{\partial V_{BH}}{\partial a^I} \Big|_{a^J=0} = e^2 p^0 p^K a_{KI} - e^2 q_I p^K d_{ILK} a^{JL} + q_0 q_I e^6 = 0 : \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, the BH charge configurations  $Q = (p^0; p^I; q_0; q_I)$  supporting axion-free solutions fall into three classes:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad Q_e &= (p^0; 0; 0; q_I) && \text{Electric BH ;} \\ \text{b)} \quad Q_m &= (0; p^I; q_0; 0) && \text{Magnetic BH ;} \\ \text{c)} \quad Q_0 &= (p^0; 0; q_0; 0) && \text{KK charged BH ;} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

In each of these classes, we now specify the BH potential by setting to zero the appropriate charge configuration in (4.10):

a) Electric BH :

$$V_{BH}(\cdot; p^0; q_I) \Big|_{a^I=0} = \frac{1}{2} e^6 (p^0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^2 a^{IJ} q_I q_J : \quad (4.13)$$

b) Magnetic BH :

$$V_{BH}(\cdot; q_0; p^J) \Big|_{a^I=0} = \frac{1}{2} e^6 (q_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^2 a_{IJ} p^I p^J : \quad (4.14)$$

c) BH charged with respect to the KK vector:

$$V_{BH}(\cdot; q_0; p^0) \Big|_{a^I=0} = \frac{1}{2} e^6 (q_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^6 (p^0)^2 : \quad (4.15)$$

In order to recover the complete attractor solution, one also has to stabilize  $e$ . For the KK charged BH one gets,

$$\frac{\partial V_{BH}^{KK}(\cdot; q_0; p^0)}{\partial e} \Big|_{a^I=0} = 0 \quad ( ) \quad e^6 = \frac{q_0}{p^0} ; \quad (4.16)$$

thus yielding

$$V_{BH}^{KK}(q_0; p^0) \Big|_{a^I=0} = \frac{1}{2} q_0 p^0 : \quad (4.17)$$

In the electric case it holds that

$$\frac{\partial V_{BH}^e}{\partial e} \Big|_{a^I=0} = 0 \quad ( ) \quad e^2 = \frac{a^{IJ} q_I q_J}{3(p^0)^2} ; \quad (4.18)$$

implying the critical value

$$V_{BH}^e(q_I; p^0) \Big|_{a^I=0} = 2 p^0 \frac{a^{IJ} q_I q_J}{3} : \quad (4.19)$$

Analogously, for the magnetic BH one finds

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{BH}}^m}{\partial q^I} \Big|_{j^I=0} = 0 \quad ( ) \quad e^2 = \frac{a_{IJ} p^I p^J}{3q_0^2} \quad ; \quad (4.20)$$

yielding

$$V_{\text{BH}}^m(q_0; p^I) \Big|_{j^I=0} = 2j_0^0 j^{I=2} \frac{a_{IJ} p^I p^J}{3} \quad ; \quad (4.21)$$

In virtue of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area formula, the above expressions for the critical electric and magnetic BH potentials must be compared with appropriate powers of the  $E_{6(6)}$  cubic invariants  $I_3(p) = \frac{1}{3!} d_{IJK} p^I p^J p^K$  and  $I_3(q) = \frac{1}{3!} d^{IJK} q_I q_J q_K$ . Indeed, in  $d = 5$  it must hold that [10]

$$S = V^{3=4} \Big|_{\text{crit}} = j_{\text{crit}}^3 \quad ; \quad (4.22)$$

Defining the electric and magnetic  $d = 5$  effective potentials respectively as

$$V_5^e = a^{IJ} q_I q_J \quad ; \quad V_5^m = a_{IJ} p^I p^J \quad (4.23)$$

one obtains

$$V_{\text{crit}}^e = 2j_0^0 j^{I=2} \frac{V_5^e}{3} \Big|_{\text{crit}} \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$V_{\text{crit}}^m = 2j_0^0 j^{I=2} \frac{V_5^m}{3} \Big|_{\text{crit}} \quad (4.25)$$

By comparison with  $N = 2$  symmetric d-geometries having

$$V_5^e \Big|_{\text{crit}} = j_{\text{crit}}^3(q) = j_{\text{crit}}^3(q) = j_{\text{crit}}^3(q) \quad ; \quad (4.26)$$

one obtains the expressions for the critical potential of the four dimensional electric and magnetic BHs:

$$V_{\text{BH crit}}^e(q_I; p^0) = 2 \frac{j_0^0 d^{IJK} q_I q_J q_K}{3!} \Big|_{\text{crit}} \quad ; \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$V_{\text{BH crit}}^m(q_0; p^I) = 2 \frac{j_0^0 d_{IJK} p^I p^J p^K}{3!} \Big|_{\text{crit}} \quad ; \quad (4.28)$$

More generally, these solutions can be compared with the embedding of the  $N = 2$  purely cubic supergravities into  $N = 8$  supergravity, and using the above critical values of the BH potential in (4.21), one finds for the three family of configurations under exam the correct result:

$$\frac{S_{\text{BH}}}{V} = \frac{p}{j_{\text{crit}}^4} \quad ; \quad (4.29)$$

It is interesting to remark that the KK black hole can be connected to the RN solution by performing an analytic continuation of the charges, as one can see from the redefinition

$$\begin{aligned} p^0 & \rightarrow p + iq \quad ; \\ q_0 & \rightarrow p - iq \quad ; \end{aligned}$$

in , which allows one to recover the RN entropy

$$S_{RN} = (\tilde{p}^2 + \tilde{q}^2) : \quad (4.30)$$

We conclude this Section by pointing out that the 70 scalars of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity have been decomposed according to representations of  $USp(8)$  (maximal compact subgroup of  $E_{6(6)} = SO(1;1)$ ) as follows:

$$70 = 42 + 27 + 1 : \quad (4.31)$$

The 42 unstabilized fields are the coordinates of the corresponding moduli space [19]. The non-compact form of the exceptional group,  $E_{6(6)}$ , in fact, enters in the expression of the coset

$$\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)} ; \quad (4.32)$$

which is the moduli space of the  $d = 4$  non-BPS,  $Z_{AB} \neq 0$  extremal BHs, whose orbit is precisely

$$O = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(6)}} : \quad (4.33)$$

Indeed, the KK BH is indeed a non supersymmetric solution (see also Sect. 1).

## 5 Embedding of the Axion-Dilaton Extremal BH

The embedding of the axion-dilaton BH in  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity can be performed by a three step supersymmetry reduction, which can be schematically indicated as

$$N = 8 \rightarrow N = 4; n_V = 6 \rightarrow \text{pure } N = 4 \rightarrow N = 2 \text{ quadratic}; n_V = 1; \quad (5.1)$$

where  $n_V$  denotes the number of vector multiplets coupled to the supergravity multiplet. More precisely, the first step consists in truncating  $N = 8$  supergravity to an  $N = 4$  theory interacting with six matter (vector) multiplets. In the second step,  $N = 4$  reduces to the pure theory, while in the last reduction one obtains  $N = 2$  supergravity quadratic [35] theory with a single vector multiplet.

Let us examine more precisely each intermediate step.

1) In the first step, the  $N = 8$  central charge matrix  $Z_{AB}$  assumes the block form ( $a, b = 1, \dots, 4, i, j = 1, \dots, 4$ ):

$$Z_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ Z_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & i\bar{Z}_{ij} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A : \quad (5.2)$$

where  $Z_{ab}$  is the  $N = 4$  central charge matrix and  $Z_{ij}$  are the matter charges of the 6 vector multiplets (sitting in the two-fold antisymmetric of  $SU(4)$ , or equivalently in the vector representation of  $SO(6) = SU(4)$ ).

Consequently, the  $N = 8$  scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ , reduces to

$$\frac{SL(2;R)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SO(6;6)}{SO(6) \times SO(6)} = \frac{SL(2;R)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SO(6;6)}{SU(4) \times SU(4)}; \quad (5.3)$$

which admits three orbits. This is the scalar manifold for  $N = 4$  supergravity coupled to 6 vector multiplets, .

2) In the second step, the 6 vector multiplets are eliminated and  $Z_{ij} = 0$ ; this corresponds to retaining only states which are singlets with respect to the second  $SU(4)$  in the stabilizer of the coset (5.3)), and the theory becomes pure  $N = 4$ , with  $U$ -duality  $SL(2;R) \times SU(4)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & 1 & 0 & & 1 & \\ & Z_{ab} & 0 & & Z_{ab} & 0 & \\ @ & & A & ! & @ & & A ; \\ & & 0 & i\overline{Z}_{ij} & & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

with  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Accordingly, the scalar manifold reduces to  $\frac{SL(2;R)}{U(1)}$ . Notice that, the presence of the axion-dilaton spanning  $\frac{SL(2;R)}{U(1)}$ , in the  $N = 4$  supergravity multiplet, only an  $SU(4)$  out of the whole (local)  $N = 4$  R-symmetry  $U(4)$  gets promoted to (global)  $U$ -duality symmetry .

3) In the last step, 4 out of 6 graviphotons drop out, reducing the overall gauge symmetry from  $U(1)^6$  to  $U(1)^2$ , with resulting  $U$ -duality  $SL(2;R) \times U(1)$ . Thus, the framework becomes  $N = 2$ -supersymmetric, with the two skew-eigenvalues  $(Z_1; Z_2)$  of  $Z_{ab}$  related to the  $N = 2$  central and matter charges  $(Z; D_s Z)$  :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & 1 & & & & \\ & Z & 0 & & & & \\ Z_{ab} ! & @ & & A : & & & \\ & & 0 & i\overline{D}_s Z & & & \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, at the  $N = 2$  level one can have both BPS attractors ( $D_s Z = 0$ ) and the non-BPS ( $Z = 0$ ) ones [5].

On a group theoretical side, this step corresponds to performing the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} SU(4) & \rightarrow SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1); \\ 4 & \rightarrow 2; 1; \frac{1}{2} + 1; 2; \frac{1}{2}; \\ 6 & \rightarrow (2; 2; 0) + (1; 1; 1) + (1; 1; -1); \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and to retaining only the singlets of  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ .

The above three step reduction can be viewed from the point of view of the classification of large charge orbits [26, 36]. One starts with the  $N = 8$  scalar manifold  $E_{7(7)}=SU(8)$  admitting the two regular orbits (1.5) and (1.6). The large charge orbits of  $N = 4, d = 4$  supergravity coupled to 6 vector multiplets are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text{O}_{1=4 \text{ BPS}} : \\ \text{O}_{\text{non BPS}; Z_{ab}=0} : \\ \text{O}_{\text{non BPS}; Z_{ab} \neq 0} : \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} SL(2;R) \times \frac{SO(6;6)}{SO(2) \times SO(6;4)}; \\ SL(2;R) \times \frac{SO(6;6)}{SO(2) \times SO(6;4)}; \\ SL(2;R) \times \frac{SO(6;6)}{SO(1;1) \times SO(5;5)}; \end{array} \end{array} \quad (5.7)$$



where the coincidence of the first two orbits is due to the symmetry between the gravity and the matter sector.

The corresponding moduli spaces for the  $N = 4, n = 6$  attractor solutions, exploiting the hidden symmetries of the above charge orbits, are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{M}_{\text{BPS}} &= \frac{SO(6,4)}{SU(4)SU(2)SU(2)} ; \\
\text{M}_{\text{nonBPS}, Z_{ab}=0} &= \frac{SO(6,4)}{SO(6)SO(4)} ; \\
\text{M}_{\text{nonBPS}, Z_{ab} \neq 0} &= SO(1;1) \frac{SO(5,5)}{SO(5)SO(5)} = SO(1;1) \frac{SO(5,5)}{USp(4)USp(4)} :
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Notice that  $\text{M}_{1=4\text{BPS}}$  (and  $\text{M}_{\text{nonBPS}, Z_{ab}=0}$ ) are homogeneous symmetric quaternionic manifolds, as in the  $N = 4 \rightarrow N = 2$  reduction they become the hypermultiplets' scalar manifold [26].

The truncation of the  $N = 8$  theory into  $N = 4$  is based on the decomposition

$$E_{7(7)} \supset SL(2;R) \times SO(6;6) \tag{5.9}$$

and on the following group embeddings

$$SO(6;4) \supset SO(2) \times E_{6(2)} ; \tag{5.10}$$

$$SO(5;5) \supset SO(1;1) \times E_{6(6)} ; \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore, one can readily establish that the orbits 1/4 BPS and non BPS,  $Z_{ab} = 0$  descend from the  $N = 8, \text{BPS}$  orbit  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}}$ , whereas the orbit  $O_{\text{nonBPS}, Z_{ab} \neq 0}$  comes from the  $N = 8, \text{non-BPS}$  orbit  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(6)}}$ .

There is also another way to interpret the three step reduction (5.1), that is in terms of U-duality invariant representations. At group level, the embedding of the axion-dilaton extremal BH into  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity is based on the decomposition of  $E_{7(7)} \supset SU(8)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
SU(8) &\supset SU(4) \times SU(4) \times U(1) ; \\
8 &\supset (4;1; \frac{1}{2}) + (1;4; \frac{1}{2}) ; \\
28 &\supset (4;4;0) + (6;1;1) + (1;6;1) ; \\
\overline{28} &\supset (\overline{4};\overline{4};0) + (6;1;1) + (1;6;1) ;
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where  $SU(4) \times SU(4) \times U(1)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $SU(8)$ .

Then, the first truncation ( $N = 8 \rightarrow N = 4; n = 6$ ) consists in setting

$$(4;4;0) = 0 = (\overline{4};\overline{4};0) ; \tag{5.13}$$

which gives rise to the decomposition (5.2).

We recall that the quartic invariant of the U-duality group  $SL(2;R) \times SO(6;n)$  of  $N = 4, d = 4$  supergravity coupled to  $n$  vector multiplets is [8]

$$I_4 = S_1^2 - \mathcal{F}_2^2 ; \tag{5.14}$$

where the three  $SO(6;n)$  invariants  $S_1, S_2$  and  $\overline{S_2}$  are defined by ( $a; b = 1; \dots; 4, I = 1; \dots; n$ ):

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2} Z_{ab} \overline{Z}^{ab} - Z_I \overline{Z}^I; \quad (5.15)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abcd} Z_{ab} Z_{cd} - \overline{Z}_I \overline{Z}^I; \quad (5.16)$$

The case  $n = 6$  is remarkably symmetric, as the symmetry of the gravity and matter sector is the same and furthermore, due to the isomorphism  $SU(4) \cong SO(6)$ , the  $SO(6)$ -vector  $Z_I$  of matter charges can be equivalently represented as the  $SU(4)$ -antisymmetric tensor  $i\overline{Z}_{ij}$  ( $i; j = 1; \dots; 4$ ). Consequently, for  $n = 6$  we have

$$S_{1, n=6} = \frac{1}{2} Z_{ab} \overline{Z}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{Z}_{ij} Z^{ij}; \quad (5.17)$$

$$S_{2, n=6} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abcd} Z_{ab} Z_{cd} - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{ijkl} Z^{ij} Z^{kl}; \quad (5.18)$$

Notice that  $O_{1=4BPS}$  and  $O_{nonBPS; Z_{ab}=0}$  in Eq. (5.7) correspond to the two disconnected branches of the same manifold, classified by the sign of the real  $SO(6;6)$ -invariant [26]. Indeed,  $S_{1, n=6} > 0$  for  $O_{1=4BPS}$  and  $S_{1, n=6} < 0$  for  $O_{nonBPS; Z_{ab}=0}$ .

By a suitable  $U(1) \times SU(4) \times SU(4)$  transformation, one can reach the normal frame for both gravity sector and matter sector, such that the two matrices  $Z_{ab}$  and  $Z_{ij}$  are simultaneously skew-diagonalized, obtaining

$$Z_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & & & \\ & Z_2 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5.19)$$

$$Z_{ij} = e^i \begin{pmatrix} Z_3 & & & \\ & Z_4 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5.20)$$

where  $Z_1; Z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $Z_3; Z_4 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\epsilon \in [0; 2\pi)$ . Thus, in the normal frame one obtains

$$S_{1, n=6} = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 - Z_3^2 - Z_4^2; \quad (5.21)$$

$$S_{2, n=6} = 2 Z_1 Z_2 - Z_3 Z_4; \quad (5.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4, n=6} &= S_{1, n=6}^2 - \mathfrak{B}_{2, n=6}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 Z_i^4 - 2 \sum_{i < j=1}^4 Z_i^2 Z_j^2 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 Z_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{Z}_i^2; \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Eq. (5.23) coincides with the expression of the quartic invariant of  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity, as given by [7] (see also [3]). Considering now the second step of the reduction, where one reaches the pure  $N = 4$  theory, one sets  $Z_{ij} = 0$ , or equivalently  $Z_3 = 0 = Z_4$  in the normal frame (that is, retaining only states which are singlets with respect to the second  $SU(4)$  in the stabilizer of the coset (5.3)). Notice that, by doing so,  $I_{4, n=0}$  becomes a perfect square:

$$I_{4, n=0} = S_{1, n=0}^2 - \mathfrak{B}_{2, n=0}^2 = Z_1^2 - Z_2^2; \quad (5.24)$$

Eq. (5.24) implies that  $I_{4, n=0}$  is (weakly) positive, and as a consequence a unique class of large attractor exists, namely the 1=4-BPS one. The (weak) positivity of  $I_{4, n=0}$  is

consistent with the known expression of  $I_{4,n=0}$  in terms of the magnetic and electric charges  $p_i, q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ):

$$I_{4,n=0} = 4 p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q)^2; \quad (5.25)$$

where here  $p^2 = p \cdot p$ ,  $q^2 = q \cdot q$  and  $p \cdot q = p_i q_i$ . Notice that in the basis of bare charges  $I_{4,n=0}$ , as given by Eq. (5.25), is (weakly) positive due to the Schwarz inequality, and not because it is a non-trivial perfect square of an expression of the bare magnetic and electric charges [37].

Notice that  $I_{4,n=0}$  (with  $I_{4,n=0}$  given by Eq. (5.25)) must coincide with the value of the effective BH potential of the pure  $N = 4$  theory at its critical points. This can be understood (see the recent discussion given in [26] and [38]) because this potential reads as follows ( $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BH pure } N=4}(a; p_i, q_i) &= e^2 (sp - q)(sp - q) = \\ &= (e^2 a^2 + e^2) p^2 + e^2 q^2 - 2ae^2 p \cdot q; \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

where the complex (axion-dilaton) field

$$s = a + ie^2 \quad (5.27)$$

parametrizes the coset  $\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}$  of  $N = 4, d = 4$  pure supergravity [39].

By computing the criticality conditions of  $V_{\text{BH pure } N=4}$ , one obtains the following stabilization equations for the axion  $a$  and the dilaton  $s$  at criticality,  $(s; a) = (s_H(p; q); a_H(p; q))$ : [26]:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{BH}}(s; a; p; q)}{\partial a} \Big|_{\text{crit}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_H(p; q) = \frac{p \cdot q}{p^2}; \quad (5.28)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{BH}}(s; a; p; q)}{\partial s} \Big|_{\text{crit}} = e^4 p^2 + q^2 - a_H(p; q) p \cdot q = e^4 p^2 + q^2 - \frac{(p \cdot q)^2}{p^2} = 0;$$

$$e^{2 \text{Re}(s_H(p; q))} = \frac{p \cdot \overline{(p \cdot q)}}{p^2}; \quad (5.29)$$

Thus, the Bekenstein-Hawking BH entropy is computed to be

$$S_{\text{BH}}(p; q) = \frac{A_H(p; q)}{4} = \frac{V_{\text{BH}}(s_H(p; q); a_H(p; q); p; q)}{2} = 2 \frac{p \cdot \overline{(p \cdot q)}}{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q)^2} = \frac{p \cdot \overline{(p \cdot q)}}{I_{4,n=0}}; \quad (5.30)$$

The third and last step, when the pure  $N = 4$  theory reduces to the  $N = 2$  quadratic theory with  $n_V = 1$ , is performed through the truncation  $(U(1))^{6!} \rightarrow (U(1))^2$  of the overall Abelian gauge invariance ( $i = 1, \dots, 6 \rightarrow i = 1, 2$ ). In this case,  $I_{4,n=0; (U(1))^{6!} \rightarrow (U(1))^2}$  is a perfect square in both the basis of  $Z_{ab}$  and in the basis of charges  $p_i, q_i$ , and it actually is the square of the quadratic invariant  $I_{2(n=1)}$  of the axion-dilaton system:

$$I_{4,n=0; (U(1))^{6!} \rightarrow (U(1))^2} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i^2 = 4 p^1 q_2 - p^2 q_1^2 = I_{2(n=1)}^2; \quad (5.31)$$

$$I_{2(n=1)} = 2 p^1 q_2 - p^2 q_1; \quad (5.32)$$

implying that the axion-dilaton system exhibits two types of attractors: the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS one ( $I_{2(n=1)} > 0$ ) and the non-BPS  $Z = 0$  one ( $I_{2(n=1)} < 0$ ).

By further putting

$$p^1 = 0 = q_2; p^2 = p; q_1 = q \quad (5.33)$$

(i)  $p = q = 0$ , one obtains:

$$I_{4(n=0)}(1)^6 / U(1)^2 = I_{2(n=1)}^2 = 4(pq)^2; \quad (5.34)$$

$$I_{2(n=1)} = 2pq; \quad (5.35)$$

where  $I_m$  means the evaluation along Eq. (5.33). For a recent treatment of the axion-dilaton-Maxwell-Einstein-(super)gravity system and of the extremal BH attractors therein, see e.g. Sects. 6 and 7 of [38].

The similarity between the r.h.s.'s of Eqs. (1.4) and (5.35) is only apparent. In fact, the KK extremal BH has  $I_{4KK}$ , which necessarily implies that it is non-BPS ( $Z_{AB} \neq 0$  in  $N = 8$  and  $Z \neq 0$  in  $N = 2$ ). On the other hand, the axion-dilaton extremal BH has  $I_{2(n=1)}$  and a  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  in the r.h.s., so that it can be both  $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS and non-BPS  $Z = 0$  in  $N = 2$ . Moreover, the choice (5.33) leads to vanishing axion  $a$  (see Eq. (5.28)), and this explains that Eqs. (5.35) has  $SO(1;1)$  symmetry, as Eq. (1.4).

## 5.1 Truncations of the scalar sector

As reported e.g. in Sects. 6 and 7 of [38], one can see that the attractor mechanism stabilizes the complex axion-dilatons at the event-horizon of the axion-dilaton extremal BH itself, while, as given by Eqs. (1.12) and (1.13) within the branching (2.11), only one real scalar degree of freedom, namely the KK radius  $r_{KK}$  defined by Eq. (1.11), is stabilized at the event horizon of the extremal KK BH.

The relevant branching of the scalar sector for the embedding of the axion-dilaton extremal BH into  $N = 8, d = 4$  supergravity is given by:

$$SU(8) \rightarrow SU(4) \times SU(4) \times U(1); \quad (5.36)$$

$$70 \rightarrow (1; 1, 2) + (1; 1; 2) + (6; 6, 0) + \bar{4}; 4, 1 + 4\bar{4}; 1 :$$

Eq. (5.36) is the analogue of Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11), holding respectively for the ( $N = 8, d = 4$  embedding of the) RN and KK  $d = 4$  extremal (and asymptotically flat) BHs.

A remarkable feature characterizing the branchings (2.10), (2.11) and (5.36) is the possible presence of a singlet in their r.h.s.'s. The decomposition (5.36) contains two  $SU(4)$  ( $\times SU(4)$ ) singlets, whereas the decomposition (2.11) contains a real singlet, and the decomposition (2.10) does not contain any singlet. The presence of the singlet may lead to an underlying maximal compact symmetry ( $U(1)$  for (2.10), absent for (2.11), and  $SU(4)$  for (5.36)).

1. The first truncation ( $N = 8 \rightarrow N = 4; n_V = 6$ ) corresponds to setting<sup>3</sup>

$$\bar{4}; 4, 1 = 0 = 4\bar{4}; 1 : \quad (5.37)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Notice the difference with respect to the analogue truncation condition (5.13) for the decomposition of the 28 and  $\bar{28}$  of  $SU(8)$ .

Indeed, by applying the condition (5.37), one obtains the correct quantum numbers of the scalar manifold  $\frac{SL(2;\mathbb{R})}{U(1)} \times \frac{SO(6;\mathbb{R})}{SO(6) \times SO(6)}$  of the  $N = 4, d = 4$  supergravity coupled to 6 vector multiplets.

2. The second truncation ( $N = 4; n_V = 6$  ! pure  $N = 4$ ) simply consists in implementing the condition

$$(6;6;0) = 0; \quad (5.38)$$

which is consistently symmetric under the exchange of the gravity sector and the matter sector. Through condition (5.38), one achieves the correct quantum numbers of the scalar manifold  $\frac{SL(2;\mathbb{R})}{U(1)}$  of the pure  $N = 4, d = 4$  supergravity.

3. The third and last step (pure  $N = 4$  !  $N = 2$ quadratic,  $n_V = 1$ ) does not change anything with respect to the previous one. Indeed, the scalar sector is unaffected by this third truncation, and the scalar manifold remains  $\frac{SL(2;\mathbb{R})}{U(1)}$ .

## 6 Conclusions

In the present investigation, we have considered some examples of extremal BH configurations in the framework of BH attractors of  $N = 8$  supergravity.

The effective BH potential has been computed in different bases, namely in the manifestly  $SU(8)$ -covariant basis, as well as in the  $USp(8)$ -covariant one. The former is suitable to describe the (BPS) Reissner-Nordstrom extremal BH with its  $U(1)$  symmetry, as a consequence of the attractor point to be the origin of the  $d = 4$  scalar manifold  $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ . The latter has  $d = 5$  origin, and it is appropriate in order to describe the non-BPS Kaluza-Klein extremal BH, with its  $SO(1;1)$  symmetry arising from the non-trivial attractor value of the KK radial mode.

We have also considered the axion-dilaton system, whose BPS or non-BPS nature depends on whether it is embedded in  $N = 2$  quadratic or in  $N = 4, d = 4$  supergravity. The axion-dilaton extremal BH is obtained as a particular case of the attractor equations of the maximal  $d = 4$  theory. In that case, all 70 scalars other than the  $SU(4)$   $SU(4)$ -singlets in the decomposition 5.36 are set to vanish, and correspondingly only 12 graviphoton electric and magnetic charges are taken to be nonzero (see Eq. (5.12)). At the level  $N = 2$ , this attractor solution is obtained by retaining only 4 (2 electric and 2 magnetic) non-vanishing charges, according to the decomposition (5.6) of  $SU(4)$ .

In Appendix A, we have finally considered the embedding of the stu model in  $N = 8, d = 4$  and  $d = 5$  supergravity, is considered. In the  $d = 4$  case, all non-singlet charges in the decomposition of  $E_{7(7)}$  with respect to  $SO(4;4) \times (SL(2;\mathbb{R}))^3$  are set to vanish [44], whereas for  $d = 5$  one obtains an axion-free framework, given by non-zero values for  $(p^0, q_1, q_2, q_3)$  or  $(q_0, p^1, p^2, p^3)$ .

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 226455, "Supersymmetry, Quantum Gravity and Gauge Fields" (SUPERFIELDS).

A . M . wishes to thank R . K allsh for enlightening discussions. A . M . would like to thank the W illiam I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute (FTPI) of the University of M innesota, M inneapolis, M N USA , the Center for Theoretical Physics (CTP) of the University of California, Berkeley, CA USA , the Department of Physics, Theory Unit Group at CERN , Geneva CH , and the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of California, Los Angeles, CA USA , where part of this work was done, for kind hospitality and stimulating environment.

The work of A . C . has been supported in part by M IUR -PR IN contract 20075ATT78, while the work of S . F . has been supported in part by D O E . grant DE-FG 03-91ER 40662, Task C . Finally, the work of A . M . has been supported by an INFN visiting Theoretical Fellowship at SITP, Stanford University, Stanford, CA USA .

## A Appendix

### Truncation of $N = 8; d = 5$ supergravity to the $d = 5$ uplift of the stu m odel

The bosonic sector of the  $N = 8, d = 5$  supergravity theory consists in the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  ( $\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, 5$ ), 27 vectors  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  and 42 scalars  $\phi_{abcd}$  parametrizing the coset  $\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$ . The index  $\alpha\beta = 1, \dots, 27$  is in the 27 of  $E_{6(6)}$ , and it can be traded for a couple of antisymmetric indices  $(ab)$  of  $USp(8)$ . Thus, the vectors  $A^{ab}$  transform in the 27 of  $USp(8)$ , that is

$$27 \text{ of } E_{6(6)} \quad \rightarrow \quad 27 \text{ of } USp(8) : \quad (A.1)$$

The 42 scalars  $\phi_{abcd}$  are in the traceless self-real 4-fold antisymmetric representation 42 of  $USp(8)$ .

Upon performing the  $d = 5 \rightarrow d = 4$  reduction, one gets 70 scalars, which split into the following irreps. of  $USp(8)$ :

$$70 = 42 + 27 + 1 : \quad (A.2)$$

Here 27 accounts for the axions coming from the  $A_5^{ab}$  vectors of  $E_{6(6)}$ , 1 is the KK radius  $r_{KK}$  (see the definition (1.11)), and 42 corresponds to the scalars in  $\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$ .

In order to extract the stu m odel, we notice that its  $d = 5$  uplift is the  $(SO(1;1))^2$  m odel with cubic hypersurface [33, 34] (see e.g. the treatment given in [22])

$$b^1 b^2 b^3 = 1 : \quad (A.3)$$

The  $N = 8 \rightarrow N = 2, d = 5$  supersymmetry reduction corresponds, at the level of  $E_{6(6)}$ , to taking the decomposition

$$E_{6(6)} \quad \rightarrow \quad SO(1;1) \oplus SO(5;5) \quad \rightarrow \quad (SO(1;1))^2 \oplus SO(4;4) ; \quad (A.4)$$

so that (weights with respect to  $SO(1;1)$ 's are disregarded, irrelevant for our purposes)

$$27 \rightarrow 1 + 16 + 10 \rightarrow 1 + 8_s + 8_c + 1 + 1 + 8_v : \quad (A.5)$$

Thus, three  $SO(4;4)$ -singlets are generated; they correspond to the three Abelian vector fields of the  $d = 5$  uplift of the stu model. By further reducing to  $d = 4$ , one gets a further vector from the KK vector (alias the  $d = 4$  graviphoton). This can be easily seen by completing the decomposition (A.4) starting from the U-duality group  $E_{7(7)}$  of  $d = 4$  maximal supergravity:

$$E_{7(7)} \supset SO(1;1) \times E_{6(6)} \supset (SO(1;1))^2 \times SO(5;5) \supset (SO(1;1))^3 \times SO(4;4); \quad (\text{A.6})$$

so that Eq. (A.5) gets completed as (as above, neglecting weights with respect to  $SO(1;1)$ , as they are irrelevant for our purposes)

$$28 \supset 27 + 1 \supset 1 + 16 + 10 + 1 \supset 1 + 8_s + 8_c + 1 + 1 + 8_v + 1; \quad (\text{A.7})$$

containing four  $SO(4;4)$  singlets in the last term.

It is worth pointing out that at  $d = 4$  the  $(SO(1;1))^3$  commuting with  $SO(4;4)$  gets enhanced to  $(SL(2;R))^3$ . By further decomposing

$$SO(4;4) \supset (SL(2;R))^4; \quad (\text{A.8})$$

this yields the  $(SL(2;R))^7$ , used for the seven qubit entanglement in quantum information theory [40, 41].

Notice that the presence of three different 8's of  $SO(4;4)$  in the r.h.s. of the decomposition (A.5) (as well as of (A.7)) is the origin of the triality symmetry [42, 43] of the stu model [44].

The  $(SO(1;1))^2$  factor in the r.h.s. of the branching (A.4) is nothing but the scalar manifold of the  $d = 5$  counterpart of the stu model (spanned by  $b^1, b^2$  and  $b^3$  satisfying the cubic constraint (A.3)). On the other hand, the  $(SO(1;1))^3$  factor in the r.h.s. of the branching (A.7) is spanned by the (unconstrained, strictly positive)  $d = 4$  dilatons  $^1 \text{Im}(s)$ ,  $^2 \text{Im}(t)$  and  $^3 \text{Im}(u)$ . They are related to their hatted counterparts by  $^i r_{KK} b^i, i = 1;2;3$ , implying (see Eqs. (A.3) and Eq. (1.11); see also e.g. [22])

$$^1 \ ^2 \ ^3 = r_{KK}^3 \quad V; \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The decomposition of the  $d = 5$  stabilizer (analogue to the decomposition (A.4) of the U-duality group of the  $d = 5$  maximal supergravity) reads as follows:

$$USp(8) \supset USp(4) \times USp(4) = Spin(5) \times Spin(5) \supset Spin(4) \times Spin(4) = (SU(2))^2 \times (SU(2))^2; \quad (\text{A.10})$$

yielding the following decomposition of the fundamental 8 of  $USp(8)$ :

$$8 \supset (4;1) + (1;4) \supset (2;1;1;1) + (1;2;1;1) + (1;1;2;1) + (1;1;1;2); \quad (\text{A.11})$$

This allows one to compute the corresponding branchings of the  $27 = (8 \otimes 8)_{A,0}$  and  $42 = (8 \otimes 8 \otimes 8)_{A,0}$  (the subscript "A,0" standing for "antisymmetric traceless") of  $USp(8)$  (the intermediate decompositions with respect to  $USp(4) \times USp(4)$  are omitted, because irrelevant for our purposes):

$$27 \supset (2;2;1;1) + (2;1;2;1) + (2;1;1;2) + (1;2;2;1) + (1;2;1;2) + (1;1;2;2) + 3(1;1;1;1); \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$42 \supset (2;2;2;2) + (2;2;1;1) + (2;1;2;1) + (2;1;1;2) + (1;2;2;1) + (1;2;1;2) + (1;1;2;2) + 2(1;1;1;1) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Consistently with previous statements, the three  $(SU(2))^4$ -singlets in the r.h.s. of the decomposition (A.12) and the two  $(SU(2))^4$ -singlets in the r.h.s. of the decomposition (A.13) respectively are the three Abelian vector fields (including the  $d = 5$  graviphoton) and the two independent real scalars (say,  $b^1$  and  $b^2$ ) in the bosonic spectrum of the  $(SO(1;1))^2$  model, which is the  $d = 5$  uplift of the stu model.

Reducing to  $d = 4$ , the six real scalar degrees of freedom of the stu model are the radius  $r_{KK}$  (see Eqs. (1.11) and (A.9)), the two scalars  $b^1$  and  $b^2$ , and the three axions (coming from the fifth component  $A_5^I$  ( $I = 1;2;3$ ) of the three  $d = 5$  vectors). As previously mentioned, the four  $d = 4$  vectors come from the three  $d = 5$  vectors and from the KK vector  $g_5$  ( $\mu = 1; \dots; 4$ ).

Finally, it should be noticed that  $\int d^5x \sqrt{g}$  (defining the volume of the  $d = 5$  cubic hypersurface through Eqs. (1.11) and (A.9)) can be obtained through a consistent truncation of the  $E_{6(6)}$ -invariant expression (2.27)

$$\frac{1}{3!} d^3x \sqrt{g} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

to  $(SO(1;1))^2$ , by retaining only the three singlets of  $SO(4;4)$  (see the decompositions (A.4) and (A.5) above).

## References

- [1] S. Ferrara and M. Gunaydin, Orbits of exceptional groups, duality and BPS states in string theory, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 13 (1998) 2075 [arXiv:hep-th/9708025].
- [2] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, Unity of superstring dualities, *Nucl. Phys. B* 438, 109 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9410167].
- [3] S. Ferrara and J. M. Maldacena, Branes, central charges and U-duality invariant BPS conditions, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 15, 749 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9706097].
- [4] S. Ferrara and M. Gunaydin, Orbits and attractors for  $N = 2$  Maxwell-Einstein supergravity theories in five dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* 759 (2006) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0606108].
- [5] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, M. Gunaydin and A. Marrani, Charge orbits of symmetric special geometries and attractors, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 21 (2006) 5043 [arXiv:hep-th/0606209].
- [6] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, Five dimensional U-duality, black-hole entropy and topological invariants, *Phys. Lett. B* 411, 39 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9705024].
- [7] R. Kallosh and B. Kol,  $E_{7(7)}$  Symmetric Area of the Black Hole Horizon, *Phys. Rev. D* 53 (1996) 5344 [arXiv:hep-th/9602014].
- [8] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, U-invariants, black-hole entropy and fixed scalars, *Phys. Lett. B* 403, 12 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9703156].



- [9] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, On  $N = 8$  attractors, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 125005 [arXiv:hep-th/0603247].
- [10] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Supersymmetry and attractors, *Phys. Rev. D* 54, 1514 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9602136]; S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, Universality of supersymmetric attractors, *Phys. Rev. D* 54, 1525 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9603090].
- [11] G.W. Gibbons and C.H. Hull, A Bogomolny bound for general relativity and solitons in  $N = 2$  supergravity, *Phys. Lett. B* 109, 190 (1982).
- [12] R. Kallosh, A.D. Linde, T. Ortin, A.W. Peet, A. Van Proeyen, Supersymmetry as a cosmic censor, *Phys. Rev. D* 46, 5278 (1992), [arXiv:hep-th/9205027]; R. Kallosh, T. Ortin, A.W. Peet, Entropy and action of dilaton black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 47, 5400 (1993), [arXiv:hep-th/9211015]; R. Kallosh, A.W. Peet, Dilaton black holes near the horizon, *Phys. Rev. D* 46, 5223 (1992), [arXiv:hep-th/9209116]; M. Cvetič and A.A. Tseytlin, Charged string solutions with dilaton and modulus fields, *Nucl. Phys. B* 416, 137 (1994), [arXiv:hep-th/9307123]; M. Cvetič and D. Youm, Static four-dimensional Abelian black holes in Kaluza-Klein theory, *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 2144 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9502099]; M. Cvetič and D. Youm, Kaluza-Klein black holes within heterotic string theory on a torus, *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 2574 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9502119]; M. Cvetič and D. Youm, Four-dimensional supersymmetric dyonic black holes in eleven-dimensional supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* 453, 259 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9505045]; M. Cvetič and D. Youm, Dyonic BPS saturated black holes of heterotic string on a six torus, *Phys. Rev. D* 53, 584 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9507090].
- [13] R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, Charge Quantization of Axion-Dilaton Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 742, [arXiv:hep-th/9302109].
- [14] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger,  $N = 2$  extremal black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 5412 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9508072].
- [15] A. Strominger, Macroscopic entropy of  $N = 2$  extremal black holes, *Phys. Lett. B* 383, 39 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9602111].
- [16] S. Ferrara, G.W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, Black holes and critical points in moduli space, *Nucl. Phys. B* 500, 75 (1997), [arXiv:hep-th/9702103].
- [17] R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, Supersymmetric black holes in  $N = 8$  supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* 467, 355 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9512177].
- [18] R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, A Non-supersymmetric dyonic extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, *Phys. Lett. B* 373, 56 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9512178].
- [19] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, On the Moduli Space of non-BPS Attractors for  $N = 2$  Symmetric Manifolds, *Phys. Lett. B* 652, 111 (2007), arXiv:0706.1667 [hep-th].
- [20] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara and L. Girardello, Geometry of Type II Superstrings and the Moduli of Superconformal Field Theories, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 4, 2475 (1989).

- [21] M .K .G aillard and B .Zum ino, D uality Rotations for Interacting F ields, *Nucl. Phys. B* 193, 221 (1981).
- [22] A .C eresole, S .Ferrara and A .M arrani, 4d=5d C orrespondence for the B lack H ole P o-  
tential and its C ritical P oints, *Class. Q uant. G rav.* 24, 5651 (2007), [arX iv :0707.0964](#)  
[hep-th].
- [23] S .Ferrara, E .G .G im on and R .K allos, M agic supergravities,  $N = 8$  and black hole  
com posites, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 125018 [[arX iv :hep-th/0606211](#)].
- [24] B .de W it and H .N icolai,  $N = 8$  Supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* 208 (1982) 323.
- [25] C .M .H ull and N .P .W amer, The Structure O f The G auged  $N = 8$  Supergrav-  
ity Theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* 253, 650 (1985); C .M .H ull and N .P .W amer, The  
Potentials O f The G auged  $N = 8$  Supergravity Theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* 253, 675  
(1985).
- [26] L .A ndrianopoli, R .D 'A uria, S .Ferrara and M .T rigiante, Extrem al black holes in  
supergravity, *Lect. Notes Phys.* 737, 661 (2008) [[arX iv :hep-th/0611345](#)].
- [27] R .K allos and M .S oroush, Explicit Action of  $E_{7(7)}$  on  $N = 8$  Supergravity F ields,  
*Nucl. Phys. B* 801, 25 (2008), [[arX iv :0802.4106](#)] [hep-th].
- [28] E .C rem m er, J .Scherk and J .H .Schwarz, Spontaneously B roken  $N = 8$  Supergravity,  
*Phys. Lett. B* 84 (1979) 83.
- [29] E .Sezgin and P .van N ieuwenhuizen, Renorm alizability P roperties O f Spontaneously  
B roken  $N = 8$  Supergravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* 195 (1982) 325.
- [30] A .C eresole, R .D 'A uria and S .Ferrara, The Sym plectic Structure of  $N = 2$  Super-  
gravity and Its C entral Extension, Talk given at IC TP T rieste C onference on Physical  
and M athem atical Im plications of M irror Sym m etry in String Theory, T rieste, Italy,  
5-9 June 1995, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* 46 (1996), [[arX iv :hep-th/9509160](#)].
- [31] L .A ndrianopoli, R .D 'A uria and S .Ferrara, U duality and central charges in various  
dim ensions revisited, *Int. J. M od. Phys. A* 13, 431 (1998), [[arX iv :hep-th/9612105](#)].
- [32] L .A ndrianopoli, R .D 'A uria, S .Ferrara and M .A .L ledo, G auging of  $g_2$  groups in  
four dim ensional supergravity, *JHEP* 0207 (2002) 010 [[arX iv :hep-th/0203206](#)].
- [33] M .G unaydin, G .Sierra and P .K .T ownsend, Exceptional Supergravity Theories and  
the M agic Square, *Phys. Lett. B* 133, 72 (1983).
- [34] M .G unaydin, G .Sierra and P .K .T ownsend, The G eom etry of  $N = 2$  M axwell-  
E instein Supergravity and Jordan A lgebras, *Nucl. Phys. B* 242, 244 (1984).
- [35] J .F .Luciani, Coupling of  $O(2)$  Supergravity w ith Several Vector M ultiplets, *Nucl.*  
*Phys. B* 132, 325 (1978).
- [36] S .Bellucci, S .Ferrara, R .K allos and A .M arrani, Extrem al B lack H oles and F lux  
V acua A ttractors, *Lect. Notes Phys.* 755 (2008) 115, [[arX iv :0711.4547](#)] [hep-th].

- [37] S. Ferrara, A. Gnecchi and A. Marrani,  $d = 4$  Attractors, Effective Horizon Radius and Fake Supergravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065003 (2008), [arXiv:0806.3196 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] S. Ferrara, K. Hayakawa and A. Marrani, Lectures on Attractors and Black Holes, contribution to the proceedings of International School of Subnuclear Physics: 45th Course: Searching for the "Totally Unexpected" in the LHC Era, Erice, Sicily, Italy, 29 Aug – 7 Sep 2007, [arXiv:0805.2498 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk and S. Ferrara,  $SU(4)$  Invariant Supergravity Theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **74**, 61 (1978).
- [40] M. J. Du and S. Ferrara,  $E_{7(7)}$  and the tripartite entanglement of seven qubits, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 025018 (2007), [\[arXiv:quant-ph/0609227\]](#); M. J. Du and S. Ferrara, Black hole entropy and quantum information, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **755**, 93 (2008), [\[arXiv:hep-th/0612036\]](#); M. J. Du and S. Ferrara,  $E_{6(6)}$  and the bipartite entanglement of three qutrits, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 124023 (2007), [arXiv:0704.0507 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] P. Levey, Stringy black holes and the geometry of entanglement, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 024030 (2006), [\[arXiv:hep-th/0603136\]](#); P. Levey, Strings, black holes, the tripartite entanglement of seven qubits and the Fano plane, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 024024 (2007), [\[arXiv:hep-th/0610314\]](#); P. Levey, A Three-qubit interpretation of BPS and non-BPS STU black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 106011 (2007), [arXiv:0708.2799 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] M. J. Du, J. T. Liu and J. Rahmfeld, Four-dimensional string-string-string triality, *Nucl. Phys. B* **459**, 125 (1996), [\[arXiv:hep-th/9508094\]](#).
- [43] K. Behrndt, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, M. Shmakova and W. K. Wong, STU black holes and string triality, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 6293 (1996), [\[arXiv:hep-th/9608059\]](#).
- [44] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and M. Trigiante,  $E_{7(7)}$  duality, BPS black-hole evolution and fixed scalars, *Nucl. Phys. B* **509**, 463 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9707087\]](#).