

The detection of neutrino interactions in the
emulsion/lead target of the OPERA experiment

June 23, 2013

Submitted to JINST

N. Agafonova¹, A. Anokhina², S. Aoki³, A. Ariga⁴, T. Ariga⁴, L. Arrabito⁵, D. Autiero⁵, A. Badertscher⁶,
A. Bagulya⁷, F. Bersani⁸, G. Reggioni⁸, A. Bertolin⁹, M. Besnier^{10;a}, D. Bick¹¹, V. Boyarkin¹, C. Bozza¹², T. Brugiere⁵,
R. Bugnera^{13;9}, G. Brunetti^{14;15}, S. Buontempo¹⁶, E. Carrara^{13;9;b}, A. Cazes⁵, L. Chaussard⁵, M. Chemyavsky⁷,
V. Chiarella⁸, N. Chon-Sen¹⁷, A. Chukanov¹⁶, M. Cozzi¹⁴, G. D'Amato¹², F. Dal Corso⁹, N. D'Ambrósio¹⁸,
G. DeLellis^{19;16;c}, Y. Declais⁵, M. DeSerio²⁰, F. DiCapua¹⁶, D. DiFerdinando¹⁵, A. DiGiorgio²¹, N. DiMarco²¹,
C. DiTroia⁸, S. Dimitrievski²², A. Domijan⁵, M. Dracos¹⁷, D. Duchesneau¹⁰, S. Dusini⁹, J. Ebert¹¹, O. Egorov²³,
R. Enikeev¹, A. Ereditato⁴, L. S. Esgosito¹⁸, J. Favier¹⁰, G. Felici⁸, T. Ferber¹¹, R. Fini²⁰, D. Frekers²⁴, T. Fukuda²⁵,
C. Fukushima²⁶, V. I. Galkin², V. A. Galkin²⁷, A. Garofagnini^{13;9}, G. Giacomelli^{14;15}, M. Giorgini^{14;15}, C. Goelitz¹¹,
T. Goeltzenlichter¹⁷, J. Goldberg²⁸, D. Golubkov²³, Y. Gomushkin²², G. Grela¹², F. Grianti⁸, M. Guler²⁹,
C. Gustavino¹⁸, C. Hagner¹¹, T. Hara³, M. Hierholzer³⁰, K. Hoshino²⁵, M. Ieva²⁰, K. Jakovcic³¹, B. Janutta¹¹,
C. Jollet¹⁷, F. Juget⁴, M. Kazuyama²⁵, S. H. Kim^{35;d}, M. Kinoshita²⁶, B. Klicek³¹, J. Knuessel⁴, K. Kodama³²,
D. Kolev³³, M. Komatsu²⁵, U. Kose²⁹, A. Krasnoperov²², I. Kreslo⁴, Z. Krumpal²², V. V. Kutsenov¹, V. A. Kuznetsov¹,
I. Laktineh⁵, C. Lazzaro⁶, J. Lenkeit¹¹, A. Ljubcic³¹, A. Longhin¹³, G. Lutter⁴, A. Maggini¹, K. Manakos⁵, G. Mandrioli¹⁵,
A. Marotta¹⁶, J. Marceau⁵, V. Matveev¹, N. Mauri^{14;15}, F. M. Mezzadri¹⁷, M. Messina⁴, P. Migliozzi¹⁶,
P. Monaco²¹, K. Morishina²⁵, U. Moser⁴, M. T. Muciaccia^{34;20}, N. Naganawa²⁵, M. Nakamura²⁵, T. Nakano²⁵,
V. Nikitina², K. Niwa²⁵, Y. Nonoyama²⁵, A. Nozdrin²², S. Ogawa²⁶, A. Okchevski²², G. Orlova⁷, V. Osedlo²,
D. Ossetski²⁷, M. Paniccia⁸, A. Paoloni⁸, B. D. Park²⁵, I. G. Park³⁵, A. Pastore^{34;20}, L. Patrizzii¹⁵, E. Pennacchio⁵,
H. Pessard¹⁰, V. Pilipenko²⁴, C. Pistillo⁴, N. Polukhina⁷, M. Pozzato^{14;15}, K. Pretzl⁴, P. Publichenko², F. Pupillari²¹,
R. Rescigno¹², D. R. Ryzhikov²⁷, T. Roganova², G. Romano¹², G. Rosa³⁶, I. Rostovtseva²³, A. Rubbia⁶, A. Russo^{19;16},
V. Ryzasny¹, O. Ryazhskaya¹, A. Sadovskii²², O. Sato²⁵, Y. Sato³⁷, V. Saveliev²⁷, A. Schembri³⁶, W. Schmidt
Parzefall¹¹, H. Schroeder³⁰, H. U. Schutz⁴, J. Schuler¹⁷, L. Scotto Lavina¹⁶, H. Shibuya²⁶, S. Simeone^{34;20}, M. Sisti^{14;15},
C. Sirignano¹², G. Sirri¹⁵, J. S. Song³⁵, M. Spinetti⁸, L. Stanco¹³, N. Starkov⁷, M. Stipcevic³¹, T. Strauss⁶,
P. Strolin^{19;16}, V. Sugonyaev¹³, S. Takahashi²⁵, V. Tereschenko²², F. Terranova⁸, I. Tezuka³⁷, V. Tioukov¹⁶,
P. Tolun²⁹, V. Tsarev⁷, R. Tsenov³³, S. Tufanli²⁹, N. Ushida³², V. Verguillov³³, P. Vilain³⁸, M. Vladimirov⁷,
L. Votano⁸, J. L. Vuilleumier⁴, G. Wilquet³⁸, B. Wonsak¹¹, V. Yakushev¹, C. S. Yoon³⁵, Y. Zaitsev²³, A. Zghiche¹⁰,
and R. Zimmermann¹¹.

1. INR - Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, RU S-117312 Moscow, Russia
2. SINP MSU - Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics of Moscow State University, RU S-119992 Moscow, Russia
3. Kobe University, J-657-8501 Kobe, Japan

^aNow at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet - Ecole polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex (France)

^bNow at a private company

^cSupported by travel fellowship from the School of Sciences and Technology (University of Naples Federico II)

^dNow at Chonnam National University

- 37 4. Centre for Research and Education in Fundamental Physics, Laboratory for High Energy Physics (LHEP), University of
- 38 Bern, CH -3012 Bern, Switzerland
- 39 5. IPNL, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- 40 6. ETH Zurich, Institute for Particle Physics, CH -8093 Zurich, Switzerland
- 41 7. LP I-Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, RUS-117924 Moscow, Russia
- 42 8. INFN - Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN, I-00044 Frascati (Roma), Italy
- 43 9. INFN Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- 44 10. LAPP, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- 45 11. Hamburg University, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany
- 46 12. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Salerno and INFN, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- 47 13. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- 48 14. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy
- 49 15. INFN Sezione di Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy
- 50 16. INFN Sezione di Napoli, 80125 Napoli, Italy
- 51 17. IPhC, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, F-67037 Strasbourg, France
- 52 18. INFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi (L'Aquila), Italy
- 53 19. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università Federico II di Napoli, 80125 Napoli, Italy
- 54 20. INFN Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
- 55 21. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università dell'Aquila and INFN, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy
- 56 22. JINR - Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, RUS-141980 Dubna, Russia
- 57 23. ITEP - Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, RUS-117259 Moscow, Russia
- 58 24. University of Munster, D-48149 Munster, Germany
- 59 25. Nagoya University, J-464-8602 Nagoya, Japan
- 60 26. Toho University, J-274-8510 Funabashi, Japan
- 61 27. Obninsk State University, Institute of Nuclear Power Engineering, RUS-249020 Obninsk, Russia
- 62 28. Department of Physics, Technion, IL-32000 Haifa, Israel
- 63 29. METU - Middle East Technical University, TR-06531 Ankara, Turkey
- 64 30. Fachbereich Physik der Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
- 65 31. IRB - Rudjer Boskovic Institute, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia
- 66 32. Aichi University of Education, J-448-8542 Kariya (Aichi-Ken), Japan
- 67 33. Faculty of Physics, Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", BG-1000 Sofia, Bulgaria
- 68 34. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
- 69 35. Gyeongsang National University, 900 Gazwa-dong, Jinju 660-300, Korea
- 70 36. Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Roma "La Sapienza" and INFN, I-00185 Roma, Italy
- 71 37. Utsunomiya University, J-321-8505 Tochigi-Ken, Utsunomiya, Japan
- 72 38. IHE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- 73 * . Corresponding Author

74 Abstract

75 The OPERA neutrino detector in the underground Gran Sasso Laboratory (LNGS) was designed
 76 to perform the first detection of neutrino oscillations in appearance mode through the study of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$
 77 oscillations. The apparatus consists of an emulsion/lead target complemented by electronic detectors
 78 and it is placed in the high energy long-baseline CERN to LNGS beam (CNGS) 730 km away from
 79 the neutrino source. Runs with CNGS neutrinos were successfully carried out in 2007 and 2008 with
 80 the detector fully operational with its related facilities for the emulsion handling and analysis. After a
 81 brief description of the beam and of the experimental setup we report on the collection, reconstruction
 82 and analysis procedures of first samples of neutrino interaction events.

83 **1 Introduction**

84 Neutrino oscillations were anticipated nearly 50 years ago [1] but they have been unambiguously observed only
 85 recently. Several experiments carried out in the last decades with atmospheric and accelerator neutrinos, as well
 86 as with solar and reactor neutrinos, contributed to our present understanding of neutrino mixing (see e.g. [2] for a
 87 review).




Figure 1: View of the OPERA detector. The upper horizontal lines indicate the position of the two identical supermodules (SM1 and SM2). The "target area" is made of walls filled with ECC bricks interleaved with planes of plastic scintillators (TT). Arrows show the position of the VETO planes, the drift tubes (PT) pulled alongside the XPC, the magnets and the RPC installed between the magnet iron slabs. The Brick Manipulator System (BMS) is also visible. See [6] for more details.

88 As far as the atmospheric neutrino sector is concerned, accelerator experiments can probe the same oscillation
 89 parameter region as atmospheric neutrino experiments [3]. This is the case of the OPERA experiment that has the
 90 main scientific task of the first direct detection of μ appearance, an important missing tile in the oscillation
 91 scenario [4, 5, 6].

92 OPERA uses the long-baseline ($L=730$ km) CNGS neutrino beam [7] from CERN to LNGS, the largest un-
 93 derground physics laboratory in the world. The challenge of the experiment is to measure the appearance of
 94 μ oscillations in an almost pure muon-neutrino beam. Therefore, the detection of the short-lived lepton
 95 ($c\tau = 87.11$ m) produced in the charged-current (CC) interaction of a μ is mandatory. This sets two conflicting
 96 requirements: a large target mass to collect enough statistics and an extremely high spatial accuracy to observe
 97 the short-lived lepton.

98 This is identified by the detection of its characteristic decay topologies either in one prong (electron, muon
 99 or hadron) or in three-prongs; its short track is measured with a large mass target made of 1 mm thick lead plates
 100 (target mass and absorber material) interspaced with thin nuclear emulsion films (high-accuracy tracking devices).
 101 This detector is historically called Emulsion Cloud Chamber (ECC). Among past applications it was successfully
 102 used in the DONUT experiment for the first direct observation of the τ [8].

103 OPERA is a hybrid detector made of two identical Super Modules (SM) each consisting of a target section of
 104 about 625 tons made of emulsion/lead ECC modules (hereafter called "bricks"), of a scintillator tracker detector
 105 (TT) needed to trigger the read-out and localize neutrino interactions within the target, and of a muon spectrometer
 106 (Figure 1). The detector is equipped with an automatic machine (the Brick Manipulator System, BMS) that allows




Figure 2: Schematic view of two bricks with their Changeable Sheets and target tracker planes.

107 the online removal of bricks from the detector. Ancillary, large facilities are used for the handling, the development
 108 and the scanning of the emulsion films. Emulsion scanning is performed with two different types of automatic
 109 microscopes: the European Scanning System (ESS) [9, 10] and the Japanese S-UTS [11].

110 A target brick consists of 56 lead plates of 1 mm thickness interleaved with 57 emulsion films [12]. The plate
 111 material is a lead alloy with a small calcium content to improve its mechanical properties [13]. The transverse
 112 dimensions of a brick are $12.8 \times 10.2 \text{ cm}^2$ and the thickness along the beam direction is 7.9 cm (about 10 radiation
 113 lengths). The bricks are housed in a light support structure placed between consecutive TT walls. More details on
 114 the detector and on the ancillary facilities are given in [6].

115 In order to reduce the emulsion scanning load the use of Changeable Sheets (CS) interfaces [14], successfully
 116 applied in the CHORUS experiment [15], was extended to OPERA. Tightly packed doublets of emulsion films are
 117 glued to the downstream face of each brick and can be removed without opening the brick. The global layout of
 118 brick, CS and TT is schematically shown in Figure 2.

119 Charged particles from a neutrino interaction in the brick cross the CS and produce a signal in the TT
 120 scintillators. The corresponding brick is then extracted and the CS developed and analyzed in the scanning
 121 facilities at LNGS and in Nagoya. The information of the CS is then used for a precise prediction of the position
 122 of the tracks in the most downstream films of the brick, hence guiding the scan-back vertex finding procedure.

123 A reconstructed CC event is shown in the bottom panels of Figure 3. In this case the detached event dimensions
 124 are of the order of a few millimeters, to be compared with the 10 m scale of the whole event reconstructed with
 125 the electronic detectors (top panels of Figure 3).

126 First neutrino data were collected by OPERA in 2006 [5] with the electronic detectors alone, and then in
 127 2007 and 2008, for the first time with target bricks installed. All steps from the prediction of the brick where the
 128 interaction occurred down to the kinematical analysis of the neutrino interactions are described in the following
 129 using as benchmark a sub-sample of the statistics accumulated during the CNGS runs. The procedure has proven to
 130 be successful. We are presently in the process of a quantitative evaluation of the different experimental efficiencies
 131 that are involved in the analysis procedure, proving of the increasing statistics of the reconstructed neutrino
 132 events.




Figure 3: Top panels: on line display of an event seen by the OPERA electronic detectors (side and top views): a μ interacts in one of the first bricks of the first supermodule (SM) yielding hadrons and a muon which is detected in both SMs and whose momentum is measured by the magnets of the two SMs. Bottom panels: the vertex of the same event observed in the emulsion films (side, top and front views). Note the two e^+e^- vertices: the opening angle between them is about 300 mrad . By measuring the energy of the μ 's one obtains a reconstructed invariant mass of $110 \pm 30 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, consistent with the ρ^0 mass.




Figure 4: Integrated number of protons on target (p.o.t.) as a function of time for the 2008 CNGS run (June–November).

2 Real time detection of the CNGS Beam

The CNGS neutrino beam [7] was designed and optimized for the study of ν_μ oscillations in appearance mode by maximizing the number of CC interactions at the LNGS site. After a short commissioning run in 2006 the CNGS operation started on September 2007 at rather low intensity. The first event inside the OPERA target was observed on October 3rd. Unfortunately, due to a fault of the CNGS facility, the physics run lasted only a few days. During this run 0.082 $\cdot 10^{19}$ protons on target (p.o.t.) were accumulated with a mean value of $1.8 \cdot 10^{13}$ protons per extraction^a: this corresponds to about 3.6 effective nominal days of running. With such an integrated intensity 32 neutrino interactions in the bricks and 3 in the scintillator material of the target tracker were expected; we actually observed 38 events on time with the arrival of the beam at Gran Sasso.

A much longer run took place in 2008 when 1.782 $\cdot 10^{19}$ protons were delivered on the CNGS target. OPERA collected 10100 events on time and among them 1700 interactions in the bricks. The other events originated outside the target region (spectrometers, supporting structures, rock surrounding the cavern, hall structures, etc.). The run featured a poor initial efficiency of the CERN complex, with an average of about 40% until reaching an average value of about 60%. From October to November OPERA gathered the same number of events as from June to August. The 2008 CNGS integrated p.o.t. intensity as a function of time is shown in Figure 4.

During the 2007 and 2008 runs all electronic detectors were operational and the live time of the data acquisition system exceeded 99%. More than 10 million events were collected by applying a minimum bias filter. The selection of beam related events relies upon a time stamp, based on the time synchronization accuracy of 100 ns between the CERN beam GPS tagging and the OPERA timing system.

An automatic classification algorithm provides high efficiency in the selection of neutrino interactions inside the OPERA target both for CC and neutral-current (NC) events at the expenses of a slight contamination of neutrino interactions in the external material.

For the early 2007 run the algorithm selected 53 events occurring inside the target while expecting 50; the contamination from neutrino interactions outside the target amounted to 37% (Monte Carlo simulation). The low purity of the selected event sample was due to some sub-detectors still being in the commissioning phase and to the OPERA target that was only partially filled with bricks. In the 2008 run 1663 events were classified as interactions in the target (expected 1723) with a contamination from outside events of only 7%.

The muon momentum distribution for events classified as CC interactions in the target is shown in the left panel of Figure 5. The distribution of the muon angle with respect to the horizontal axis is shown in the right

^aThe 400 GeV proton beam is extracted from the CERN SPS in two 10.5 μ s pulses, with design intensity of $2.4 \cdot 10^{13}$ p.o.t.




Figure 5: Left: momentum distribution of muons produced in CC neutrino interactions inside the OPERA target. Right: angular distribution of the muon tracks with respect to the horizontal axis.

162 panel of Figure 5; the beam direction angle is found to be tilted by 58 mrad, as expected from geodesy.

163 An extensive study of the beam monitoring is being performed by using neutrino interactions both in the whole
 164 OPERA detector and in the surrounding rock material. This will be the subject of a forthcoming publication.

165 3 Combined analysis of electronic detectors and nuclear emulsion im- 166 data

167 We describe in the following the breakdown of the different steps carried out to analyze neutrino interaction events
 168 from the identification of the "red" brick up to the detailed kinematical analysis of the vertex in the emulsion
 169 films.

170 Once a trigger in the electronic detectors is selected to be compatible with an interaction inside a brick the
 171 following procedure is applied [6]:

- 172 1. electronic detector data are processed by a software reconstruction program that selects the brick with the
 173 highest probability to contain the neutrino interaction vertex;
- 174 2. this brick is removed from the target wall by the BMS and exposed to X-rays for im-to-im alignment.
 175 There are two independent X-ray exposures: the first one ensures a common reference system to the CS im-
 176 doublet and the most downstream im of the brick (frontal exposure); the second one produces thick lateral
 177 marks on the brick edges, used for internal alignment and im numbering within the brick;
- 178 3. after the first X-ray exposure the CS doublet is detached from the brick and developed underground, while
 179 the brick is kept in a box made of 5 cm thick iron shielding to reduce the radioactivity background;
- 180 4. if the CS scanning detects tracks compatible with those reconstructed in the electronic detectors the second
 181 X-ray exposure (lateral im marking) is performed and the brick is brought to the surface laboratory. The brick
 182 is then exposed to cosmic-rays for about 24 hours in a dedicated pit in order to select high-energy cosmic
 183 muons to provide straight tracks for a refined (sub-micrometric) im-to-im alignment;
- 184 5. the brick emulsion films are then developed and dispatched to the various scanning laboratories in Europe
 185 and Japan.

186 The procedure described above has proven to be successful. As an example, in Figure 6 we show the number of
 187 bricks extracted by the BMS per week, about one hundred. This is matched by the 100 CS developed and scanned
 188 per week in the LNGS (Italy) and Tono (Japan) scanning stations.




Figure 6: The number of bricks extracted per week by the BM S in 2008.

189 Brick Finding and Changeable Sheet Interplay

190 The efficiency for selecting the "red" brick is the convolution of several effects and measurements. Here we
 191 discuss the two most important ones, the Brick Finding procedure and the Changeable Sheet measurement, for
 192 which preliminary results have been obtained from the analysis of partial samples of already scanned events.

193 The brick finding algorithm exploits the tracking capabilities of the OPERA electronic detectors and, by
 194 combining this information with the output of a Neural Network for the selection of the most probable wall where
 195 the interaction occurred, provides a list of bricks with the associated probability that the interaction occurred
 196 therein. A preliminary estimate of the brick finding efficiency, limited to the extraction of the first most probable
 197 brick (for about 700 events) and not considering the small fraction (< 5%) of events for which the present electronic
 198 detector reconstruction fails, is compatible with the Monte Carlo estimate of 70% computed for a standard mixture
 199 of CC and NC events. A higher efficiency can be obtained by extracting also bricks ranked with lower probabilities.

200 The tracking efficiency of single emulsion films can be measured by an exposure to high-energy pion beams
 201 and amounts to about 90% [10]. However, the measurement of the CS doublet efficiency in situ, in the OPERA
 202 detector, is by far more challenging, given the coarse resolution in the extrapolation of tracks from the electronic
 203 detectors to the CS.

204 At present, we are studying the CS tracking efficiency by two independent approaches: (a) all tracks produced
 205 in already located neutrino vertices are followed downstream and searched for in the corresponding CS doublet;
 206 (b) muon tracks reconstructed by the electronic detectors and found in the CS are properly normalized to the total
 207 number of CC events where at least one track (not necessarily the muon) is found in the CS. The two methods
 208 yield a preliminary efficiency for finding a track in both films of the CS doublet which is compatible with the
 209 conservative expectation of 90% on a single film [10]. The experimental efficiency has been evaluated on a sample
 210 of 100 events scanned in both the European and the Japanese laboratories. We are presently working in order to
 211 further increase this efficiency by employing more advanced analysis techniques.

212 Vertex analysis

213 All tracks measured in the CS are sought in the most downstream films of the brick and followed back until
 214 they are not found in three consecutive films. The stopping point is considered as the signature either for a primary
 215 or a secondary vertex. The vertex is then confirmed by scanning a volume with a transverse size of 1 cm^2 for 11
 216 films in total, upstream and downstream of the stopping point (see Figure 7). Preliminary estimates of the vertex
 217 location efficiency are in agreement with the Monte Carlo expectations of 90% and 80% for CC and NC events,
 218 respectively. This evaluation has been performed on a sample of 500 located events.




Figure 7: Schematic view of the volume scan performed around the stopping point of the track.




Figure 8: Left panel: impact parameter distribution of the muon track in CC events with respect to the reconstructed vertices. Right panel: charged track multiplicity distribution of the events.

219 The track impact parameter distribution of the muon in CC events with respect to the reconstructed vertex
 220 position and the event track multiplicity distribution are shown in Figure 8. As expected, the impact parameter
 221 distribution is peaked at zero and has a mean value of 2.5 μm . The multiplicity distribution shows the anticipated
 222 enhancements for even track numbers due to the preferred interaction of neutrinos with neutrons.

223 As an example, in Figures 9 and 10 we show a NC and a CC event, respectively, fully reconstructed in the
 224 brick. A very "peculiar" event is shown in Figure 11: the neutrino interaction occurred in the bottom layer of an
 225 emulsion film. Therefore, the associated nuclear fragments (large angle heavy ionizing tracks) are also visible in
 226 the film containing the vertex.

227 Decay topologies

228 Charm production and decay topology events have a great importance in OPERA for two main reasons. On
 229 the one hand in order to certify the observation of Λ_c events one should prove the ability of observing charm events
 230 at the correct expected rate. On the other hand, since charm decays exhibit the same topology as Λ_c decays, they
 231 are a potential source of background if the muon at the primary vertex is not identified (see Figure 12). Therefore,
 232 searching for charm decays in events with the primary muon correctly identified provides a direct measurement of
 233 this background.




Figure 9: Top panels: online display of one NC event seen by the OPERA electronic detectors. The regions filled with bricks are highlighted. Bottom panels: the emulsion reconstruction is shown in the bottom panels: top view (bottom left), side view (bottom center), front view (bottom right).




Figure 10: Top panels: online display of one CC event seen by the OPERA electronic detectors. Bottom panels: the emulsion reconstruction is shown in the bottom panels: top view (bottom left), side view (bottom center), front view (bottom right).




Figure 11: Display of the OPERA electronic detector of a CC interaction, top and side views. The emulsion reconstruction is shown in the middle panels: top view (bottom left), side view (bottom center), frontal view (bottom right). Bottom left panel: picture of the interaction vertex as seen by the microscope CMOS camera. The nuclear fragments produced in the interaction are visible. Bottom right panel: picture taken about 200 micron far from the interaction vertex. The minimum ionizing particles produced in the interaction are indicated by a circle. The muon track is also indicated.




Figure 12: Schematic view of the charm and tau decay topologies.

234 Charm decay topologies were searched for in the sample of located neutrino interactions. Two events with
 235 charm-like topologies were found. By using the neutrino-induced charm-production cross-section measured by the
 236 CHORUS experiment [17] about 3 charged-charm decays are expected to be observed in the analyzed sample.

237 The event in Figure 13 has high track multiplicity at the primary vertex and one of the scan-back tracks shows
 238 a kink topology. The measured decay angle is 204 mrad and the flight length of the decaying particle is 3247 m.
 239 The decay occurred in the third lead plate downstream of the interaction plate. No large angle tracks are produced
 240 at the decay vertex. This allows to further rule out the hadronic interaction hypothesis. The muon track and the
 241 charm candidate track lie in a back-to-back configuration ($\theta \approx 165^\circ$) as one would expect for charm production.
 242 The daughter momentum, measured by using the Multiple Coulomb Scattering technique is $3.9^{+1.7}_{-0.9}$ GeV/c at the
 243 90% C.L. Therefore, at the 90% C.L. the transverse momentum ranges between 600 MeV/c and 1150 MeV/c, well
 244 above the cut of 250 MeV/c applied to reject hadronic decays. According to the FLUKA Monte Carlo [18] the
 245 probability that a hadron interaction mimics a charm-decay with transverse momentum larger than 600 MeV/c is
 246 only $4 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

247 The second charm-like topology is shown in Figure 14. A 4-prong primary vertex is observed originating at a
 248 depth of about 30 cm in the upstream lead plate. The charmed hadron track points to a 3-prong decay vertex
 249 located at a distance of 1150 cm from the primary vertex (200 cm inside the lead). All tracks have a clear CS tag.
 250 The interaction occurs downstream in the brick and the tracks only cross four emulsion films and the CS doublet
 251 (the two most downstream hits in the figure). The muon track and the charm candidate track lie in a back-to-back
 252 configuration ($\theta \approx 150^\circ$). The relativistic β of the charmed parent has been roughly estimated as the inverse of
 253 the average angle in space that the daughter tracks form with it. This leads to a β value of about 0.6, implying a
 254 parent high momentum of 16 GeV/c (assuming the D^+ mass). The momenta of the daughter tracks have also
 255 been measured by extracting the downstream brick and using the Multiple Coulomb Scattering technique. The
 256 measured values are $p_1 = 2.4^{+1.3}_{-0.6}$, $p_2 = 1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ and $p_3 = 1.2^{+1.7}_{-0.4}$ GeV/c (transverse momenta of about 610, 90 and
 257 340 MeV/c, total momentum: $4.8^{+2.2}_{-0.8}$ GeV/c), at the 90% C.L. The probability of a hadron interaction has been
 258 evaluated using FLUKA and amounts to 10^{-6} . Assuming a $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+$ decay, an invariant mass of $1.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ GeV/c²
 259 is obtained. On the other hand assuming a $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+$ decay an invariant mass of $1.5^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ GeV/c² is derived. In
 260 the latter case the invariant mass is consistent with the mass of a charmed hadron while in the second case the
 261 consistency is marginal. The probability of a decay in flight of a K^+ is about 10^{-3} .




Figure 13: Online display of the OPERA electronic detector of a charged-current interaction with a charm-like topology (top panel). The reconstruction is shown in the bottom panels where the charm-like topology is seen as a track with a kink: top view (bottom left), side view (bottom center), frontal view (bottom right).




Figure 14: Online display of the OPERA electronic detector of a charm-like topology (top panel). The emulsion reconstruction is shown in the bottom panels where the charm-like topology is seen as a three-pronged secondary vertex: top view (bottom left), side view (bottom center), frontal view (bottom right).

262 4 Conclusions

263 The 2007 and 2008 CNGS runs constitute an important milestone for the LNS OPERA experiment searching for
264 μ oscillations. First samples of neutrino interaction events have been collected in the emulsion/lead target
265 and allowed to check the complete analysis chain starting from the trigger down to the neutrino vertex location in
266 the emulsions and to the topological and kinematical characterization of the event.

267 In this paper we reported on the capability in performing an online identification, extraction and development
268 of the bricks where the neutrino interaction occurred, vertex location and kinematical reconstruction. The overall
269 performance of the experiment during the running phase and through the analysis chain can be summarized by
270 stating that:

- 271 • all electronic detectors performed excellently allowing the precise localization of the brick hit by the neutrino;
- 272 • the electronic detector event reconstruction was tuned to the brick binding procedure which operated for the
273 first time with real neutrino events providing good results;
- 274 • all experimental activities from brick removal upon identification to the X and cosmic-ray exposures, brick
275 disassembly and emulsion development, have been successfully accomplished. At present more than 100
276 bricks per week can be routinely handled;
- 277 • the scanning of the Changeable Sheets can be performed with the expected detection efficiencies;
- 278 • vertex location was successfully attempted for both CC and NC events;
- 279 • the topological and kinematical analyses of the vertices were successfully exploited and led to an unambiguous
280 interpretation of neutrino interactions. In particular two events with a charm-like topology were found so
281 far in the analyzed sample. This is fully consistent with expectations based on the known neutrino-induced
282 charm production cross-section.

283 The above considerations make us confident that the OPERA experiment is definitely in its production phase
284 with the CNGS beam and that the scene has been set for the discovery of the μ appearance.

286 5 Acknowledgements

287 We thank CERN for the commissioning of the CNGS facility and for its successful operation, and INFN for
288 the continuous support given to the experiment during the construction, installation and commissioning phases
289 through its LNS laboratory. We warmly acknowledge funding from our national agencies: Fonds de la Recherche
290 Scientifique -FNRS and Institut Interuniversitaire des Sciences Nucleaires for Belgium, M oSES for Croatia, IN 2P 3-
291 CNRS for France, BM BF for Germany, INFN for Italy, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS),
292 the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and the Promotion and Mutual Aid
293 Corporation for Private Schools of Japan for Japan, SNF and ETH Zurich for Switzerland, the Russian Foundation
294 for Basic Research (grants 08-02-91005 and 08-02-01086) for Russia, the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-
295 2007-013-C 00015) for Korea. We are also indebted to INFN for providing fellowships and grants to non Italian
296 researchers. Finally, we are indebted to our technical collaborators for the excellent quality of their work over many
297 years of design, prototyping and construction of the detector and of its facilities.

298 References

- 299 [1] B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 6, 429 (1957);
300 B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 7, 172 (1958);
301 Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 28, 870 (1962).
- 302 [2] A. Strumia and F. Vissani, "Neutrino masses and mixings and...", arXiv:hep-ph/0606054.

- 303 [3] K . S . Hirata et al. [KAM IO KANDE-II C ollaboration], Phys. Lett. B 205, 416 (1988);
304 Y . Fukuda et al. [Super-K am iokande C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1562 (1998);
305 J . Hosaka et al. [Super-K am iokande C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 032002 (2006);
306 K . Abe et al. [Super-K am iokande C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 171801 (2006);
307 S . P . Ahlen et al. [M ACRO C ollaboration], Phys. Lett. B 357, 481 (1995);
308 M . Ambrosio et al. [M ACRO C ollaboration], Phys. Lett. B 434, 451 (1998);
309 M . Ambrosio et al. [M ACRO C ollaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 36, 323 (2004);
310 W . W . M . Allison et al. [SOUDAN 2 C ollaboration], Phys. Lett. B 449, 137 (1999);
311 W . W . M . Allison et al. [SOUDAN 2 C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. D 72, 052005 (2005);
312 M . H . Ahn et al. [K 2K C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74, 072003 (2006);
313 D . G . M ichael et al. [M INOS C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 191801 (2006);
314 P . Adam son et al. [M INOS C ollaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 221804 (2008).
- 315 [4] A . Ereditato, K . Niwa and P . Strolin, INFN -AE -97-06, DAPNU -97-07, Jan 1997;
316 H . Shibuya et al. [OPERA C ollaboration], LNG S-LO I-8-97;
317 M . G uler et al., CERN -SPSC -2000-028.
- 318 [5] R . Acquafredda et al. [OPERA C ollaboration], New J. Phys. 8 303 (2006).
- 319 [6] R . Acquafredda et al. [OPERA C ollaboration] "The OPERA experim ent in the CERN to G ran Sasso neutrino
320 beam ", subm itted to JINST .
- 321 [7] CNGS project: <http://projcngs.web.cern.ch/projcngs/>.
- 322 [8] K . Kodama et al. [DONUT C ollaboration], Phys. Lett. B 504, 218 (2001).
- 323 [9] G . Rosa et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 394, 357 (1997);
324 N . Am enise et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 551, 261 (2005);
325 M . De Serio et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 554, 247 (2005);
326 L . Arrabito et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 568 578 (2006);
327 I . K reslo et al., JINST 3 (2008) P04006.
- 328 [10] L . Arrabito et al., JINST 2 P05004 (2007).
- 329 [11] S . Aoki et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. B 51, 466 (1990);
330 T . Nakano, PhD Thesis, University of Nagoya (1997);
331 T . Nakano [CHORUS C ollaboration], International Europhysics Conference on High-Energy Physics (HEP
332 2001), Budapest, Hungary, 12-18 July 2001.
- 333 [12] T . Nakamura et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 556, 80 (2006).
- 334 [13] A . Anokhina et al. [OPERA C ollaboration], JINST 3 P07002 (2008).
- 335 [14] A . Anokhina et al. [OPERA C ollaboration], JINST 3 P07005 (2008).
- 336 [15] E . Eskut et al. [CHORUS C ollaboration], Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 401, 7 (1997).
- 337 [16] M . De Serio et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 512 (2003) 539;
338 K . Kodama et al., Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 574 (2007) 192.
- 339 [17] F . Di Capua, "10th International Workshop on Neutrino Factories, Super beams and Beta beams", Valencia-
340 Spain, June 30 - July 5 2008. Proceedings available at <http://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/reader/conf.cgi?confid=74>
- 341 [18] For details on the FLUKA Monte Carlo we refer to <http://www.fluka.org>