Energy reconstruction of electrom agnetic showers from ⁰ decays with the ICARUS T 600 Liquid Argon TPC

ICARUS Collaboration

A.Ankowski^u, M.Antonello^b, P.G.Aprili^b, F.Ameodo^b, A.Badertscher^v, B.Baibussinov^h, M.Baklo-Ceolin^{i,h}, G.Battistoni^d, P.Benetti^{k;}, R.Brunetti¹, A.Bueno^W, E.Calligarich['], M.Cambiaghi^{k;'}, N.Canci^{a,b}, F. Carbonara^{fg}, M. C. Carmona^w, F. Cavanna^{a,b}, P. Cennini^c, S. Centro^{i,h}, A.Cesana e^{pt} , K.Cieslik p^{th} , D.Clineⁿ, A.G.Cocco^g, A.Dabrowska^p, R.Dolni^{k;}, C.Famese^{i,h}, A.Fava^{i,h}, A.Ferrari^C, G.Fiorillo^{fg}, S.Galli^{a,b}, V.Gallo^g, D.Garcia-Gamez^W, D.Gibin^{i,h}, A.Giqli Berzolari^k; K.Graczyk^u, A.Guqlielm i^h, J.Holeczek^o, D.Kielczew ska^r, J.Kisiel^o, T.Kozlowski^t, J.Lagoda^t, M.Lantz^{d2}, J.Lozano^w, G.Mannocchi^m, M. Markiewicz^p, A. Martinez de la Ossa^W, F. Mauri^{'y}, A. J. Melgare p^W, A.Menegolli'', G.Meng^h, P.Mijakowski^t, C.Montanari', G. Piano Mortari^{a,b}, S. Muraro^d, S. Navas^w, S. Otwinowskiⁿ, O. Palamara^b, T.J.Palczewski^t, L.Periale^m, A.Piazzoli^{k;}, P.Picchi^m, F.Pietropaolo^h, W.Pokhlopek^q, M.Posiadala^r, M.Prata^{'3}, P.Przew locki^t, A.Rappoldi['], G.L.Raselli, E.Rondio^t, M.Rossella, A.Rubbia^v, C.Rubbia^b, P.Sala^d, N. Scannicchio^{k;}, A. Scaram elli^d, E. Segreto^b, F. Sergiam pietri^j, J. Sobczyk^u, D. Stefan^p, J. Stepaniak^t, R. Sulej^s, M. Szarska^p, T. Szeglowski^o, M. Szeptycka^t, M. Terrani^{e,d}, F. Varaniniⁱ, S. Ventura^h, C.Vignoli, T.W achala^p, H.W angⁿ, A.Zalew ska^p

¹ Now at INFN - Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

² Now at Chalmers University, Sweden

³ Now at LENA, Universita di Pavia, Italy

^aUniversita dell'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy ^b INFN – Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi (AQ), Italy ^cCERN, Geneva, Switzerland ^d INFN - Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy ^eCESNEF – Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy ^fINFN - Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy ^gUniversita Federico II di Napoli, Napoli, Italy ^h INFN – Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ⁱUniversita di Padova, Padova, Italy ^jINFN – Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^kUniversita di Pavia, Pavia, Italy INFN – Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^m INFN - Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati (Roma), Italy ⁿUCLA – University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ^oInstitute of Physics – University of Silesia, K atow ice, Poland ^pH.Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Krakow, Poland ^qAGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland ^rInstitute of Experim ental Physics – University of W arsaw, W arsaw, Poland ^sInstitute of Radioelectronics, Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ^tA. Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland ^uInstitute of Theoretical Physics, University of W rochw, W rochw, Poland ^vInstitute for Particle Physics, ETH Honggerberg, Zurich, Switzerland ^w Universidad de Granada and CAFPE, Granada, Spain

A bstract

We discuss the ICARUS T600 detector capabilities in electrom agnetic shower reconstruction through the analysis of a sam ple of 212 events, coming from the 2001 Pavia surface test run, of hadronic interactions leading to the production of ⁰ m esons. M ethods of shower energy and shower direction m easurements were developed and the invariant m ass of the photon pairs was reconstructed. The (,) invariant m ass was found to be consistent with the value of the ⁰ m ass. The resolution of the reconstructed ⁰ m asswas found to be equal to 27.3%. An in proved analysis, carried out in order to clean the full event sam ple from the eventsm easured in the crow ded environment, m ostly due to the trigger conditions, gave a ⁰ m ass resolution of 16.1%, signi cantly better than the one evaluated for the full event sam ple. The trigger requirement of the coincidence of at least four photom ultiplier signals favored the selection of events with a strong pile up of cosm ic ray tracks and interactions. Hence a num ber of candidate ⁰ events were heavily contam inated

by other tracks and had to be rejected. M onte Carlo simulations of events with $^{\rm 0}$ production in hadronic and neutrino interactions con rm ed the validity of the show erenergy and show erdirection reconstruction m ethods applied to the realdata.

Keywords: Decay of mesons, Calorim eters PACS: 13.20.Cz, 29.40.Vj

1 Introduction

The Liquid Argon (LAr) Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is an option for the next generation of very large m ass neutrino detectors. The LAr TPC was proposed by C Rubbia [1] in 1977 and, after many years of extensive R & D studies the T 600 ICARUS detector [2] has been constructed. The read-out of ionization electrons traveling in a uniform electric eld provides the spacial reconstruction of an event and allows for calorim etric measurem ent of the energy release. In year 2001, the T 600 ICARUS detector was extensively tested in Pavia (Italy), with an exposure to cosm ic rays at the Earth surface. During over 100 days of continuous data taking, about 30000 cosm ic ray events have been collected. In a series of papers the ICARUS Collaboration presented the following results from the T 600 detector test run: observation of long ionizing tracks [3], LAr purity [4], electron recombination in LAr [5] and measurement of through-going particle momentum by means of multiple scattering [6]. The analysis of the sample of stopping events led to the measurem ent of the decay spectrum, and allowed to determ ine the energy resolution of the T 600 ICARUS detector for the measurement of electrons with energy lower than about 50 M eV [7].

The ICARUS T 600 detector is at present in the nalphases of re-assembling in the underground H allB at INFN LaboratoriN azionalidelG ran Sasso (LNGS), Italy, and its commissioning is foreseen to start within the year 2008.

In this paper we discuss the ICARUS detector capabilities in electrom agnetic shower reconstruction through the analysis of a sam ple of events with hadronic interactions leading to the production of $\,^0$ m esons. The decay of a particle with known mass gives a good test for the energy calibration. Another motivation for such studies is the following: in order to distinguish $_{\rm e}$ charge current (CC) interaction

$$_{e} + n ! e + p;$$
 (1)

Corresponding author

$$+ n! + {}^{0} + hadrons;$$
 (2)

a very good detector capability to separate electrons and pions is required. The 0 decays in about 98.8% into two photons. The D alitz decays, leading to the production of one photon and electron-positron pair, com pose about 1.2%. Both photons and electrons m ay create electrom agnetic show ers and therefore lead to the sim ilar event topology for both NC and CC interactions.

The paper is organized as follows. The ICARUS T 600 detector set-up is brie y described in Section 2. Section 3 concerns the search and the selection of cosm ic ray hadronic interactions in T 600 detector with the production of the 0 m eson. In Section 4 the m ethods used for the m easurem ent of the energy

released in LAr by the two electrom agnetic showers coming from 0 ! decay and for the reconstruction of 0 m ass are illustrated. The analysis of the selected event sample from realdata is presented in Section 5. In Section 6 the precision in the measurement of the electrom agnetic shower energy deposition in LAr is evaluated in the condition of the test experiment through a simulation of electrons and photons showering inside T 600 detector. In Section 7 the M onte C arb simulations of 0 in ICARUS T 600, used to validate the reconstruction routines for electrom agnetic showers, are shown. In Section 8 the same method of event reconstruction used for the real data is applied to M onte C arb simulated m uon neutrino interactions with 0 production. The possible mixing of such events with the electron neutrino CC interaction is discussed. The work is summarized and concluded in Section 9.

2 Experim ental set-up

The T 600 IC ARUS detector is composed of two identical adjacent "T 300" halfm odules lled with Liquid Argon. Two Time Projection Chambers (TPCs) with common cathode placed in the middle, the electric eld shaping system, LAr purity monitors and photo-multiplier tubes (PM Ts) are enclosed in each half-module. The external therm al insulation, together with a Liquid N itrogen circuit, allows to stabilize the LAr temperature. The required LAr purity is achieved by a puri cation system. Each T 300 half-module has the following internal dimensions: 3.6 m (width), 3.9 m (height) and 19.9 m (length). A long the longest side walls (left and right) of each half-module, three parallel planes (two Induction planes and one Collection plane) of anode wires are placed. They are parallel to the cathode and form two TPCs. They are oriented at 60 with respect to each other, 3 mm apart, with wire pitch of 3 mm. The total num ber of wires in the T 600 detector is 53248, whereas the volume of LAr in the instrum ented part of the detector is 340.3 m³ (476.5 tons). The ionization electrons, forced by a uniform electric eld of 500 V/cm perpendicular to the cathode, drift toward the anode wires inducing a signal on those which they pass by. The appropriate voltage biasing causes non-destructive signal to be induced rst on two Induction wire planes, whereas the ionization electrons charge is nally collected in the Collection wire plane. By combining the inform ation from two-dimensional projections, provided by each pair of wire planes, with the position along the drift time, it is possible to obtain a three-dimensional reconstruction of an event. The zero time t_0 of an event is denied by the PMT detection of LAr scintillation light. The position along the drift time is given with respect to t_0 .

Several triggers have been applied during the T 600 IC A R U S detector test run. An external trigger system m ade of plastic scintillators was used for detection ofm uon tracks. An independent trigger system was based on photo-multipliers, which detect scintillation light produced during interaction of charged particles with LAr. M ost of the $^{\circ}$ candidates used in this analysis were found in the data collected with this internal trigger. The detailed description of the T 600 IC A R U S detector design, construction, and perform ance is given in [2], where the conditions of the technical run and the event o -line reconstruction procedure are discussed as well.

3 Data selection

Interactions in LAr producing ⁰s decaying into photons have been selected through a visual scanning for events with at least two showers pointing to the interaction vertex: Fig. 1 shows an example of an ICARUS T 600 full view event, with a ⁰ candidate that was selected during the scanning phase. M ost of the selected interactions are initiated by hadrons, hence we also use the term "hadronic interactions". A ltogether, about 7500 in ages have been scanned. The majority of the events were collected with triggers based on signals from photo-multipliers, rich in hadronic interactions.

It has to be stressed that the T600 ICARUS test run internal trigger based on photom ultipliers was aim ed at air showers, so that m any events were not m easurable because of their com plexity due to a crowded environment. The surface exposure has in fact as a result that a number of candidate ⁰ events can lie in zones of the detector of strong pile up of cosm ic ray events, which can partially overlap the electrom agnetic showers of the photons of the ⁰ decay. This a ects either the capability of the visual scanning in disentangling the good events from an environment populated by m any through-going particles or the precision in the shower energy reconstruction for selected events w ith partial overlap w ith cosm ic ray events.

The following scanning rules were adopted for the pre-selection of hadronic interactions with $\ ^0$ production:

At least two, well separated electrom agnetic showers originated by photons pointing to the interaction vertex are demanded. Shower verteces and directions are de ned by the initial electron-positron pairs, so that it is often easy to decide if they are pointing to the interaction vertex. The showers, as well as the interaction vertex, must be clearly visible in at least two views.

K now ledge of the event zero time (t_0) is mandatory in order to determ ine the interaction vertex and the shower spatial positions in the chamber, as well as to apply proper corrections to the collected signals for the charge attenuation due to the nite electron lifetime in Argon, associated to the electron attachment process to electro-negative impurities.

A unique t_0 determ ination is possible in the follow ing cases: (1) at least one track (but not show ers) from the interaction is crossing the anode w ires ($t_0 = 0$ s for crossing points); (2) at least one track (but not show ers) is crossing the cathode plane ($t_0 = 962$ s for crossing points); (3) an unique association between the interaction and the PMT induces signals on C ollection w ires (Fig. 2).

Interactions with neutral meson candidates are rejected when ionization due to other tracks and interactions in their neighborhood is so high that it seriously in uences the shower energy determ ination.

Every candidate event was analyzed independently by (at least) two groups from di erent laboratories in the Collaboration. A fter all these requirements altogether 212 hadronic interactions with at least one candidate neutralmeson were collected for further analysis. As said before, the crowded environment of ICARUS T 600 test run data reduced the usable data sample substantially: examples of accepted and rejected events with neutralmeson candidates are shown in Fig. 3 and in Fig. 4, respectively.

4 Data reconstruction

The invariant mass M of a particle decaying into two photons is given by

$$M = \frac{q}{2 E_1 E_2 (1 \cos_{12})};$$
(3)

where E_1 and E_2 are the energies of the two photons and $_{12}$ is the angle in between. In our case, the goal was to measure the energies of two electrom agnetic showers coming from the photons and to reconstruct the photon directions.

In Fig. 5, one of the 212 selected events is shown: in both Collection and Induction views an hadronic interaction vertex and the two shower starting



Fig. 1. Example of an ICARUS T 600 full view event (R un 939 Event 130). On the left side, close to the center of the image, a large hadronic interaction leading to the production of a number of secondaries is visible. A long all the image m.ip. tracks can be seen. A zoom ed view of an hadronic interaction leading to ⁰ production and subsequent decay in two photons is shown. The scale of the image does not m atch the real one.

points are visible. The drift position coordinate T, where T (cm) = drift time (s) electron drift velocity (cm/s), is common for the two 2D projections of the events, while the U (cm) and V (cm) wire coordinates indicate the wire position in C ollection and Induction views, respectively. The U, V and T coordinates



Fig. 2. An example of event in which the t_0 is uniquely determined from PMT induced signal on C ollection wires, visible at the drift coordinate T 0 cm as an ionization strip along the horizontal U wire coordinate (R un 700 Event 58).



Fig. 3. Examples of events from the naldata sample: a) ⁰ candidate (Run 939 Event 130); b) a multi-shower event (Run 939 Event 190).

of the interaction vertex and those of the em. shower starting points are used for the three dimensional reconstruction of the photon direction, hence of the angle $_{12}$ between the two photons of the 0 decay.

The basic step for the calorim etric reconstruction of electrom agnetic showers is the hit noting and reconstruction procedure. We dene hit as the portion of particle track which energy is read by a given wire. The hit search and reconstruction is performed on the raw signal of the Collection wire plane following the standard procedure for ICARUS data analysis described in [2]. A fter hit reconstruction, the following parameters are available for each hit: its wire-drift position U and T and its area (ADC s).



Fig. 4. Examples of candidates not included in the naldata sample: a) neutral m eson candidate with overlapping photon showers(R un 975 Event 65); b) candidate event in a crowded environment (R un 710 Event 29).

A dedicated H IG Z-based G raphical U ser Interface visualization tool allows to select directly the region with the hits belonging to a shower, by building a polygonal line around the shower directly on the Collection view by means of interactive calls of H IG Z am bient. Then hit noting routine is applied within the bounds de ned by the polygonal line (see Fig. 6). It has to be noticed that the de nition of the area surrounding the shower is left to the user choice, so that sm all variations of the reconstructed energy can be found between measurements done by di erent users. This happens especially in the case the two photons of the $^{\circ}$ decay are emitted at sm all angle, so it can be di cult to decide whether an energy deposition belong to the rst shower or to the second one.

O nce all hits belonging to a shower are found, the overall shower energy E is computed by summing all energies E_i , where i is the index of the hits inside the shower area:

$$E_{i}(M eV) = \frac{1}{"} \frac{CW}{R} A Q; \qquad (4)$$

where: C = (152 2) 10 ⁴ fC/(ADC s) is the calibration factor [4]; W = $23.6_{0:3}^{+0.5}$ eV is the average energy needed for the creation of an electronion pair [8]; R = 0.640 0.013 is the electron-ion recombination factor [7]; A = $e_{-e}^{\frac{t_1 t_0}{e}}$ is the drift electron lifetim e factor: t_i is the hit drift tim e coordinate and t_0 is the event zero-tim e. e_{-e} is the actual electron lifetim e in LAr, that varies run by run [4]. Its value as a function of the acquisition date was monitored during the T 600 data taking [9], with relative errors at the level of 5%; nally, Q_i is the hit area. The shower energy E is then corrected by multiplying it



Fig. 5. Example of selected candidate 0 ! event (R un 712 event 7). Hadronic interaction vertex and em. shower starting points are indicated in both C ollection and Induction view s.

by a factor $\frac{1}{n}$, coming from M onte Carlo simulations of em. showers from photons and electrons in the range 50 5000 M eV in ICARUS T 600, taking into account the shower energy reconstruction e ciency (see Sec. 6). It has to be noticed that the correction for the reconstruction e ciency in eq. (4) depends on the attenuation factor $A = e^{\frac{t_i - t_0}{e}}$ coming from the drift electron

nite lifetime of the M onte C arb generated events, so that the "value changes shower by shower, ranging from "= 0.69 0.03 (A = 0.5) to "= 0.83 0.04 (A = 1) (see Fig. 11). The error on the shower energy is then evaluated from the propagation of all contributions in eq. (4).

The angle $_{12}$ between photons is then evaluated from the spatial coordinates of hadronic interaction vertex and of starting points of the show ers as explained above.

5 Results

5.1 (,) invariant mass

M ost of the 212 events forming the sample were measured in several laboratories. The di cult condition of data analysis due to the presence of a crowded environment sometimes has as a result that the same event measured in di erent laboratories produces di erent values in vertex coordinate and shower energy determination. As explained above, the somehow arbitrary choice of the user to build the polygonal line surrounding the em. photon shower of the 0 decay could in principle introduce some systematics in the



Fig. 6. Example of the application of the routines that allow to select the hits belonging to an em.shower: the polygonal lines are built by the user. Reconstructed hits inside the areas de ned by the polygonal lines are marked with red dots (Run 712 event 7).

(,) invariant m ass distributions com ing from di erent laboratories. Fig. 7 shows the (,) invariant m ass distribution of three sub-sam ples of events, each provided by a di erent laboratory involved in the data analysis. It appears that on average no system atic di erences between m easurem ents carried out in di erent laboratories are present. At this stage of the analysis, the " param eter taking into account the shower energy reconstruction e ciency, see eq. (4), has not been considered yet, hence the m ass values are underestim ated with respect to the nom inal ones. As a rem ark, it has to be noticed that in LNGS underground condition the events will be very clean, so that each ⁰ decay event will be m easured just once unam biguously. The event sam ple for the follow ing analysis was then built by taking just one m easurem ent per event chosen random ly from the available ones in the case of events m easured by m ore than one laboratory, then adding to the sam ple all the other events m easured just once.

A preliminary consistency check was performed on this event sample: the distribution of distances of the shower starting points from the corresponding interaction vertex is related to the radiation length X_0 in LAr, $X_0 = 0.14$ m [11]. This is shown in Fig. 8. The value of the mean distance obtained from a

t with an exponential function is:

$$X = (0:174 \ 0:008)m$$
; (5)

that is in agreem ent with the expected length for photons $X = \frac{9}{7}$ $X_0 = 0.18$ m for LAr.

A ducial volume cut was then applied by requiring that at least the rst

three radiation lengths of both showers were fully contained in the chamber. This reduced the event number to 164, of which 126 were two-shower and 38 were multi-shower events; the nal number of ⁰ candidates in the selected event sample was then found to be equal to 196. The invariant (,) m ass distribution of this 196 ⁰ candidate sample is shown in Fig. 9, as well as the ⁰ energy distribution. The correct m ass combinations of multi-shower events were disentangled after the m inim ization of the parameter:

$${}^{2} = {}^{X^{n}}_{i=1} \left({}^{M \circ M \circ M i}_{i} \right)^{2}$$
(6)

evaluated for all the possible combinations of showers. (m $_{0}$ = 135 M eV/ c^{2} [11]). Here, n is the maximum number of 0 s allowed (1 for a three shower event, 2 for a four and ve shower event, 3 for a 6 shower event). The average mass given by a gaussian t is:

$$m = 134:4 \quad 3:0M = V = c^2$$
: (7)

The evaluation of all system atic contributions to the error on the 0 m ass (see Sec. 4) introduces an additional contribution to the m ass error of 7.1% (9.5 M eV/c²). The RM S uncertainty of the reconstructed m ass was found to be equal to = 36.7 3.4 M eV/c² (27.3%). Thus the result, in agreem ent with the 0 m ass, dem onstrates that our m ass reconstruction m ethod is reliable.



Fig. 7. (,) invariant m ass distributions (solid, dotted and dashed lines) of three di erent laboratories involved in the data analysis.



Fig. 8.D istribution of the distances between shower starting point and interaction vertex for LAr radiation length evaluation.



Fig. 9. (,) invariant m ass (a) and energy (b) distributions for the sam ple of 196 0 candidates. The average m ass is m = 134.4 3.0 M eV/ c^{2} (= 36.7 3.4 M eV/ c^{2}). The m can value of the 0 energy is E = 707.7 M eV.

5.2 Crowded environm ent suppression

A lthough on average the measurements done in dierent laboratories are in agreement, see Fig. 7, nonetheless for this particular analysis of events collected on surface by exposure by cosmic rays it has been chosen to improve the results by cleaning the 212 event sample from the events measured in a crowded environment. To do so, further rejection cuts were related to the consistency of the two independent measurements performed in dierent laboratories. The purpose of this re-ned analysis is to show that in an environment more similar to the one expected in the underground laboratory the 0 mass distribution has to be narrower than the one found for the full sam ple.

The interaction vertex and shower starting point coordinates are then considered to be in agreem ent if the absolute value of the di erences between two independent measurements of all three coordinates is smaller than vertimes the width of the distribution of such di erences. Then, if the relative di erence $\frac{(E_1 + E_2)}{2}$ between measurements of the shower energies is smaller than 50%, then the measurements are considered to be in agreement, so that only these are used to built the nal ⁰ mass distribution. The values used for these cuts largely suppress the ⁰ decay events belonging to a crowded environment while keeping a relatively high statistics for the following analysis. For events which passed these tests the average values of the measured positions and energies were calculated and used for ⁰ mass determination. The sam ple which passed these selection criteria was then composed of 89 events with at least one ⁰ candidate: 72 were two-shower and 17 were

events with at least one 0 candidate; 72 were two-shower and 17 were multi-shower events. As done for the global data sample, the correct mass combinations of multi-shower events were disentangled after the minimization of the 2 parameter, see eq. (6), evaluated for all the possible combinations of showers. After this procedure, a nalsample of 97 0 candidates was available for the analysis.

The (,) invariant m ass distribution for the nalsample of 97 0 candidates is shown in Fig.10a together with the total 0 energy in Fig.10b. The average m ass given by a gaussian t was:

$$m = 139.9 \quad 2.8M \text{ eV} = c^2;$$
 (8)

with a system atic contribution of $9.9 \text{ M eV}/c^2$. The ⁰ m ass resolution is now equal to 16.1% (= 22.6 2.9 M eV/c²), that is 8.9% less than the one evaluated for the sam ple without consistency cuts. This demonstrates that in the particular case of the ICARUS T 600 Earth surface data, a re ned analysis was necessary in order to take into account the strong contribution of the crowded environment surrounding some of the ⁰ production and decay events. These crowded events are badly measured and bring to major di erences between measurements carried out in di erent laboratories.

6 Energy resolution for electrom agnetic showers

In this section an analysis of the energy resolution for electrom agnetic showers in the energy range 50 5000 MeV is presented. The energy of Monte Carlo simulated electrons and photons inside the ICARUS T 600 detector was reconstructed with the same tools used for the 0 events. The simulation was performed using the FLUKA [10] program for the sam ples of electrons



Fig. 10. (,) invariant m ass (a) and energy (b) distributions for the nalsample of 97 0 candidates obtained after crowded environment suppression. The average m ass is m = 139.9 2.8 M eV/c² (= 22.6 2.9 M eV/c²). The mean value of the 0 energy is E = 641.8 M eV.

and photons generated at six di erent energies with di erent orientation in the detector. The measured average energies appeared to be shifted with respect to the true energies de ned at the generation. To obtain an agreem ent between generated and reconstructed energies, the shower energy reconstruction e ciency (i.e. the ratio between measured and generated shower energy) " has to be taken into account. The ine ciency can be due to misidenti cation of the hits by the hit nding algorithms, as well as to the loss of the tail of the reconstructed tracks, whose energy deposition can become lower than the intrinsic wire background noise, especially in the case of low values of the electron lifetime e: in this case the drift electron nite lifetime factor $A = e^{\frac{t_i t_0}{e}}$ is crucial. In Fig. 11 the reconstruction e ciency " as a function of A is shown, measured for a sample of M onte C arlo events at several values of $_{e}$: it is found that " rises with A , and that it is well tted with a fourth degree polynomial. Despite the arbitrariness of the choice of this particular function for the t of " versus A, it was found that choosing other types of curves for the t did not introduce system atics in the value of ", the uctuations of which remain always well below the 1% in the region A = (0.5 1.0) where the real data lie.

Depending on the values of this attenuation factor measured in the realdata, "was found to range from 0.69 0.03 (A = 0.5) to 0.83 0.04 (A = 1). Moreover, in the range of em. shower energy analyzed, no dependence of " from the shower energy, the attenuation factor being the same, was found. The e ect of the "parameter taking into account the shower energy reconstruction e ciency is shown in Fig. 12, where the dependence of the reconstructed ⁰ mass from the distance between the main hadronic interaction vertex and the wires is shown (sample of the 196 ⁰ candidates). When the "e ciency is not taken into account (red dots), an e ect of underestimation of the mass, especially near the cathode (y = 150 cm), is evident. A fter the shower energy correction (green dots), this e ect is much smaller and, as expected, the correction is larger for events far from wires (y & 60 cm). This e ect can be seen in a more quantitative way by giving the values of the 2 s from the t of the two distributions with a at line. The value of 2 =ndf after the shower energy correction (2 =ndf = 1.55/4) is signi cantly smaller than the one without correction (2 =ndf = 5.52/4), demonstrating that after the correction the mass distribution is almost at.



Fig. 11. Reconstruction e ciency " as a function of drift electron nite lifetime factor A : data have been tted with a fourth degree polynom ial.



Fig. 12. Dependence of the reconstructed ⁰ m ass from the distance between the m ain hadronic interaction vertex and the wires with (green dots) and without (red dots) the correction for the "reconstruction e ciency parameter. The red (green) straight represents the result of a t of the red (green) dots with a at line.

The energy resolution at xed energies is given by the RMS of the measurements. The following formula was tted to the experimental points:

$$\frac{E}{E} = \frac{P1}{\frac{P}{E}} + P2$$
(9)

where P1 and P2 are the free parameters of the t. The rst part of the form ula represents the typical electrom agnetic calorim eter behavior. The introduction of P2 parameter improves the t quality. Its presence can be due to the fact that the amount of low energy signals at the end of the show ering process grows with the initial energy. These signals can be mixed with the overall background when the hits belonging to the shower are selected. Fig. 13 illustrates the resulting energy resolution of the T 600 IC A R U S detector in the reconstruction of the electrom agnetic showers.



Fig. 13. $\frac{E}{E}$ as a function of $\frac{P}{E}$. Dashed line - t to eq. (9) (P2 = 0 assumed), solid line - t to eq. (9).

The best t with the formula of eq. (9) was obtained for the following M = V and P = 0.012parameter values: P1 = (0.33)0.03) 0.002. The energy resolution in LAr detector was previously studied on a base of a sample of MC generated low energy electrons (E < 50M eV) [7]: the best t was obtained for $P1 = (0.11 \quad 0.01)$ MeV and $P2 = 0.025 \quad 0.03$. However in that case P1 and P2 were correlated because of the small energy interval involved. The worse resolution for the high energy electrom agnetic showers can be explained by larger uctuations of integrated background because of the larger area covered by the shower as well as to the hits overlapping in the regions of large density of electron tracks. Moreover, in the case of a high energy shower it is not obvious which surrounding signals still belong to the shower. The energy resolution has been obtained for the speci c m ethod of energy reconstruction based on calorim etric inform ation from Collection view only.

As a check of the reliability of the energy reconstruction method, the distribution of the so called pulls : pull(m $_{0}$) = $\frac{(m - m - 0)}{(m -)}$ was calculated for the real data, using eq. (9) to calculate the error (m) as a function of (E). The RMS of the distribution was found to be equal to one within errors, con ming the validity of the formula obtained on the base of the measurements of the photon showers.

7 Monte Carlo simulation of ⁰ production from hadronic interactions

M onte Carlo simulations of three di erent samples of 0 s with di erent kinematic and event environment conditions were carried out. The events have been generated using the FLUKA full simulation of T600 detector. They allowed to con rm the validity of the 0 mass reconstruction tools in environments similar to the one coming from real data. The three generated 0 samples were:

- (1) ⁰ decays at rest; the invariant m ass is reconstructed as a sum of the photon energies since the relative angle is exactly equal to 180.0 nly errors on the photon energies contribute to the m ass resolution.
- (2) ⁺ ! ⁰ + p with subsequent ⁰ decay; the pion from the two body decay at rest has xed momentum of 189 M eV/c and the vertex of ⁰ decay is precisely determ ined by the position of the low energy proton from ⁺ decay. Shower verteces are also well determ ined because no tracks overlap and a ect their recognition.
- (3) ⁰ decays observed in interactions of mesons with Argon nuclei at 2 GeV incident energy. The events resemble most our real events, corresponding to hadronic interaction.

Examples of each class of these events are shown in Fig.14.The (,) invariant m ass distribution for the three m easured M onte Carlo samples is shown in Fig. 15a, 15b and 15c. It can be seen that the position of the m peak is consistent with the pion m ass for all three generated ⁰ samples. The RM S of the invariant m ass distributions presented in Fig.15 are equal to $(7.4 \ 1.3)$ M eV, $(10.7 \ 4.8)$ M eV and $(25.8 \ 4.7)$ M eV. The evident increase in RM S is mainly due to the event crowded environment, which augments the di culty of the event interpretation because of the higher energy and the consequent presence of other reaction products. M oreover, in the decay at rest the relative angle between photons was not measured, but assumed to be equal to 180. It has to be noticed that M onte Carlo events were generated in the center of the detector. For real events the elect of som elected so the energy leakage



Fig. 14. Examples of neutral pion decays as seen by FLUKA-ICARUS detector: decay at rest (a), 0 from $^{+}$ decay (b) and from interaction in LAr (c).

through the walls of the detector can appear despite of the ducial volum e cut.



Fig.15. (a) m for pion decays at rest: $m = 133.3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (RMS = 7.4 MeV/ c^2); (b) m from ⁺ ! ⁰ + p decay: $m = 137.8 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (RMS = 10.7 MeV/ c^2); (c) m from 2 G eV LAr interactions: $m = 130.6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (RMS = 25.8 MeV/ c^2).

8 Simulation of ⁰ production in interactions

In the previous sections it has been shown that the results of the analysis of real and simulated events with 0 production are consistent. Therefore, the same method of generation, measurement and reconstruction was applied to simulated neutrino-induced pion production to check the potentiality of the LAr technique in this respect. An important point in the future experiments looking for $_{13}$ is the e ciency of distinction between photon-induced showers from 0 decay and the electron showers from electron neutrino interactions. The neutral pion production from NC neutrino interactions in the Liquid Argon ICARUS detector was simulated using NUX-FLUKA chain of

program s. The neutrino energies were chosen in a region of maxim alloscillation probability between 1 and 5 G eV .

The m easured distribution of the two photons invariant m ass is presented in Fig. 16. To disentangle the proper photon pair combination for events with four and six photons pointing to the same vertex, the pairs with m inim um ² of the di erence between m easured values of (,) invariant m ass and the ⁰ m ass were selected, see eq. (6). The position of the m axim um was found to be consistent with the ⁰ m ass value. The em ission of 5 (547) m esons was observed in the generated sam ple and its m ass was properly reproduced. The width of the ⁰ peak is = 17.8 1.6 M eV/c² (13.1%). This value is sim ilar to the one obtained for the real data (= 22.6 2.9 M eV/c², 16.1%), the di erence being probably due to the larger background at the earth surface. The shape of m distribution presents deviations from the gaussian shape as it was found with the real data.

A fter the inspection of M onte C arlo events coming from the tails of the two photons invariant m ass distribution it was found that som e 0 s were em itted from a secondary neutral vertex close to the prim ary one. Som e of them were the products of or K m eson decays. W rong assignment of the decay vertex obviously leads to a shift in the reconstructed m ass. In the LArTPC the good spatial resolution allows to distinguish majority of the secondary verteces if their distance from the main hadronic interaction vertex is larger than about 1 cm. The problem is much more severe in water or sandwich-like detectors which are characterized by much worse spatial resolution. It should be noted that the expected percentage of the all secondary neutral pions was found to be as large as about 25% at 2 G eV and 43% at 5 G eV in FLUKA based generator.



Fig. 16. Two photon invariant m ass from events initiated by m uon neutrino NC interactions. Pions from both prim ary and secondary verteces are included; one pion events (a), all (one and m ultipions) events (b).

8.1 Neutral current events as a background to _e CC interactions

An event with 0 production in NC $\,$ interaction can be m is identi ed with an electron from CC $_{\rm e}$ interaction if one of the two following con gurations occurs:

- 1) one photon from the decay can be lost when its energy it is too small to allow its distinction in the crowded environment surrounding it (E < 20 M eV); the calculation based on the decay kinematics shows that the percentage of events with such decay photon, being a function of the parent pion energy, never exceeds 2.5%. The showers of energy larger than 20 M eV were always properly recognized in the simulated events.
- 2) The two photon showers overlap: the angle between the two photon showers from the decay is su ciently large to allow to distinguish the two showers only for a $^{\circ}$ energy up to about 1 GeV. The angle decreases from 70.1 at 100 MeV to 13.7 at 1 GeV. If one does not require a full $^{\circ}$ m ass reconstruction as a pion selection tool the shower overlap is less critical. The possible overlapping e ect for more energetic pion decays was already studied: it was shown [12] that the $\frac{dE}{dx}$ m ethod can be e ciently applied, in LAr detector, to distinguish the beginning of the electron track from the beginning of the lepton pair that com es from the photon conversion.

M oreover, internal conversion due to the Dalitz decay (1.2% of cases) or conversion close to the primary vertex (5.5% of cases within the rst 1 cm) gives raise to misidenti cation of a photon shower as an electron induced cascade in the 6.7% of cases. In the most frequently populated interval of ⁰ energy (200 400 M eV), in about 2% of events the low energy photon can be lost. By multiplying this probability by 0.067 it follows that, in our detector, the total probability of the ⁰ background is expected to be at the level of 10 ³.

9 Conclusions

A sample of 212 events with at least two photon showers pointing at the apparent interaction vertex were selected from the data collected during the surface test run of the ICARUS detector. Methods of measuring the shower energy and shower direction were developed and the invariant mass of the photon pairs was reconstructed. The position of the maximum in the invariant (,) mass distribution for the 196 $^{\circ}$ candidates, obtained after a ducial volum e cut, was found to be in agreement with the value of the $^{\circ}$ mass, thus con ming the common assumption that the $^{\circ}$ s are the main source of the

photons. The RMS uncertainty of the reconstructed 0 invariant mass was found to be equal to 36.7 3.4 M eV/c² (27.3%).

An improved analysis was carried out in order to clean the initial event sample from the events measured in a crowded environment caused by other tracks and interactions surrounding the 0 candidates. As a result, the position of the maximum in the invariant (,) mass for the 97 surviving 0 candidates was found again to be in agreement with the 0 mass. Moreover, the RMS uncertainty of the reconstructed 0 invariant mass was found to be equal 2.9) M eV/ c^2 (16.1%), which is signi cantly narrower than the to (22.6 m ass distribution for the initial event sample. The 0 m ass distribution was in good agreem ent with the distributions obtained for the M onte C arlo generated events with neutral pion production in hadronic and neutrino interactions. The agreem ent between the data and the Monte Carlo con m s the validity of the shower energy and shower direction reconstruction methods. It also dem onstrates the potential of the ICARUS LArTPC, because simple quality cuts were su cient to obtain good results in very di cult conditions of the surface test, detector commissioning and tuning of the electronics.

It was found that in the photon energy range from 50 to 5000 MeV the electrom agnetic shower energy resolution (E)=E can be approximated by the formula (E)=E = P1=E + P2 with the parameters $P1 = (0.33 \ 0.03)^{2}$ MeV and $P2 = 0.012 \ 0.002$.

To simulate the futurem easurements in the CNGS beam, neutrino interactions at incident energies between 1 and 5 GeV were generated using the FLUKA package. Scanning and measurements of the showers pointing to the primary and secondary verteces were carried out, and the two photon mass was reconstructed for events with dimensional out, and the two photon mass was reconstructed for events with dimensional of up to the three pions coming from the same vertex was possible. A few events with (547) meson decay into two photons were found in simulated events and properly reconstructed.

The asymmetric decays of $^{\circ}$ s as a background to $_{e}$ charge current events were discussed. It was found that it is likely to loose the photon of energy smaller than about 20 M eV but the percentage of events with such a photon never exceeds 2.5%. The frequency of events that could be misidenti ed with events containing single electron shower, because of the low energy photon lost for either the D alitz decay or the events with the second photon converting close to the neutrino interaction vertex was estimated to be at the level of one per mil.

In sum m ary: our analysis in plies very good prospects for the recognition and energy m easurem ent of electrom agnetic showers during the ICARUS running in the G ran Sasso laboratory, where the background due to other signals in the neighborhood of neutrino interactions will be negligible. A cknow ledgem ents

W ewish to dedicate this work to them em ory of our friend and colleague Fulvio M auri, co-author of the paper, who prem aturely passed away on M arch, 2008. We would like to warm by thank the many technical collaborators that contributed to the construction of the detector and to its operation. We are glad of the nancial and technical support of our funding agencies and in particular of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN). The Polish groups acknowledge the support of the M inistry of Science and Higher Education in Poland, 105,160,620,621/E-344,E-340,E-77,E-78/SPB/ICARUS/P-03/DZ211-214/2003-2008, 1P03B04130 and N N202 0299 33.

R eferences

- [1] C.Rubbia, CERN-EP/77-08 (1977).
- [2] S.Am erio et al., Nucl. Instr. M eth. A 527 (2004), 329.
- [3] F.Ameodo et al, Nucl. Inst. M eth. A 508 (2003), 287.
- [4] S.Amoruso et al, Nucl. Inst. M eth. A 516 (2004), 68.
- [5] S.Amoruso et al., Nucl. Inst. M eth. A 523 (2004), 275.
- [6] A.Ankowskietal, Eur. Phys. J.C 48 (2006), 667.
- [7] S.Amoruso et al, Eur. Phys. J.C 33 (2004), 233.
- [8] M.Miyajim a et al., Phys. Rev. A 9, (1974) 1438.
- [9] S.Amoruso et al., Nucl. Instr. M eth. A 523 (2004), 233.
- [10]A. Fasso et al., "The physics models of FLUKA: status and recent developments", Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics 2003 Conference (CHEP2003), La Jolla, CA, USA, March 24-28, 2003 (paper MOMT2005), eConfC 0303241 (2003), arX iv:hep-ph/0306276.
- [11]W.M.Yao et al, Journal of Physics G 33 (2006), 1.
- [12] D. Stefan and T. W achala, Acta Phys. Polon. B 37 (2006) 2187.