Non-Perturbative E ects on a Fractional D 3-Brane

Gabriele Ferretti¹ and Christo er Petersson^{1;2}

¹D epartm ent of Fundam ental Physics Chalm ers University of Technology, 412 96 G oteborg, Sweden

²PH-TH Division, CERN CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland

ferretti@chalmers.se, chrpet@chalmers.se

A bstract: In this note we study the N = 1 abelian gauge theory on the world volum e of a single fractionalD 3-brane. In the lim it where gravitational interactions are not completely decoupled we nd that a superpotential and a ferm ionic bilinear condensate are generated by a D-brane instanton e ect. A related situation arises for an isolated cycle invariant under an orientifold projection, even in the absence of any gauge theory brane. M oreover, in presence of supersymmetry breaking background uxes, such instanton con gurations induce new couplings in the 4-dim ensional e ective action, including non-perturbative contributions to the cosm ological constant and non-supersymmetric m ass term s.

Keywords: Instantons, D-branes.

C ontents

1.	Inti	roduction	1
2.	D-Instanton E ects in N = 1 W orld Volum e Theories		2
	2.1	Fractional D 3-branes at an 0 rbifold Singularity	3
	2.2	Non-Perturbative E ects in Pure U (1) Gauge Theory	7
	2.3	C om putation of the Superpotential and the C ondensates	9
	2.4	The Pure Sp(0) Case	11
3.	. Instanton E ects in Flux Backgrounds		12

1. Introduction

The construction of the instanton action by means of string theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] has helped elucidating the physical meaning of the ADHM construction [7] and allowed for an explicit treatment of a large class of non-perturbative phenomena in supersymmetric theories. Since string theory is a consistent enlargement of the eld theory framework, we should not expect the elects of these instantons to be limited to those of their eld theoretical counterpart. In fact, instantons in string theory, realized as wrapped Euclidean branes, give rise to additional elects, not only in the gravitational sector, but also in the gauge theories to which they couple.

In many cases of interest these e ects arise by taking seriously the picture of the instanton as an independent wrapped brane and by allowing it to in uence the dynamics of theories that would ordinarily not support a gauge instanton pro le. Recently, instanton calculus in string theory has found many applications in attempts of constructing sem i-realistic string vacua [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] since Euclidean branes can give rise to couplings in the elective action that are forbidden to allorders in perturbation theory, such as M a jorana masses for neutrinos and the 10 10 5 Yukawa coupling in GUT SU (5).

In this note we analyze simple brane congurations which allow for various D-brane instanton e ects. In particular we focus on the N = 1 world volume theory of a single spacelling fractional D 3-brane probing a singularity. Such a pure U (1) gauge theory corresponds to the limiting case between gauge theories that admit ordinary gauge instanton e ects and those that admit instanton e ects which do not have an obvious interpretation in terms of ordinary eld theory. By embedding this seem ingly trivial theory within string theory, we are provided with a UV complete version which turns out to have several non-trivial features. First of all we note, from the point of view of both open and closed strings, that this pure U (1) gauge theory seems to exhibit an asymptotically free running of the gauge coupling constant at high energies. Then, by evaluating the moduli space integral, we nd that a non-perturbative superpotential is generated by a D-instanton e ect. Moreover, we set up and perform the calculation concerning the corresponding ferm ionic bilinear condensate and nd it to be non-vanishing in a one-instanton background.

These results are of course in contrast with the vanishing results one obtains in standard commutative pure abelian gauge theory, and indeed we only nd non-vanishing results in the limit where gravity is not completely decoupled and the eld theory/ADHM interpretation is abandoned. The idea of working in the string theory limit in order to obtain non-vanishing instanton corrections to the 4-dimensional elective action was also used, for example, in [5]. However, while that paper discussed D-instanton contributions to higher derivative terms in the N = 4 abelian gauge theory on a D3-brane in at space, we will be concerned with fractional D-instanton contributions to the superpotential in the N = 1 abelian gauge theory on a fractional D3-brane at a singularity.

A nalogous argum ents can be applied to the case of an isolated vanishing 2-cycle which is invariant under an orientifold projection. A lthough no space-lling D-branes are wrapping the cycle and there is no notion of any gauge dynamics, we can still have a well-de ned instanton action and moduli space integral. A lso in this Sp(0) gauge theory we nd that a non-perturbative superpotential is generated by a wrapped Euclidean D1-brane (ED1brane). Since this procedure in general induces an explicit dependence on several of the resolved 2-cycle volum es in the superpotential, it is of interest in the context of moduli stabilization in type IIB ux com pacti cations [16].

The results we nd agree with previous arguments that have been put forward in the context of geometric transitions and matrix models $[17, 18]^1$. These papers argued that a Veneziano-Yankielowicz superpotential should be present at low energies in UV complete versions of pure U (1) and Sp(0) theories. The reason is because residual instanton e ects arise along the H iggs branch of these theories after brane-antibrane pairs have been added, and the gauge group has been embedded into a supergroup. It is interesting that these e ects can also be explained by a direct D-instanton computation.

Finally, we discuss how these low rank gauge theories are a ected when we turn on some background uxes that induce soft supersymmetry breaking mass terms in the 4-dimensional theory. Since these uxes also induce new couplings in the elective instanton action we obtain non-vanishing instanton corrections to the 4-dimensional elective action from congurations that give a vanishing contribution in absence of uxes. We comment on congurations that give a non-perturbative contribution to the cosm ological constant and also on congurations where both supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric mass terms are generated by a D-instanton elect.

2. D -Instanton E ects in N = 1 W orld Volum e Theories

Consider a generic, local, N = 1 IIB brane con guration on $R^{3,1}$ K₆. By \local" we

¹See [19] for a recent discussion concerning the relation between m atrix m odels and D-brane instantons. The arguments in that paper that involve instanton generated superpotentials are related and in agreement with the corresponding results found in this note, but the derivations are different.

m ean that we are considering only a small region of K $_6$ where the branes are present, ignoring global issues. Depending on the position of the D-brane instanton, relative to the space-lling branes, we distinguish between two non-trivial possibilities:

C ase A : The instantonic D-brane wraps a cycle upon which m ore than one space-lling D-brane are also wrapped. In this case the ED-brane can be interpreted as an ordinary gauge instanton. If the matter content allows it, such con gurations can generate A eck-D ine-Seiberg (ADS) [20, 21, 22] superpotentials which schematically have the structure:

$$W^{np} = \frac{b}{b^3}; b > 0;$$
 (2.1)

where is the dynam ically generated scale and its exponent corresponds to the coe cient of the one-loop -function. The expression b^3 denotes a generic gauge invariant com bination of the chiralm atter elds charged under the gauge group where the instanton resides.

C ase B : The ED-brane w raps a cycle which is either occupied by a single space-lling D-brane, or is unoccupied but invariant under an orientifold projection. In both cases the w rapped ED-brane can not be directly interpreted as an ordinary gauge instanton². However, such con gurations may still give rise to a term in the superpotential if them atter content of the other nodes allows it [8,9,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34]. Such term s are polynom ial in the matter elds since they arise only from the integration over the ferm ionic zero-modes and we write them schem atically as

$$W^{np} = {}^{3n} {}^{n}; n 0:$$
 (2.2)

Here, 3 n no longer corresponds to the coe cient of the beta function for any of the nodes to which couples and the dimensionful constant is not the dynamical scale for these nodes. However, as will be discussed in the next section, 3n is well-de ned in terms of the D-brane instanton action and the dimension 3 n can be understood in terms of non-vanishing open string one-loop am plitudes.

We will also see in the next section that the n = 3 b = 0 case arises as an interesting limiting case of both these types of congurations. For this case, since there are no (nonvanishing vacuum expectation values of) chiral super elds connected to the instanton node, we need another way to introduce a nite scale in order to smoothen out the instanton moduli space singularities. This scale is naturally provided if we keep the string scale nite, and thus refrain from taking the strict eld theory/ADHM limit. Even though we choose to work in a simple orbifold setting, the more general toric case can straightforwardly be inferred from this construction, using for example the prescriptions given in [33, 34].

2.1 Fractional D 3-branes at an O rbifold Singularity

W e will now illustrate the physics outlined above by considering a C 3 =Z $_2$ Z $_2$ orbifold as an example [35]. Let us denote by (N $_1$;N $_2$;N $_3$;N $_4$) a conguration with N $_1$ space-lling

²See how ever [23, 24, 25] for a discussion on how some of these instanton e ects can be seen as a strong coupling e ect by using Seiberg dualities.

fractional branes D 3_i at nodes i = 1;2;3;4 in the quiver. On the world volume of these fractional D 3-branes we obtain a (non-chiral) gauge theory with gauge group $U(N_i)$ and with chiral super eds, $_{ij}$ with $i \in j$, transforming in the bifundam ental representations. Throughout this note we will only consider a single fractional D (-1)-instanton at node 1, denoted by D (-1)₁.

Let us rst recall the zero mode structure of a $D(-1)_1$ -instanton in such a system (see [28] for details). In the neutral sector, consisting of modes of the open string beginning and ending on the $D(-1)_1$ -instanton, there are 4 bosonic zero modes x along with 3 auxiliary modes D^c and 4 ferm ionic modes and -. In the charged sector, consisting of m assless modes charged under the 4-dimensional gauge groups, there are $4N_1$ bosonic moduli ! _, ! _ from the strings stretching between the $D(-1)_1$ -instanton and the $N_1 D 3_1$ -branes. Furtherm ore, in the charged sector there are $2N_1$ ferm ionic modes i1, i1 from the open strings stretching between the $D(-1)_1$ -instanton and the $N_1 D 3_1$ -branes at node i.

From the scaling dimension of the moduli elds (see e.g. [6]) we obtain the dimension of the measure for the moduli space integral corresponding to this instanton con guration,

In order to obtain a dimensionless term in the elective 4-dimensional action we need to have a prefactor for the moduli space integral that compensates for the dimension in (2.3). By also taking into account the contribution from the complexied vacuum instanton disk amplitude [1], given by (minus) the node 1 instanton classical action $S_1^{ED1} = 2$ i₁, we conclude that the prefactor should have the following structure

$$^{3N_1N_2N_3N_4} = M_s^{3N_1N_2N_3N_4} e^{2i_1}$$
: (2.4)

In this expression we refer to the general situation, away from the orbifold limit, where $_1$ is the complexied volume of the node 1 resolved 2-cycle $_1$ in K $_6$ upon which the ED 1-brane is wrapped, $_7$ be

$$_{1} = \frac{1}{4^{2} 0} \begin{bmatrix} L & h & p \\ C_{2} + ie & detg \end{bmatrix}^{i}$$
(2.5)

Here C_2 is the RR 2-form gauge potential, is the dilaton and g is the string fram emetric pulled back onto the world volume of the ED1-brane. Note that (2.4) and (2.5) are well-de nd even in the case when there are no space lling D5-branes wrapped on _1, although in that case there is no 4-dimensional gauge coupling constant to which we can relate _1.

0 ne-loop corrections

The dimension of the prefactor of the D $(-1)_1$ -instanton amplitude (2.4) can also be obtained by studying one-loop uctuations around the instanton [22, 36, 37]. A non-vanishing dimension corresponds to non-vanishing annulus³ vacuum amplitudes with one end on the

 $^{^3}$ In the presence of orientifolds one must also take into account M obius vacuum amplitudes between the D (-1)₁-instanton and the orientifold.

D $(-1)_1$ -instanton and the other end on one of the D 3_1 -branes. The m assless m odes circling the loop give rise to a logarithm ic correction to the tree level vacuum D $(-1)_1$ disk am plitude, and the sum of the coe cients of these corrections is precisely given by 3N $_1$ N $_2$ N $_3$ N $_4$, in agreem ent with (2.4).

In the case when there are space-lling D 5-branes wrapped on the same 2-cycle as the instanton we can relate this e ect to the gauge coupling constant, $_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{14}{g_1^2}$, for the world volum e gauge theory of the D 5-branes at node 1. This implies that the logarithm ic corrections to the instanton action can now be identied with logarithm ic corrections to the gauge coupling constant, and hence the dimension of the D-instanton amplitude prefactor can be identied with the one-loop -function coe cient b₁ for the coupling constant g₁ of the gauge group at node 1. Furtherm ore, the dimension of the prefactor can now alternatively be obtained by considering one-loop amplitudes between space lling D 5-branes with two gauge eld vertex operators inserted along the boundary of the D 5-branes at node 1 [22, 36, 37].

The one-bop -function coe cient can also be obtained on the closed string side from the dual supergravity solution for fractional D 3-branes at a $C^3=Z_2$ Z_2 orbifold singularity, given in [38,39]. By expanding the square root in the D irac-Born-Infeld action to quadratic order in the gauge eld we get the prefactor of the gauge kinetic term, and thereby an expression for the gauge coupling constant g_1 of the D 3_1 -brane world volum e theory. This expression for g_1 incorporates the twisted scalars that correspond to the ux of the NS-NS B₂- eld through the vanishing 2-cycles of the orbifold geom etry. Since each type of fractional D 3-brane is charged under all the three twisted sectors, they act as sources for all the twisted scalars and induce a logarithm ic pro le for them. By inserting this supergravity solution into the prefactor of the gauge kinetic term we recover the sam e logarithm ic behavior as above⁴.

The key point for our purposes is that all of these procedures give us an expression for the one-loop -function coe cient for the gauge coupling constant g_1 of the D 3_1 -branes that is valid for $N_1 = 1$ as well as $N_1 > 1$. For the case we will be mostly interested in later on, when $N_1 = 1$ and $N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = 0$, we get that $b_1 = 3$, indicating that the abelian world volume theory on the single D 3_1 -brane exhibits an asym ptotically free behavior at high energy. This non-vanishing coe cient of course does not agree with the vanishing result one obtains from an ordinary N = 1 pure abelian gauge theory⁵ and we interpret it as being due to the stringy UV com pletion.

Instanton generated superpotentials

The cases A and B discussed around (2.1) and (2.2) are known to arise in this particular

⁴ The reason why the result we obtained from circling the massless open string modes in the annulus calculation can be precisely mapped to the tree level result for the massless closed (supergravity) modes is because of the absence of threshold corrections from the massive modes to the prefactor of the gauge kinetic term [40]. Therefore, the infrared logarithm ic divergence in the closed string tree-level channel due to the twisted tadpoles is exactly rejected in the open string one-loop channel as an ultraviolet logarithm ic divergence due to the lack of conform al invariance (unless N₁ = N₂ = N₃ = N₄) in the world volume theory.

 $^{{}^{5}}N$ ote that the result $b_{1} = 3$ does how ever agree with the result one nds for a pure N = 1 abelian gauge theory de ned on a noncommutative background [41, 42].

orbifold and can both be induced by a D $(-1)_1$ -instanton for the following surrounding of space-lling fractional D 3-branes:

Case A : $(N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4) = (N + 1; N; 0; 0)$. The gauge group is $U(N + 1)_1 U(N)_2$ and we have chiral edds $_{12}$ and $_{21}$ transform ing in the bifundam ental representation. In this con guration a non-perturbative superpotential is generated by a $D(-1)_1$ -instanton e ect:

$$W_{A}^{np} = \frac{\frac{3+2N}{A}}{\det[21 \ 12]};$$
(2.6)

where $_A$ is the dynamical scale of U (N + 1)₁ and the one-loop –function coe cient is correctly given by b_1^A = 3N $_1$ $\,$ N $_2$ = 3 + 2N $\,$.

Case B: $(N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4) = (1; N; N; 0)$. The gauge group is U $(1)_1$ U $(N)_2$ U $(N)_3$ and the following non-perturbative superpotential is generated by the D $(-1)_1$ -instanton [32]:

$$W_{B}^{np} = {}_{B}^{32N} det[_{3223}]:$$
 (2.7)

Here $_{23}$ and $_{32}$ are in the bifundamental of the two U (N) factors but $_{\rm B}$ does not correspond to the dynamical scale of either of them. Instead, as discussed above, $_{\rm B}$ denote the fact that (2.7) is a D (-1)₁-instanton amplitude and $b_1^{\rm B} = 3$ N₂ N₃ = 3 2N.

Instanton-generated condensates

From the general relation between gaugino condensates and low energy e ective superpotentials,

htr[]i
$$\frac{1}{b_1} \frac{0}{0}$$
 HW ^{np}i; (2.8)

where is the gaugino of the vector multiplet at node 1, we expect that the superpotentials generated in both case A and B are in one-to-one correspondence with the formation of a vacuum expectation value in a $D(-1)_1$ -instanton background. Let us perform a simple counting of fermionic zero modes in order to see which type of condensates we should expect. M oreover, let us discuss the case when we have placed an arbitrary number of k_1 $D(-1)_1$ -instantons at node 1.

We rst of all note that there is an equal number of massless ferm ionic modes, from the various types of open strings with at least one end attached to one of the $k_1 D (-1)_1$ instantons, for both case A and B since there is an equal number of fractional D 3-branes in both con gurations, although they are of type (N + 1;N;0;0) in case A and of type (1;N;N;0) in case B. This gives us the dimension of the ferm ionic part of the instanton m oduli space [29] for both case A and B,⁶

$$\dim [M_F] = n + n - n = n - 2k_1 + 4N k_1; \qquad (2.9)$$

⁶R em em ber that we subtract the num ber of 's since they act as Lagrange multipliers enforcing the ferm ionic ADHM -constraints.

which we will now compare to the number of fermionic zero modes required by the following two types of condensates:

C ase A :From the relation (2.8) for case A we get that the following condensate is form ed in a D $(-1)_1$ -instanton background [20, 21],

htr[]]det[
$$_{21}$$
 $_{12}$]i = $_{A}^{3+2N}$; (2.10)

where we have multiplied both sides of (2.8) with det [$_{21}$ $_{12}$]. Since each gaugino soaks up one ferm ionic zero mode and (the scalar component of) each chiral super eld soaks up two, we need an instanton background with 2 + 4N ferm ionic zero modes, which agrees with (2.9) for $k_1 = 1$. If we instead were to place $k_1 > 1 D (-1)_1$ -instantons at node 1, the condensate (2.10) would require the presence of dim $_A M_F$] = 2 + 2($k_1 b_1^A$ 3) ferm ionic zero modes, which does not agree with (2.9). Thus, for $k_1 > 1$ we do not expect a condensate of type (2.10) to be generated.

C ase B : For case B, the relation (2.8) indicates that the following condensate should be form ed in a D $(-1)_1$ -instanton background,

h
$$i = {}_{B}^{3 2N} \text{ hdet}[_{32 23}]i;$$
 (2.11)

where we have rem oved the trace since in (2.11) refers to the abelian ferm ions in the U (1) vector multiplet at node 1. If we were to consider them ore general case with $k_1 D (-1)_1 - 1$ instantons, the condensate (2.11) would require the presence of dim $_B M_F = 2 + 2(3 k_1 b_1^B)$ ferm ionic zero modes. Hence, since this dimension only agrees with (2.9) for $k_1 = 1$ it is only in a one-instanton background we expect a condensate of type (2.11) to be generated.

In section 2.3 we will show that these expectations are fullled by doing explicit D-instanton computations.

2.2 Non-Perturbative E ects in Pure U(1) G auge Theory

The limiting situation for both case A and B, when N = 0, corresponds to a pure U (1) gauge theory. For our speci c orbifold it is possible to interpolate between these two con guration by moving various N = 2 branes" [43] in and out from in nity. For instance, starting from the con guration A it is possible to move a fractional D3-brane of type (1,1,0,0) away from the singularity, in plying a Higgsing of the theory to U (N)₁ U (N 1)₂. Further Higgsing leaves us with a pure U (1) theory on the rst node. Similarly, we can get to the U (1) theory by successively removing fractional branes of type (0;1;1;0) from con guration B. By using renorm alization group m atching and the fact that $b_1 = 3$ for the pure U (1) case, we are led to believe that also in the limiting N = 0 case, a non-perturbative superpotential with the follow ing structure is generated,

$$W^{np} = {}^3:$$
 (2.12)

In a more general situation, quite independent from the orbifold we used, such a theory corresponds to the interm ediate case between (2.1) and (2.2) where n = b 3 = 0, on a

cycle w ithout chiralm atter. Since (2.12) has the structure of a one-instanton am plitude it should be generated by a one-instanton e ect on an isolated node, and if so, we must be able to calculate it using the D-instanton techniques. A lthough there are no instanton e ects in standard pure abelian gauge theory⁷, in the next section we show that in this string theory realization we do generate (2.12) because of the incom plete decoupling of gravity. M oreover, in accordance with the discussion in the previous section and the relation (2.8), we also expect for the particular case when N = 0 that the superpotential (2.12) is generated whenever the follow ing condensate is form ed in a D (-1)₁-instanton background,

h
$$i = {}^{3}$$
: (2.13)

Note that (2.12) and (2.13) have the same structure as in the case of the usual nonabelian gaugino condensation for pure N = 1 SYM. In this case how ever, when the num ber of colors is greater than one, neither (2.12) nor (2.13) can be generated directly by a oneinstanton e ect since the one-loop -function coe cient does not agree with the dimension of the superpotential or the condensate.

A lso note that both (2.12) and (2.13) are expected from the point of view of geom etric transitions [45,46] and the D ijkgraaf-Vafa theory [47,48,49], (see also [17,18,30,19]). One m ight for example argue that even a single D 5-brane, wrapped on one of the 2-cycles in the resolved geom etry, should trigger a geom etric transition⁸ resulting in a nite sized 3-cycle with a single unit of 3-form ux through it. This should then be rejected in the low energy e ective theory by the presence of a Veneziano-Yankielow icz (VY) superpotential [50] for the glueball ed S tr [], corresponding to the size of the 3-cycle:

$$W_{VY} = h(G)S \ 1 \ \log \frac{S}{3}$$
; (2.14)

where h(G) is the dual C oxeter num ber of the gauge group G. In a UV complete fram ework it is expected to have the following generalized de nitions [17, 18],

$$h(U(N)) = N; h(Sp(N)) = N + 1; h(SO(N)) = N 2;$$
 (2.15)

valid for all N 0 and not just for those values corresponding to non-abelian gauge groups. For instance, one gets $h(U(1)) = 1 \notin h(SO(2)) = 0$ and h(Sp(0)) = 1. Thus, this prescription tells us that a VY superpotential should be added in the U(1) case, and hence that the ferm ion bilinear should acquire a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value, corresponding to (2.13), in agreement with the fact that the 3-cycle in the IR regime acquires a nite size. Moreover, when inserting this result back into the VY superpotential one obtains (2.12). In the following section we will show that these results for the U(1) case (and the Sp(0) case) can be precisely explained by a D-instanton e ect on a 2-cycle upon which a single D 5-brane (or an orientifold plane for the Sp(0) case) is also wrapped.

 $^{^{7}}$ This is in contrast to a noncommutative pure abelian gauge theory which does have non-singular instanton solutions [44].

⁸N ote that even the fractional D 3-branes at the $C^3 = Z_2 - Z_2$ orbifold singularity we are using here are expected to deform the geometry in the IR [39].

Finally, one m ight be worried that the U (1) vector multiplet is rendered m assive at the string scale by its coupling to the background RR - elds. However, since this U (1) is non-anom alous it is massless in the non-com pact lim it and only gets a mass upon com - pactication [51, 52, 53], which can be much smaller than the string scale. Our statements are applicable within this range of masses.

2.3 C om putation of the Superpotential and the C ondensates

Having argued from m any di erent points of view that contributions like (2.12) and (2.13) are expected when the U (1) node is embedded into a constistent stringy UV completion, we now proceed to an explicit computation using the corresponding instanton action.

The superpotential can be computed by evaluating the moduli space integral for the conguration with a $D(-1)_1$ -instanton and a $D3_1$ -brane,

$$S_{np}^{4d} = \overset{Z}{d^{4}xd^{2}} W_{np} = \overset{Z}{d^{4}xd^{2}} \overset{h}{}^{3} \overset{Z}{d^{2}} - \overset{d^{3}D}{d^{2}!} \overset{c}{d^{2}!} \overset{d^{2}}{-} \overset{d}{d^{1}t} \overset{i}{}^{2} \overset{i}{-} \overset{d}{d^{1}t} \overset{i}{}^{2} \overset{i}{\cdot}^{2} \overset$$

where the instanton action for the moduli elds is given by [5, 6], ⁹

$$S_{m \text{ oduli}}^{0 \text{ d}} = \frac{1}{2g_0^2} (D^{\circ})^2 + iD^{\circ} ! - (^{\circ})_{-}^{-}! + i(_{11}! + !_{-11}) -; \qquad (2.17)$$

and the dimensionful 0-dimensional coupling constant reads $1=g_0^2 = 4^{-3} \quad {}^{02}=g_s$. Note that the prefactor 3 in (2.16) already saturates the dimension of a superpotential term. Thus, from dimensional analysis we can conclude that the result of the integral must have the structure of (2.12), up to a dimensionless constant. Let us show that this dimensionless constant is non-zero.

The --variables only appears linearly and when we integrate them out we bring down two ferm ionic -functions in the measure, enforcing the ferm ionic ADHM -constraints. From the product of these two -functions we get a cross-term that contain both the $_{11}$ and the $_{11}$ variable which we integrate out. We are then left with the following bosonic integral:

$$W_{np} = {}^{3} d^{3}D^{c}d^{2}! d^{2} - - - - ! e^{\frac{1}{2q_{0}^{2}}(D^{c})^{2} iD^{c}(! - (c^{c})_{-}^{-}! -)}$$

$$= {}^{3} d^{3}D^{c}d^{4}y y y e^{\frac{2i(y_{1}y_{3} + y_{2}y_{4})D^{1}}{2q_{0}^{2}}(D^{2})^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2q_{0}^{2}}(D^{1})^{2}}$$

$$= {}^{2i(y_{1}y_{4}y_{2}y_{3})D^{2}} \frac{1}{2q_{0}^{2}}(D^{2})^{2}} e^{\frac{i(y_{1}^{2} + y_{2}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{4}^{2})D^{3}}{2q_{0}^{2}}} ; (2.18)$$

where $!_1 = y_1 + iy_2$ and $!_2 = y_3 + iy_4$. If we were to take the eld theory/ADHM limit, $g_0 ! 1$, or equivalently, $^0 ! 0$ with g_s xed, then the quadratic $(D^c)^2$ -term s would vanish and the D^c - elds would act as Lagrange multipliers, enforcing the ordinary ADHM

 $^{^{9}}$ As argued before from the ferm ionic zero mode counting, there is no contribution from con gurations with more that one D (-1)₁-instanton at node 1. This can be explicitly seen here since for $k_1 > 1$ we would have $2k_1^2$ ferm ionic Lagrange multipliers – but only $2k_1$ charged ferm ions $_{11}$ and $_{11}$. Thus, when we integrate over the -m odes we always get that each charged ferm ionic zero mode appear more than once in the measure and hence anti-com mutes to zero.

constraints and hence set the instanton size, $^2 = \underline{T} - ! _ = \underline{y} \quad \underline{y}$, to zero. In this case we would not get any contribution to the superpotential. 10

Thus, we refrain from taking the lim it ⁰! 0 and thereby give up the ordinary ADHM instanton m oduli space interpretation, in plying that from here on we are considering a true $D(-1)_{R}$ -instanton e ect in the D31-brane world volume theory. We can now use the fact that $e^{2bx ax^2} dx = \frac{p}{a} e^{b^2 - a}$ for a > 0 and obtain the following simple expression,

$$W_{np} = {}^{3} 2 g_{0}^{2} {}^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{4}y y y e^{\frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2}(y y)^{2}}$$

= {}^{3} 2 g_{0}^{2} {}^{\frac{3}{2}}(vols^{3}) d^{5} e^{\frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2}4}
= {}^{3} 2 {}^{4} (2.19)

The trivial numerical constant can be absorbed into the denition of . What is important is that the result is independent of g_0 . This implies that the procedure of not decoupling gravity completely can be seen as a regularization which introduces a minimal scale, smoothens out the moduli space singularity and gives rise to a non-vanishing contribution to the superpotential.

C om puting the condensates

W e can perform a similar computation to show the form ation of a corresponding condensate involving the ferm ionic bilinears. W e will do this in all generality, recovering (2.10) and (2.11) for case A and B and also (2.13) for the U (1) case.

For this we need the instanton pro le for the 4-dim ensional gauginos. The pro le for any of the 4-dim ensional elds can be obtained by com puting tree levelam plitudes on m ixed disks with one vertex operator insertion for a gauge theory eld and the rem aining insertions for m oduli elds [5,6]. A lthough such a m ixed disk am plitude has multiple insertions from the point of view of the worldsheet it should be thought of as a 1-point function from the point of view of the 4-dim ensional gauge theory. The non-dynam ical m oduli elds m erely describe the non-trivial instanton background on which the dynam ical 4-dim ensional elds depend. The instanton pro le is then obtained by multiplying the m ixed disk am plitude with a m assless propagator and taking the Fourier transform [6].

We begin by considering the case where we have placed N₁ D 3₁-branes at node 1, together with the D $(-1)_1$ -instanton. The gaugino has tadpoles on m ixed disks with either !_ and m oduli insertions or with !_ and insertions. In addition to the pro le contribution these am plitudes give rise to we also get a contribution from when we act with the supersymm etry generators that were broken by the D $(-1)_1$ -instanton [5]. This shifts the zero m odes that correspond to the broken supersymm etries and thereby introduces an extra term in the gaugino pro le that depends explicitly on . From this analysis we

 $^{^{10}}$ O ne way to prevent the instanton from shrinking to zero size and smoothen out the moduli space singularity is to add a Fayet-Iliopoulos term iD $^{\circ}$ to the elective instanton action (2.17). This term is added when the gauge theory is de ned on a non-commutative background and it in plements a deformation of the bosonic ADHM constraints [44].

obtain an expression for a pair of gauginos with the following structure [54],

tr[] =
$$\frac{4}{(X - x)^2 + 2^4} + ;$$
 (2.20)

where X is the space-time coordinate while x still denotes the position and the size of the instanton. The ellipses denote term s with less powers of that will not be important for our purposes.

The expression (2.20) for the pair of gauginos in terms of the unconstrained moduli elds can now be inserted into the moduli space integral yielding

$$htr[]i = {}^{b_1} dfx; ; ;D;!;T; ;gtr[]e^{S {}^{0} d}_{moduli}: (2.21)$$

As usual, x and correspond to the supertranslations broken by the $D(-1)_1$ -instanton and do not appear explicitly in the instanton action $S_{m \text{ oduli}}^{0 \text{ d}}$. They do however appear in the expression for the gaugino pair and we can use (2.20) when perform ing the integrals over these two variables,

^Z d⁴xd² tr[]= ^Z d⁴x
$$\frac{4}{(X - x)^2 + 2^4} = \frac{2}{6}$$
; (2.22)

where we see that the factors of cancel o and we simply get a dimensionless constant which we can absorb in the prefactor b_1 of the remaining integral

htr[]i =
$$b_1$$
 df ;D ;! ;T; ; g e^{S 0 d} moduli : (2.23)

Now, the crucial point is that the integral that remains to be calculated in (2.23) is precisely the integral one evaluates when computing the superpotential correction generated by the instanton con guration.

For case A and the condensate (2.10), corresponding to the ADS superpotential (2.6), the zero m ode structure and the elective instanton action for a conguration with a D $(-1)_1$ -instanton and a fractional D 3-brane with rank assignment (N + 1;N;0;0) is given in [28]. The result of the moduli space integral is given in (2.6) and when we multiply both sides of (2.23) with the product of chiral super elds we recover (2.10).

Similarly, for case B and the condensate (2.11), corresponding to the superpotential (2.7), the moduli space integral for a conguration with a D $(-1)_1$ -instanton and a fractional D 3-brane with rank assignment (1;N;N;0) is given in [32].

Finally, in the limiting case N = 0 (b₁ = 3) for the pure U (1) theory the relevant integrals were performed above, starting from (2.16), and the result we found in plies that, for ${}^{0} \in 0$, (2.13) is generated by a D (-1)₁-instanton e ect.

2.4 The Pure Sp(0) Case

It is straightforward to generalize the above considerations to the case when orientifolds are present. The specic example of $C^{3}=Z_{2}$ Z_{2} was treated in detail in [28]. For one particular choice of 0 3-plane, all the gauge groups turn into groups of sym plectic type

and the elds $_{ij}$ and $_{ji}$ get identied. Moreover, the two conjugate sectors among the charged zero modes also get identied while - and the D c modes of the neutral sector are projected out in the one-instanton case by the 0 3-plane.

By again placing a D (-1)₁-instanton at node 1 we get by dimensional analysis of the moduli space measure that the dimension of the instanton prefactor B_1 should be $B_1 = (n_x + n_1)$ (1=2)(n + n) = (6 + 3N_1 N_2 N_3 N_4)=2. In the case when there are no fractional D 3-branes (N₁ = N₂ = N₃ = N₄ = 0) and hence no gauge dynam ics we still have a well-de ned D (-1)₁-instanton action and $B_1 = 3$. This non-vanishing dimension, due to the neutral zero mode structure, can be identied with the coe cient of a logarithm ic correction from a non-vanishing M objus vacuum diagram with one end on the D (-1)₁-instanton action and the other on the 0 3-plane [29].

Case A now requires a (N;N;0;0) con guration since we expect an ADS superpotential for an Sp(N) theory when there are N avors present [55],

$$W_{\tilde{K}}^{np} = \frac{\frac{3+N}{\tilde{K}}}{\det_{12}} : \qquad (2.24)$$

Similarly, case B' is given by the con guration (0;N;N;0) for which [28],

$$W_{\vec{B}}^{np} = {}^{3N}_{\vec{B}} det_{23}:$$
 (2.25)

In order to recover (2.25) from (2.24) we can start from a (N;N;0;0) brane and move N (1,1,0,0) branes away from the orbifold xed point in a transverse complex direction and then move N (0,1,1,0) branes into the xed point. In order for the renormalization group matching to continuously take us between case A° and case B° we must have that a superpotential is generated also for the case when N = 0.

It is obvious that the corresponding m oduli space integral is well-de ned and non-vanishing for the pure Sp(0) case since the charged sector is empty for N = 0 and the - and D^{c} elds have already been projected out by the orientifold. Hence, there are no ADHM constraints, no integrals to perform and we can immediately verify that $W = {}^{3}$, again in agreement with the discussion in [17, 18] about the Sp(0) case. In a more general setup we expect contributions of this type to arise whenever a cycle obeys the above conditions. This phenomena is of interest when studying moduli stabilization since in this way we induce an explicit K ahler moduli dependence in the superpotential without the need for any space-lling D-branes.

3. Instanton E ects in Flux Backgrounds

C om pacti cations in the presence of background uxes are of great relevance to string phenom enology in the context of moduli stabilization. It is thus in portant to understand the interplay between uxes and e ective interactions in the D-brane world volum e theories [56, 57, 58, 59], such as ux-induced supersymmetry breaking terms [60, 61] and instanton zero mode lifting [62, 63, 64, 65].

W e will in this section follow the world sheet approach of [63, 64] and use the results and notation from those papers. In the rst example, we turn on G₃-ux of type (0,3) which

gives a soft supersymmetry breaking mass to the gravitinos [60, 61, 63]. Furtherm ore, this type of ux induces a coupling in the instanton action to the neutral -m oduli eds, implying that a single fractional D (-1)-instanton contributes to the superpotential even without any fractional D 3-branes or orientifolds. Then, we discuss backgrounds where we have turned on ux of type (3,0), which generically induces soft supersymmetry breaking mass terms for the 4-dimensional gauginos [60, 61, 63]. The elect of turning on (3,0) ux can be seen as giving a vacuum expectation value to the auxiliary ²-component of the \spurion" $_1$ chiral super eld from (2.5). We will ignore any kind of backreaction of the background geometry due to the presence of uxes.

Turning on (0,3) – ux

Let us begin by considering an instanton con guration with one D $(-1)_1$ -instanton as usual, but with no fractional D 3_1 -branes or orientifold planes. In this case we expect no superpotential to be generated since the two G rassm ann variables - do not appear in the instanton action.¹¹ However, if we turn on some supersymmetry breaking (0,3)- ux, a coupling to these variables appears [64] and the moduli space integral becomes,

$$W_{(0;3)}^{np} = e^{2 i_{1}} d^{3}D^{c}d^{2} - e^{\frac{2^{3} 0^{2}}{g_{s}}(D^{c})^{2} + iG_{(0;3)}\frac{2^{3} 0^{2}}{p_{\overline{g_{s}}}} - e^{2 i_{1}} \frac{g_{s}G_{(0;3)}}{0} : (3.1)$$

From (2.3) we see that this moduli space measure is dimensionless (if one includes x and

in the counting), in plying that the prefactor should also be dimensionless¹² and be given only by $e^{2 i_1}$.

Turning on (3,0) – ux

Let us now consider the con guration with a single D_{1} -brane and a $D(-1)_{1}$ -instanton, but in a background with (3,0) G_{3} - ux. The way we implement this background ux is by adding the following interactions to the elective instanton action in (2.17) [63, 64],

$$S_{(3,0)}^{0d} = 2 \text{ i } \frac{2G_{(3,0)}}{p_{\overline{g_s}}} + \text{i}^p_{\overline{g_s}}G_{(3,0)}_{(3,0)} = 1 \text{ i } 1 \text{ (3.2)}$$

Note that since this particular type of ux does not induce any additional interactions for the -variables in (2.17) they still act as Lagrange multipliers and pulldown the ferm ionic -functions which soak up both $_{11}$ and $_{11}$. Thus, the last term in (3.2) does not play any role in the integration of $_{11}$ or $_{11}$.

However, by including the rst term of (3.2) in the instanton action (2.17), we are given the opportunity to explicitly soak up the -variables as well. Hence, by using our derivation of (2.12) we are able to evaluate the moduli space integral in this ux background and obtain the follow ing one-instanton generated term in the 4-dimensional ective action,

$$S_{np}^{4d} = d^4 x - \frac{3}{p} \frac{G_{(3,0)}}{\overline{g_s}} :$$
 (3.3)

¹¹R ecall that in the absence of space- lling D-branes, orientifolds and uxes a D-instanton breaks 4 of the 8 background supercharges and therefore has too m any neutral ferm ionic zero m odes.

 $^{^{12}}$ T his agrees with the fact that the charged sector is empty, there are no annulus diagram s and hence no logarithm ic corrections to the vacuum D (-1)₁ disk am plitude.

We can view the term in (3.3) as a non-perturbative contribution to the cosm ological constant in the elective theory in which supersymmetry is softly broken.

Let us nally consider a related con guration, (1;1;1;0), where we have also placed fractionalD 3-branes at nodes 2 and 3. By turning on a background (3,0)- ux in this setting we are given two di erent opportunities to soak up the two modes. If we do not make use of the ux induced terms in (3.2), then the D $(-1)_1$ -instanton gives rise to the following non-perturbative supersymmetric mass term [32],

$$W^{np} = {}_{23 32} :$$
 (3.4)

On the other hand, if we do make use of the rst term in (3.2), only the lowest components of the chiral super elds in (3.4) survive and we are left with the following non-supersymmetric mass term,

$$S_{np}^{4d} = d^4x \frac{G_{(3,0)}}{P_{\overline{\alpha_n}}} _{23 \ 32}$$
: (3.5)

Note that the term s (3.4) and (3.5) are rem inescent of $\operatorname{and} B_{()}$ term s and m oreover, that the ux-induced m ass for the gauginos is given by, m_g $p_{\overline{g}_s}G_{(3,0)}$. This suggests that these three observables m ight also be related in m ore realistic con guations where both uxes and D-instantons are taken into account.

A cknow ledgem ents

It is a pleasure to thank R. Argurio, M. Bertolini, and A. Lerda for ongoing discussions and collaborations on related issues. C.P. would like to thank A. Uranga for m any helpful discussions and also J.F. Morales, D. Persson and E.W itten for valuable conversations. The research of G.F. is supported in part by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsadet) contracts 622-2003-1124 and 621-2006-3337. The research of C.P. is supported by an EU Marie Curie EST fellow ship.

R eferences

- [1] J.Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6041 (1994) [arX iv hep-th/9407031].
- [2] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996) 541 [arX iv:hep-th/9511030].
- [3] M.R.Douglas, [arX iv:hep-th/9512077].
- [4] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 474, 343 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9604030].
- [5] M.B.G reen and M.Gutperle, JHEP 0002 (2000) 014 [arX iv hep-th/0002011].
- [6] M.Billo, M.Frau, I. Pesando, F. Fucito, A. Lerda and A. Liccardo, JHEP 0302 (2003) 045 [arX is hep-th/0211250].
- [7] M.F.Atiyah, N.J.Hitchin, V.G.Drinfeld and Yu.I.Manin, Phys.Lett. A 65, 185 (1978).
- [8] R.Blum enhagen, M.Cvetic and T.Weigand, [arXiv:hep-th/0609191].
- [9] L.E. Ibanez and A.M. Uranga, [arX iv hep-th/0609213].

- [10] M. Cvetic, R. Richter and T. Weigand, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 086002 [arX iv hep-th/0703028].
- [11] L.E. Ibanez, A.N. Schellekens and A.M. Uranga, JHEP 0706 (2007) 011 [arX iv:0704.1079 [hep-th]].
- [12] R.Blum enhagen, M.Cvetic, D.Lust, R.Richter and T.Weigand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 061602 [arXiv:0707.1871 [hep-th]].
- [13] M. Cvetic and T. Weigand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 251601 [arXiv:0711.0209 [hep-th]].
- [14] L.E. Ibanez and R.Richter, arX iv:0811.1583 [hep-th].
- [15] C.Kokorelis, arX iv:0812.4804 [hep-th].
- [16] S.Kachru, R.Kallosh, A.Linde and S.P.Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 046005 [arX iv hep-th/0301240].
- [17] M.Aganagic, K.A. Intriligator, C.Vafa and N.P.W amer, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7 (2004) 1045 [arX iv hep-th/0304271].
- [18] K.A. Intriligator, P.K raus, A.V. Ryzhov, M. Shigem ori and C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 682 (2004) 45 [arX iv hep-th/0311181].
- [19] I.Garcia-Etxebarria, arX iv:0810.1482 [hep-th].
- [20] I.A eck, M. D ine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 493.
- [21] T.R. Taylor, G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. B 218 (1983) 493.
- [22] N.Akerblom, R.Blum enhagen, D.Lust, E.Plauschinn and M.Schmidt-Sommerfeld, [arX iv hep-th/0612132].
- [23] O.A harony and S.Kachru, JHEP 0709 (2007) 060 [arX iv:0707.3126 [hep-th]].
- [24] D.Kre, arXiv:0803.2829 [hep-th].
- [25] A.Amariti, L.G irardello and A.M ariotti, JHEP 0811 (2008) 041 [arX iv:0809.3432 [hep-th]].
- [26] B.Florea, S.Kachru, J.M cG reevy and N. Saulina, JHEP 0705 (2007) 024 [arX iv hep-th/0610003].
- [27] M. Bianchi and E. Kiritsis, [arXiv:hep-th/0702015].
- [28] R. Argurio, M. Bertolini, G. Ferretti, A. Lerda and C. Petersson, JHEP 0706, 067 (2007) [arX iv:0704.0262 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Bianchi, F. Fucito and J. F. Morales, JHEP 0707, 038 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0784 [hep-th]].
- [30] M.Aganagic, C.Beem and S.Kachru, Nucl. Phys. B 796 (2008) 1 [arXiv:0709.4277 [hep-th]].
- [31] I.Garcia-Etxebarria and A.M. Uranga, [arXiv:0711.1430 [hep-th]].
- [32] C. Petersson, JHEP 0805 (2008) 078 [arX iv:0711.1837 [hep-th]].
- [33] R.Argurio, G. Ferretti and C. Petersson, JHEP 0807 (2008) 123 [arX iv 0803.2041 [hep-th]].
- [34] S.Kachru and D.Sim ic, arX iv:0803.2514 [hep-th].
- [35] M. Berkooz and R. G. Leigh, Nucl. Phys. B 483 (1997) 187 [arX iv hep-th/9605049].
- [36] M.Billo, M.Frau, I.Pesando, P.DiVecchia, A.Lerda and R.Marotta, JHEP 0710 (2007) 091 [arXiv:0708.3806 [hep-th]].

- [37] M.Billo, M. Frau, I. Pesando, P. DiVecchia, A. Lerda and R. Marotta, [arXiv:0709.0245 [hep-th]].
- [38] M. Bertolini, P. DiVecchia, G. Ferretti and R. Marotta, Nucl. Phys. B 630 (2002) 222 [arX iv hep-th/0112187].
- [39] E. Im eroni and A. Lerda, JHEP 0312 (2003) 051 [arXiv:hep-th/0310157].
- [40] P.DiVecchia, A.Liccardo, R.M arotta and F.Pezzella, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 20 (2005) 4699 [arX iv hep-th/0503156].
- [41] C.P.M artin and D.Sanchez-Ruiz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 476 [arX iv hep-th/9903077].
- [42] V.V.K hoze and G. Travaglini, JHEP 0101, 026 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0011218].
- [43] S.Franco, A.Hanany, F.Saad and A.M. Uranga, JHEP 0601 (2006) 011 [arX iv hep-th/0505040].
- [44] N.Nekrasov and A.S.Schwarz, Commun.Math.Phys.198 (1998) 689 [arX iv hep-th/9802068].
- [45] R.Gopakum ar and C.Vafa, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 3 (1999) 1415 [arX iv hep-th/9811131].
- [46] F.Cachazo, K.A. Intriligator and C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 603 (2001) 3 [arX iv hep-th/0103067].
- [47] R.Dijkgraaf and C.Vafa, arX iv hep-th/0208048.
- [48] P.K raus and M. Shigem ori, JHEP 0304, 052 (2003) [arX iv:hep-th/0303104].
- [49] F.Cachazo, arX iv hep-th/0307063.
- [50] G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. B 113 (1982) 231.
- [51] I. Antoniadis, E. K iritsis and J. R izos, Nucl. Phys. B 637 (2002) 92 [arX iv hep-th/0204153].
- [52] M. Buican, D. Malyshev, D. R. Morrison, H. Verlinde and M. Wijnholt, JHEP 0701 (2007) 107 [arX iv hep-th/0610007].
- [53] J.P.Conlon, A.M aharana and F.Quevedo, arX iv:0810.5660 [hep-th].
- [54] N.Dorey, T.J.Hollowood, V.V.Khoze and M.P.M attis, Phys. Rept. 371 (2002) 231 [arX iv hep-th/0206063].
- [55] K.A. Intriligator and P. Pouliot, Phys. Lett. B 353 (1995) 471 [arX iv hep-th/9505006].
- [56] P.K. Tripathy and S.P. Trivedi, JHEP 0506 (2005) 066 [arX iv hep-th/0503072].
- [57] L.Martucci, J.Rosseel, D.Van den Bleeken and A.Van Proeyen, Class. Quant. Grav. 22 (2005) 2745 [arXiv:hep-th/0504041].
- [58] E.Bergshoe , R.Kallosh, A.K.Kashani-Poor, D.Sorokin and A.Tomasiello, JHEP 0510 (2005) 102 [arX iv:hep-th/0507069].
- [59] R.Blum enhagen, M.Cvetic, R.Richter and T.Weigand, JHEP 0710 (2007) 098 [arX iv:0708.0403 [hep-th]].
- [60] P.G.Camara, L.E. Ibanez and A.M. Uranga, Nucl. Phys. B 689 (2004) 195 [arX iv hep-th/0311241].
- [61] M.Grana, T.W.Grimm, H.Jockers and J.Louis, Nucl. Phys. B 690 (2004) 21 [arX iv hep-th/0312232].

- [62] I.Garcia-Etxebarria, F.Marchesano and A.M. Uranga, JHEP 0807 (2008) 028 [arX iv:0805.0713 [hep-th]].
- [63] M.Billo', L.Ferro, M.Frau, F.Fucito, A.Lerda and J.F.M orales, arX iv:0807.4098 [hep-th].
- [64] M.Billo', L.Ferro, M.Frau, F.Fucito, A.Lerda and J.F.M orales, JHEP 0810 (2008) 112 [arX iv:0807.1666 [hep-th]].
- [65] A.M. Uranga, arX iv:0808.2918 [hep-th].