Bonn-TH-09-01 CERN-PH-TH/2008-236

# Holom orphicity and Modularity in Seiberg-W itten Theories with M atter

M in-xin H uang and A lbrecht K lem m  $^{y}$ 

<sup>?</sup> Theory Division, Department of Physics, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>y</sup> Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics and

Physikalisches Institut, Universitat Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, FRG

#### A bstract

W e calculate the gravitational corrections to the e ective action of N = 2SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with matter using modularity, the holom orphic anom aly equation and expected behavior at the boundaries of the m oduli space. As in pure gauge theory we show that the gap condition at the dyon singularities com pletely xes the gravitational corrections. We discuss the behavior of the gravitational corrections at the conform alpoints. We compare our results with the recursive solution of the loop equation in the matrix model approach, which provides in addition open am plitudes.

m inxin huang@ cem.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>aklemm@th.physik.uni-bonn.de

# C ontents

1	Introduction	1					
2	SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with massless hypermultiplets 2.1 Topological string am plitude $F^{(g)}$ as a polynomial of $\hat{E}_2$ 2.2 N <sub>f</sub> = 1 2.3 N <sub>f</sub> = 2 2.4 N <sub>f</sub> = 3	4 6 11 14 16					
3	SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with massive hypermultiplets 3.1 The prepotential F <sup>(0)</sup>	18 18 19 21 22					
4	SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory at superconform alpoints 4.1 $N_f = 1, m_1 = \frac{3}{4}$	22 23 25 26					
5	The non-com pact lim it of C alabi-Y au com pacti cations5.1 Special geom etry and rigid special geom etry5.2 The non-com pact lim it5.3 M onodrom y action	27 28 32 33					
6	<ul> <li>M atrix m odel approach</li> <li>6.1 Review of the form alism</li></ul>	34 35 40 41 44					
7	Future directions	45					
А	Nekrasov's calculations 46						

# 1 Introduction

It was dem onstrated in [1] for pure N = 2 supersym m etric SU (2) gauge theory that the modular sym m etry, the holom orphic anom aly equation and the gap condition at the singularities, where dyons become massless, x all gravitational corrections. Here we will extend this approach to asymptotically free N = 2 gauge theories with matter.

The m ethod we provide extends to several integrable system s which are connected to N = 2 gauge theories. Let us rst review these interrelations.

W hen the gauge theory is coupled to gravity, the coupling of the selfdual curvature tensor  $R_+$  to the graviphoton eld strength  $F_+$ , i.e.  $F^{(g)}(\underline{a})R_+^2F_+^{2g-2}$ , depends on the moduli elds  $\underline{a}$  and this dependence is exactly calculable within the topological sector of the theory. An important point is that in di erent regions of the moduli there are di erent canonically conjugated coordinates and the di erent expansion of the F<sup>(g)</sup> are not just analytic continuations of each other. They are rather related by the wave function transform ation of  $Z = \exp(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2g & 2F_g(\underline{a}) \end{pmatrix}$  [2], which is closely related to the modular and (an)holom orphic properties of the F<sup>(g)</sup>(\underline{a}) [3, 1]. E.g. the weak coupling regime the F<sup>(g)</sup>(\underline{a}) can be calculated from the eld theory point of view using localization in the space-time instanton moduli space [4, 5], but to obtain from this expansion the dual expansions e.g. at the monopole points or the conform al points, also known as Argyres-D ouglas points, one has to use the wave function transform ation [2, 3, 1].

N = 2 gauge theories are related to Type II string theory on non-compact C alabi-Yau manifolds by geometric engineering [6]. It has been checked that the holom orphic gauge coupling functions and the BPS masses of the gauge theory [7,8] can also be obtained from the topological sector of the Type II string theory on this backgrounds in a double scaling limit which decouples  $^{0}$ - and Planck scale e ects [9,6]. In particular [6] discusses the geometric engineering of non-compact toric C alabi-Yau spaces for SU (N) gauge theories with few fundamental matter elds. In the large radius region of the topological string theory the F  $^{(g)}(\underline{t})$  are higher genus world-sheet amplitudes, which depend on the K ahler moduli  $\underline{t}$ . The eld theory F  $^{(g)}(a)$  can be obtained in the limit mentioned above [11]. A third approach is to calculate the F  $^{(g)}(a)$  in them atrix model form alism suggested by [12,13] in an  $\frac{1}{N}$  expansion [11,14].

The common mathematical structure of N = 2 Seiberg-W itten theories, topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau spaces and matrix models is a family of R iem ann surface C equipped with a meromorphic di erential , which has in general non-vanishing residua.

In the Seiberg-W itten approach to supersymmetric gauge theories C is the Seiberg-W itten curve and the Seiberg-W itten di erential [7,8]. (C; ) can be re-derived from the space-time instanton calculus as was demonstrated in  $[5]^{1}$ . In topological string theory on non-compact Calabi-Yau spaces the data (C; ) arise via mirror symmetry in the B-m odel geometry [6,17]. In the matrix model approach C is the spectral curve and the di erential de ning the lling fractions and the one point function [12, 13, 5, 18, 16]. The calculations of [4, 5] using ST-instanton calculus, of [1, 19] within the topological B-m odel, and of [20, 18] utilizing the matrix model

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ U sing [15] one can construct the space-time instanton sums of [5] a direct link to the matrix model [16].

recursions suggest that the higher genus inform ation can be completely reconstructed from (C; ).

The B model approach is particularly e cient. It uses for the reconstruction the recursion relation in the genus, known as holom orphic anom all equation [21]. The latter has a kernel, the holom orphic am biguity, which is strongly constrained by the sym m etry group of theory, but certain discrete data have to be xed by additional argum ents. For pure gauge theory the gap condition at the conifold xes these discrete data com pletely [1].

In this work we consider the asymptotically free SU (2) with  $N_f = 1;2;3$  hypermultiplets in the fundam ental representation. Sim ilar as the topological string theory on the canonical line bundle over a del Pezzo surface with more than one K ahler parameter [19], gauge theories with matter have more parameters than the complex moduli space of the R iem ann surface. In gauge theory these parameters are simply the masses of the hypermultiplets. While they make the form of the holomorphic ambiguity more complicated, the requirement that the gap exists for all values of the additional parameter imposes stronger conditions. We not that the latter overconstrain the system and that an unique solution exists. The gravitational corrections for gauge theories with matter are therefore completely integrable.

O ne advantage of the m ethod [1] is that it provides the F  $^{(g)}$  in all regions in the m oduli space and not just in the asymptotically free region. A rgyres, P lesser, Seiberg and W itten found particularly interesting points in them oduli space of SU (2) gauge theory w ith fundam entalm atter, where di erent conform all theories arise [22]. U sing the form alism [1], one can analyze the full topological sector of these conform all theories. The coordinate choice and the structure of the topological gauge theory am plitudes near conform all points is very sim ilar as for topological string theory near orbifold points [23, 24].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we rst consider the simple case of theory with massless matter. Here the C oulom b m odulus u is related to the m odular parameter by J() =  $R_{N_f}(u)$ , where  $R_{N_f}(u)$  is a rational function and J is the m odular invariant J-function. The C oulom b m oduli space is then a ram i ed nite m ulticover of the quotient of the upper half plane Im () > 0 by PSL(2;Z) and u() has very simple transform ation properties w.r.t. PSL(2;Z). We review the direct integration, which is an e cient way to solve the holom orphic anom all equation and write the F<sup>(g)</sup> as polynom ials of m odular functions. In Section 3 we generalize the analysis to them assive SU(2) Seiberg-W itten theory. We provide an algorithm that is valid for the case of fundam entalm atter with arbitrary mass, and study the N<sub>f</sub> = 1 case in details. This procedure can be straightforwardly generalized to N<sub>f</sub> = 2;3. In Section 4 we discuss some cases of special fundam ental hypermultiplet m asses where two mutually non-local singular points in the u plane collide and a non-trivial superconform all ed theory appears. Section 5 discusses the emergence of the gauge

theory in the non-compact limit of a Calabi-Yau compacti cation. This provides a connection to the direct integration form alism developed in [25, 26, 27, 28], which is useful to solve the gravitational couplings of higher rank gauge theories entirely from the solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation. In Section 6 we turn to the matrix model approach. We not it particularly to solve the the N<sub>f</sub> = 2 theory by the approach of [20], point out some restrictions in the application of the open holom ophic anom aly of [48] and discuss analytic properties of the open am plitudes.

# 2 SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with massless hypermultiplets

The Seiberg-W itten curves<sup>2</sup> [7,8]C<sub>1</sub> for N = 2 supersymmetric SU (2) gauge theory with N<sub>f</sub> < 4 avors are families of elliptic curves given by <sup>3</sup>

$$y^2 = C(x)^2 \quad G(x);$$
 (2.2)

where C (x) and G (x) are de ned as

$$\begin{split} N_{f} &= 0: C(x) = x^{2} \quad u; \\ N_{f} &= 1: C(x) = x^{2} \quad u; \\ N_{f} &= 2: C(x) = x^{2} \quad u + \frac{2}{8}; \\ N_{f} &= 3: C(x) = x^{2} \quad u + \frac{1}{4}(x + \frac{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}}{2}); \\ G(x) &= (x + m_{1})(x + m_{2})(x + m_{3}): \\ \end{array}$$

Here u is the modulus parametrizing the Coulomb branch and m<sub>i</sub> are the masses of the hypermultiplets. The genus one curves  $C_1$  have two periods  $a_D$  and a of the merom orphic Seiberg-W itten di erential over the b and the a cycle in H<sup>1</sup>( $C_1$ ;Z) respectively. The merom orphic one form can be written with  $^0 = \frac{d}{dx}$  as

$$= \frac{p}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{x \, dx}{y} \frac{C(x) G^{0}(x)}{2G(x)} C^{0}(x) : \qquad (2.3)$$

Physically the periods are the vev's of the scalar component of the N = 2 vector multiplet containing the photon and its dual in the infrared respectively.

$$y^{2} = (x^{2} u)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{c} N_{f}} (x + m_{i})$$
 (2.1)

[30]. Here we use the original notations in [8].

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ For the N<sub>f</sub> = 0 we use the fam ily of curves of [29] rather than the one of [7]. This distinction plays a rôle in establishing the matrix model connection in section 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>O ne can do a change of variable and write the Seiberg-W itten curve in all cases as

W e are interested in calculating the instanton expansion of the prepotential F  $^{(0)}$  and its higher genus generalization F  $^{(g)}$  all over the m oduli space. In the asym ptotically free region the prepotential F  $^{(0)}$  (a) for the electric U (1) theory, which determ ines the exact gauge coupling of the N = 2 Super-Yang-M ills theory, is related to the periods  $a_{\rm D}$  and a by rigid special geom etry

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{(0)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{D}} \quad : \tag{2.4}$$

As pointed out in [7] in the dual magnetic region where  $a_D$  is small, the theory is more suitably described by a magnetic U (1), whose prepotential is given by  $\frac{g_{F_D}^{(0)}}{g_{A_D}} = a$ .

The higher genus term s F  $^{\rm (g)}$  (a) describe the exact moduli dependence of the gravitational corrections

$$F^{(g)}F_{+}^{2g}R_{+}^{2}$$
 (2.5)

in the elective Lagrangian, which encodes the coupling of the gauge theory to N = 2 supergravity. Here  $F_+$  and  $R_+$  are the self-dual part of the graviphoton eld strength and of the Ricci curvature respectively. In the weak coupling region u ! 1 we can compare  $F^{(g)}(a)$  to the localization calculations in [4]. The relation of the dual  $F_D^{(g)}(a_D)$  to the  $F^{(g)}(a_D)$ , follows from the quantum mechanical wave function transform ation of Z = exp( $\frac{1}{g=0}^{2g-2}F^{(g)}$ ) and was studied in this context in [3].

In this section, we rst consider the simple case where all the hypermultiplets are massless. In this case u() is a modular invariant function under the projective action on the gauge coupling  $/\frac{\ell^2}{\ell^2}F^{(0)}$  of the group  $N_f 2 PSL(2;Z)$  [31]. To not the rational function  $R_{N_f}(u)$  discussed in section 1 one simply brings the curve (2.2) into W eierstrass form

$$y^2 = 4x^3$$
  $g_2(u)x$   $g_3(u)$ : (2.6)

The rational function is then determ ined by

$$J() = \frac{E_4()^3}{E_4^3() - E_6()^2} = \frac{g_2(u)^3}{g_2(u)^3 - 27g_3(u)^2} = :R_{N_f}(u):$$
(2.7)

Here

$$= g_{2}(u)^{3} \quad 27g_{3}(u)^{2} \tag{2.8}$$

is the discrim inant of the curve. The group  $N_{\rm f}$  is the quotient of PSL(2;Z) by the group interchanging the roots of u(J) and has been determ ined in [31]. In the mathematical literature the invariant u() is sometimes called the 'Hauptmodul' of  $N_{\rm f}$ .

The Picard-Fuchs di erential equations are fulled by all periods over the cycles of  $C_1$ . In the massless case has no non-vanishing residua and the two periods  $a_D$  and a full a second order Picard Fuchs di erential equations<sup>4</sup>, which were derived

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  In the massive case discussed in section 3 there is also a cycle encircling the pole of picking up the residuum and the di erential equations are third order.

for  $N_f = 1;2;3$  in [32]

$$p(u)\frac{d^2}{du^2} + = 0 ; (2.9)$$

where

$$N_{f} = 1: p(u) = 4u^{2} + \frac{27 \frac{6}{64u}}{64u} \qquad N_{f} = 2: p(u) = 4(u^{2} - \frac{\frac{4}{2}}{64})$$

$$N_{f} = 3: p(u) = u(4u - \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{64}):$$
(2.10)

U sing the leading behavior of F<sup>(0)</sup> and F<sub>D</sub><sup>(0)</sup> from the 1-loop -function and analytic continuation we nd =  $\begin{array}{c} a \\ a_D \end{array}$  as linear combination of the solutions to (2.9). We will set the dynamical scales  $_1 = 2^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $_2 = 2$ ,  $_3 = 4$  in order to m atch the convention in the instanton counting calculations in [4, 5].

W hile in the pure SU (2) gauge there is a  $Z_2$  symmetry acting on the u plane, the discrete symmetries of the u plane in  $N_f = 1;2;3$  cases are  $Z_3$  symmetry,  $Z_2$ symmetry and no symmetry respectively [7,8]. A swewill see, these dimensional discrete symmetries acting on the u plane in the three cases  $N_f = 1;2;3$  play significant role in determining the qualitative features of the solutions. We will not the structure of  $N_f = 2$  solution closely resembles that of the case of pure gauge theory in [1], while the cases  $N_f = 1;3$  have some dimension qualitative features respectively.

In the next section we will review the direct integration approach for solving F  $^{(g)}$ . Thereafter we discuss the N  $_{\rm f} = 1;2;3$  cases one by one.

## 2.1 Topological string am plitude F $^{(g)}$ as a polynom ial of $\hat{E}_2$

The main goal is to solve the topological sector of the theory and give in particular the F<sup>g</sup>(u) everywhere in the C oulom b m oduli space. To this end we rst extend the direct integration m ethod of the holom orphic anom all equations to the SU (2) gauge theory in this section to the case with m assless avors and in section 3 to the case with m assless avors and in section 4 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 4 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 4 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors and in section 5 to the case with m assless avors avors. This approach was applied to N<sub>f</sub> = 0 in [1] and solved the theory completely using the gap condition. The point is to show this for theories with avors as well.

The holom orphic anom aly equations of [21] read

$$\begin{aligned} & (2.11) \\ & (2.11) \\ & (2.11) \\ & (2.11) \\ & (2.11) \\ & (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the coordinate a introduced in the last section, but the equations are of course covariant. We further introduced the Yukawa triple coupling and the connection  $D_a$ , whose calculation from the solutions of the Picard Fuchs equation are discussed below and more generally in section (5.1). First F<sup>(0)</sup>(a) follows from the

solution to (2.9) via (2.4) up to an irrelevant constant. We de ne then the three point Yukawa coupling as

$$C_{aaa} = \frac{e^{3}F^{(0)}}{e^{3}a^{3}} = 2 \text{ im }_{0}\frac{d}{da} = :$$
 (2.12)

Our norm alization convention is  $m_0 = 2;1;1;\frac{1}{2}$  for the cases of  $N_f = 0;1;2;3$ . M athematically is the modular parameter and physically

$$= \frac{1}{2 \text{ im }_{0}} \frac{\theta^{2} F^{(0)}}{\theta a^{2}}$$
(2.13)

a combination<sup>5</sup> of the gauge coupling and the theta angle  $= - + i\frac{8}{g^2}$ . Note that  $_2 = Im$  ()  $= -\frac{1}{2i}$  multiplies the kinetic term of the vector multiplet<sup>6</sup>. With the methods described in C hapter 5 of [33] one can prove the following modular expression for

$$N_{f} = 0: \qquad = \frac{8\frac{2}{2}}{\frac{4}{3}\frac{4}{4}}; \qquad N_{f} = 1: \qquad = \frac{4^{D}\overline{6E_{4}}(E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}},E_{6})^{\frac{1}{6}}}{(1)^{\frac{1}{6}}(E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}+E_{6})}; \qquad (2.14)$$

$$N_{f} = 2: \qquad = \frac{4\frac{2}{2}}{\frac{4}{3}\frac{4}{4}}; \qquad N_{f} = 3: \qquad = \frac{8\frac{2}{2}}{\frac{4}{3}\frac{4}{4}};$$

where  $E_k$  and k are the standard Eisenstein series and Jacobi functions in the conventions [33]. Further the W eil-Petersson metric in the coordinate a is given by

$$G_{aa} = 2Q_aQ_aRe(aQ_aF^{(0)}) = 4m_0_2$$
: (2.15)

The connection D  $_{\rm a}$  comes entirely  $^7$  from the metric G  $_{\rm aa}$  , and is

$$_{aa}^{a} = (G_{aa})^{-1} Q_{a} (G_{aa}) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{Q}{2} \frac{Q}{2} Q_{a} :$$
 (2.16)

Note that  $a_{aa}$  vanishes in the holom orphic lim it ! 1, con m ing that the period a is a at coordinate in this lim it. Finally we have

$$C_a^{aa} = G^{aa}G^{aa}C_{aaa} : \qquad (2.17)$$

In the follow ing it will be important to keep track of the anti-holom orphic dependence  $a_{aa}^{a}$  in (2.11) in order to recover the full F <sup>(g)</sup>(;) including its anti-holom orphic dependence.

The holom orphic anom all equation determ ines  $F^{(g)}$  from lower genus data up to a holom orphic anom all, which can be xed by modularity and the gap condition. Let

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ H ere we used the norm alization of [8].

 $<sup>^6{\</sup>rm T}\,he$  key requirement that the latter has to be positive suggested the occurrence of R iem ann surfaces in this context, where  $_2$  is manifestly positive.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  In the global Calabi-Yau case there is an additional Kahler connection, as explained in section 5.1.

us start with genus one, which is som ewhat special. It follows from (2.12,2.15,2.17) that the right hand side of the g = 1 equation in (2.11) is  $\frac{1}{8\frac{2}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$ 

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(2) \log j(2) j^{2};$$
 (2.18)

where () is modular form of weight  $\frac{1}{2}$ , which vanishes at the discriminant of the elliptic curve. In the simplest cases, e.g. N<sub>f</sub> = 0 one () can be identified with the Dedekind -function. Note that the transformation of () as weight  $\frac{1}{2}$  modular form cancels the transformation of the  $\frac{1}{2} \log(2)$  term. In general the form () is determined by its modularity and its leading logarithm ic behavior near  $a_D^{(k)} = 0$ . It has been pointed out in [34] that  $\log(a_D^{(k)})$  comes from the gravitational one-loop

function and its prefactor is entirely determ ined by the massless spectrum at the critical point.

 $F^{(1)}(;)$  is an almost holomorphic modular function or form of weight  $\emptyset$  and its derivative, which appears in (2.11), is an almost holomorphic form of weight 2. Modularity implies that this derivative contains the unique almost holomorphic modular weight two form

$$\hat{E}_{2}(;) = E_{2}() - \frac{3}{2};$$
 (2.19)

where  $E_2($ ) is the holomorphic quasimodular second Eisenstein form [33]. Under modular transformations  $\gamma = \frac{a+b}{c+d}$  with  $2_1 = PSL(2;Z) E_2$  transforms with an inhomogeneous shift

$$E_2() = (c + d)^2 E_2() \frac{6ic}{d}(c + d):$$
 (2.20)

This shift cancels the shift transform ation of  $\frac{3}{2}$ , so that  $\hat{E}_2$  transform s indeed as a honest weight two form .

In calculating the right hand side of (2.11) one needs derivatives of modular form s of even positive weight. The covariant derivative D in (2.11) written in terms of the coordinate is the so called M ass derivative

$$\hat{D} = \hat{Q} + \frac{k}{4} = 2$$
 (2.21)

Here  $\hat{e} = \frac{1}{2 \text{ i } d}$  and k is the modular weight of the object acted on. The M ass derivative  $\hat{D}$  has the important property that it m aps almost holom orphic modular forms of weight k into almost holom orphic modular forms of weight k + 2. M odular invariance in plies that each covariant derivative increases the leading power of  $\hat{E}_2$  by one and all powers of  $\frac{1}{2}$  must combine with  $E_2($ ) to form the shift invariant combination  $\hat{E}_2($ ; ). From this follows the important fact that forg 2 all anholom orphic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>In slight abuse of notation we indicate alm ost holom orphic objects by writing a dependence.

dependence of F  $^{(g)}$  is in  $\hat{E}_2$  and we can replace

$$\frac{d}{d} = \frac{d\hat{E}_{2}}{d} \frac{d}{d\hat{E}_{2}} = \frac{3i}{2 \frac{2}{2}} \frac{d}{d\hat{E}_{2}} : \qquad (2.22)$$

Furtherm one we distribute the anti-holom orphic derivative in (2.11) combines with the three point function as

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{Q}_{a}F^{(g)}}{C_{a}^{aa}} = 24\mathfrak{m}_{0}\frac{\mathrm{d}F^{(g)}}{\mathrm{d}E_{2}}; \quad \text{forg} \quad 2: \qquad (2.23)$$

so that (2.11) can be written as

$$24m_{0}\frac{dF^{(g)}}{dE_{2}} = D_{a}^{2}F^{(g-1)} + \sum_{r=1}^{X} \theta_{a}F^{(r)}\theta_{a}F^{(g-r)} : \qquad (2.24)$$

Since the period a is a quasim odular object of weight 1 and  $\hat{E}_2$  is of weight 2 one concludes that all F<sup>(g)</sup> have modular weight zero. Combining the above facts it follows that the F<sup>(g)</sup> are inhom ogeneous polynom ials of degree 3(g 1) in  $\hat{E}_2$  whose coe cients are holom orphic forms of negative weight so that F<sup>(g)</sup> have weight zero. Dening the following derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{a}F^{(r)} &= \frac{1}{m_{0}} \hat{\theta} F^{(r)} = \frac{1}{m_{0}} \hat{D} F^{(r)} \\ D_{a}^{2}F^{(r)} &= (\theta_{a} \qquad a_{aa})\theta_{a}F^{(r)} = \frac{1}{m_{0}^{2}} \hat{D} (\hat{D} F^{(r)}); \end{aligned} (2.25)$$

where we used the connection (2.16) and the fact that  $F^{(r)}$  and  $Q_a F^{(r)}$  have modular weight zero and 1 respectively, we write the holom orphic anomaly equation as

$$24m_{0}^{3}\frac{dF^{(g)}}{d\hat{E}_{2}} = {}^{2}(\hat{D}^{2}F^{(g-1)} + \frac{\hat{D}^{2}}{2}\hat{D}F^{(g-1)} + \frac{\hat{X}^{1}}{2}\hat{D}F^{(r)}\hat{D}F^{(r)}\hat{D}F^{(g-r)}): (2.26)$$

This provides an unifying description of the Seiberg-W itten theory with various num – ber of massless avors, depending only on m $_0$  and the holom orphic modular form of weight 3 given in (2.14).

In each integration step the coe cients of all nonzero powers of  $\hat{E}_2$  are determined by (2.11), while an holomorphic ambiguity of modular weight zero can be added. Boundary conditions and modularity imply that this can be always written as  $2^{g-2}$ times a modular form of weight 6(g-1). This reduces problem of xing the ambiguity to the determination of a nite number of terms. The remaining task is solved by an analysis of the local form of the elective action, which we discuss next.

A key concept in the analysis of e ective action [8] is its transform ation property under the modular group  $_{N_f}$  and the concept of local holom orphic coordinates in

which the elective action is expanded near the critical points of the theory, where particles becoming massless [8]. In particular in the asymptotically free region of the gauge theory a or more precisely  $\frac{1}{a}$  is the correct small expansion parameter, while near points where a dyon of magnetic charge and electric charge  $(q_n; q_e)$  in an N = 2 hypermultiplet becomes massless, i.e. close to the components of the discriminant locus of (2.2),  $a_D^{(k)} = q_n^{(k)} a_D + q_e^{(k)} a$  is the small expansion parameter. In most cases, i.e. for N<sub>f</sub> = 0;1;2 the Z<sub>2</sub>, Z<sub>3</sub> and Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry of the theories respectively relates the dyon points and the local expansions are the same, but for N<sub>f</sub> = 3 we not truly inequivalent dyon points.

At the magnetic monopole point, also called conifold point, the leading term of the topological string amplitudes in the variable  $a_D$ , is determined by the c = 1 string at the selfdual radius [35]. The 2g 1 sub-leading terms are absent. This gap structure<sup>9</sup>

$$F^{(g)D} = \frac{c^{g^{-1}B_{2g}}}{2g(2g - 2)a_D^{2g-2}} + O(a_D^0) :$$
(2.27)

has been observed in [1] and as explained in [36] it originates indeed from integrating out massless particles in the Schwinger loop contribution to the higher derivative e ective action. It was used in [1] to x the holom orphic ambiguity in the calculation of the gravitational corrections in pure SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory. Here we nd that the gap occurs at all dyon points. Using the gaps and the leading coe cients we are able to x in the N<sub>f</sub> = 0;1;2;3 cases the holom orphic ambiguity genus for all genus, and obtain exact form ulae for the gravitational corrections F <sup>(g)</sup> that sum up all instanton contributions at each genus g.

Let us nish this section with som e comments on (2.26) and a calculation of the leading term s in  $\hat{E}_2$  First we note that the equations leading to (2.26) are invariant under the change  $\hat{e}$ ;  $E_2$ !  $\hat{D}$ ;  $\hat{E}_2$ , one m ay therefore as well take a \holom orphic lim it" and replace  $\hat{D}$ ;  $\hat{E}_2$  with  $\hat{e}$ ;  $E_2$  in equation (2.26), without losing any inform ation. Furtherm one the holom orphic anom all equation (2.26) provides a very e cient way to compute topological string am plitudes. While in the Feynman rule approach of BCOV the number of term s in F<sup>(g)</sup> grows only with a power law with the genus g. This is sim ilar as in the case of quintic Calabi-Yau three-fold studied in [25, 36].

The leading coe cients of F  $^{(g)}$  as a polynom ial of  $\hat{E}_2$  do not depend on the holom orphic ambiguity and can be computed to very high orders. Suppose we denote the leading terms by

$$F^{(g)} = \frac{A^{(g)}}{(g-1)(1152m_0^3)^{g-1}} {}^{2(g-1)} \hat{E}_2^{3(g-1)} +$$
(2.28)

P<sup>9</sup>Below we can always rescale  $a_D$  so that c = 1. The Bernoulli numbers  $B_k$  are dened by  $P_{k=0}^{1} \frac{B_k x^k}{k!} = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}$ , i.e.  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$ ,  $B_6 = \frac{1}{42}$  etc.

where denotes term s with low er powers  $\hat{E}_{f}$ . One can see that the coe cients A <sup>(g 1)</sup> do not depend on the holom orphic am biguities, since there is no E<sub>2</sub> in the holom orphic am biguities in all the models we study. U sing the holom orphic anom aly equation (2.26), we nd a simple recursion relation for all the cases N<sub>f</sub> = 0;1;2;3 of Seiberg-W itten theory

$$A^{(2)} = \frac{5}{36};$$

$$A^{(g)} = (g \ 1)A^{(g \ 1)} + \frac{X^2}{r=2} A^{(r)}A^{(g \ r)}; \ g > 2 \qquad (2.29)$$

The rst few coe cients A  $^{(g)}$  are  $\frac{5}{36}$ ;  $\frac{5}{18}$ ;  $\frac{1105}{1296}$ ;  $\frac{565}{162}$ ;

In the next three subsections we discuss the massless N  $_{\rm f}$  = 1;2;3 cases one by one.

### $2.2 \quad N_f = 1$

For the N<sub>f</sub> = 1 theory the discrim inant is according to (2.9)

$$_{1} = 16(16u^{3} + 27)$$
: (2.30)

The solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation in the weak coupling limit u! 1 is

$$a = {}^{p} \frac{1}{u} \left(1 + \frac{3}{64u^{3}} - \frac{315}{16384u^{6}} + \frac{15015}{1048576u^{9}} + \right)$$
  

$$a_{D} = 3a \log(u) + {}^{p} \frac{3}{u} \left(\frac{3}{32u^{3}} - \frac{297}{16384u^{6}} + \frac{9047}{1048576u^{9}} + \right)$$
(2.31)

The prepotential and gauge coupling are then determ ined by  $\frac{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{F}}^{(0)}}{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{a}}} = a_{\mathrm{D}}$  and  $= \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2} \mathrm{F}^{(0)}}{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}$ . The modulus u can be expressed in terms of as [31]

$$u = \frac{1}{8} (E_4 (I)^3 - E_6 (I) - E_4 (I)^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{1}{3}} (I)^8$$
$$= \frac{3}{2} m^2 \frac{E_4^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} - E_6)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$
(2.32)

where m is a one-sixth root of 1, i.e.  $m^6 = 1$ .

The holom orphic lim it of the genus one am plitude is [11, 1]

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12} \log(1) : \qquad (2.33)$$

This follows from  $F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(G_{uu} j j^{\frac{1}{6}})$ , which satis es (2.11), in the holom orphic lim it.

To provide m odular form ulas for all expression we rewrite the P icard-Fuchs equation for N  $_{\rm f}$  = 1 in (2.9) as

$$(4u^{2} + \frac{27}{4u})[(\frac{d^{2}a}{d^{2}}) = (\frac{du}{d})^{2} \qquad (\frac{da}{d})(\frac{d^{2}u}{d^{2}}) = (\frac{du}{d})^{3}] + a = 0$$
(2.34)

Using (2.32) we can obtained a di erential equation for period a in terms of . In the weak coupling limit ! if , the modulus u goes like u  $(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} E_6)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , and the period a goes like a  $p_{\overline{u}}$   $(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} E_6)^{\frac{1}{6}}$ . After xing the normalization, it follows that the solution of (2.34) that corresponds to the period a is

$$a = \frac{r}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{m}{2} \frac{E_4^{\frac{1}{2}} + E_2}{(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} - E_6)^{\frac{1}{6}}} :$$
(2.35)

As expected the period a has form ally modular weight one, since  $= \frac{1}{2i} \frac{e^2 F^{(0)}}{e^2}$  and  $F^{(g)}, \frac{d}{d}$  have modular weight zero, two respectively.

The holom orphic genus one am plitude F  $^{(1)}$ , i.e. log( ( )) is then

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12} \log(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_6) : \qquad (2.36)$$

We integrate the holom orphic anom aly equation (2.26) and expand F<sup>(g)</sup> around the discriminant points  $_1(u) = 0$ , in order to use the gap structure. The 3 discriminant points  $_1(u) = 0$  are related by a Z<sub>3</sub> symmetry so we only need to consider the dual expansion around one of the 3 points. A coording to [8] theses points should be related to the weak coupling limit ! + i1 by an S-duality transformation  $! \frac{1}{2}$ . The Eisenstein series  $E_n$  transform with modular weight n, and a shift for  $E_2$ , i.e.  $E_2 ! {}^2(E_2 + \frac{12}{2i}); E_4 ! {}^4E_4; E_6 ! {}^6E_6$ . In the weak coupling limit  $^2$  is negative, so we not that under a S-duality transformation,  $E_2$ ,  $E_6$  change sign and  $E_4$  doesn't. Following the approach in [1], we can not the dual period  $a_D$  and  $F_D^{(g)}$ by replacing  $E_2$ ,  $E_6$  with  $E_2$ ,  $E_6$  in (2.35)

$$a_{\rm D} = \frac{r}{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m}{2} \frac{E_4^{\frac{1}{2}} E_2}{(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_6)^{\frac{1}{6}}} :$$
(2.37)

Note that the modulus u transform s as

$$u = \frac{3}{2}m^{2}\frac{E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}} - E_{6})^{\frac{1}{3}}} ! \frac{3}{2}m^{2}\frac{E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_{6})^{\frac{1}{3}}};$$
(2.38)

i.e. the S-duality transforms u indeed from u = 1 to the Z<sub>3</sub> symmetric discriminant points  $u = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{4}{3}}}m^2$ .

It is now straightforward to expand the dual genus two am plitude  $F_D^{(2)}$  in terms of the dual period  $a_D$  in the weak coupling lim it of the S-dual theory  $_D = \frac{1}{2} + i1$ .

We use the gap condition as in the case of pure gauge theory [1] to x the holom orphic ambiguity, and we not the genus two amplitude and its S-dual

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} - E_6)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2160m^2 (E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_6)^2} [25E_2^{\frac{3}{2}}E_4 + E_2^2 (135E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + 30E_6) + E_2 (255E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + 120E_4^{\frac{1}{2}}E_6) 159E_4^{\frac{5}{2}} + 140E_4E_6]$$
(2.39)  
$$F_D^{(2)} = \frac{(E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_6)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2160m^2 (E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} - E_6)^2} [25E_2^{\frac{3}{2}}E_4 + E_2^2 (135E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} - 30E_6) + E_2 (255E_4^{\frac{3}{2}} + 120E_4^{\frac{1}{2}}E_6) 159E_4^{\frac{5}{2}} 140E_4E_6]$$
(2.40)

The genus two space-time instanton expansion and the S-dual expansion are as follows

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{1}{160a^{2}} + \frac{9}{1024a^{8}} + \frac{16749}{262144a^{14}} + \frac{187215}{1048576a^{20}};$$

$$\frac{6536606985}{17179869184a^{26}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{32}})$$

$$F_{D}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{240a_{D}^{2}} + \frac{221a_{D}}{3^{\frac{1}{2}}62208m^{3}} + \frac{76289a_{D}^{2}}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}10077696m^{4}} + \frac{1082609a_{D}^{3}}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}3^{\frac{1}{2}}45349632m^{5}} + O(a_{D}^{4});$$

$$(2.41)$$

$$(2.42)$$

W e obtain the genus three am plitude using the gap condition at the conifold point

3

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{(E_{4}^{\frac{2}{2}} - E_{6})^{\frac{2}{3}}}{544320m^{4}(E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}} + E_{6})^{4}} f525E_{2}^{6}E_{4}^{2} - 350E_{2}^{5}(19E_{4}^{\frac{5}{2}} - 5E_{4}E_{6})$$

$$+ 35E_{2}^{4}(1225E_{4}^{3} - 694E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}}E_{6} + 16E_{6}^{2}) - 280E_{2}^{3}(637E_{4}^{\frac{7}{2}} - 546E_{4}^{2}E_{6} + 51E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}E_{6}^{2})$$

$$+ 7E_{2}^{2}(67221E_{4}^{4} - 75400E_{4}^{\frac{5}{2}}E_{6} + 14540E_{4}E_{6}^{2})$$

$$14E_{2}(49821E_{4}^{\frac{9}{2}} - 68867E_{4}^{3}E_{6} + 20960E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}}E_{6}^{2} - 560E_{6}^{3})$$

$$+ (440325E_{4}^{5} - 720006E_{4}^{\frac{7}{2}}E_{6} + 308700E_{4}^{2}E_{6}^{2} - 22400E_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}E_{6}^{3});g$$

$$(2.43)$$

which yields to lowest order in the asym ptotically free region and near the conifold

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{5}{2688a^4} \frac{3}{1024a^{10}} + \frac{96453}{524288a^{16}} \frac{6065417}{4194304a^{22}} + \frac{213776429067}{34359738368a^{28}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{34}})$$
(2.44)  

$$F_D^{(3)} = \frac{1}{1008a_D^4} \frac{197a_D}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}3^{\frac{1}{2}}165888m^5} \frac{54542723a_D^2}{19591041024} + \frac{159862731109a_D^3}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}3^{\frac{1}{2}}9873884676096m} + O(a_D^4) :$$
(2.45)

The instanton expansion (2.44) agrees with Nekrasov's calculations (A .190) and m akes predictions at higher instanton num bers.

## $2.3 \quad N_f = 2$

The discrim inant is

$$_2 = (4u^2 \quad 1)^2$$
: (2.46)

That it is of fourth order in u can be seen from (3.89) in a later section, where we provide the expression of the conifold divisor for generic avor masses. The solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation at weak coupling lim it u ! 1 is

$$a = {}^{p}\overline{u}(1 \frac{1}{64u^{2}} \frac{15}{16384u^{4}} \frac{105}{1048576u^{6}} + )$$
  

$$a_{p} = 2alog(u) + {}^{p}\overline{u}(\frac{1}{32u^{2}} \frac{13}{16384u^{4}} \frac{163}{3145728u^{6}} + ) \quad (2.47)$$

The modulus u can be expressed in terms of  $= \frac{1}{2i} \frac{e^2 F^{(0)}}{e^2}$  as [31]

$$u = \frac{1}{16} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^8}{\left(2 \ \frac{8}{2}\right)} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\frac{4}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{1}{2} :$$
(2.48)

W e verify the genus one am plitude satisfy the holom orphic anom aly equation

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12} \log(\frac{a}{2}) :$$
 (2.49)

The period a can also be written in terms of theta functions of . We notice that the Picard-Fuchs equation for  $N_f = 2$  is very similar to the one of  $N_f = 0$  pure Seiberg-W itten theory studied in [1]. In particular, if we change the normalization  $u ! \frac{u}{2}$ , but have and a xed, then the Picard-Fuchs equation (2.9) and the expression of u (2.48) are exactly the same as that of pure SU(2) theory. So the expression of the period a in terms of should be the same as that of [1] up to multiplicative constant. We nd

$$a = \frac{1}{3\frac{2}{2}(1)} (E_2(1) + \frac{4}{3}(1) + \frac{4}{4}(1)) : \qquad (2.50)$$

However since  $_2$  is the square of the N  $_f = 0$  discriminate  $_1$ . Therefore the genus one amplitude is not simply F  $^{(1)} = \log($  ( )) as in the N  $_f = 0$  case [1] but rather

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \log(\frac{\binom{2}{3}\binom{2}{4}\binom{2}{4}}{\binom{2}{2}}) : \qquad (2.51)$$

In the following we use the notation in [1] and de ne

$$b \coloneqq \frac{4}{2}(); \quad c \coloneqq \frac{4}{3}() = b + d; \quad d \coloneqq \frac{4}{4}(); \quad (2.52)$$

 $h \coloneqq b + 2d$  and  $X \coloneqq \frac{b}{1728c^2d^2}$ .

Under a S duality transform ation, the theta functions have m odular weight two, and transform as b !  $2^{\circ}d,c$  !  $2^{\circ}c,d$  !  $2^{\circ}b$ . The E isenstein E  $_{2}$  is weight two

and transform s with a shift (2.20). The period a contains  $E_2$  as well as  $\frac{2}{2}$  and is therefore not modular invariant under (2). By a duality transform ation followed by a holom orphic lim it is rather related to the dual period

$$a_{\rm D} = \frac{i}{3\frac{2}{4}} (E_2 \ b \ c) :$$
 (2.53)

U sing the gap condition from dual expansion, we x the genus two amplitude and nd the space-time instanton expansion

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{2}{15}X f_2 5E_2^3 - 75E_2^2h + 15E_2(13b^2 + 22cd) - h(137b^2 + 8cd)g; \quad (2.54)$$

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{7}{480a^2} \frac{7}{1024a^6} \frac{1425}{262144a^{10}} \frac{15717}{8388608a^{14}} \frac{8623029}{17179869184a^{18}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{22}}): \qquad (2.55)$$

The S-dual of F<sup>(2)</sup> and its dual expansion fulling the gap condition are

$$F_{D}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{15} X_{D} f 25E_{2}^{3} 75E_{2}^{2} h_{D} + 15E_{2} (13d^{2} + 22bc) h_{D} (137d^{2} + 8bc)g : (2.56)$$

$$F_{D}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{120a_{D}^{2}} + \frac{3ia_{D}}{512} - \frac{33a_{D}^{2}}{1024} - \frac{2147ia_{D}^{3}}{20480} + O(a_{D}^{4}); \qquad (2.57)$$

where  $h_D = d 2b_r X_D = \frac{d}{1728b^2c^2}$ .

W e push the analysis to genus three using the gap condition. The genus three am plitude is

$$F^{(3)} = X^{2} f 80E_{2}^{6} 480E_{2}^{5}h + 48E_{2}^{4}(41b^{2} + 104cd) \frac{32}{3}E_{2}^{3}h(646b^{2} + 685cd) + \frac{16}{5}E_{2}^{2}(6503b^{4} + 23410b^{2}cd + 7637c^{2}d^{2}) \frac{32}{5}E_{2}h(5867b^{4} + 11605b^{2}cd + 671c^{2}d^{2}) + \frac{16}{105}(177293b^{6} + 787182b^{4}cd + 619233b^{2}c^{2}d^{2} + 40232c^{3}d^{3})g: (2.58)$$

The space-time instanton expansion and the S-dual expansion are given below

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{31}{8064a^4} + \frac{5}{2048a^8} + \frac{8843}{524288a^{12}} + \frac{140721}{8388608a^{16}} + \frac{318316439}{34359738368a^{22}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{26}})$$
(2.59)

$$F_{\rm D}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{504a_{\rm D}^4} + \frac{45ia_{\rm D}}{16384} - \frac{279a_{\rm D}^2}{8192} - \frac{745933ia_{\rm D}^3}{3670016} + O(a_{\rm D}^4):$$
(2.60)

The instanton expansion (2.59) agree with (A.191) and make predictions at higher instanton numbers.

## 2.4 N<sub>f</sub> = 3

The discrim inant is

$$_{3} = \frac{u}{4}(16u \ 1)$$
: (2.61)

The solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation at weak coupling limit u! 1 is

$$a = \stackrel{p}{\underline{u}} (1 \quad \frac{1}{64u} \quad \frac{3}{16384u^2} \quad \frac{5}{1048576u^3} + )$$
  

$$a_{\rm D} = a \log(u) + \stackrel{p}{\underline{u}} (\frac{1}{32u} \quad \frac{1}{16384u^2} + \frac{1}{3145728u^3} + ): (2.62)$$

In the case N<sub>f</sub> = 3, it turns out to be convenient to de ne the gauge coupling as =  $\frac{1}{i} \frac{e^2 F^{(0)}}{e^2} + 1$ . The modulus u can be expressed in term s of as [31]

$$u = \frac{1}{256} \frac{\left(\frac{(-1)}{2}\right)^8}{(2(-1)^8)} = \frac{1}{256} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^8}{(2-8)} + \frac{1}{16}$$
(2.63)

W e verify that the genus one am plitude satisfy the holom orphic anom aly equation

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12}\log(a_3) + \frac{1}{4}\log(a_3) = \frac{1}{4}\log(a_3) + \frac{1}{4}\log(a_$$

W e note an additional singularity at u = 0 besides the  $_3 = 0$ .

We can also use the results from pure SU (2) case [1] to write period a and F<sup>(1)</sup> as theta functions of . We notice that under a change of variable  $u = \frac{u+1}{32}$ , the Picard-Fuchs equation (2.9) and the formula (2.63) become the same as the pure gauge theory case, namely we have

$$4(t^{2} 1)\frac{d^{2}a}{dt^{2}} + a = 0$$
  
$$t^{2} = \frac{1}{8}\frac{(t^{2})^{8}}{(2^{8})} + 1 = \frac{\frac{4}{3}(t^{2}) + \frac{4}{4}(t^{2})}{\frac{4}{2}(t^{2})}:$$

So we can use the result of pure SU (2) gauge theory and we nd

$$a = \frac{1}{12 \frac{2}{2} ( )} (E_2 ( ) + \frac{4}{3} ( ) + \frac{4}{4} ( ))$$
(2.65)

and the genus one am plitude

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} \log(\frac{\frac{4}{3}(0)}{\frac{2}{2}(0)}) : \qquad (2.66)$$

In the case of N<sub>f</sub> = 3, there are two di erent dual expansions: one at u = 0 and one at u =  $\frac{1}{16}$ . Unlike the case in N<sub>f</sub> = 0 and N<sub>f</sub> = 2, the two expansions are not related by a Z<sub>2</sub> sym m etry. The S-duality transform ation transform su = 1 to u =  $\frac{1}{16}$ 

or w = 1, while a T and S duality transform s u = 1 to u = 0 or w = 1. The corresponding actions on a; b and c are

S-duality: b! 
$${}^{2}d;c!$$
  ${}^{2}c;d!$   ${}^{2}b$   
TS-duality: b!  ${}^{2}d;c!$   ${}^{2}b;d!$   ${}^{2}c:$ 

and yield the dual periods as

S-duality: 
$$a_{D_1} = \frac{1}{12 \frac{2}{4}} (E_2 \ b \ c)$$
  
TS-duality:  $a_{D_2} = \frac{1}{12 \frac{2}{4}} (E_2 \ b \ c)$ :

It turns out there are gap structures in the dual series expansions at both u = 0 and  $u = \frac{1}{16}$ , where the rst sub-leading terms of the dual series at u = 0 and  $u = \frac{1}{16}$  go like constant and  $a_D^3$  respectively. We are able to use this structure to x the genus two am plitude

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{b}{810c^2d^2} [50E_2^3 \quad 90E_2^2(b+4d) + 30E_2(2b^2 \quad 4bd + 35d^2) (16b^3 + 51b^2d \quad 1428bd^2 + 443d^3)]:$$
(2.67)

The space-time instanton expansion and the dual expansions are

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{11}{480a^2} + \frac{5}{1024a^4} + \frac{109}{262144a^6} + \frac{83}{4194304a^8} + \frac{13361}{1717869184a^{10}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{12}}); \qquad (2.68)$$

$$F_{D1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{240a_{D1}^2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{271ia_{D1}}{16} - \frac{3811a_{D1}^2}{16} - \frac{50781ia_{D1}^3}{20} + O(a_{D1}^4); \quad (2.69)$$

$$F_{D^{2}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{15a_{D^{2}}^{2}} + \frac{4a_{D^{2}}^{3}}{5} - \frac{75a_{D^{2}}^{4}}{4} + \frac{2155a_{D^{2}}^{5}}{8} + O(a_{D^{2}}^{6}):$$
(2.70)

The vanishing of the subleading coe cients in both of the dual series expansions up order  $a_{D1}^0$  and  $a_{D2}^3$  respectively yield independent conditions. These enable us to precisely to x the unknowns in the ansatz for the holom orphic am biguity, which is increased w r.t. to the N<sub>f</sub> = 0 and N<sub>f</sub> = 2 case, because there is no Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry u ! u in the N<sub>f</sub> = 3 theory.

W e push the analysis to genus three

-- 2

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{2b^{2}}{76545c^{4}d^{4}} \quad 1050E_{2}^{6} \quad 1050E_{2}^{5}(5b+13d) + 210E_{2}^{4}(80b^{2}+233bd+374d^{2})$$

$$70E_{2}^{3}(529b^{3}+1752b^{2}d+1731bd^{2}+3764d^{3}) + 42E_{2}^{2}(1172b^{4}+3985b^{3}d+4563b^{2}d^{2}) \quad 9299bd^{3}+12818d^{4}) \quad 42E_{2}(844b^{5}+3109b^{4}d+4765b^{3}d^{2}+12404b^{2}d^{3}) \quad 63022bd^{4}+9554d^{5}) + (10718b^{6}+44304b^{5}d+81507b^{4}d^{2}+19406b^{3}d^{3}+2674506b^{2}d^{4}) \quad 2382348bd^{5}+117557d^{6}) :$$

(2.71)

The space-time instanton expansion and the two dual expansions are

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{47}{8064a^4} \frac{1}{512a^6} + \frac{769}{534288a^8} \frac{1595}{8388608a^{10}} + \frac{506627}{34359738368a^{12}} + O(\frac{1}{a^{14}}); \qquad (2.72)$$

$$F_{D_{1}}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{1008a_{D_{1}}^{4}} + 10 + \frac{29327ia_{D_{1}}}{32} - \frac{413345a_{D_{1}}^{2}}{16} + 0 (a_{D_{1}}^{3}); \quad (2.73)$$

$$F_{D2}^{(3)} = \frac{4}{63a_{D2}^4} - \frac{90a_{D2}^3}{7} + \frac{4183a_{D2}^4}{8} - \frac{35483a_{D2}^5}{3} + O(a_{D2}^6); \quad (2.74)$$

where the space-time instanton expansion (2.72) again agrees with Nekrasov's calculations (A.192) and makes predictions at higher instanton numbers. Note that the non-zero sub-leading term of the two dual series appear at order  $a_{D1}^0$  and  $a_{D2}^3$ respectively, as the genus two case.

# 3 SU(2) Seiberg-W itten theory with massive hypermultiplets

In this section, we will show that the gravitational couplings  $F^{(g)}$  for the massive Seiberg-W itten theory can be solved as a polynom ials of generators of the relevant m odular functions, whose coe cients are rational functions of the C oulom b m odulus u as well as the mass parameters m<sub>i</sub>. The equation  $J() = R_{N_F}(u;\underline{m})$  still governs the occurrence of the m odular functions and relevant group is again the quotient of PSL (2;Z) by the permutation group acting on the roots  $u(J;\underline{m})$ . M athem atically the mass parameters m<sub>i</sub> are known as isom onodrom is deform ation parameters.

## 3.1 The prepotential $F^{(0)}$

For the massive case the Picard-Fuchs equation is much more complicated than the massless case. There is a standard though tedious way to derive the Picard-Fuchs equations from the Seiberg-W itten curve [37]. The Picard-Fuchs equation for SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with massive hypermultiplets were derived in [38, 39] and for the case of one massive hypermultiplet ( $N_f = 1$ ) it is given by

$$\frac{d^{3}}{du^{3}} + \frac{3_{1} + (4m_{1}^{2} - 3u)\frac{\theta}{\theta}}{1(4m_{1}^{2} - 3u)} \frac{d^{2}}{du^{2}}$$

$$\frac{8[4(2m_{1}^{2} - 3u)(4m_{1}^{2} - 3u) + 3(3 - 3m_{1} - 4u^{2})]d}{1(4m_{1}^{2} - 3u)} = 0 : \quad (3.75)$$

Here m  $_1$  is the m ass of the hyperm ultiplet and  $_1$  is the discrim inant of the Seiberg-W itten curve

$$_{1} = 256u^{3} \quad 256m_{1}^{2}u^{2} \quad 288_{1}^{3}m_{1}u + 256_{1}^{3}m_{1}^{3} + 27_{1}^{6} :$$
 (3.76)

The di erential equation 3.75 has a second singularity at the vanishing locus of

$$_2 = 4m_1^2 \quad 3u:$$
 (3.77)

In order to match with Nekrasov's convention [4], we again set the dynamical scale  $_1 = 2^{\frac{2}{3}}$ .

In the weak coupling region near  $u \mid 1$ , the Picard-Fuchs equation (3.75) has a constant solutions and two other solution corresponding to the periods a and  $a_D$ . It was found in [38]

$$a = {}^{p}\overline{u}(1 \quad \frac{m_{1}}{4u^{2}} + \frac{3}{64u^{3}} \quad \frac{15m_{1}^{2}}{64u^{4}} + \frac{35m_{1}}{256u^{5}} \quad \frac{105(3 + 64m_{1}^{3})}{16384u^{6}} \\ + \frac{3465m_{1}^{2}}{8192u^{7}} + O(\frac{1}{u^{8}});$$

$$a_{D} = 3alog(u) + {}^{p}\overline{u}[ \quad \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{u} \quad (\frac{m_{1}}{2} + \frac{m_{1}^{4}}{6})\frac{1}{u^{2}} + (\frac{3}{32} \quad \frac{m_{1}^{3}}{4} \quad \frac{m_{1}^{6}}{15})\frac{1}{u^{3}} \\ + (\frac{3m_{1}^{2}}{64} \quad \frac{m_{1}^{5}}{8} \quad \frac{m_{1}^{8}}{28})\frac{1}{u^{4}} + O(\frac{1}{u^{5}})]: \qquad (3.78)$$

W e solved the prepotential using  $\frac{@F^{(0)}}{@a} = a_D$  and checked it against N ekrasov's result sum marized in (A.186).

There are relations between the period a, Coulomb modulus u and the gauge coupling , which become useful for solving the model. After a PGL(3;C) transformation, the massive Seiberg-W itten curve can brought into W eierstrass form (2.6). For  $N_f = 1$  one has

$$g_{2}(u;m_{1}) = \frac{4}{3}u^{2} 4m_{1}$$

$$g_{3}(u;m_{1}) = \frac{8}{27}u^{3} + \frac{4}{3}m_{1}u 1:$$
(3.79)

Using the fact that the period equation is solved in terms of modular forms [40] (see [33] for an elementary review), the denition of  $= \frac{1}{2 \text{ i}} \frac{@a_D}{@a}$  and the J function (2.7) one can show that the period a satisfies the equation [41]

$$\frac{du}{da} = \frac{18 \frac{g_3(u;m_1)E_4()}{g_2(u;m_1)E_6()}}{(3.80)}$$

Note that this equation is universal for  $N_f = 0;1;2;3$  in the sense that it depends on the speci cs of the curve only via  $g_2(u;\underline{m})$  and  $g_3(u;\underline{m})$ .

## 3.2 G ravitational couplings

We shall follow the approach in [1] and treat the period a as a st coordinate in the holom orphic limit. The singular locus of the Picard-Fuchs equation (3.75) is at  $_1 = 0$  and  $_2 = 0$ .  $_1 = 0$  is the the conifold divisor, i.e. one hypermultiplet becomes massless for these values of the moduli. A coording to [34] each hypermultiplet plet contributes  $\frac{1}{12}$  to one-loop gravitational function, which yields a logarithm ic running of the coupling of  $R_{+}^{2}$ . This gives rise to an  $\frac{1}{12}\log(_{-1})R_{+}^{2}$  contribution in the one loop e ective action, compare (2.5). On the other hand the conform al locus lies on  $_{2} = 0$ , as discussed further in section 4, and here the function and hence the log( $_{2}$ ) contribution to F  $^{(1)}$  vanishes. This was the ambiguity at genus zero and the holom orphic lim it [11]

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12}\log(1)$$
 (3.81)

agrees with Nekrasov's calculation (A .187) up to an ambiguous constant. The form of (3.81) has been already noted in [42].

U sing (3.80) the genus one gravitational correction F  $^{(1)}$  can be also written as

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12} \log(\frac{g_2^3 (g_2^3 \ 27g_3^2)}{g_3^3} \frac{E_6^3}{E_4^3}) :$$
(3.82)

As discussed in section (2.1) the F  $^{(g)}$  (g 2) of Seiberg-W itten theory are modular invariant with weight zero. As we have seen the covariant derivatives in the recursion lead to an an-holom orphic dependence, which can be completely absorbed into powers of the non-holom orphic E isenstein series  $\hat{E}_2$  ( ). Their modular transformation must be compensated by holom orphic modular forms. We claim that that all an-holom orphic dependence can be absorbed into the weight zero an-holom orphic modular form

X () = 
$$\frac{\hat{E}_{2}()E_{4}()}{E_{6}()}$$
: (3.83)

This can be established by rewriting the holom orphic anom aly equation (g 2) as

$$\frac{@F^{(g)}(X;u)}{@X} = \frac{E_6}{24E_4} \left( \frac{d^2F^{(g-1)}}{da^2} + \frac{X^{-1}}{a} \frac{dF^{(r)}}{da} \frac{dF^{(g-r)}}{da} \right) :$$
(3.84)

U sing the relations of a, u and in (2.7) (3.80), and induction one can easily show the right hand side of the above equation is a polynom ial of X of degree 3g 4 whose coe cients as rational function of u, consistent with the induction. It follows that the higher genus  $F^{(g)}$  (g 2) are polynom ials of X () of degree<sup>10</sup> 3g 3, whose coe cients are rational functions of the m odulus u and m 1.

G iven (3.82) it is easy to integrate (3.84) up to the holom orphic am biguity. E.g. for genus two we nd

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(u)^2} 2880(3u \ 4m_1^2)^2 \frac{g_3 x}{g_2}^3 96(252u^3 \ 648m_1^2u^2 + (352m_1^4 + 54m_1)u + 27(8m_1^3 \ 9)) \frac{g_3 x}{g_2}^2 \frac{64}{3}[324u^4 \ 528m_1^2u^3 + 4m_1(76m_1^3 \ 27)u^2 \\ 36(26m_1^3 + 27)u + 3m_1^2(128m_1^3 + 729)] \frac{g_3 x}{g_2} \ g + f^{(2)};$$

$$(3.85)$$

 $<sup>^{10}\</sup>text{A}\text{s}$  we explained in the massless cases in Sec. 2.1, there is an isomorphism between E $_2$  and  $\hat{E_2}$ . So one treat the above equations as in the holom orphic lim it by replacing  $\hat{E_2}$  with E $_2$ .

where  $f^{\,(2)}$  is the holom orphic ambiguity, which is again a rational function of u and  $m_{\,1}\,.$ 

## 3.3 Fixing the holom orphic ambiguity with dual expansions

In order to x the holomorphic ambiguity, we use the gap structure in the dual expansion at a discriminant point  $u = u_1$ , where  $(u_1) = 0$ . The formulae are essentially the same as the sem i-classical limit u ! 1, and we just need to use the dual coupling and period  $_D$  and  $a_D$  in places of , a. The formulae (2.7), (3.80) become

$$J(_{D}) = \frac{g_{2}(u)^{3}}{g_{2}(u)^{3} 27g_{3}(u)^{2}}$$
(3.86)

$$\frac{du}{da_{D}} = 18 \frac{g_{3}(u)}{g_{2}(u)} \frac{E_{4}(r_{D})}{E_{6}(r_{D})}$$
(3.87)

From the above form ulae we see that around the discrim inant point  $u_1$ , the dual theory is indeed weakly coupled in terms of the dual coupling  $_D$ ! i1, and the asymptotic behavior of the dual period is  $a_D$  ( $u u_1$ ).

We can now replace in the genus two form ula (3.85) with dual coupling  $_D$  , and expand F  $^{(2)D}$  in terms of the dual period  $a_D$ .

The am biguity  $f^{(g)}$  can a priori have poles at the singular points of Picard-Fuchs equation (u) = 0 and \_2 = 0. However \_2 = 0 does not appear as a pole in the holom orphic am biguity. This is because there is a conform alm assless spectrum at that locus in the moduli space, similar to the situation encountered in [36] for the G epner point in the Calabi-Yau moduli space. The singular behavior of  $f^{(g)}$  at the conifolds in plies then that  $f^{(g)} = \frac{Pn(u)}{2g^2}$ , where Pn(u) is a polynom ial in u of degree n. Since  $f^{(g)}$  must be regular at u + 1 and  $_1$   $u^3$  we get that n 6g = 6. In fact it turns out that n = 6g = 7. Using the 2g = 1 rst coe cients in (2.27) at the three inequivalent conifold points, we see that the equations following from the gap condition overdeterm ine the coe cients of  $p_n(u)$ . This is the holom orphic am biguity for all g. E.g. for genus two we get

$$f^{(2)} = \frac{128}{405 (u)^2} [ 684u^5 + 3192m_1^2u^4 2m_1(656m_1^3 + 4293)u^3 + 378(8m_1^3 + 45)u^2 54m_1^2(80m_1^3 + 183)u + 27(664m_1^3 729)]:$$

(3.88)

Unlike the massless case, here the constant term in the dual expansion does not vanish. Although it is too complicated to write down the constant term, we have checked it indeed vanishes in the massless  $\lim it m_1 = 0$ .

The holom orphic ambiguity (3.88) together with (3.85) gives the exact form ula for genus two F<sup>(2)</sup> in the massive  $N_f = 1$  Seiberg-W itten theory. We have checked the agreem ent with Nekrasov's instanton calculation in sem i-classical limit. We can

furtherm ore obtain predictions for higher instanton results at genus two. For example, the 6-instanton and 7-instanton results are

$$F_{6 \text{ instanton}}^{(2)} = \frac{1497720a^{6} 39720651a^{4}m_{1}^{2} + 131881442a^{2}m_{1}^{4} 96877135m_{1}^{6}}{8388608a^{26}}$$

$$F_{7 \text{ instanton}}^{(2)} = \frac{3(6542298a^{6}m_{1} 73190615a^{4}m_{1}^{3} + 181612908a^{2}m_{1}^{5} 117503791m_{1}^{7})}{8388608a^{30}}$$

## 3.4 Comments on the $N_f = 2$ ; 3 cases and integrability

We can transform the Seiberg-W itten curve for N f = 2;3 with generic hypermultiplet m assess into W eierstrass form. The conifold divisor =  $g_2^3$  27 $g_3^2$  = 0 for the N<sub>f</sub> = 2;3 cases are (here we use the convention for the QCD scale  $N_{f=2} = 2$ ,  $N_{f=3} = 4$ )

$$N_{f}=2 = 16u^{4} - 16(m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2})u^{3} + (16m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2} - 80m_{1}m_{2} - 8)u^{2} + [36(m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2}) + 72m_{1}m_{2}(m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2})]u + 1 - 12m_{1}m_{2} - 6m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2} - 64m_{1}^{3}m_{2}^{3} - 27(m_{1}^{4} + m_{2}^{4}); N_{f}=3 = -16u^{5} + (1 + 16m_{1}^{2} + 16m_{2}^{2} + 16m_{3}^{2})u^{4} + [8(m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + m_{3}^{2}) - 16(m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2}m_{3}^{2} + m_{2}^{2}m_{3}^{2}) + 88m_{1}m_{2}m_{3})u^{3} + f_{2}(m_{1};m_{2};m_{3})u^{2} + f_{1}(m_{1};m_{2};m_{3})u + + f_{0}(m_{1};m_{2};m_{3}); (3.89)$$

where  $f_2$ ;  $f_1$ ;  $f_0$  are some symmetric polynomial of  $m_1$ ;  $m_2$ ;  $m_3$ .

The number of conifold point is n = 3;4;5 for  $N_f = 1;2;3$  and these points are distinct for generic hypermultiplet masses. The ambiguity at genus g is a rational function whose denom inator is  $2^{g-2}$ , while regularity at u = 1 constrains the number of unknown constants in the holom orphic ambiguity to be n(2g-2). The gap boundary conditions at each of the n distinct conifold singularities provide 2g-2 conditions. This is exactly enough information to x the holom orphic ambiguity.

W e have also checked the genus one form ula

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{da}{du}) - \frac{1}{12}\log(0)$$
 (3.90)

agrees with Nekrasov's instanton counting form ulae for N  $_{\rm f}~=~2$  ;3 cases for generic masses when we expand it at u = 1  $\,$  .

# 4 SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory at superconform al points

One of the most interesting aspect of the Seiberg-W itten solution of N = 2 gauge theories is that it allows to study regions in the parameter spaces where previously unknown types of four dimensional interacting eld theories have been discovered. Of particular interest are the points where several dyons become massless, which have

electric as well as magnetic charges. It is well known that no e ective action with only local interactions can be written down in that case and one says the massless dyons are mutually non-local. Geometrically the situation is characterized by the fact that pairs of cycles which mutually non-vanishing intersection numbers vanish. It also implies that the divisors, where mutually non-local dyons vanish, intersect in the moduli space.

In the previous Section 2 we studied the case where the bare m asses of hyperm ultiplets are zero. It this case the extra m assless particles at the colliding singularities are m utually local. In [22], some special cases of hypermultiplet m asses are studied where two m utually non-local singular points in the u plane collide. In the case there is a non-trivial interacting superconform all eld theory at the colliding singularity in the u plane. Besides the known  $N_f = 4$  superconform all eld theory, three new N = 2 superconform all eld theories were found [22] from SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with  $N_f = 1;2;3$  avors, and are denoted as ( $N_f;1$ ) superconform all eld theory respectively.

A technically interesting aspect is that the equation (2.7), which is for general m asses, com pare (3.79), not easily solvable for u(), becomes simple and solvable at the conform algorithms, which allows below to not explicit form ulas for the amplitudes in terms of m odular forms.

4.1 N<sub>f</sub> = 1, m<sub>1</sub> = 
$$\frac{3_1}{4}$$

We follow our previous notation  $_1 = 2^{\frac{2}{3}}$ . In the special case of the mass of the avor  $m_1 = \frac{3}{4}$ , there is a non-trivial (1;1) superconform all eld theory at  $u = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}}$  where two mutually non-local massless dyons collide, and there is another dyon singularity at  $u = \frac{15}{4 \frac{2}{2}}$ . The (1;1) superconform all eld theory at  $u = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}}$  is equivalent to the Argyres-D ouglas point originally found in pure SU (3) Seiberg-W itten theory in [43]. The discriminant is

$$\left(u \quad \frac{3}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)^{2} \left(u + \frac{15}{4 \quad \frac{2}{2}}\right)$$
: (4.91)

Eq. (2.7) sim pli es to

$$\frac{4(u+1)^{3}(u-1)}{4u+5} = \frac{E_{4}^{3}}{E_{6}^{2} - E_{4}^{3}}; \qquad (4.92)$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}u}{3}$ . The equation for u has 4 solutions and 3 of them have the correct asymptotic behavior u ! 1 in the weak coupling limit ! i1 . These 3 solutions are related by a Z<sub>3</sub> transform ation and we just need to consider one solution

$$u = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{5}{3}}} \qquad 1 + \frac{p}{x} + \qquad 3 \qquad x + \frac{2E_{6}^{2}}{(E_{6}^{2} - E_{4}^{3})^{p} - \overline{x}}$$
(4.93)

with  $x = 1 + \frac{E_4^2}{(E_6^2 - E_4^3)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \frac{E_4}{(E_6^2 - E_4^3)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ . The form ula (3.80) becomes

$$\frac{da}{du} = \frac{2^{\frac{4}{3}}(u+1)}{6(2u^2+2u-1)} \frac{E_6}{E_4}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4.94)

and the genus one am plitude becom es

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{da}{du}) - \frac{1}{12}\log(0)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{12}\log(\frac{(4\omega + 5)(\omega + 1)^3(\omega - 1)^2}{(2\omega^2 + 2\omega - 1)^3} - \frac{E_6^3}{E_4^3});$  (4.95)

which can be written entirely in terms of using (4.93).

We study the gravitational couplings of the theory at the superconform all point  $u = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}}$  in more details. The Picard-Fuchs equation has a constant solution around this point and two power series solutions. The two power series serve as the at coordinate a and the derivative of prepotential  $\frac{@F^{(0)}}{@a}$  around this point. Denoting  $z = u \quad u_1 ! \quad 0$ , we not the solutions are

$$a = z^{\frac{5}{6}} (1 - \frac{10}{891} 2^{\frac{2}{3}} z + \frac{128}{111537} 2^{\frac{1}{3}} z^2 - \frac{6272}{36669429} z^3 + O(z^4));$$
  

$$\frac{@F^{(0)}}{@a} = z^{\frac{7}{6}} (1 - \frac{28}{1053} 2^{\frac{2}{3}} z + \frac{400}{124659} 2^{\frac{1}{3}} z^2 - \frac{4096}{7971615} z^3 + O(z^4)): (4.96)$$

The scaling behavior of the period of the Picard-Fuchs equation as a  $z^{\frac{2}{5}}$  agrees with the analysis presented in [22]. We can invert the series and solve the prepotential in terms of the at coordinate

$$F^{(0)} = a^{\frac{12}{5}} - \frac{28}{3861} 2^{\frac{2}{3}} a^{\frac{18}{5}} + \frac{63872}{142457535} 2^{\frac{1}{3}} a^{\frac{18}{5}} - \frac{11006912}{256086163575} a^{6} + O(a^{\frac{36}{5}}) : (4.97)$$

Here we have not x the norm alization for prepotential and the at coordinate a. As usual the prepotential is determined up to a quadratic polynomial of a due to the ambiguity in choosing the basis of Picard-Fuchs equation.

For the genus one free energy, we nd

$$\mathbf{F}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{10} \log(\mathbf{a}) \quad \frac{1}{891} 2^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\frac{6}{5}} + \frac{5732}{22493295} 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\frac{12}{5}} + O(\mathbf{a}^{\frac{18}{5}}) :$$
(4.98)

For genus two free energy, we can use the result we derive for generic mass parameter  $m_1$ , and specialize to the superconform al point. Using form ulae (3.86) we can derive the expression for the Eisenstein series

$$E_{4}() = 12q_{2}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{4}; \quad E_{6}() = 216q_{3}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{6};$$

$$X() = \frac{E_{2}()E_{4}()}{E_{6}()} = \frac{2E_{4}()E_{6}()}{2E_{4}()E_{6}()} = \frac{2E_{4}()E_{6}()}{2E_{4}()E_{6}()} = \frac{2E_{6}()^{2}}{2E_{4}()E_{6}()} = \frac{2E_{6}()^{2}}{2E_{6}()} = \frac$$

We nd that the leading singularity at genus two is  $F^{(2)} = O(\frac{1}{z})$ , even though for a generic holom orphic ambiguity  $f^{(2)}$  one has a leading singular behavior of  $f^{(2)} = O(\frac{1}{z^4})$ . The expansion in at coordinate is

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{133}{38880a_{5}^{6}} + \frac{19}{360855} \frac{1}{2} \frac{79793}{1656324450} \frac{a^{\frac{2}{5}}}{2^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \frac{4310932}{332775068175} a^{\frac{12}{5}} + O(a^{\frac{18}{5}}) (4.100)$$

This kind of singularity behavior is very similar to the situation at the orbifold singularity in compact Calabi-Yau spaces encountered in [36], where the F<sup>(g)</sup> turns out to be less singular than naively expected. Here the massless particles scale as the period  $z^{\frac{5}{6}}$  in the limit z ! 0 and we know F<sup>(g)</sup> should be no more singular than  $z^{2g}$  from the usual G opakum ar-Vafa argum ent of integrating out charged particles in the graviphoton background. This explains the leading singularity in the expression of F<sup>(2)</sup> above.

4.2 N<sub>f</sub> = 2, m<sub>1</sub> = m<sub>2</sub> =  $\frac{2}{2}$ 

We follow our previous notation  $_2 = 2$ . There is a (2;1) superconformal eld theory at  $u = \frac{3}{2}$  where a double singularity collides with a mutually non-local dyon singularity, and there is another dyon singularity at  $u = -\frac{5}{2}$ . The discriminant is

$$(u \quad \frac{3}{2})^3 (u + \frac{5}{2})$$
: (4.101)

W e solve a cubic equation for u, and there are 2 solutions with the correct asym ptotic behavior in weak coupling lim it. As before they are related by a  $Z_2$  sym m etry. W e consider one solution

$$u = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3e^{-\frac{1}{6}i}E_{4}(E_{6} + i(E_{4}^{3} - E_{6}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2(E_{4}^{3} - E_{6}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{3e^{\frac{1}{6}i}E_{4}(E_{6} - i(E_{4}^{3} - E_{6}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2(E_{4}^{3} - E_{6}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} :$$
(4.102)

From (3.80) one gets

$$\frac{da}{du} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2u+3)}{(2u-3)(u+3)} \frac{E_6()}{E_4()}^{\frac{1}{2}}; \qquad (4.103)$$

which allows to write the genus one am plitude as

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12}\log(1)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{12}\log(\frac{(2u+3)^3(2u+5)}{(u+3)^3}\frac{E_6^3}{E_4^3})$ : (4.104)

One can use the expression of u in equation (4.102) to obtain a form ula for genus one amplitude F  $^{(1)}$  purely in terms of gauge coupling  $\cdot$ .

There are two other identical (2;1) superconform all eld theories at  $m_1 = m_2 = i\frac{2}{2}$ . These are the same theories as the ones at  $m_1 = m_2 = -\frac{2}{2}$ , to which they are related by the transformation u! u.

As in the N<sub>f</sub> = 1 case, we can solve the at coordinate a and express the prepotential F<sup>(0)</sup> and genus one free energy F<sup>(1)</sup> in terms of it. The best way to solve the at coordinate is to use the Picard-Fuchs equation, since at the superconform al point it is not convenient to do perturbative expansion the Eisenstein series. The Picard-Fuchs equation for the massive N<sub>f</sub> = 2;3 Seiberg-W itten theory were found in [39]. We specialize to the case of mass m<sub>1</sub> = m<sub>2</sub> =  $\frac{2}{2}$ . Denote z = u  $\frac{3}{2}$  we found the solutions of Picard-Fuchs equation around z = 0 as the followings

$$a = z^{\frac{3}{4}} (1 \quad \frac{3}{224}z + \frac{25}{22528}z^2 \quad \frac{9}{65536}z^3 + O(z^4));$$
  
$$\frac{@F^{(0)}}{@a} = z^{\frac{5}{4}} (1 \quad \frac{5}{96}z + \frac{147}{26624}z^2 \quad \frac{847}{1114112}z^3 + O(z^4)): \quad (4.105)$$

The genus zero and one free energy up to a constant are

$$F^{(0)} = a^{\frac{8}{3}} \frac{5}{252}a^{4} + \frac{3197}{2690688}a^{\frac{16}{3}} \frac{6883}{65680384}a^{\frac{20}{3}} + O(a^{8})$$
  

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}\log(a) \frac{5}{672}a^{\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1409}{1655808}a^{\frac{8}{3}} \frac{11873}{92725248}a^{4} + O(a^{\frac{16}{3}}): (4.106)$$

4.3 N<sub>f</sub> = 3, m<sub>1</sub> = m<sub>2</sub> = m<sub>3</sub> = 
$$\frac{3}{8}$$

We follow our previous notation  $_3 = 4$ . In this case there is a (3;1) superconform all eld theory at  $u = \frac{1}{2}$  where a triple singularity collides with a dyon singularity, and there is also a dyon point at  $u = \frac{19}{16}$ . The discrim inant is

$$(u = \frac{1}{2})^4 (u + \frac{19}{16})$$
: (4.107)

O ne can solve an algebraic equation and obtain an expression of u in terms of gauge coupling . Here we will use the norm alization  $=\frac{i}{2}\frac{e^2 F^{(0)}}{e^2}$ , which is one half of the T-dual of the gauge coupling we use in N<sub>f</sub> = 3 m assless case previously in Section 2. There are two branches of solutions [41], and we take the branch where in the weak coupling limit ! if , the modulus goes like u ! 1. The expression for u is [41]

$$u = \frac{27E_{6}E_{4}^{\frac{3}{2}} + 23E_{4}^{3} + 4E_{6}^{2}}{8(E_{6}^{2} - E_{4}^{3})}$$
(4.108)

and there are also form u lae for the derivative of period a

$$\frac{da}{du} = \left(\frac{2}{27}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{E_6 - E_4^{\frac{3}{2}}}{E_4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\frac{da}{d} = \left(\frac{27}{128}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{E_6 + E_4^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(E_6 - E_4^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}} :$$

W e have not found an explicit formula for period a(). However, to write an exact formula for the topological string amplitude of the F<sup>(g)</sup> in terms of modular forms, we only need the derivative of period a. The genus one amplitude is

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{da}{du}) + \frac{1}{12} \log(1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{12} \log(\frac{E_4^3 (E_6 + E_4^{\frac{3}{2}})}{(E_6 - E_4^{\frac{3}{2}})^2})$$
(4.109)

where as usual we are not careful about an ambiguous additive constant in F  $^{(1)}$ .

As in the N<sub>f</sub> = 1;2 case, we can solve the at coordinate a and express the prepotential F<sup>(0)</sup> and genus one free energy F<sup>(1)</sup> in term s of it. Denote  $z = u = \frac{1}{2}$ , we found the solutions of Picard-Fuchs equation around z = 0 as the followings

$$a = z^{\frac{2}{3}} (1 - \frac{8}{405}z + \frac{49}{13122}z^2 - \frac{18928}{17537553}z^3 + O(z^4));$$
  

$$\frac{@F^{(0)}}{@a} = z^{\frac{4}{3}} (1 - \frac{80}{567}z + \frac{242}{6561}z^2 - \frac{254320}{20726199}z^3 + O(z^4)): (4.110)$$

The genus zero and one free energy up to a constant are

$$F^{(0)} = a^{3} \frac{64}{945}a^{\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{401}{36450}a^{6} \frac{885232}{351833625}a^{\frac{15}{2}} + O(a^{9})$$
  

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}\log(a) \frac{4}{135}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3403}{437400}a^{3} \frac{225869}{81192375}a^{\frac{9}{2}} + O(a^{6}): (4.111)$$

# 5 The non-com pact lim it of Calabi-Yau com pacti cations

A good way to solve the holom orphic anom aly equations for the R iem ann surface is in term s of rings of an-holom orphic m odular form s, as we have seen in sections 2 and 3.

However even without knowing anything about the structure of the modular forms w.r.t. the modular group of the family of curves, one can derive the necessary almost holom orphic objects directly in term softhe periods, which are solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equations. This has been done for compact Calabi-Yau spaces using special K ahler geometry [21] and the anholom orphic objects are the BCOV propagators. The derivatives that appear in the holom orphic anomaly equation close within a nitely generated polynomial ring of almost holom orphic modular functions and the F<sup>(g)</sup> are them selves such polynomials [25]. The ring structure has been further analyzed in [26, 27, 28].

As explained in [9, 6] extracting 4d N = 2 non-perturbative gauge theory from type II string theory compacti ed on a Calabi-Yau space W can be done geom etrically

by taking a limit in the geom etrical param eter of the Calabi-Yau space in which part of the geom etry decom pacti es. Since we are dealing with the vector moduli space the lim it is taken in the A-m odel in the complexied Kahler space and in the B-m odel on the mirror manifold the limit is is taken in the complex structure space. For Calabi-Yau manifolds W embedded in toric ambient spaces a wide class of limiting con gurations in the B-m odel has be described as the 3-d total space of a conic C branched at a Riemann surface  $C_{q}$  2 C bundle over C C [6]. For the relevant geom etries the com pacti cation of  ${\rm C}_{\rm q}$  is then the Seiberg-W itten curve  ${\rm C}_{\rm g}$  . A general feature of the lim it is that the periods of the (3;0)-form over 3-cycles in W, which stay nite become the periods of a merom orphic form over 1-cycles on  $C_q$  [9]. The form on  $C_q$  can be obtained by integrating over the non-compact directions in the limiting con guration of W [10].

The non-compact lim it relates the Calabi-Yau rings of [21, 25, 26, 27, 28] to the classical rings of alm ost holom orphic modular forms of subgroups of SL (2;Z) for SU (2) gauge groups [7] or SP (2g;Z) for SU (g + 1;Z) gauge groups<sup>11</sup> [1, 3] and it must be possible to write the generators of the an-holom orphic rings that are needed to solve the holom orphic anom aly equation for gauge theories in terms of solutions of the P icard-Fuchs equations. For exam ple for SU (3) in terms of the solutions for the A ppel di erential system [37]. Below we discuss the properties of the lim it and how the ring structure behaves in the non-com pact lim it, extending the work of [19, 45].

## 5.1 Special geom etry and rigid special geom etry

The origin of the an-holom orphicity comes from the metric on the moduli space of the N = 2 vector multiplets, which determ ines their kinetic term in the elective action. The latter is an N = 2 supergravity action for the compact case and an N = 2 super symmetric (gauge theory) action without gravity in the non-compact case. The vector multiplet moduli spaces are identified with the complex structure moduli space M of the Calabi-Yau W and the Riem ann-surface C<sub>g</sub> respectively. The metrics are the W eil-Petersen metrics on these geometric moduli spaces. In both cases they derive from a real Kahler potential K as G<sub>il</sub> =  $@_i@_lK$ , but there is additional structure. For the Calabi-Yau case this is usually formulated as special Kahler geometry in the small phase space, i.e. in the inhom ogeneous coordinates discussed below, and for the Riem ann-surface the structure is always rigid special geometry. However in the hom ogeneous coordinates, also called the big moduli space, the N = 2 supergravity action for the compact case can also be formulated in terms of rigid special geometry, which sim pli es the lim it to the local case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Seiberg-W itten curves are by now known for any gauge group. In general they are special fam ilies of com plex curves, whose deform ation parameters correspond to vev of elds in the Cartan subalgebra of the group, see [44] for a review.

The splitting of the middle de R ham cohom ology of the com pact C alabi-Y au W

$$H^{3}(W;Z) = H^{3,0} H^{2,1} H^{1,2} H^{0,3}$$

$$i \{ ; i \} \{ = 1; :::; h^{21} \}$$
(5.112)

the X<sup>I</sup> become hom ogeneous coordinates of the moduli space of complex structures. The dual periods  $F_I = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ B_I \end{bmatrix}$  and the  $\begin{bmatrix} I \\ I \end{bmatrix}$ ; Ii are not independent but related to X<sup>I</sup> by special geometry. It is convenient to de ne  $Y_L^{I} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X \end{bmatrix}$ , I = 0;:::; $h_{21}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_I = \mathfrak{G}_{Y_{IR}}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{G}_{I_1}$ ;:::; $\mathfrak{G}_{I_k} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ B \end{bmatrix} \mathfrak{G}_{I_k} = 0$ ; H<sup>3</sup>  $I_{I}^{I_1}$ . Transversality, i.e. ha; bi  $\coloneqq M$  a h = 0 unless both Hodge indices (p;q) of a and b add up to 3, means that h;  $\mathfrak{G}_I$  i = h;  $\mathfrak{G}_I \mathfrak{G}_J$  i = 0 and that in plies the existence of a holom orphic prepotential  $F^{(0)}(\underline{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2Y \\ B \end{bmatrix} F_I$ . The latter is a hom ogeneous function of degree two in  $Y^I$ , such that  $F_I = \frac{\mathfrak{G}F^{(0)}}{\mathfrak{G}Y^I}$ . In the big moduli space, parametrized by the hom ogeneous coordinates  $Y^I$ , one de nes a K ahler potential

$$K = \frac{1}{2} (Y^{K} F_{K} Y^{K} F_{K}); \quad G_{IJ} = Q_{I} Q_{J} K = Im_{IJ}; \quad (5.114)$$

a sym m etric weight zero tensor  $_{IJ} = \frac{\ell^2 F}{\ell^2 r_1 \ell^2 Y_J}$  and a sym m etric weight 1 triple coupling  $C_{IJK} = \ell_I \ell_J \ell_K F = h$ ;  $\ell_I \ell_J \ell_K$  i. The m etric  $G_{IJ}$  has signature (1;h<sub>21</sub>). The connection is  $_{IJ}^{K} = G^{K L} \ell_J G_{IL} = \frac{i}{2} C_{JK}^{I}$  and one has the so called special geom etry relation

$$[D_{I}; D_{J}]_{K}^{L} = Q_{I}]_{JK}^{L} = \frac{1}{4} C_{JKP} C_{I}^{PL}; \qquad (5.115)$$

which can be viewed as integrability condition for the existence of the holom orphic prepotential F, such that  $C_{IJK}$  and  $G_{IJ}$  can be obtained from it by dimension. It was shown in [26] that the holom orphic anomaly equation of [21] reads in the big moduli space

$$\mathcal{Q}_{I}F^{(g)} = \frac{i}{8}C_{I}^{JK} \quad D_{J}\mathcal{Q}_{K}F^{(g-1)} + \frac{X^{1}}{h=1}\mathcal{Q}_{J}F^{(h)}\mathcal{Q}_{K}F^{(g-h)} :$$
(5.116)

Since D  $_{\rm I}C_{\rm JK\ L}$  = D  $_{\rm J}C_{\rm IJJ}$  and D  $_{\rm K}G_{\rm IL}$  = 0 one can integrate

$$\theta_{\rm K} \, {\rm S}^{\,\rm IJ} = \frac{{\rm i}}{4} C_{\rm K}^{\,\rm IJ} 
 \tag{5.117}$$

The S<sup>IJ</sup> are an-holom orphic tensors, called the propagators<sup>12</sup>, they play a similar rôle that  $\hat{E}_2$  plays for the elliptic curve. From (5.115) one can solve

$$S^{KL} = i(C_{I}^{1})^{KP} (_{I})^{L}_{P} + H^{KL}$$
: (5.118)

Here I is not sum m ed over and H<sup>KL</sup> is a holom orphic am biguity. The latter m ust be chosen so that S<sup>KL</sup> is a tensor. The precise choice a ects the form of the total am biguity f<sup>(g)</sup>, but is otherw ise arbitrary. One convenient choice is to require that  $\theta_{I}F^{(1)} = \frac{i}{8}C_{IKL}S^{KL}$ .

The idea of direct integration is based on the fact that all anholom orphic dependence of the modular invariant scalar F<sup>(g)</sup> is in the S<sup>IJ</sup>. Therefore by (5.117)  $\theta_{\rm I}F^{(g)} = \frac{i}{4}C_{\rm I}^{\rm JK} \frac{\theta F}{\theta S^{\rm JK}}$  and  $C_{\rm I}^{\rm JK}$  cancels from equation (5.116), which can then be directly integrated w.r.t. to S<sup>JK</sup> up to holom orphic terms f<sup>(g)</sup>, which must also be modular invariant. To proceed in the iteration in g one must show that the anholom orphic terms s. By considering  $\theta_{\rm I}D_{\rm I}S^{\rm JK}$ , using (5.115) and integrating w.r.t Y<sup>I</sup> one gets

$$D_{I}S^{JK} = C_{IM N}S^{NK}S^{MJ} + H_{I}^{JK}$$
: (5.119)

Let us now come to special geometry in the small phase space, whose coordinates are the inhom ogeneous variables  $t^i = \frac{X^i}{X^0}$ , i = 1;:::; $h_{21}$ . The Kahler potential K in the small phase space is given by<sup>13</sup>

$$e^{K} = i_{W} ^{(0)} = i(X^{I}F_{I} X^{I}F_{I}) = i(t^{i} t^{i})(\theta_{i}F^{(0)} + \theta_{i}\overline{F}^{(0)}) 2i(F^{(0)} \overline{F}^{(0)}) :$$
(5.120)

Here we de ne  $(X^{0})^{2}F^{(0)}(\underline{t}) = F^{(0)}(\underline{X})$  using the degree 2 hom ogeneity of  $F^{(0)}$  and the third equality holds up to a K ahler transform ation.

The connection  $I_{K}$  splits into a metric connection, w.r.t.  $G_{i|} = Q_i Q_i K$ , and a Kahler connection. The covariant derivative becomes  $D_i = Q_j$  i kK i for objects in  $L^k$  T M, with an analogous de nition for  $D_i$ . Holomorphic sections A (t) of  $L^k$  transform like A (t) ! A (t) e  ${}^{kh(t)}$  under Kahler transform ations K (t;t) ! K (t;t) + h(t) + h(t). In particular the holomorphic (3;0) form 2 L and F  ${}^{(g)} 2 L^2 {}^{2g}$ . The covariant derivative eliminates the (3;0) part in the derivative of and hence  $i = D_i$  ( $i = D_i$ ). Applying this under the integral yields  $I_i = D_i X^I$  and  $I_i = D_i F_I$ , which serve as projectors from the big to the small phase space. In particular the triple coupling in inhomogeneous variables  $C_{ijk} 2 L^2$  Sym  ${}^3T$  M are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Indeed the F<sup>(g)</sup> can be reconstructed with the propagators and vertices  $\mathcal{Q}_{I_1}$ ;::; $\mathcal{Q}_{I_n}$  F<sup>(h<g)</sup> by Feynm an rules of an auxiliary eld theory [21] for the sm all phase space. For the form alism in the big phase space see [26].

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>W$  e follow the conventions of [26].

It follows that  $C_{ijk} = D_i D_j D_k F^{(0)}(\underline{t})$ . Using  $h_i$ ;  $\{i = e^{K} G_{i}\}$  from (5.120) and transversality one gets with the de nition (5.121)

$$D_{i}X^{I} = : I_{i}; \quad D_{i} J_{j} = iC_{ijk}G^{kk} I_{k} e^{k}; \quad D_{i} I_{k} = G_{ik}X^{I}:$$
 (5.122)

W ith  $[D_i; D_i]_k = G_{i\{k} + R_{i\{k}^{-1}]$  one arrives at the special Kahler relation in inhom ogeneous coordinates

$$[D_{i}; D_{i}]_{j}^{k} = R_{i \neq j}^{k} = 0_{i}^{k} = i_{j}^{k} G_{j} + i_{j}^{k} G_{i} C_{ij} C_{i}^{k1} : (5.123)$$

The projection of the S  $^{\rm IJ}\,$  is straightforward

$$S^{IJ} = (X^{I} \stackrel{I}{i}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} S \quad S^{i} \quad X^{J} \\ S^{i} \quad S^{ij} \qquad \begin{array}{c} J \\ j \end{array} \qquad (5.124)$$

Here the relations D {C  $_{|k1}$  = D  $_{|C} _{(k1)}$  are integrated to C  $_{(k1)}^{jk}$  =  $(C_{|k1} S^{jk}, G_{|k1} S^{kj}) = (C_{|k1} S^{jk}, G_{|k1} S^{kj})$  and G  $_{(j}S^{j} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{|k1} S^{jk}, S^{kj})$ , also called the propagators, allow to solve the anomaly equation, by partial integration, see for details [21], up to an holom orphic ambiguity. One can project the propagators from the big phase space or rederive them from the projected special K ahler relation (5.123). E.g.  $S^{ij}$  is solved from (5.123)

$$_{ij}^{k} = _{i}^{k} \Theta_{j} K + _{j}^{k} \Theta_{i} K \quad C_{ijl} S^{kl} + K_{ij}^{k} :$$
 (5.125)

The analogs of the statem ent about the closing of the propagators (5.119) under D  $_{\rm i}$  are [25, 27]

$$D_{i}S^{kl} = {}^{k}_{i}S^{l} + {}^{l}_{i}S^{k} C_{inm} S^{km} S^{ln} + h^{kl}_{i};$$

$$D_{i}S^{j} = 2 {}^{j}_{i} C_{ikl}S^{kl} + h^{jk}_{i}K_{k} + h^{j}_{i};$$

$$D_{i}S = C_{ikl}S^{k}S^{l} + {}^{l}_{2}h^{kl}_{i}K_{k}K_{l} + h^{l}_{i}K_{l} + h_{i};$$

$$D_{i}K_{j} = K_{i}K_{j} C_{ijk}S^{k} + C_{ijk}S^{kl}K_{l} + h_{ij};$$
(5.126)

and are derived from special geometry similarly as (5.119). E.g. from  $Q_k(D_iS^{kl}) = Q_k(\sum_{i=1}^{k}S^{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{l}S^{k} + C_{inm}S^{km}S^{ln})$  follows the rst of the closing relations (5.126), etc.

One nds from the properties under Kahler transformations [21, 25, 27] that  $S^{ij} = S^{ij}; S^{j} = S^{i} \quad S^{ij}K_{j}$  and  $S = S \quad S^{i}K_{i} + \frac{1}{2}S^{ij}K_{i}K_{i}$  are a complete set of an-holom orphic generators of a polynom ial ring that contains the F<sup>(g)</sup> as polynom ials with holom orphic coe cients. Indeed one can write the holom orphic anomaly equation as

$$\frac{\partial F^{(g)}}{\partial S^{ij}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad D_{i}D_{j}F^{(g-1)} + \sum_{h=1}^{X^{-1}} D_{i}F^{(g-h)}D_{j}F^{(h)}$$
(5.127)

and integrate if up to holom orphic term s as a polynom ial. Note that the derivatives of F<sup>(g)</sup> w r.t. S<sup>j</sup>;S and K<sub>i</sub>, which naively occur at the left hand side, cancel. This cancellation is equivalent to the statem ent that the dependence of F<sup>(g)</sup> is through the combinations S<sup>ij</sup>;S<sup>j</sup> and S.

## 5.2 The non-com pact lim it

Non-com pact Calabi-Yau are m irror to

$$uv = H(x; y; z);$$
 (5.128)

where  $u_iv \ge Z_i x_iy \ge C_i$  and  $\underline{z}$  are moduli of the geometry. The geometry is that of conic bundle, which branches over the locus

$$H(x;y;\underline{z}) = 0;$$
 (5.129)

which is a fam ily R iem ann surfaces  $C_g$  of genus g. Let  $= \log(x) \frac{dy}{y}$  be m erom orphic di erential and  $(a^i;b_i)$  a symplectic basis of H<sup>1</sup> ( $_g;Z$ ) then the rigid e ective action has a K ahler potential<sup>14</sup>

$$K = \frac{i}{2} (t^{i}F_{i} t^{f}F_{i}); \qquad (5.130)$$

where  $t^{i} = \frac{R}{a^{i}}$  and  $F_{i} = \frac{R}{b_{i}}$ . Note that the form of K is like in (5.114), but the  $t^{i}$  are directly appropriate at local coordinates. The metric reads

$$G_{i|} = Q_i Q_j K = \frac{1}{2i} (i_j \{i, j\});$$
 (5.131)

where  $ij = \frac{e^2 F}{e^{t^2}e^{t^j}}$ .

In the local case one has the following simplications. The Kahler connection in  $D_i$  becomes trivial, and the  $S^1$  as well as the S (see [46]) vanish, i.e. the rst equation in (5.126) and the equation (5.119) become equivalent and read

$$D_{i}S^{kl} = C_{inm}S^{km}S^{ln} + f_{i}^{kl}$$
 (5.132)

The S<sup>ij</sup> are the generators of the ring of anholom orphic objects Since the K ahler connection  $l_iK$  in (5.125) drops out, so the S<sup>ji</sup> are solved from

$$_{ij}^{k} = C_{ijl}S^{kl} + f_{ij}^{k}$$
 (5.133)

as

$$S^{ij} = (C_p)^{il} (p_p)^{j} + (\tilde{f_p})^{j} ; 8 p = 1; ::; r : (5.134)$$

Here r is the number of K ahler parameter in the mirror to 5.128. It has been pointed out e.g. in [19] that there are in general algebraic relations between the S<sup>ij</sup>. If C<sub>f</sub> has genus g = 1 there will be only one independent S<sup>ij</sup>, for g = 2 there should be 3 independent S<sup>ij</sup>. Again p is not sum m ed over in (5.134) and this over determ ined system requires a suitable choice of the ambiguity  $f_{ij}^{k}$ . This choice is sim plied by the fact [47] that  $d_iF_1$  can be expressed through the propagator as

$$\varrho_{i}F_{1} = \frac{1}{2}C_{ijk}S^{jk} + A_{i};$$
(5.135)

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>W$  e use here conventions, which di er by a factor imultiplying the prepotential from the ones used in (2.15) and call the at coordinates  $t^i;F_i$  instead of  $a^i;a_{D_i}$ .

with an ambiguity  $A_i$ , which can be determined by the ansatz  $A_i = d_i(a \log + b_j \log z_j)$ . Moreover the universal behavior of  $F_1$  near the conifold locus [35] in plies  $a = -\frac{1}{12}$ .

### 5.3 M onodrom y action

The monodrom y acts for the compact Calabi-Yau manifold W as a subgroup of SP ( $h_3(W)$ ;Z) on the CY periods ( $F_I = @_IF$ ;X<sup>I</sup>)<sup>T</sup>, i.e. as

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{I}} &=& \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{J}} & \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{IJ}} & \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{J}} \\
\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{I}} &=& \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{IJ}} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{I}} & \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{J}} \\
\end{array}$$
(5.136)

with all entries of A  $_{\rm I}{}^{\rm J}$  ;B  $_{\rm IJ}$  ;C  $^{\rm IJ}$  and D  $^{\rm I}{}_{\rm J}$  integers and

	!		!		!				1
A <sup>s</sup> <sub>k</sub>	(C <sup>T</sup> ) <sup>SK</sup> .	0	к . I	A <sub>I</sub> <sup>P</sup>	B <sub>IP</sub>	_	0	S P	•
(B $^{\mathrm{T}}$ ) <sub>SK</sub>	D <sub>T</sub> <sup>K</sup>	ĸ	0	$C^{\text{IP}}$	D <sup>I</sup> P	_	P S	0	•
									(5.137)

One clear advantage of the big phase space is that the monodrom y acts simply on the tensors in the hom ogeneous coordinates. E.g. transform s as

$$\gamma_{IJ} = (A + B)_{IK} (C + D)^{\perp K}_{J}$$
 (5.138)

and modular objects of tensor weight N transform like  $C_{I_1,...,I_n} = (C + D)_{I_1}^{1 K_1},..., (C + D)_{I_1}^{1 K_N} C_{K_1,...,K_N}$ .

The monodrom y for the non-compact cases acts on the periods  $^{T} = (F_{i} = R_{b_{i}}; t^{i} = R_{a^{i}}; m = R_{a^{i}})$ , where  $a^{i}; b_{i}$  is a symplectic basis of  $H_{1}(C_{g}; Z)$  and are cycles encircling the points where  $R_{a^{i}}$  has a pole with non-vanishing residua. As mentioned above  $^{T}$  can be obtained as the periods of W which stay nite in the non-compact lim  $it^{15}$ . We call  $C_{g} = C_{g}$  n fp<sub>i</sub>g. The monodrom y acting on  $H_{1}(C_{g}; Z)$  is a subgroup of SP (2g; Z). The action on  $R_{a^{i}}$  is a subgroup of SP (2g; Z).

and analogous to (5.137) we have from the preservation of the intersection form  $a^{T}c = c^{t}a$ ,  $b^{T}d = d^{T}b$  and  $a^{T}d = c^{T}b = I$ , with all entries of a;b;c;d and  $l_{i}$ ; $l^{i}$  integer. If  $C_{g}$  is obtained by a non-compact limit from W the monodromy group of  $C_{g}$  generated by 5.139 is a subgroup of the monodromy group of W. The action on  $_{ij}$  is given similarly as in (5.138) by

$$\sim_{ij} = (a + b)_{ik} (c + d)^{1 k}_{j}$$
: (5.140)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Typically the fundamental period X<sup>0</sup> in the large radius limit becomes one of the constant periods m see e.g. the discussion of local O (3)!  $P^2$  in the large ber limit of the elliptic bration over  $P^2$  realized as X<sub>18</sub>(1;1;1;6;9), see [19].

An important di erence is in the properties of the matrix . In the global case Im ( $_{IJ}$ ) has signature (1;h<sub>21</sub>), i.e. one negative eigenvalue. On the other hand as it was mentioned in section (2.1) it is a key property of the solution of [7, 8, 37] that Im ( $_{ij}$ ), i = 1;:::;rank(G), with  $_{ij} = \frac{i}{2} Q_{a^i} Q_{a^j} F^{(0)}$  is positive de nite. Mathematically  $_{ij}$  de nes the Siegel upper half space associated to  $C_g$ . In the non-compact lim it the matrix Im ( $_{IJ}$ ) is therefore projected a positive de nite submatrix.

The Hodge star operator on W de ness a natural complex structure on  $H^{3}(W)$ , which is + i on  $H^{3,0}$   $H^{1,2}$  and 1 on  $H^{2,1}$   $H^{0,3}$ . This leads to the so called W eil intermediate Jacobian, which comes with a natural pairing given by the anholom orphic matrix

$$N_{IJ} = {}_{IJ} \quad 2i \frac{\operatorname{Im}({}_{IK})\operatorname{Im}({}_{JL})X^{L}X^{K}}{\operatorname{Im}({}_{KL})X^{L}X^{K}} : \qquad (5.141)$$

It is well know in supergravity that this de nes the matrix of theta angles and the gauge couplings as N<sub>IJ</sub> = :  $\frac{IJ}{I}$  + 8 i(g<sup>2</sup>)<sub>IJ</sub> and that Im (N<sub>IJ</sub>) is positive. In the non-compact limit certain a submatrix of the anholom orphic N<sub>IJ</sub> becomes the holom orphic  $_{ij}$  of the rigid gauge theory. The G ri th complex structure on H<sup>3</sup>(W) is de ned by + i on H<sup>3,0</sup> H<sup>2,1</sup> and 1 on H<sup>1,2</sup> H<sup>0,3</sup> and the paring is given by <sub>IJ</sub>, which as mentioned above has one negative eigenvalue. We note that <sub>IJ</sub> and N<sub>IJ</sub> transform in the same way under SP (h<sub>3</sub>(W); R) transform ations.

## 6 Matrix model approach

The study of the gravitational couplings of SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory has been a fruitful setting to explore various approaches for solving topological expansions. As reviewed in the introduction one can obtain the gravitational coupling  $F^{(g)}$  by geom etric engineering from toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds and by Nekrasov's instanton counting calculations. Both approaches, the former via the vertex formalism, lead to sum s over partitions, which are valid in one region in the moduli space. In the geometric engineering approach one has in addition to take a limit. The direct integration of holom orphic anomaly equation [1] studied in the previous sections yields an analytic description of the higher genus am plitudes, which is recurse in the genus, but valid throughout the moduli space.

In this section we will turn to another approach, namely them atrix model method. The matrix model is in principle a framework that encodes exact perturbative information and possible non-perturbative completions. It was pioneered by D ijkgraaf and Vafa in particular in [13]. Following these suggestions the authors of [11] computed the gravitational couplings of N = 2 Seiberg-W itten theory by a limit from the Hermitian matrix model describing the glueball superpotential of N = 1 gauge theory. However, only the genus one amplitude F<sup>(1)</sup> has been obtained in this way, and it is

not clear how to compute higher genus am plitudes in this approach, because F  $^{(g)}$  is not gauge invariant for g > 1 [11].

A m icroscopic m atrix m odel was recently derived in [16] from the partition function [4, 5] using the m atrix m odel descriptions of in nite partitions [15]. M otivated by the recent works of [20, 48], we will apply the form alism in [20] to the topological expansion of SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory. The form alism of [20] has been developed from the study of loop equations in m atrix m odels. It also proceeds recursively genus by genus. O ne advantage of the form alism is that one no longer need to refer to a m atrix m odel in this set up. The de ning date are the spectral curve C and the di erential , which yields the lling fraction and the open one point function. The Seiberg-W itten curve has been shown to be the spectral curve of the m icroscopic m atrix m odel considered in [16]. It also follows from a double scaling lim it of the spectral curve of G ross-W itten m atrix m odel considered by D ikgraaf and Vafa in [13].

O ne obvious advantage of the m atrix m odel approach is that it gives also the open am plitudes. G iven the local m irror curve C and the m erom orphic di erential for topological string theory on local C alabi-Y au m anifolds the m atrix m odel predictions for the open am plitudes have been checked against the topological vertex results [18, 23]. The interpretation of these am plitudes in the gauge theory context is less clear.

### 6.1 Review of the form alism

Here we review the form alism developed by Eynard and O rantin for integrating the loop equation. For more details and references see [20].

The algorithm is particularly elegant for elliptic curves in W eierstrass form . W e will therefore focus on Seiberg-W itten curves in the W eierstrass form

$$y^2 = 4x^3$$
  $g_2(u)x$   $g_3(u)$ : (6.142)

Here is u is the Coulomb modulus of the Seiberg-W itten theory. For the massless  $N_f = 2$  theory we do by transforming (2.2) into W eierstrassform

$$g_2(u) = \frac{4}{3}(u^2 + 3); \quad g_3(u) = \frac{8u}{27}(u^2 - 9); \quad (6.143)$$

where the three roots of (6.142) are  $x = \frac{2u}{3}$ ;  $1 \frac{u}{3}$ ;  $1 \frac{u}{3}$  respectively. We note that is also the W eierstrass form for the Seiberg-W itten curve for pure SU (2) gauge theory as quoted in [7], while if we transform the N<sub>f</sub> = 0 case [29] in (2.2) into W eierstrass form we obtain

$$g_2(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{3}; \quad g_3(u) = \frac{1}{27}(9\alpha - 8\alpha^3); \quad (6.144)$$

The two curves specified by (6.142) with (6.143) or (6.144) respectively are known to be isogeneous. That means in particular that the Picard-Fuchs equation are the

same, but a careful analysis of the integral basis of  $H_1(C_1;Z)$  reveals the b periods dier by a factor of two. The relation between w and u from the comparison of the J-function (2.7) is  $u = \frac{w}{w^2 - 1}$ , i.e. it exchanges the asymptotic free region and the monopole region [37]. At genus zero it is di cult to distinguish the curves. Since the Picard-Fuchs equations are the sam e the holom orphic prepotential can be derived from any of them . However at genus one there is an important dierence. We know  $(u^2 1)$ , while for the massless N<sub>f</sub> = 2 that for  $N_f = 0$  the conifold factor is (u<sup>2</sup> (2.8), see (2.49) and (3.89). By calculating from (2.8), we case it is see that (6.142, 6.143) is the N<sub>F</sub> = 2 curve. Now an important simplication for the application of the [20] form alism arises if the merom orphic dierential is simple rational function of the W eierstrass P -function for the curve written in the W eierstrass form  $_{\rm b}$  It turns out that for the W eierstrass curve of N  $_{\rm f}$  = 2 the form of the di erential  $=\frac{2}{2}y\frac{dx}{x^2-1}$  used in [7] for the cubic curve quoted there as N<sub>f</sub> = 0 curve has this property, see (6.158). On the other hand if we transform the merom orphic (2.3) for  $N_{f} = 0$  to the W eierstrass representation we cannot express it as a rational function of the W eierstrass P-function. As we mention above for genus zero prepotential it is not relevant to m atch precisely the correct pair of curve and di erential, but for higher genus it is crucial. Below we stick to the technically sim plest case namely the  $N_f = 2 \text{ case.}$ 

G iven a curve  $C_g$  the associated B ergm ann kernel is de ned as the unique bilinear m erom orphic form with a single pole of degree 2, whose integral over the A-cycles vanish, see [20] for details. For the fam ily of genus one curves (6.142), the associated B ergm ann kernel B (p;q) is simply the W eierstrass P-function plus a constant X

$$B (p;q) = (\} (p q) + X) dpdq : (6.145)$$

The W eierstrass P function is a double periodic, even function on C

$$(p + 2a_1) = (p); (p + 2a_2) = (p); (p) = (p); (6.146)$$

which has a double pole around the origin and the series expansion

} (p) = 
$$\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{g_2}{20}p^2 + \frac{g_3}{28}p^4 + 0$$
 (p<sup>6</sup>) : (6.147)

In particular the P-function is well de ned on the two torus  $C_1 = C =$ , where is the lattice spanned by the periods (2 $a_1$ ;2 $a_2$ ). The complex structure of  $C_1$  is  $=\frac{a_2}{a_1}$  and ( $a_1$ ; $a_2$ ) are half periods.

The constant X can be xed by the A-cycle integral

Ζ

where (p) is the W eierstrass zeta function, and its value at half period is related to the second E isenstein series of as

$$(a_1)a_1 = \frac{2}{12}E_2()$$
 : (6.149)

U sing the relations between W eierstrass invariants and the E isenstein series

$$(2a_1)^4 g_2(u) = \frac{4^4}{3} E_4(); \quad (2a_1)^6 g_3(u) = \frac{8^6}{27} E_6() \quad (6.150)$$

and the vanishing of (6.148), we determ ine X in (6.145)

$$X = \frac{3g_3(u)E_2()E_4()}{2g_2(u)E_6()} :$$
(6.151)

Because of (2.20) the Bergm ann K emel transform s with a shift under m odular transform ations. One can de ne the m odular invariant m odi ed Bergm ann kernel by replacing  $E_2$  in (6.145) with  $\hat{E_2}$ , as de ned in (2.19). This replacement induces an isom orphism between ring of quasim odular form s and the ring of alm ost holom orphic m odular form s. In the manipulations below we can work with  $E_2$  and replace it at the end of calculations with  $\hat{E_2}$ , if we wish to consider truly m odular objects.

The Eisenstein series are related to Jacobi theta functions by the well-known form ulae

$$E_{4}() = b^{2} + bd + d^{2}$$
  

$$E_{6}() = \frac{1}{2}(2d^{3} + 3bd^{2} - 3b^{2}d - 2b^{3}); \qquad (6.152)$$

where b;c;d are de ned in (2.52). The modulus u and half period  $a_1$  can be written in terms of Jacobi theta function using (6.152) and (6.150) as <sup>16</sup>

$$u = 1 + \frac{2d}{b}; \quad a_1^2 = \frac{^2b}{8}$$
 (6.153)

Therefore the constant in (6.151) can be written in terms of modular forms

$$X = \frac{2E_2()}{3b} :$$
 (6.154)

In the W eierstrass form (6.142) the Seiberg-W itten curve is parameterized by the W eierstrass function and its derivative via the identication

$$y = {}^{0}(p); x = {}(p):$$
 (6.155)

The branching points of the algebraic curve (6.142) are the points of dx = 0, which are simply the half periods  $a_1; a_2; a_3 = a_1 + a_2$  in the case of W eierstrass function. The values of W eierstrass function at half periods are the roots of W eierstrass equation

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup>text{O}$  ne can solve for u by elim inating  $a_1$  in (6.150). There are other solutions besides the solution u = 1 +  $\frac{2d}{b}$  we use. They correspond to various special points in the Coulomb moduli space as ! if , or are related the one we use by  $Z_2$  symmetry. W ithout loss of generality we will just use the solution u = 1 +  $\frac{2d}{b}$  in order to compare with large u, i.e. weak coupling lim it.

 $4x^3$   $g_2x$   $g_3 = 0$ . The ordering will not be in portant for us, so without loss of generality we can take

$$(a_1) = \frac{2u}{3}; (a_2) = 1 \frac{u}{3}; (a_3) = 1 \frac{u}{3}:$$
 (6.156)

The derivative of W eierstrass function vanishes at the half periods  ${}^{0}(a_{1}) = {}^{0}(a_{2}) = {}^{0}(a_{3}) = 0$ . For a point p near each branching point  $a_{i}$ , there is an unique image denoted as p such that  ${}^{0}(p) = {}(p)$ . Since the W eierstrass function satisfies  ${}^{0}(2a_{1}) = {}(2a_{1}) = {}(p) = {}(p)$ , we can easily determ ine

$$p = 2a_i p$$
 (6.157)

H igher derivatives of W eierstrass function can be related to W eierstrass function and its derivative algebraically, for example we have the form ula for the second derivative as  $\binom{0}{2} = \frac{g_2}{2} + 6$ ,  $\binom{p}{2}$ , etc.

The periods of Seiberg-W itten theory should correspond to the  $\$  lling fraction" de ned in [20]. In the N<sub>f</sub> = 2 m assless theory it is the integral of the following m erom orphic di erential

$$(p) = \frac{1}{2^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \frac{y(p)dx(p)}{(x(p) \ \ \ ) \ (a_{2}))(x(p) \ \ ) \ (a_{3}))} \\ = \frac{1}{2^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \frac{y(p)dx(p)}{(y(p) \ \ ) \ (a_{2}))(y(p) \ \ ) \ (a_{3}))}$$
(6.158)

over the cycles of algebraic curve, i.e.  $a = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ a \end{bmatrix}$  (p). Here we have chosen a norm alization for which the derivative of the prepotential is  $\frac{e^2 F^{(0)}}{e^2} = 2$  i. This will be convenient later on.

A set of diagram m atic rules are provided in [20] to construct the topological expansion F  $^{(g)}$  associated with the algebraic curve. Below we list the basic components and their expansions around the branching points  $a_i$ ; i = 1; 2; 3:

1. The vertex ! (p). This can be constructed from the di erential one-form in (6.158) as the following,

$$! (p) = \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(y(p) \ y(p))dx(p)}{(x(p) \ (a_{2}))(x(p) \ )(a_{3}))} \\ = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\int^{0} (p)^{2}dp}{(f(p) \ )(f(p) \ )(a_{2}))(f(p) \ )(a_{3}))}$$
(6.159)

It is straightforward to compute the series expansion near the branching points. For the branching point  $a_1$ , the vertex ! (p) goes like ! (p) (p  $a_1$ )<sup>2</sup>, while the other two points  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , the vertex goes like ! (p) 0 (1). 2. The root (p). This is simply the integral of the di erential one-form (p) in (6.158) from any base point on the algebraic curve

(p) = 
$$(p)$$
: (6.160)

The integration constant will not appear in nalanswers and will not be im - portant.

It is straightforward to compute the series expansion of (p) near the branching points and perform the integral. At the branching point  $a_1$  the root (p) has the leading behaviour (p) (p  $a_1$ )<sup>3</sup>, while at the other two points  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , it behaves like (p) (p  $a_i$ ).

3. The line-propagator is simply the Bergm ann kernel B (q;p). We expand it in the rst variable q around a branching point  $a_i$ ,

$$\frac{B (q;p)}{dpdq} = \{ (a_i \ p) + X + \}^0 (a_i \ p)(q \ a_i) + \frac{1}{2} \}^{00} (a_i \ p)(q \ a_i)^2 + O((q \ a_i)^3) : (6.161) \}$$

We then expand in the second variable p around another branching point  $a_j$ . If  $a_i = a_j$ , there will be poles as  $p ! a_i$ . For  $a_i \in a_j$ , there will be no pole. In both cases it is straightforward obtain the series expansions.

4. The arrow-propagator  $dE_q(p)$  is an integral of the Bergm ann kernel and can be expanded around a branching point  $a_i$  in the following way

$$\frac{dE_{q}(p)}{dp} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{q}^{Z_{q}} B(;p)$$

$$= (\{a_{i} \ p\} + X)(q \ a_{i}) - \frac{1}{6}\}^{(0)}(a_{i} \ p)(q \ a_{i})^{3}$$

$$- \frac{1}{120}\}^{(4)}(a_{i} \ p)(q \ a_{i})^{5} + (6.162)$$

Again, if  $a_i = a_j$ , there will be poles as  $p ! a_i$ , otherwise for  $a_i \in a_j$ , there will be no pole. The necessary series expansions are straightforward to obtain.

From these basic components one can construct the correlation functions  $\mathbb{W}_{k}^{(g)}(p_{1}; k)$ and free energy  $F^{(g)}$  for all g = 2 in terms of some residue form ulae. For example, The genus one one-point function is

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = \operatorname{Res}_{q! a} \frac{dE_{q}(p)}{! (q)} B(q;q)$$
(6.163)

and the genus two free energy  $F^{(2)}$  is

where the residues are taken around the three branching points  $a_1; a_2; a_3$ .

## 6.2 Calculations of open and close am plitudes

W e calculate the genus one one-point function W  $_{1}^{(1)}(p)$  and the genus two free energy F  $^{(2)}$  for the Seiberg-W itten curve (6.142). As we mentioned it describes the SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory with two massless avors. The genus one one-point function W  $_{1}^{(1)}(p)$  is calculated from (6.163), we nd

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = \underset{i=1}{\overset{X^{3}}{\underset{q! = a_{i}}{\overset{R}{\underset{q!}{\underset{a_{i}}{}}}}} \underset{!}{\overset{R}{\underset{q!}{\underset{a_{i}}{}}}} \underset{!}{\overset{dE_{q}(p)}{\underset{!}{\underset{q}{}}} B(q;q)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{dp}{48}}{\frac{dp}{2}(u^{2} - 1)} [4(u - 6X)({})(p - a_{1}) + X) + 6(u - 1)({})(p - a_{2}) + X) + 6(u + 1)({})(p - a_{3}) + X) ]^{(0)}(p - a_{1})]: (6.165)$$

The genus one free energy F  $^{(1)}$  is not directly constructed from the diagram matic rules, but the derivative of it with respect to the Seiberg-W itten period is the integral of W  $_{1}^{(1)}(p)$  over the B-cycle

$$\frac{\partial F^{(1)}}{\partial a} = \int_{0}^{Z_{2a_2}} W_{1}^{(1)}(p) : \qquad (6.166)$$

U sing the form ulae for W eierstrass zeta function  $2a_2$  (a, )  $2a_1$  (a, ) = i and (6.153) we can compute the integral

$$\frac{\text{@F}^{(1)}}{\text{@a}} = \int_{0}^{Z_{2a_2}} W_1^{(1)}(p) = \frac{\overset{p}{i} \overline{b}}{6cd} (E_2 \quad b \quad 2d) : \qquad (6.167)$$

This matches with our earlier calculations for Seiberg-W itten theory with  $N_f = 2$  massless avors, using (2.50), (2.51)<sup>17</sup>.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  There is an extra factor of i comparing with (2.50), (2.51). This is because the matrix model should describe the expansion of F  $^{(g)}$  around the conifold point for which the lling fraction is real and goes to zero, instead of the point u ! 1. The form ulae (6.153) we have used are for the point at in nity u ! 1, and should become u =  $1 + \frac{2b}{d}$ ,  $a_1^2 = -\frac{2d}{8}$  for the conifold point. The extra factor of i is then cancelled due to the extra minus sign of  $a_1^2$ . Since this problem will not appear at higher genus g -2, we will still use the convention at u ! 1 for convenience in comparing with instanton counting.

Now we come to genus two free energy, we compute the various terms in (6.164)and the total result is

$$F^{(2)} = \frac{675X^3 \quad 1350uX^2 + (990u^2 + 1350)X \quad 16u^3 \quad 1080u}{6480(u^2 \quad 1)^2} :$$
(6.168)

Substituting in  $u = 1 + \frac{2d}{b}$  and  $X = \frac{2E_2}{3b}$ , we not the agreement with earlier calculations (2.54) for Seiberg-W itten theory with  $N_f = 2$  massless avors using holomorphic anomaly.

Sim ilarly, we can compute the genus two one-point function

$$W_{1}^{(2)}(p) = \frac{5}{32^{2} \overline{2}(u^{2} + 1)^{3}} X^{5} + \frac{6u\}(p) + 3}{2u} \frac{7u^{2}}{3} X^{4} + \frac{9(75 + 77u^{2})\}(p)^{2}}{45(2u + 3)} (p) + 2(405 + 660u^{2} + 559u^{4})} X^{3} + b_{2}X^{2} + b_{1}X + b_{0}$$
(6.169)

where  $b_0$ ;  $b_1$ ;  $b_2$  are some very complicated functions of the W eierstrass function } (p) and  $u^{18}$ . Some empirical remarks can be made about a genus g one-point amplitude  $W_1^{(g)}(p)$ :

- 1.  $W_1^{(g)}(p)$  is a polynomial of X of degree 3g 1.
- 2. The coe cients of the polynom ial are rational functions of } (p) and u. They are regular at p = 0 (or equivalently } (p) = 1 ). They are singular at the half periods p = a<sub>1</sub>;a<sub>2</sub>;a<sub>3</sub>. The degree of poles of } (p) } (a<sub>1</sub>), } (p) } (a<sub>2</sub>), } (p) } (p) } (a<sub>3</sub>) are g + 3,2,2 respectively. For example, the coe cient b<sub>0</sub> in (6.169) as a rational function } (p) can be written as

$$b_{0} = \frac{A(\{p\})}{(\{p\}, \{a_{1}, b\})^{5}(\{p\}, \{a_{2}, b\})^{2}(\{p\}, \{a_{3}, b\})^{2}}$$

$$\frac{A(\{p\})}{(3\}(p) - 2u)^{5}(9\}(p)^{2} + 6u\}(p) + u^{2} - 9)^{2}}$$
(6.170)

where A (} (p)) is a polynom ial of } (p) of degree 9.

The boundary behavior of close string moduli u especially at the conifold point u ! 1 is discussed more details in section 6.4.

## 6.3 Holom orphic anom aly equation for open am plitudes

W e see that we can use the matrix model form alism to compute higher genus topological amplitude for the massless N  $_{\rm f}$  = 2 Seiberg-W itten theory. But the form alism

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>T hey are too cum bersom e to write down here, but are available upon request.

gets quite com plicated at higher genus, and for the close topological am plitude F  $^{(g)}$ , the most e cient way of calculation is still through the use the holom orphic anom aly equation plus boundary conditions at the conifold point. One m ight wonder whether this method of \direct integration" can also be applied to the open topological am plitude. In order to explore this idea, we consider a version of the holom orphic anom aly equation for the open topological am plitudes proposed in [48] based on the matrix m odel form alism.

An extended open holom ophic anomaly equation has been applied to the calculations of open amplitudes on the the real quintic Calabi-Yau manifold [49]. This form alism was recently applied to local 0 (3)!  $P^2$  [50]. It discussion here, as it encorporates no open moduli.

The open holom orphic anom aly equation of [48] is

$$\Theta_{K} W_{k}^{(g)} = \frac{1}{2} C_{K}^{IJ} (D_{I} D_{J} W_{k}^{(g 1)} + X_{h L K}^{X} D_{I} W_{l}^{(h)} D_{J} W_{k}^{(g h)}); \qquad (6.171)$$

where the I;J;K are close string m oduli. For our toy m odelofN<sub>f</sub> = 2 SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory, the only anti-holom orphic dependence comes from the function  $\hat{E}_2$ (), which appears in the variable X we de ned in (6.154). The close string m oduli in this case can be parametrized by the period a, and since it is a at coordinate in the holom orphic limit, the covariant derivatives in the RHS of (6.171) can be replaced by just ordinary derivatives. After xing the normalization correctly, the equation (6.171) becomes for the case at hand

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}} \frac{(0)}{2} \frac{(0)}{2} \frac{(0)}{2} = (0^2_{a} W_{k}^{(g-1)} + (0^{-1}_{b} W_{k}^{(g-1)} + (0^{-1}_{b}$$

Consider the simplest case of the above open holom orphic anomaly equation, namely the case g = 0 and k = 3. The equation becomes

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}(0)} \frac{(0)}{(0)} \frac{(0)}{(0)$$

To test the equation, we can use the residue form ulae to com pute directly the genus zero 3-point function

$$W_{3}^{(0)}(p;q;r) = \underset{s! a}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{dE_{s}(p)}{!(s)} [B(s;q)B(s;r) + B(s;q)B(s;r)]$$
$$= \frac{(!(p a_{1}) + X)(!(q a_{1}) + X)(!(r a_{1}) + X))}{P\overline{2}(u^{2} 1)} : (6.174)$$

On the RHS, the genus zero one-point function is unde ned in the matrix model formalism, and the notation of  $Q_a W_1^{(0)}(p)$  simply means the contour integral of  $W_2^{(0)}(p;q) =$ 

B (p;q) over the B-cycle. We nd

$$\mathcal{Q}_{a}W_{1}^{(0)}(p) = \int_{0}^{Z_{2a_{2}}} B(p;q)dq = \frac{i}{a_{1}} = \frac{2^{p}\overline{2}i}{\frac{2}{2}(q)}$$
(6.175)

We see an immediate problem with (6.173). The LHS has a pole at  $p! a_1$ , but the RHS involves the W eierstrass Zeta function from  $Q_a$  (p q) and does not have a pole at  $p! a_1$ . The discrepancy comes from the fact that in the derivation of the open holom orphic anom all equation [48], the contour integral is converted into covariant derivative of the close string m oduli. However, it seems that this procedure is not valid in the presence of open string m oduli, so we have to do the contour integral directly instead of just taking derivative. Namely,

$$(e_{a}B(p;q) \in W_{3}^{(0)}(q;r;s)ds$$
 (6.176)

So the correct version of the open holom orphic anom aly equation (6.173) should be

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}} \frac{0}{2} \frac{0}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$$

W e check this is indeed satis ed by plugging in the expression for genus zero 3-point function (6.174). However, this is not much useful for the purpose of computing  $W_{3}^{(0)}(p;q;r)$  as it appears in both RHS and LHS.

W e also consider the case g = 1 and  $k = 1.0 \sin g$  (6.165). W e get

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}} \frac{(1)}{2} \frac{(1)}{2} \frac{(1)}{2} \frac{(1)}{2} = \frac{4^{p} \frac{1}{2}}{3 \frac{4}{2} (1) (u^{2} - 1)} [3X + 2u - 3() (p - a_{1}) + X)] \quad (6.178)$$

Again the naive equation

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}} \frac{(1)}{2} \frac{(1)}{2} (p) = (e_a^2 W_1^{(0)}(p) + 2e_a W_1^{(0)}(p)e_a F^{(1)}$$
(6.179)

is not correct, as it can be seen that the RHS is independent of the open string modulus p while the LHS is dependent on p. The correct equation is

$$\frac{16}{\frac{4}{2}(0)}\frac{(20)}{(20)}\frac{(1)}{(20)}(p)}{(20)} = \frac{\sum_{2a_2} \sum_{2a_2} \sum_{2a_2} \sum_{2a_2} W_{3}^{(0)}(p;q;r)dqdr + 2(2a_1W_{1}^{(0)}(p)(2a_1F_{1}^{(1)}) + (6.180))}{(6.180)}$$

where them inus sign in the rst term of RHS is just due to the di erent conventions of using modular forms around conifold or in nity, and in the second term the derivative  $Q_a F^{(1)}$  is equal to the contour integral of genus one-point function since there is no open string moduli.

We summarize the ndings in a few remarks.

- 1. The holom orphic anom aly equation (6.171) is oversimplied and the improved version does not seem to be too useful in computing higher point function  $W_k^{(g)}$  when k 2, because  $W_k^{(g)}$  appears in both sides of the equation as exemplied by (6.177).
- 2. The reason for this subtlety in (6.176) is because we are using a non-standard di erential one-form (6.158) necessary for our calculations in SU (2) Seiberg-W itten theory. If we used the standard di erential one-form = ydx as the [20], the open holom orphic anom aly equation would be valid, but this would not be the right di erential one-form to com pute the gravitational coupling of Seiberg-W itten theory.
- 3. For the free energy  $F^{(g)}$  and one-point function  $W_1^{(g)}(p)$ , the holom orphic anom aly equation can be used to determ ine the am plitudes up to a holom orphic anom aly. Only lower genus open am plitudes appear in the RHS of the holom orphic anom aly equation. For exam ple, in order to com pute the genus two one-point am plitude  $W_1^{(2)}(p)$  this way, we rest have to determ ine lower am plitudes up to  $F^2$ ,  $W_3^{(1)}(p_1;p_2;p_3)$ .

## 6.4 Boundary condition for open topological am plitudes

We now turn to another important issue of boundary conditions. We consider the limiting behavior open topological amplitudes around the conifold point, which is the point where u ! 1,  $_{D} = \frac{1}{2}$ ! i1, and

$$a_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{3\frac{2}{4}({}_{\rm D})} (E_2({}_{\rm D}) - \frac{4}{3}({}_{\rm D}) - \frac{4}{2}({}_{\rm D}))! 0: \qquad (6.181)$$

We now expand genus one one-point function (6.165) around the conifold point in terms of the at coordinate  $a_D$ . Firstly it is convenient to rewrite the expression in terms of only  $\} = \}$  (p), u, and X

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = \frac{1}{2^{5} \overline{2}(u^{2} \ 1)} X^{2} + \frac{3}{2u} \frac{3u^{2}}{3}X + \frac{1}{36(2u \ 3\})^{2}(9)^{2} + 6u) + u^{2} \ 9)}{[405u^{2} \ 1701)\}^{4} + (216u^{3} + 648u)\}^{3} + (594u^{4} + 1620u^{2} \ 486)\}^{2} + (384u^{5} \ 4896u^{3} + 2592u)\} + 65u^{6} + 501u^{4} + 675u^{2} \ 729] : (6.182)$$

W e notice the W eierstrass function  $\}$  (p) is also dependent on the underlying elliptic curve. However, for generic value of the open string m odulus p, the function  $\}$  (p) has a nite generic value at the conifold point of the close string m oduli space. So we can rst expand u and X in the expression (6.182), and treat  $\}$  (p) as an independent parameter. N aively, we should expect the singular behavior as

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = O(\frac{1}{a_{D}})$$
: (6.183)

Surprisingly, we not that the leading singular term vanishes, and the conifold expansion is regular. The series expansion result is

$$W_{1}^{(1)}(p) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{(p)}{2} \frac{2}{(3)(p) + 4} + \frac{i(9)(p)^{2} + 24}{8} \frac{(p)}{2} \frac{8}{(3)(p) + 4} a_{D} + O(a_{D}^{2}) : \quad (6.184)$$

Thus the regularity of the conifold expansion in this case in poses boundary conditions for the open holom orphic am biguity. For the genus one one-point function (6.182), the term s in the rst line are xed by the open holom orphic anom aly equation (6.180), and the rest is the am biguity which can be param etrized by 14 constants in this case. Unfortunately, for generic holom orphic am biguity, the coe cient of the singular  $\frac{1}{a_{D}}$ term in the conifold expansion of W<sub>1</sub><sup>(1)</sup>(p) turns out to be a rational function of } (p) whose num erator is a degree 4 polynom ial of } (p). So the conifold boundary condition only xes 5 of the 14 unknown constants in the holom orphic am biguity of W<sub>1</sub><sup>(1)</sup>(p). M ore ingenuity m ay be needed to com pletely xes the holom orphic am biguity.

W e also similarly test the conifold expansion of the genus two one-point amplitude  $W_1^{(2)}(p)$  in (6.169). The leading singular term with generic holom orphic ambiguity is  $O(\frac{1}{a_n^2})$ , but we again nd that the actual series is not singular

$$W_{1}^{(2)}(p) = \frac{27(3)}{512} \frac{2}{2}(3) + 4)^{2} + \frac{9i(27)^{3} + 216)^{2} + 288}{1024} \frac{224}{2}(3)(p) + 4)^{3}}a_{D} + O(a_{D}^{2}):$$
(6.185)

# 7 Future directions

We have solved the topological sector of the N = 2 SU (2) gauge theories with N<sub>f</sub> = 0;1;2;3 m attermultiplets in the fundam ental representation. Near the asym p-totic free region in the vector multiplet space our results agree with the instanton calculation of Nekrasov. At the conifold points and the conform al points our globally de ned expressions predict the topological sector of these theories in canonical holom orphic coordinates.

Especially the analysis at the conform all points relies on the method proposed in [1]. It would be challenging and interesting to india microscopic description especially at these points, at which the theory does not allow for an action form ulation. The structure of the  $F^{(g)}$  is very similar as at orbifold singularities in topological string theory [36, 19, 45], which suggests that a dual string description is a serious candidate.

We described the construction of the modular objects entirely from the Picard-Fuchs system in a form that generalizes straightforwardly to N = 2 theories with higher rank gauge groups and does not require know ledge of modular form s w.r.t. subgroups of SP (2g;Z). E.g. the solutions for the periods of [37] for SU (3) could be used to study the topological theory at Argyres-D ouglas conform all points in SU (3) theory.

We nd additional evidence that the simple boundary conditions namely the gap at the conifold and regularity of the amplitudes at the conform alpoints x the entire ambiguity of N = 2 topological theories associated to R iem ann surfaces. However one should prove integrability of these type of topological theories in general.

Note that for the massless  $N_f = 4$  case the  $F^{(g)}$  can be written as quasi-modular forms of weight 2g 2 of PSL (2;Z) [26] sim ilar as the  $F^{(g)}$  for the asymptotic free cases here, but there is no gap structure in the conform alcases. It seems possible but tedious to x the ambiguity here by considering mass perturbations and the associate lim its to the cases that are treated in this paper.

In the global case the above mentioned boundary conditions are not su cient. We hope that this can be overcome by the study of various limit in multimoduli com pact Calabi-Yau manifold. For this reason we described the limit of rigid special Kahler geometry in great detail. Enough eld theory limits, which are integrable, could make the global theory eventually also solvable.

We also compared our calculation with the matrix model, respectively spectral curve approach of Eynard and Orantin. This yields an alternative way to solve these theories, which gives additional information about certain open matrix model amplitudes, whose meaning has not been studied in the context of gauge theory yet.

In [16] a microscopic matrix model for the Seiberg-W itten theory was derived starting from the instanton sums in asymptotic free regions. Here we go the opposite way and derive from the improved recursive form alism of [20] the global higher amplitudes, whose expansion in the asymptotic region checks with [4]. G iven the by now well established relation of Seiberg-W itten gauge theory with the matrix model makes the gauge theory a most interesting laboratory to test the physical implications of the non-perturbative ideas that were recently put forward in them atrix model context [52, 51].

#### A cknow ledgm ents:

W e thank Thom as G rim m , B abak H aghighat, M arcos M arino, N icolas O rantin and M arco R auch for fruitful discussions.

## A Nekrasov's calculations

In [4] Nekrasov compute the Seiberg-W itten prepotential and its gravitational corrections by instanton counting. The results are represented by partition of instanton num ber into Young tableau. The results for SU (2) theory with one massive hypermultiplet, i.e.  $N_f = 1$ , up to 5-instanton and genus 2 are <sup>19</sup>

$$F^{(0)} = 4a^{2}\log(a) + (c_{2}^{(0)}a^{2} + c_{1}^{(0)}a + c_{0}^{(0)}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(a + m_{1})^{2}\log(a + m_{1}) \frac{1}{2}(a + m_{1})^{2}\log(a + m_{1}) \\ \frac{m_{1}}{2a^{2}} + \frac{3a^{2}}{64a^{6}} + \frac{7a^{2}m_{1}}{192a^{10}} \frac{9m_{1}^{3}}{192a^{10}} \frac{153a^{4}}{32768a^{14}} \frac{1430a^{2}m_{1}^{2} + 1469m_{1}^{4}}{32768a^{14}} \\ \frac{1131a^{4}m_{1}}{5250a^{2}m_{1}^{3} + 4471m_{1}^{5}}{81920a^{18}}$$
(A .186)  
$$F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12}\log(\frac{(2a)^{2}}{a^{2}}m_{1}^{2}) + c^{(1)} \frac{3a^{2}}{128a^{8}} \frac{4m_{1}^{2}}{128a^{8}} \frac{27a^{2}m_{1}}{384a^{12}} \\ + \frac{9(73a^{4}}{733a^{2}m_{1}^{2} + 732m_{1}^{4})}{32768a^{16}} + \frac{1899a^{4}m_{1}}{9259a^{2}m_{1}^{3} + 7848m_{1}^{5}}{16384a^{20}}$$
(A .187)  
$$F^{(2)} = \frac{1}{480a^{2}} + \frac{1}{240(a + m_{1})^{2}} + \frac{1}{240(a + m_{1})^{2}} \\ + \frac{9a^{2}}{1024a^{10}} + \frac{103a^{2}m_{1}}{1024a^{14}} \frac{117m_{1}^{3}}{3(5583a^{4}} \frac{3(5583a^{4}}{58186a^{2}m_{1}^{2} + 57067m_{1}^{4})}{262144a^{18}} \\ \frac{451719a^{4}m_{1}}{2273690a^{2}m_{1}^{3} + 1919923m_{1}^{5}}{655360a^{22}}$$
(A .188)

Here m<sub>1</sub> is the mass of the hypermultiplet and for convenience we have set the N = 2 dynamical scale = 1, which can be easily recovered by dimensional analysis. The constants  $c_i^{(g)}$  are not important for us. In the above form ulae we have also included the leading perturbative term s. In SU (2) case the leading perturbative term at genus g is [4, 5]

where

$$_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{2} \log(\mathbf{x}) \quad \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{x}^{2}$$

$$_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{12} \log(\mathbf{x})$$

$$_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{240} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^{2}}$$

$$_{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(1)^{g} B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^{2g-2}}; g > 1$$

W e will also consider the much sim pler case where the hypermultiplets are mass-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>O ur convention has a sign di erence from that of [4, 5] at odd genus.

less. W e list the results for N  $_{\rm f}$  = 1;2;3 and up to 5-instanton, genus 3. For N  $_{\rm f}$  = 1

$$F^{(0)} = 3a^{2}\log(a) + (c_{2}^{(0)}a^{2} + c_{1}^{(0)}a + c_{0}^{(0)}) + \frac{3}{64a^{4}} + \frac{153}{32768a^{10}} + F^{(1)} = c_{1}^{(1)} + \frac{3}{128a^{6}} + \frac{657}{32768a^{12}} + F^{(2)} = \frac{1}{160a^{2}} + \frac{9}{1024a^{8}} + \frac{16749}{262144a^{14}} + F^{(3)} = \frac{5}{2688a^{4}} + \frac{3}{1024a^{10}} + \frac{96453}{524288a^{16}} +$$
(A 190)

For  $N_f = 2$  we have

$$F^{(0)} = 2a^{2}\log(a) + (c_{2}^{(0)}a^{2} + c_{1}^{(0)}a + c_{0}^{(0)}) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{64a^{2}} \frac{5}{32768a^{6}} + F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}\log(a) + c^{(1)} + \frac{1}{64a^{4}} + \frac{23}{16384a^{8}} + F^{(2)} = \frac{7}{480a^{2}} \frac{7}{1024a^{6}} \frac{1425}{262144a^{10}} + F^{(3)} = \frac{31}{8064a^{4}} + \frac{5}{20488a^{8}} + \frac{8843}{524288a^{12}} +$$
(A .191)

For  $N_f = 3$  we have

$$F^{(0)} = a^{2}\log(a) + (c_{2}^{(0)}a^{2} + c_{1}^{(0)}a + c_{0}^{(0)}) \frac{1}{64} \frac{1}{32768a^{2}} + F^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3}\log(a) + c^{(1)} \frac{1}{128a^{2}} + \frac{3}{32768a^{4}} + F^{(2)} = \frac{11}{480a^{2}} + \frac{5}{1024a^{4}} \frac{109}{262144a^{6}} + F^{(3)} = \frac{47}{8064a^{4}} \frac{1}{512a^{6}} + \frac{769}{524288a^{8}} +$$
(A.192)

## References

- M .x.H uang and A .K lem m , \H olom orphic anom aly in gauge theories and m atrix m odels," arX iv hep-th/0605195.
- [2] E.W itten, "Quantum background independence in String Theory," arX iv hepth/9306122.
- [3] M . A ganagic, V . B outhard and A . K  $\ker m$  , \Topological strings and (alm ost) m odular form s," arX iv hep-th/0607100.
- [4] N.A. Nekrasov, \Seiberg-W itten prepotential from instanton counting," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7, 831 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0206161].
- [5] N.Nekrasov and A.O kounkov, \Seiberg-W itten theory and random partitions," arX iv hep-th/0306238.

- [6] S.H.Katz, A.Klemm and C.Vafa, \Geometric engineering of quantum eld theories," Nucl. Phys. B 497 (1997) 173 [arX iv hep-th/9609239].
- [7] N. Seiberg and E.W itten, \Electric -m agnetic duality, m onopole condensation, and con nem ent in N = 2 supersymmetric Yang-M ills theory," Nucl. Phys. B 426, 19 (1994) [Erratum -ibid. B 430, 485 (1994)] [arX iv:hep-th/9407087].
- [8] N. Seiberg and E. W itten, \M onopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N = 2 supersymmetric QCD," Nucl. Phys. B 431, 484 (1994) [arX iv:hepth/9408099].
- [9] S.Kachru, A.K kemm, W.Lerche, P.M ayr and C.Vafa, \N onperturbative results on the point particle lim it of N = 2 heterotic string com pactic cations," Nucl. Phys. B 459 (1996) 537 [arX iv:hep-th/9508155].
- [10] A.K lemm, W.Lerche, P.Mayr, C.Vafa and N.P.Wamer, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 746 [arX iv:hep-th/9604034].
- [11] A.K lemm, M.M arino and S.Theisen, \G ravitational corrections in supersymm etric gauge theory and matrix models," JHEP 0303, 051 (2003) [arX iv:hepth/0211216].
- [12] R. Dikgraaf and C. Vafa, \M atrix m odels, topological strings, and supersym m etric gauge theories," Nucl. Phys. B 644, 3 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0206255].
- [13] R. Dikgraaf and C. Vafa, \On geometry and matrix models," Nucl. Phys. B 644,21 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0207106].
- [14] R. Dijkgraaf, A. Sinkovics and M. Temurhan, \M atrix m odels and gravitational corrections," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7, 1155 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0211241].
- [15] B.Eynard, \Allorders asymptotic expansion of large partitions," J.Stat.Mech. 0807, P07023 (2008) [arXiv:0804.0381 [m ath-ph]].
- [16] A. Klemm and P. Sulkowski, \Seiberg-Witten theory and matrix models," arXiv:0810.4944 [hep-th].
- [17] K.Horiand C.Vafa, \M irror symmetry," arX iv hep-th/0002222.
- [18] M. Marino, \Open string am plitudes and large order behavior in topological string theory," JHEP 0803 (2008) 060 [arX iv:hep-th/0612127].
- [19] B. Haghighat, A. K lemm and M. Rauch, \Integrability of the holomorphic anomaly equations," JHEP 0810 (2008) 097 [arX iv:0809.1674 [hep-th]].

- [20] B. Eynard and N. Orantin, \Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion," arX iv m ath-ph/0702045.
- [21] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. O oguri and C. Vafa, \K odaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string am plitudes," Commun.M ath.Phys. 165, 311 (1994) [arX iv:hep-th/9309140].
- [22] P.C.Argyres, M.Ronen Plesser, N.Seiberg and E.W itten, New N=2 Superconform al Field Theories in Four D in ensions," Nucl. Phys. B 461, 71 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9511154].
- [23] V. Bouchard, A. Klemm, M. Marino and S. Pasquetti, \Remodeling the Bmodel," arXiv:0709.1453 [hep-th].
- [24] V.Bouchard, A.K lemm, M.Marino and S.Pasquetti, \Topological open strings on orbifolds," arX iv 0807.0597 [hep-th].
- [25] S. Yam aguchi and S. T. Yau, \Topological string partition functions as polynom ials," JHEP 0407,047 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0406078].
- [26] T.W. Grimm, A.Klemm, M.Marino and M.Weiss, \Direct integration of the topological string," JHEP 0708 (2007) 058 [arXiv:hep-th/0702187].
- [27] M. Alim and J. D. Lange, \Polynomial Structure of the (Open) Topological String Partition Function," JHEP 0710,045 (2007) [arX iv:0708.2886 [hep-th]].
- [28] S. Hosono, \BCOV ring and holom orphic anomaly equation", arX iv:0810.4795 [hep-th].
- [29] A.Klemm, W.Lerche, S.Yankielowicz and S.Theisen, \Simple singularities and N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory," Phys. Lett. B 344, 169 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9411048].
- [30] E.D 'Hoker, I.M. K richever and D.H. Phong, \The e ective prepotential of N
  = 2 supersymmetric SU (N (c)) gauge theories," Nucl. Phys. B 489, 179 (1997)
  [arX iv hep-th/9609041].
- [31] W . Nahm, \On the Seiberg-W itten approach to electric-magnetic duality," arX iv:hep-th/9608121.
- [32] K. Ito and S.K. Yang, \Prepotentials in N = 2 SU (2) supersymmetric Yang-M ills theory with massless hypermultiplets," Phys. Lett. B 366, 165 (1996) [arX iv hepth/9507144].
- [33] D. Zagier, \Elliptic Modular Forms and Their Applications," in \The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms," Springer, 2008.

- [34] C. Vafa, \A Stringy test of the fate of the conifold," Nucl. Phys. B 447, 252 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9505023].
- [35] D. Ghoshal and C. Vafa, \C = 1 String As The Topological Theory Of The Conifold," Nucl. Phys. B 453, 121 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9506122].
- [36] M. x. Huang, A. K lemm and S. Quackenbush, \Topological String Theory on Compact Calabi-Yau: Modularity and Boundary Conditions," arX iv hepth/0612125.
- [37] A.Klemm, W.Lerche and S.Theisen, \Nonperturbative e ective actions of N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11, 1929 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9505150].
- [38] Y. Ohta, \Prepotential of N = 2 SU(2) Yang-M ills gauge theory coupled with a massive matter multiplet," J. M ath. Phys. 37, 6074 (1996) [arX iv hepth/9604051].
- [39] Y.Ohta, \Prepotentials of N = 2 SU (2) Yang-M ills theories coupled with m assive matter multiplets," J.M ath. Phys. 38, 682 (1997) [arX iv hep-th/9604059].
- [40] R. Fricke, \D ie m odularen Funktionen und ihre Anwendungen," Bd 1 Teubner Leibzig (1916).
- [41] A. Brandhuber and S. Stieberger, \Periods, coupling constants and modular functions in N = 2 SU (2) SYM with massive matter," Int. J. M od. Phys. A 13, 1329 (1998) [arX iv:hep-th/9609130].
- [42] T.Eguchiand H.Kanno, \Topological strings and Nekrasov's form ulas," JHEP 0312,006 (2003) [arX iv:hep-th/0310235].
- [43] P.C. Argyres and M.R. Douglas, \New phenomena in SU(3) supersymmetric gauge theory," Nucl. Phys. B 448, 93 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9505062].
- [44] A.K lemm, O n the geometry behind N = 2 supersymmetric elective actions in four dimensions," arX iv hep-th/9705131.
- [45] M. Alim, J. D. Lange and P. Mayr, \G lobal Properties of Topological String Am plitudes and Orbifold Invariants," arX iv:0809.4253 [hep-th].
- [46] A.K lemm and E.Zaslow, \Localm irror symmetry at higher genus," arX iv hepth/9906046.
- [47] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, \Matrix model as a mirror of Chem-Simons theory," JHEP 0402,010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0211098].

- [48] B.Eynard, M.Marino and N.Orantin, \Holomorphic anomaly and matrix models," arX iv hep-th/0702110.
- [49] J. Walcher, \Extended Holomorphic Anomaly and Loop Amplitudes in Open Topological String," arX iv:0705.4098 [hep-th].
- [50] D.Kre and J.W alcher, arX iv:0902.0616 [hep-th].
- [51] M. Marino, R. Schiappa and M. Weiss, \Multi-Instantons and Multi-Cuts," arX iv:0809.2619 [hep-th].
- [52] B.Eynard and M.Marino, \A holom orphic and background independent partition function for matrix models and topological strings," arX iv:0810.4273 [hepth].