In itial conditions, time evolution and BE correlations in e^+e annihilation

T.Novak^y, T.Csorg∂^z, W.Kittel, W.J.Metzger, (for the L3 collaboration)

R adboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJN in egen, The Netherlands

Bose-Einstein correlations of identical charged-pion pairs produced in hadronic Z decays are analyzed in term s of various param etrizations. The -m odel with a one-sided Levy proper-time distribution provides a good description, enabling the source function to be reconstructed.

1. Introduction

In particle and nuclear physics intensity interferom etry provides a direct experim entalm ethod for the determ ination of sizes, shapes and lifetim es of particle-em itting sources (for recent reviews see [1, 2, 3]). In particular, boson interferom etry provides a powerful tool for the investigation of the space-time structure of particle production processes, since Bose-E instein correlations (BEC) of two identical bosons reject both geometrical and dynam ical properties of the particle radiating source.

For our analysis we use a sam ple of about 500 thousand two-jet events, selected by the Durham algorithm [6] with $y_{cut} = 0.006$, from e^+e annihilation data collected by L3 at a center-of-m ass energy of 91.2 GeV.

2. Param etrizations of BEC

The two-particle correlation function is de ned as:

$$R_{2}(p_{1};p_{2}) = \frac{2(p_{1};p_{2})}{1(p_{1})(1(p_{2}))};$$
(1)

^y speaker, present address: K aroly R obert C ollege, M atrai36, 3200, G yongyos, H ungary

^z visitor from Budapest, Hungary, sponsored by the Scienti c Exchange between Hungary (OTKA) and The N etherlands (NW O), project B64-27/N 25186.

where $_{2}(p_{1};p_{2})$ is the two-particle invariant momentum distribution, $_{1}(p_{1})$ the single-particle invariant momentum distributions and p_{1} the four-momentum of particle i. Since we are only interested in BEC, the product of single particle densities is replaced by the so-called reference sample, $_{0}(p_{1};p_{2})$, the two-particle density that would occur in the absence of Bose-E instein interference. Here we use mixed events as a reference sam ple[7].

A fter som e assumptions [1, 2], this two-particle correlation function is related to the Fourier transform ed source distribution. In this case

$$R_{2}(p_{1};p_{2}) = 1 + f(Q)f; \qquad (2)$$

where Q is the invariant four-m om entum di erence, $Q = {p - (p_1 p_2)^2}$ and f'(Q) is the Fourier transform of the density of the source, f(x).

2.1. Gaussian distributed source

The sim plest assumption is that the source has a symmetric Gaussian distribution, in which case f(Q) = exp iQ $\frac{(RQ)^2}{2}$ and

$$R_2(Q) = 1 + exp (RQ)^2 (1 + Q);$$
 (3)

where the parameter is a constant of normalization, is an intercept or incoherence factor, which measures the strength of the correlation, and (1 + Q) is introduced to parametrize possible long-range correlations not adequately accounted for in the reference sample.

A t of Eq.(3) to the data results in an unacceptably low con dence level [7] from which we conclude that the shape of the source deviates from a Gaussian. The t is particularly bad at low Q values.

2.2. Levy distributed source

A dopting N olan's S (; = 0; ; ;1) convention [8] for the symmetric Levy stable distribution with rescaling of the scale parameter to R and the location parameter to x_0 , the Fourier transform (characteristic function) f'(Q) has the following general form :

$$f'(Q) = \exp(iQ x_0 \quad \Re Q j):$$
(4)

The index of stability, , satis as the inequality 0 < 2. The case = 2 corresponds to a G aussian source distribution. For m ore details see [8].

Then R_2 has the following, relatively simple form [9]:

$$R_{2}(Q) = [1 + \exp((RQ))](1 + Q):$$
(5)

After thing Eq.(5) to the data it is clear that the correlation function is far from G aussian: 1:3. The condence level, although improved compared to the t of Eq.(3), is still unacceptably low [7].

3.The -m odel

A model of strongly correlated phase space was developed [12] to explain the experimentally found invariant relative momentum Q dependence of Bose-Einstein correlations in e^+e^- reactions. This model also predicts a speci c transverse mass dependence of R₂, that we subject to an experimental test here. In this model, it is assumed that the average production point \overline{x} of particles with a given momentum k is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$$
 (k) = dk : (6)

In the case of two-jet events, $d = \frac{m_t}{m_t}$, where $= \frac{p}{t^2} \frac{k_z^2}{k_z^2}$ is the longitudinal proper-time and $m_t = \frac{m^2 + p_t^2}{m^2 + p_t^2}$ is the transverse mass. The second assumption is that the distribution of x (k) about its average, (x (k) \overline{x} (k)), is narrower than the proper-time distribution. Then

(x (k) x (k)), is harrower than the proper-till edistribution. Then the emission function of the -m odel is

$$S(x;k) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dH(x) (x dk) N_{1}(k);$$
(7)

where H () is the longitudinal proper-time distribution, the factor (x dk) describes the strength of the correlations between coordinate space and m om entum space variables and N₁(k) is the experimentally measurable single-particle spectrum. In the plane-wave approximation the Yano-K conin form ula [13] gives the following two-pion multiplicity distribution:

$${}_{2}(k_{1};k_{2}) = d^{4}x_{1}d^{4}x_{2}S(x_{1};k_{1})S(x_{2};k_{2})(1 + \cos[(k_{1} k_{2})(x_{1} x_{2})]):$$
(8)

A pproximating the function by a D irac delta function, the argument of the cosine becomes

$$(k_1 \quad k_2)(x_1 \quad x_2) = 0:5(d_1 + d_2)Q^2$$
: (9)

Then the two-particle Bose-Einstein correlation function is obtained as

$$R_{2}(k_{1};k_{2}) = 1 + ReH^{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{2m_{t}}^{!};$$
 (10)

where $H^{e}(!) = {}^{R} d H$ () exp(i!) is the Fourier transform of H (). Thus an invariant relative momentum dependent BEC appears.

Guided by the result of the previous section, we use a one-sided Levy distribution for the longitudinal proper-time density. The corresponding

BEC function has an analytic form [9, 10, 11]:

$$R_{2}(Q^{2};\overline{m}_{t}) = 1 + \cos \frac{Q^{2}}{\overline{m}_{t}} + A \frac{Q^{2}}{\overline{m}_{t}} \exp \frac{Q^{2}}{\overline{m}_{t}} B$$
(11)

where the parameter $_0$ is the proper-time of the onset of particle production,

is a measure of the width of the proper-time distribution, A = tan $\frac{1}{4}$ and B = (1 + Q).

A ssum ing that particle production starts immediately and de ning an e ective radius, R , [11] R $_2$ simpli es to

$$R_{2}(Q) = {}^{h} 1 + \cos^{h} (R_{a}Q)^{2} \exp^{(R_{a}Q)^{2}} (R_{a}Q)^{2}$$
(12)

where R $_{\rm a}$ is related to R by R $_{\rm a}^2~$ = tan(~ =2)R 2 .

The t of Eq.(12) to the data is statistically acceptable [7]. The data are well described by the t. For Q between 0.5 GeV and 1.5 GeV the data points go below the level of the long-range correlations extrapolated to low er Q values. These data points indicate an anti-correlation in the Q 1 GeV region. This property of the data is well reproduced by the tted curve, which also goes below unity as a result of the cosine term in Eq.(12), which com es from the asymmetric Levy assumption.

After thing Eq.(11) for various \overline{m}_t intervals we not that the quality of the ts is statistically acceptable and the the values of the model param – eters are stable and within errors the same in all investigated m_t intervals, con m ing the m_t-dependence predicted by the -m odel. The -m odel with a one-sided Levy proper-time distribution describes the data with parameters $_0 = 0$ fm, 0:43 0:03 and 1:8 0:4 fm (the di erence in m_t of the two pions is required to be less than 0.2 GeV).

4. R econstruction of the em ission function

In order to reconstruct the space-time picture of the emitting process we assume that the emission function can be factorized in the following way:

$$S(r;z;t) = I(r)G()H();$$
 (13)

where I(r) is the single-particle transverse distribution, G() is the spacetime rapidity distribution of particle production, which approximately coincides with the single-particle rapidity distribution, and H() is the observed proper-time distribution.

W ith these assumptions one can reconstruct the longitudinal part of the emission function integrated over the transverse distribution. It is plotted as a function of t and z in Fig. 1. It exhibits the typical boom erang shape



Fig.1. Two views of the longitudinal part of the source function normalized to the average number of pions per event.

with a maximum at low t and z but with tails reaching out to very large t and z values.

The transverse pro le, which follows from Eq. (7), is given by

$$\frac{d^4n}{d d^3r} = \frac{m_t^3}{3}H \ ()N_1 \ k = \frac{m_tr}{3} \ (14)$$

This equation describes the particle production in coordinate space as a function of the proper-time . It describes the expansion of the source as the proper-tim e increases. The particle production probability is proportional to the proper-tim e distribution H (). Fig. 2 shows the transverse part of the em ission function for various proper-times. Particle production starts in mediately, increases rapidly and decreases slow ly. A ring-like structure, sim ilar to the expanding, ring-like wave created by a pebble in a pond, is reconstructed from L3 data, as shown in Fig. 3. An animated gif le that shows this e ect is available from [16].

5. A cknow ledgem ent

This research was supported by the OTKA grantsNK 73143 and TO 49466, as well as by the exchange program of the Hungarian A cadem y of Sciences and the Polish A cadem y of Arts and Sciences.

REFERENCES

- [1] W .K ittel, Acta Phys. Pol. B 32 (2001) 3927.
- [2] T.Csorg ϕ, Heavy Ion Physics 15, (2002) 1.
- [3] T.Csorg), http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0766
- [4] M.Altho et al. (TASSO Collab.), Z. Phys C 30 (1986) 355.



Fig.2. The source function norm alized to the average number of pions per event for various proper-times.

- [5] T.Csorgø and B.Lorstadt, Phys. Rev. C 54 (1996) 1390.
- [6] S.Cantaniet al, Phys. Lett. B 279 (1991) 432.
- [7] Tam as Novak, PhD. thesis, Radboud University Nijnegen (2008) http://webdoc.ubn.ru.nl/mono/n/novak_t/bosecoine.pdf
- [8] J. P. Nolan, Stable distributions: Models for Heavy Tailed Data http://academic2.american.edu/~jpnolan/stable/CHAP1PDF
- [9] T.Csorgø, S.Hegyi, W.A.ZajcEur.Phys.J.C 36 (2004) 67.hep-ph/0301164
- [10] T.C. sorgo, private communication
- [11] T.Csorgo, W.Kittel, W.J.Metzger, T.Novak Phys. Lett. B 663 (2008) 214.
- [12] T.Csorgo and J.Zim anyi, Nucl. Phys. A 517 (1990) 588.
- [13] F.B.Yano and S.E.Koonin, Phys. Lett. B 78 (1978) 556.
- [14] N.M. Agababyan at al. (NA22 Collab.), Phys. Lett. B 422 (1998) 359.
- [15] A.Ster, T.Csorgo and B.Lorstad, Nucl. Phys A 661 (1999) 419.
- [16] http://www.hefkun.nl/~novakt/movie/movie.gif