NA60 results on therm ald in uons

NA60 Collaboration

R. A maldi¹¹, K. Banicz^{4;6}, K. Borer¹, J. Castor⁵, B. Chaurand⁹, W. Chen², C. Cicalo³, A. Colla¹¹, P. Cortese¹¹, S. Dam janovic⁴ ^a, A. David^{4;7}, A. de Falco³, A. Devaux⁵, L. Ducroux⁸, H. En'yo¹⁰, J. Fargeix⁵, A. Ferretti¹¹, M. Floris³, A. Forster⁴, P. Force⁵, N. Guettet^{4;5}, A. Guichard⁸, H. Gulkanian¹², JM. Heuser¹⁰, M. Keil^{4;7}, L. Kluberg⁹, Z. Li², C. Lourenco⁴, J. Lozano⁷, F. Manso⁵, P. Martins^{4;7}, A. Masoni³, A. Neves⁷, H. Ohnishi¹⁰, C. Oppedisano¹¹, P. Parracho^{4;7}, P. Pillot⁸, T. Poghosyan¹², G. Puddu³, E. Radermacher⁴, P. Ramalhete^{4;7}, P. Rosinsky⁴, E. Scom parin¹¹, J. Seixas⁷, S. Serci³, R. Shahoyan^{4;7}, P. Sonderegger⁷, H. J. Specht⁶, R. Tieulent⁸, G. Usaf³, R. Veenhof⁷, and H. K. Wohrf^{3;7}.

- Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- BNL, Upton, New York, USA.
- ³ Universita di Cagliari and INFN, Cagliari, Italy.
- CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.
- LPC, Universite Blaise Pascal and CNRS-IN 2P3, Clem ont-Ferrand, France.
- Physikalisches Institut der Universitat Heidelberg, Germany.
- IST-CFTP, Lisbon, Portugal.
- IPN-Lyon, Univ. Claude Bernard Lyon-I and CNRS-IN 2P3, Lyon, France.
- LLR, Ecole Polytechnique and CNRS-IN 2P3, Palaiseau, France.
- RIKEN,Wako,Saitama,Japan.
- ¹ Universita di Torino and INFN, Italy.
- ¹² YerPhI, Yerevan, Armenia.

Received:date / Revised version:date

Abstract. The NA 60 experiment at the CERN SPS has measured muon pairs with unprecedented precision in 158A G eV In-In collisions. A strong excess of pairs above the known sources is observed in the whole mass region 0.2 < M < 2.6 GeV. The mass spectrum for M < 1 GeV is consistent with a dominant contribution ! $^+$ from ! annihilation. The associated spectral function shows a strong broadening, but essentially no shift in mass. For M > 1 G eV, the excess is found to be prompt, not due to enhanced charm production, with pronounced di erences to D rell-Y an pairs. The slope parameter Te associated with the transverse momentum spectra rises with mass up to the , followed by a sudden decline above. The rise for M < 1 G eV is consistent with radial ow of a hadronic emission source. The seeming absence of signi cant ow for M > 1 G eV and its relation to parton-hadron duality is discussed in detail, suggesting a dom inantly partonic em ission source in this region. A comparison of the data to the present status of theoretical modeling is also contained. The accumulated empirical evidence, including also a Planck-like shape of the mass spectra at low p_T and the lack of polarization, is consistent with a global interpretation of the excess dim uons as therm al radiation. We conclude with rst results on ! in-medium e ects.

PACS. 25.75-q Relativistic heavy-ion collisions { 12.38 M h Quark-gluon plasm a { 13.85 Q k Lepton Pairs

1 Introduction

D ileptons are particularly attractive to study the hot and dense QCD m atter form ed in high-energy nuclear collisions. In contrast to hadrons, they directly probe the entire space-time evolution of the expanding system, escaping freely without nal-state interactions. At low m asses M < 1 G eV (LMR), therm al dilepton production is mediated by the broad vector m eson (770) in the hadronic

phase. Due to its strong coupling to the channel and the short life time of only 1.3 fm/c, \in-m edium " m odications of its mass and width close to the QCD phase boundary have since long been considered as the prime signature for chiral sym m etry restoration [1,2,3]. At interm ediate m asses M > 1 G eV (IM R), it has been controversialup to today whether therm ald ileptons are dom inantly produced in the earlier partonic or in the hadronic phase, based here on hadronic processes other than annihilation. Originally, therm allem ission from the early phase was considered as a prime probe of decon nem ent [4,5].

[°] Corresponding author: sanjadam janovic@cem.ch







Fig. 2. Excess dimuons compared to theoretical predictions [16], renorm alized to the data in the mass interval M < 0.9 G eV . No acceptance correction applied.

Experimentally, it took more than a decade to master the challenges of very rare signals and enorm ous com binatorial backgrounds. The rst clear signs of an excess of dileptons above the known decay sources at SPS energies were obtained by CERES [6,7] for M < 1 GeV, NA38/NA50 [8] for M > 1 GeV and by HELIOS-3 [9] for both mass regions (see [10] for a short recent review including the preceding pp era and the theoretical milestones). The sole existence of an excess gave a strong boost to theory, with hundreds of publications. In the annihilation with regeneration and strong LMR region, in-medium modications of the intermediate during the reball expansion em erged as the dom inant source. How ever, the data quality in terms of statistics and mass resolution remained largely insu cient for a precise assessment for the in-medium spectral properties of the . In the MR region, therm al sources or enhanced charm production could account for the excess equally well, but that am biquity could not be resolved, nor could the nature of the therm al sources be clari ed.

A big step forward in technology, leading to com pletely new standards of the data quality in this eld, has recently been achieved by NA60, a third-generation experiment built speci cally to follow up the open issues addressed above [11]. Initial results on m ass and transverse m om entum spectra of the excess dimuons have already been published [11,12,13], supplemented by recent results on acceptance-corrected m ass spectra and polarization [14]. This paper takes a broader view on the results, discussing in some detail the observed M -p_T correlations, the connection to hadron-parton duality, and the present status of theoreticalm odeling. Certain aspects of the p_T spectra, of centrality dependencies and evidence for ! in-m edium e ects are reported here for the rst tim e.

2 M ass spectra and the spectral function

Fig. 1 shows the centrality-integrated net dimuon mass spectrum for 158A GeV In-In collisions in the LMR region. The narrow vector mesons ! and are com pletely resolved; the mass resolution at the ! is 20 MeV. The peripheral data can be com pletely described by the electrom agnetic decays of neutral m esons [12,15]. This is not true for the more central data as plotted in Fig. 1, due to the existence of a strong excess of pairs. The high data quality of NA 60 allows to isolate this excess with a priori unknown characteristics without any ts: the cocktail of the decay sources is subtracted from the total data using local criteria, which are solely based on the mass distribution itself. The is not subtracted. The excess resulting from this di erence form ation is illustrated in the same gure (see [12,13,15] for details and error discussion). The subtracted data for the ,! and them selves are subject to the sam e further steps as the excess data and are used later for com parison.

The common features of the excess mass spectra can be recognized in Fig.2.A peaked structure is always seen, residing on a broad continuum with a yield strongly increasing with centrality (see Fig. 9 below), but remaining essentially centered around the nominal pole [15]. Without any acceptance correction and p_T selection, the data can directly be interpreted as the space-time averaged spectral function of the ,due to a fortuitous cancellation of the mass and p_T dependence of the acceptance litering by the phase space factors associated with thermal dilepton emission [15]. The two main theoretical scenarios for the in-medium spectral properties of the ,broadening [2] and dropping mass [3], are shown for comparison, both evaluated for the same reball evolution [16]. Since agree-



Fig. 3. Excess dim uons for sem icentral collisions com pared to the theoretical model results by Hees/Rapp [18] (left) and Renk/Ruppert et al. [19] (right). No acceptance correction applied.

m ent between m odeling and data would im ply agreem ent both in shape and yield, the model results are norm alized to the data in the mass interval M < 0.9 GeV, just to be independent of the uncertainties of the reball evolution. The unmodi ed , also shown in Fig. 2 (vacuum

), is clearly ruled out. The broadening scenario indeed gets close, while the dropping m ass scenario in the version which described the CERES data reasonably well [2,3,6] completely fails for the much m ore precise NA60 data. A strong reduction of in-m edium VMD as proposed by the vector manifestation of chiral symmetry [17] would make hadron spectral functions in hot and dense matter altogether unobservable, but central aspects of this scenario are totally unclear, and quantitative predictions which could be confronted with data have not become available up to today.

A comparison of the same excess mass spectrum to two more recent theoretical developments, covering now both the LMR and the initial part of the IMR region, is contained in Fig. 3. In contrast to Fig. 2, the theoretical results are not renorm alized here, but shown on an absolute scale. In the -like region with + !! + as the dom inant source, Hees/Rapp [18] use the original manybody scenario with a spectral function strongly broadened by baryonic interactions [2], while Renk/Ruppert's results [19] are based on the spectral function of Eletsky et al. [20] where the broadening e ects from baryons are som ew hat weaker; that di erence is directly visible in the low -m ass tails of the theoreticalm ass spectra. The overall agreem ent between the data and the two theoretical scenarios is quite satisfactory in this region, also in absolute term s.

3 M ass spectra and parton-hadron duality

M oving up into the \mathbbmss{MR} region $\mathbbmsssmashmatrix > 1 \mssssmashmatrix Q eV$, 2 $\,$ processes become negligible, and other hadronic processes like 4 $\,$

(including vector-axialvector m ixing in case of [18]) and partonic processes like quark-antiquark annihilation qq !

⁺ take over. The two theoretical scenarios in Fig. 3 also describe this part. How ever, there is a very interesting and instructive di erence between them . W hile the total yield of the data for M > 1 G eV is described about equally well, the fraction of partonic processes relative to the total is sm all in [18] where a rst-order phase transition is used, and dom inant in [19] which uses a cross-over phase transition. This feature is often referred to as \parton-hadron duality" and form ed the basis of the successful description of the NA 50 dim uon enhancem ent in the IM R region in terms of therm al radiation [21]. Here, the individual sources were not even speci ed.

Caution should, however, be expressed as to the use of the term \duality" in this context. Parton-hadron duality is a statement on dilepton emission rates, dating back to the time-reversed process of hadron production in e⁺ e collisions. It im plies that the em ission rates using either partonic (pQCD) or hadronic degrees of freedom merge together, i.e. becom e \dual", if the system approaches decon nem ent and chiral restoration. The validity of duality down to masses of 1 GeV, mainly due to vector-axialvector mixing, was rst shown by Li and Gale [22] (see also [2]). However, experiments measure yields, i.e. rates integrated over space-time. Duality in the yields is not obvious and becom es questionable, if the space-tim e trajectories are di erent for genuine partonic and hadronic processes. Such a di erence autom atically appears through the elem entary assumption that partonic processes only act \early", i.e. from T_i until T_c , while hadronic processes (like n) only act \late", i.e. from T_c to therm alfreeze-out T_f . If theoretical scenarios are di erent in their trajectories (both as to partonic and to hadronic processes), the integrated total yields will, in general, be di erent. Since the scenarios of [18] and [19] in Fig. 3 are indeed very di erent (see above), the seem ingly equivalent description of the data cannot be traced to duality, but m ust be due to internal param eter choices.

Explicit insight beyond duality, be it real or fortuitous, can be obtained experim entally in the following way (see [5] and in particular [23]). In contrast to real photons, virtual photons decaying into lepton pairs are characterized by two variables, mass M and transverse momentum p_T . Historically, the interest has largely focused on m ass because of its rich and often structured inform ation content, including now the spectral function discussed above.Transversem om entum, on the other hand, contains not only contributions from the spectral function(s), but encodes the key properties of the expanding reball, tem perature and, in particular, transverse (radial) ow. The latter causes a blue shift of p_T , analogous to the case of hadrons. In contrast to hadrons, how ever, which always receive the full asymptotic ow reached at the moment of decoupling from the owing medium, lepton pairs are continuously emitted during the evolution, re ecting a spacetime folding over the tem perature-ow history in their nalp_T spectra.Since ow builds up monotonically during the evolution, being sm all in the early partonic phase (at SPS energies, due to the \soft point" in the equation-ofstate), and increasingly larger in the late hadronic phase, the nalp_T spectra keep m em ory on the tim e ordering of the di erent dilepton sources, m irrored in a characteristic m ass dependence of the p_T spectra.W e shall com e back to this point below .

4 Acceptance-corrected m ass and p_T spectra

Quantitative insight into the physical meaning of the excess dileptons requires a full correction of the data for geom etrical acceptance and pair e ciencies of the NA60 apparatus, including the e ects of the trigger system . Results from M onte C arlo simulations of the acceptance are contained in [13,24], show ing signi cant variations and in particular a strong decrease at low mass and low p_T . In principle, the correction requires a 4-dim ensional grid in the space of M $-p_T - y - \cos c_S$ (where c_S is the polar angle of the muons in the Collins Soper fram e). To avoid large statistical errors in low-acceptance bins, it is perform ed instead in 2-dimensional M-pr space, using the measured y and cos distributions as an input. The latter are, in tum, obtained with acceptance corrections determ ined in an iterative way from MC simulations matched to the data in M and p_T . The y-distribution is found to have the same rapidity width as dN $_{\rm ch}$ = d , $_{\rm V}$ 1.5 [24]. The cos $_{\rm CS}$ distributions for two mass windows of the excess and the ! are contained in [14]. W ithin errors, they are found to be uniform , in plying the polarization of the excess dim uons to be zero, in contrast to D rell-Y an and consistent with the expectations for therm alradiation from a random ized system .

The outcome for the two major variables M and p_T is rst discussed separately for the LMR and the IMR regions. Fig. 4 shows a set of mass spectra for some selected slices in p_T to illustrate the evolution from low to high p_T (a p_T -integrated mass spectrum over the whole

m ass region is contained in [11]). The spectra are norm alized to dN $_{\rm ch}$ =d in absolute term s, using the same procedure as described in detail for the in [25] and relating N $_{\rm part}$ ' dN $_{\rm ch}$ =d at = 2.9 as measured to within 10% by the S i pixel telescope. Recent theoretical results on thermalradiation from three major groups working in the eld are included for comparison [18,19,23,26,27], calculated absolutely (not normalized to the data). Results from a fourth one [28] only cover the 2 region and are not yet available in $p_{\rm T}$ -di erential form. The general agreement between data and m odel results both as to spectral shapes and to absolute yields is most remarkable, supporting the term \thermal" used throughout this paper.

At very low p_T , a strong rise towards low masses is seen in the data, rejecting the Boltzmann factor, i.e. the Plank-like radiation associated with a very broad, nearly at spectral function. Only the Hees/Rapp scenario [26] is able to describe this part quantitatively, due to their particularly large contribution from baryonic interactions to the low-mass tail of the spectral function (as in [28]). This was already mentioned in connection with Fig. 3, but is much more clearly visible at low p_T than without any p_T selection. At higher p_T , the in uence of radial ow increasingly changes the spectral shapes, and at very high p_T all spectra appear -like. How ever, sizable di erences between the di erent theoretical scenarios also exist in this region. For exam ple, Hees/R app [26] use a hard-scattering

which contributes to ll up the region beyond the Cooper-Frye freeze-out . They also use an extrapolation of the D rell-Y an process down to the photon point (M ! 0). While this contribution is small for the whole LMR region without p_T selection, the low-mass/high- p_T part is in their case completely dominated by DY. The size of radial ow, the major issue here, also varies between the three groups. It is recognizably too low in the hydrodynamics scenario [27], and maximal for the reball scenario of [19,23] tailored to the NA60 hadron data.

Fig. 5 (left) shows the centrality-integrated m $_{\rm T}$ spectra, where $m_T = (p_T^2 + M^2)^{1=2}$, for four mass windows; the is included for comparison. The ordinate is absolutely normalized to dN_{ch}=d as in Fig. 4. Apart from a peculiar rise at low m $_{\rm T}~(<0.2~{\rm G}~{\rm eV}$) for the excess spectra (not the) which only disappears for very peripheral collisions [10,13], all spectra are pure exponentials. The rise is outside of any system atic errors as discussed in [13]. The relative yield associated with it is about 10-20%, roughly independent of mass, which excludes a connection to the low p_{T} rise seen in pion p_{T} spectra. The absolute yield steeply decreases with mass, rem in iscent of Dalitz decays. How ever, a consistent physical interpretation is still open. The lines in the exponential region are ts to the data with the function $1=m_T dN = dm_T / exp(m_T = T_e)$, where the elective temperature parameter T_e is the inverse slope of the distributions. For the excess data, the ts are restricted to the range $0.4 < p_T < 1.8 \text{ GeV}$ (roughly $0.1 < m_T$ M < 1.2 GeV) to exclude the increased rise at low m_{T} . O by iously, the slopes depend on mass. Fig. 5 (right) shows a more detailed view into the -like mass window, exploiting the same side-window method as used in con-



F ig. 4. A coeptance-corrected m ass spectra of the excess dim uons in selected slices of p_T . Absolute normalization as in Fig. 4. The theoretical scenarios are labeled according to the authors HR [26], RR [23], and ZD [27]. In case of [26], the EoS-B⁺ option is used, leading to a partonic fraction of about 65% in the IMR (di erent from the left part of Fig. 3).

nection with Fig. 9 below, to determ ine the $p_{\rm T}$ spectra separately for the peak and the underlying continuum. All spectra are purely exponential up to the cut-o at $p_{\rm T}=3~G\,{\rm eV}$, without any signs of an upward bend characteristic for the onset of hard processes. Their slopes are, how ever, quite di erent (see below).

The central NA60 results in the IMR region [11] are shown in Fig. 6. The use of the Si-vertex tracker allows to measure the oset between the muon tracks and the main interaction vertex and thereby to disentangle prom pt and o set dim uons from D decays. The o set distribution is found to be perfectly consistent with no charm enhancem ent, expressed by a fraction of 1:16 0:16 of the levelexpected from upscaling the NA 50 results on the IMR in p-A collisions [11]. The observed excess is really prom pt, with an enhancem ent over D rell-Y an by a factor of 2.4 0.08. The excess can now be isolated in the same way as was done in the LMR region, subtracting the measured known sources, here DY and open charm, from the total data. Fig. 6 shows the decomposition of the total into DY, open charm and the prompt excess. The mass spectrum of the excess is quite similar to the shape of open charm and much steeper than DY; this explains of course why NA 50 could describe the excess as enhanced open charm [8].

The transversem on entum spectra are also much steeper than DY.M oreover, the spectra depend on mass and do not show the factorization between mass and $p_{\rm T}\,$ char-

acteristic for DY, where a common G aussian distribution with a xed sigma $k_{\rm T}=0.8~{\rm GeV}$ describes all $p_{\rm T}$ spectra independent of mass. The transverse mass spectra are shown in Fig. 7 for three consecutive mass windows. All spectra are essentially exponential. However, the steepening observed at very low m_T in the lowest mass window, seen already before for all masses in Fig. 5 including this window s. As in Fig. 5, the lines are exponential ts to the data, restricted again to $p_{\rm T}=0.5~{\rm GeV}$ to exclude the rise at low m_T. The extracted inverse slope parameters are 199 21(stat) 3(syst),193 16 2 and 171 21 3 M eV, respectively, i.e. about the same within the (rather large) enrors.

The inverse slope parameters T_e extracted from the exponential ts to the m_T spectra are plotted in the left panel of Fig. 8 vs. dim uon m ass, unifying the data from the LM R and IM R regions. In the LM R part, a ner binning is used than in Fig. 5, and the coarser-binned data (contained in [13]) are left out for clarity. For M < 1 G eV, a correction for D rell-Y an pairs is not done, due to their sm all contribution [13] and the intrinsic uncertainties at low m asses [26]. In the extended LM R analysis up to 1.4 G eV, the 2 (square) points are corrected, as are all points of the IM R analysis (see above). In the region of overlap, the data are not statistically independent. The hadron data for ,! and obtained as a by-product of the cock-



Fig. 5. A comptance-corrected transverse m ass spectra of the excess dim uons for 4 m ass windows and the [13] (left), and a decomposition into peak and continuum for the -like window (right, see text). Open charm is subtracted throughout. The norm alization in absolute terms is independent of rapidity over the region m easured. For error discussion see [13].

tail subtraction procedure are also included in Fig. 8, as is the single value for the -peak from the right panel of Fig. 5. Interpreting the latter as the freeze-out without in-medium e ects, consistent with all present theoretical modeling [18,19,23,26,27], all four hadron values together with prelim inary data from NA 60 can be subjected to

a simple blast wave analysis [14]. This results in a reasonable set of freeze-out parameters of the reball evolution and suggests the follow ing consistent interpretation for the hadron and dim uon data together. Maximal radial ow is reached by the , due to its maximal coupling to pions, while all other hadrons follow some hierarchy in earlier



F ig. 6. A coeptance-corrected m ass spectra of all three contributions to the \mathbb{M} R spectrum :D rell-Y an, open charm and the excess (triangles). The data are integrated over centrality.



F ig. 7. A comptance-connected transverse m ass spectra of the excess dim uons for three m ass w indows. The data are integrated over centrality. For error discussion see [11].



F ig. 8. Left: Inverse slope parameter T_e vs. dim uon m ass for the combined LM R/M R regions of the excess in comparison to hadrons [13]. Right: Inverse slope parameters T_e for the \pure" in-medium part, obtained by subtraction of the -peak contribution from the total before the ts are done (see text). Open charm is subtracted throughout. Errors in the LM R part are purely statistical; the system atic errors are mostly smaller than the statistical ones [13]. Errors in the IM R part are total errors [11].

freeze-out. The $T_e\,$ values of the dim uon excess rise nearly linearly with mass up to the pole position of the , but stay always well below the line, completely consistent with the expectations for radial ow of an in-medium hadron-like source (here $^+$!) decaying continuously into lepton pairs.

This picture can still be re ned.By modeling a with the proper spectral shape and the m_T spectrum as measured, its contribution can be subtracted from the total measured distribution in the full M -m_T plane with the same side-window method as used for Fig. 9 below and described in [15]. The di erence, essentially a continuum, is then re t, resulting in T_e values for the \pure" in-medium (continuum) part in the 2 region. The modi ed plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 8. The appearance is striking: all values are lower, but mostly so in the bin associated with the pole (by 20 M eV). Thism akes the shape even more saw tooth-like than before, and within errors the rise continues now up to about 1 G eV.

Beyond the 2 region, the T_e values of the excess dimuons show a sudden decline by about 50 MeV down to the IMR values. This decline is even more abrupt in the right panel of Fig. 8 than in the left and obviously connected to the in-medium emission itself, not to any peculiarities associated with the peak. Extrapolating the lower-mass trend set by a hadron-like source to beyond 1 GeV, such a fast transition is extremely hard to reconcile with emission sources which continue to be of dominantly hadronic origin in this region. A much more natural explanation would be a transition to a dominantly early, i.e. partonic emission source with processes like qg ! + , for which ow has not yet built up [19,23]. In this sense, the present analysis may well represent the rst databased evidence for therm al radiation of partonic origin in nuclear collisions, overcom ing parton-hadron duality in the yield description on the basis of M $-p_T$ correlations as discussed in section 3.

Theoretically, the extension of the unied LMR and \mathbb{M} R results over the complete \mathbb{M} -p_T plane places severe constraints on the dynam ical trajectories of the reball evolution. Indeed all present scenarios [18,19,23,26,27] do not any longer rely on parton-hadron duality in the rates as in [21], but explicitly di erentiate between hadronic (mostly 4) and partonic contributions in the IMR as already discussed in connection with Fig. 3. The partonic fraction presently ranges from 0.65 for [26] (option EoS- B^+ as used in Fig. 4) to \dom inant" in [19,23,27]. The exponential shape of the experimental m_{T} spectra is reproduced by the models, consistent with the expectations for therm al radiation. How ever, due to rem aining uncertainties in the equation-of-state, in the reball evolution and in the role of hard processes [26], a quantitative description of the much more sensitive m_T-derivative T_e vs. M in Fig. 8 is only slowly emerging. In particular, the more recent results from the authors of [19,23,27], while very encouraging in the description of the downward jump, are still prelim inary and have not yet been form ally published in their nal form . A system atic com parison of several model results to the data in Fig. 8 is therefore presently not possible.



F ig. 9. Excess yield ratios for peak, continuum and total vs. centrality for the mass window 0.2 < M < 1 G eV.0 pen charm is subtracted throughout. No acceptance correction applied.

5 Centrality dependencies

All data presented so far correspond either to (nearly) m inimum bias, selecting dN $_{\rm ch}$ =d > 30, or to the sem icentral w indow, selecting 110< dN $_{\rm ch}$ =d < 170; the results for the two conditions are very close. How ever, an enormous amount of inform ation exists on the centrality dependence of practically every variable discussed in this paper. We select two topics of particular relevance here.

The rst one concerns the evolution of the shape of the excess mass spectra, following Figs. 2 and 3. In [15], we have used both an rm s analysis and a m ore sensitive sidewindow method to determ ine separately the yields of the peak and the underlying continuum. The centrality dependence from the latter is shown in Fig. 9: peak, continuum and total excess yield in the mass interval 0.2 < M < 1.0GeV, all norm alized to the (ctitious) cocktail with the assumption /!=1 (like in pp). The ! itself is directly m easured, and its yield is found to be proportional to $dN_{ch}=d$. The continuum and the total show a very strong increase, starting already in the peripheral region, while the peak slow ly decreases from >1 to <1.R ecalling that Fig. 9 is based on the excess mass spectra before acceptance correction like in Fig. 3, roughly representing the full spectral function, the excess/ ratio can directly be interpreted as the num ber of generations created by formation and decay during the reball evolution, including freeze-out: the \ clock", frequently discussed in the past. It reaches up to about 6 generations for central In-In collisions; selecting low p_T this num ber doubles.

The second topic concerns the centrality dependence of the slope param eter T_e for the excess data in the -like w indow 0.6 < M < 0.9 GeV, following Fig. 4 (right). Based as before on the side-w indow m ethod [15], the results are shown in Fig. 10, separately for the peak, the continuum and the total excess. The peak is seen to show a very strong rise, with hardly any saturation. How ever, the errors in the more central data become quite large, re ecting the continuously decreasing peak/total ratio as visible



F ig. 10. Inverse slope parameter $T_e~vs.$ centrality for continuum , peak and total in the mass window $0.6< M < 0.9 \mbox{ GeV}$ (see also 4, right). Open charm is subtracted throughout.

in Fig.9.Conversely, continuum and totalyield saturate much earlier.

The large gap in T_e between the peak and the continuum, seen already in Fig. 7, has a much-debated interesting physics origin. The p_T spectrum of a thermal in-medium source is softer by a Lorentz factor $M = E = 1 = com pared to that of a freely decaying freeze-out [26]. On top, the in-medium values of <math>T_e$ represent a temperature-ow average, while the freeze-out receives the maximal ow. These two e ects contribute about equally to the total. The size of the gap ultimately reaches 70–100 M eV, but closes tow ands peripheral collisions. The !, with the same mass as the , also shows a large gap to the (com - pare Fig.11), which also closes nally to zero for the low est pp-like window [13].

6 Evidence for ! in-m edium m odi cations

W hile m ost of the historical discussion on light- avor vector m esons in hot and dense m atter has concentrated on the short-lived (c = 1.3 fm), the longer-lived ! (23 fm) and (46 fm) have received m uch less attention, since m ost of their dilepton decays occur after therm al freeze-out.W ithin the NA 50 LM R analysis, the ! and have indeed consistently been treated as "cocktail" particles and subtracted from the total (com pare Fig. 1). How ever, inmedium e ects are expected for the (sm all) decay fraction inside the reball, and these are actually contained in the H ees/R app scenario (see [26] and earlier references in there).

NA 60 has addressed the ! in a way directly coupled to the cocktail subtraction procedure. Due to the high m ass resolution, the disappearance of the yield at low p_T out of the narrow ! peak in the nom inal pole position can sensitively be detected. The appearance of the yield elsewhere in them ass spectrum, originating from a mass shift, or broadening or both, is practically unmeasurable, due to the masking of the whole region by the much stronger



Blast Wave $<dN_{cb}/d\eta>=17$ entral T[©].=250+5 Me\ $<dN_{cb}/d\eta>=70$ =110 MeV & ⊲β>=0.44 $<dN_{ch}/d\eta>=140$ $<dN_{ch}/d\eta>=193$ 0.15 0 Peripheral △ SemiPeripheral SemiCentral 0.05 Central 2.5 0.5 1.5 ż 1 0 3 p_⊤ (GeV)

F ig. 11. A coeptance-corrected transverse m ass spectra of the ! and the for the sem icentral bin. The $T_{\rm e}$ values correspond to di erent transes for the two particles. A depletion of the ! yield at low m_T relative to the t line can clearly be recognized.

! process, regenerating the .Sensitive experiments on ! in-medium e ects with clear clues as to their characteristics can therefore only be done in cold nuclearm atter experiments [29], where =! = 1, but not in ultrarelativistic nuclear collisions. The evidence for the disappearance of ! 's in the low m_T region is shown in Fig.11.

As already mentioned in section 2, the ! and are obtained as a byproduct of the cocktail subtraction procedure. The data are twith the usualm $_{\rm T}$ exponential used before in Figs. 4 and 6.W ith respect to this reference line, there is hardly any anomaly visible for the , but quite some loss for low $_{\rm PT}$! 's. The loss can be quanti ed with respect to the reference line, extrapolating down to zero. The tparameters $T_{\rm e}$ for two di erent tregions both for the ! and the show the de nition of the reference line to be quite uncritical. Form ing the ratio data/reference line takes care of that part of radial ow which does not seriously a ect the exponential slope.

The results are shown in Fig. 12, absolutely norm alized as the full phase space ratio !=N part. The e ects of ! disappearance are quite striking: (i) a suppression of the relative yield below the reference line only occurs for 1 G eV; (ii) there is a very strong centrality depen p_{T} dence of the suppression, reaching down to 0.5 of the reference line (the errors becom e huge for the central w indow, because the ! can then hardly be recognized on top ofthe processes at low m $_{\rm T}$); (iii) the suppression e ects are much larger than expected for the spectral distortions due to the blue shift from radial ow at low m_{T} ; a sim ulation in the basis of the blast wave parameters from [14] shows at most 10% e ects for central collisions. Theoretical simulations addressing these results are not yet

Fig. 12. p_T dependence of the ! yield with respect to the t line in Fig. 11, absolutely nom alized for the full phase space yield, for di erent centralities. The solid line for $p_T = 1 \mbox{ GeV}$ shows the result from a blast-wave t to the ! for central collisions. The dotted lines are only meant to guide the eye. The errors are purely statistical. The system atic errors are negligibly sm all com pared to the statistical ones.

available. It should be added that the same procedure applied to the does describe the data solely on the basis of radial ow. No e ect beyond that can be recognized, within errors.

7 Conclusions

This paper, supplementing [14], contains the most comprehensive data set on excess dileptons above the known sources which has so far become available through NA60. We have concentrated here more than before on interpretational aspects, in particular on the way, "parton-hadron duality" in the yields can be overcome by a careful study of M- p_T correlations. The data mediate a clear conclusion on the dom inance of partonic processes for M > 1 G eV. A systematic comparison with theoretical models reveals remaining am biguities in the modeling, but the overall agreement with the data tends by now to support the same conclusion.

References

- 1. R.D. Pisarski, Phys. Lett. 110B, (1982) 155
- 2. R.Rapp and J.W am bach, Adv.Nucl.Phys.25, (2000) 1
- 3. G.E.Brown and M.Rho, Phys. Rept. 363, (2002) 85
- 4. L.D.McLeman and T.Toimela, Phys.Rev.D 31, (1985) 545

- 5. K.Kajantie, M.Kataja, L.D.M cLerran and P.V.Ruuskanen, Phys. Rev. D 34, (1986) 811
- 6. G.Agakichiev et al. (CERES Collaboration), Eur. Phys.J. C 41, (2005) 475 and earlier references therein
- 7. D. A dam ova et al. (CERES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 666, (2008) 425
- 8. M.C.Abreu M C et al. (NA 38/NA 50 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 698, (2002) 539 and earlier ref.
- 9. A. L. S. Angelis et al. (HELIOS-3 Collaboration), Eur. Phys.J.C 13, (2000) 433 and earlier ref.
- 10. H. J. Specht, Nucl. Phys. A 805, (2008) 338, arX iv:0710.5433 [nucl-ex]
- 11. R.A maldietal. (NA 60 Collaboration), to be published in Eur. Phys. J.C, (2008), arX iv:0810.3204 [nucl-ex]
- 12. R. A maldi et al. (NA60 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, (2006) 162302
- 13. R. A maldiet al. (NA60 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, (2008) 022302
- 14. S. Dam janovic et al. (NA 60 Collaboration), J. Phys. G 35,(2008) 104036, arX iv:0805.4153 [nucl-ex]
- 15. S.Dam janovic et al. (NA 60 Collaboration), Eur.Phys.J. C 49,(2007) 235
- 16. R. Rapp, (2003), private communication and R. Rapp, nucl-th/0204003
- 17. M. Harada and C. Sasaki, Int. J. M od. Phys. E 16, (2007) 2143, arX iv hep-ph/0702205
- 18. H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, (2006) 102301; hep-ph/0603084
- 19. J.Ruppert,C.Gale,T.Renk,P.Lichard and J.I.Kapusta, Phys.Rev.Lett.100, (2008) 162301; hep-ph/0706.1934
- 20. V.L.Eletsky, M.Belkacem, P.J.Ellis and J.I.Kapusta, Phys.Rev.C 64 (2001) 035202
- 21. R.Rapp and E.V.Shuryak, Phys. Lett. B 473 (2000) 13
- 22. G.Q.Liand C.Gale, Phys. Rev. C 58, (1998) 2914
- 23. T.Renk and J.Ruppert, Phys.Rev.C 77, (2008) 024907; hep-ph/0612113
- 24. S.D am janovic et al. (NA 60 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys.A 783, (2007) 327
- 25. M. Floris et al. (NA 60 Collaboration), J. Phys. G 35, (2008) 104054, arX iv:0809.0420 [hep-ex]
- 26. H. van Hees and R. Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A 806 (2008) 339
- 27. K. Dusling, D. Teaney and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. C 75, (2007) 024908; hep-ph/0701253
- 28. E. L. Bratkovskaya, W. Cassing and O. Linnyk, arX iv:0805.3177 [nucl-th].
- 29. M.Kotulla et al. (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Phys. Rev.Lett.100,192302 (2008)