H igh energy factorization in nucleus-nucleus collisions

Francois G elis⁽¹⁾, Tuom as Lappi⁽²⁾, R a ju V enugopalan⁽³⁾

- 1. Theory D ivision, PH-TH, Case C01600, CERN, CH-1211, G eneva 23, Sw itzerland
- 2. Institut de Physique Theorique (URA 2306 du CNRS) CEA/DSM/Saclay, Bât. 774 91191, G if-sur-Y vette C edex, France
- 3. Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY-11973, USA

A bstract

We derive a high energy factorization theorem for inclusive gluon production in A+A collisions. Our factorized formula resum s i) all order leading logarithm s $(g^2 \ln(1=x_{1,2}))^n$ of the incoming partons m om entum fractions, and ii) all contributions (g $_{1,2}$)ⁿ that are enhanced when the cobr charge densities in the two nuclei are of order of the inverse coupling { g^{-1} . The resummed inclusive gluon spectrum can be expressed as $1:2$ a convolution of gauge invariant distributions W [$_{1,2}$] from each of the nucleiw ith the leading order gluon number operator. These distributions are shown to satisfy the JMW LK equation describing the evolution of nuclear wavefunctions with rapidity. As a by-product, we demonstrate that the JMW LK H am iltonian can be derived entirely in term s of retarded light cone G reen's functions without any am biguities in their pole prescriptions. We comment on the implications of our results for understanding the G lasm a produced at early times in A + A collisions at collider energies.

Preprint IPhT-T08/068, CERN-PH-TH-2008-074.

$\mathbf 1$ Introduction

Collinear factorization theorem s [1] that isolate long distance non-perturbative parton distribution functions from perturbatively calculable short distance matrix elem ents are central to the predictive pow er and success of QCD. These theor en s can be applied to compute inclusive cross-sections of the form $A + B$ \mathbf{I} $I(M) + X$, where $I(M)$ is a set of heavy particles or jets with invariant m ass M and X corresponds to the sum over all possible states (including soft and collinear hadrons) that can accompany the object I(M). This cross-section, for center of m ass energy $5,$ can be expressed as [2{6]

$$
X = \begin{cases} \frac{X}{A} & \text{if } X = \frac{X}{A} \\ \frac{X}{A} & \text{if } X = \frac{X}{A} \\ \frac{X}{A} & \text{if } X = \frac{X}{A} \\ \frac{X}{A} & \text{if } X = \frac{X}{A} \end{cases}
$$

In this equation, $f_{a(b)=A(B)}(x_{a(b)};$ ²) are the non-perturbative \leading twist" parton distribution functions which gives the distribution of a parton a (b) in the hadron A (B), as a function of the longitudinalm om entum fraction $x_{a(b)}$ evolved up to the factorization scale $\frac{2}{7}$, while the hard scattering m atrix element γ_{ab} can be computed system atically in a perturbative expansion in powers of $_s =$ $g^2=4$, where g is the QCD coupling constant. Higher twist contributions to this form ula are suppressed by powers n of the hard scale M . This factorization formula is valid in the B jorken lim it when M 2 s $^{2}_{\circ}$ (where $_{\circ}$ $_{\circ}$ 200 M eV is the intrinsic QCD scale).

Our interest here is instead in a di erent regine of high energy scatter- $_{\circ}$ one takes $\frac{1}{s}$: 1 and thus ing where, for xed invariant mass M $x_{a,b}$! 0. We shall call this the Regge (G ribov lim it of QCD. An important insight is that in this lim it the eld strengths squared can become very large $(0\;(\frac{1}{2})\;)$ corresponding to the saturation of gluon densities [7,8]. The onset of saturation is characterized by a saturation scale Q_s(x), which opens a kinem atic w indow M^2 Q_s^2 $\frac{2}{\alpha}$ accessible at very high energies. The physics of the Regge{G ribov regime is quite dierent from that of the B jorken lim it discussed previously. The typicalm om enta of partons are Q_s $_{\circ}$ and higher twist contributions are not suppressed. These considerations are especially relevant for the scattering of large nuclei because the large transverse density of partons in the nuclear wavefunctions (proportional to the nuclear radius $A^{1=3}$) provides a natural enhancem ent of the saturation scale, $Q_s^2(x;A) / A^{1=3}$. Our goal is to derive a formula similar to $eq.$ (1) for inclusive gluon production in the Reque{Gribov lim it.

The dynamics of large parton phase space densities in the Regge(G ribov lim it can be described in the Cobr G lass Condensate (CGC) e ective eld theory where sm all x partons in hadrons and nuclei are described by a classical eld, while the large x partons act as color sources for the classical eld [9{11]. The lack of dependence of physical observables on the (arbitrary) separation between large x color sources and sm all x dynam ical elds is exploited to derive a renom alization group (RG) equation, known as the JIM W LK equation $[12]$ 19]. This equation is a functional RG equation describing the change in the statistical distribution of color sources W _v [] with rapidity Y (= ln(1=x)). It can be expressed as

$$
\frac{\mathbf{d}W_{y} []}{\mathbf{d}Y} = H W_{y} [] \tag{2}
$$

where H is the JIMW LK H am iltonian¹. For a physical observable de ned by an average over all the source con gurations,

$$
h \circ i_{x} \qquad [D \quad]W_{x} []0 []; \qquad (3)
$$

one obtains

$$
\frac{\partial \text{ho } i_x}{\partial Y} = \text{hH} \quad \text{o } i_x \quad \text{.}
$$
 (4)

We have used here eq. (2) and integrated by parts (using the hem iticity of H). The structure of H is such that $HHOi$, is an object distinct from hOi , so that one obtains in principle an in nite hierarchy of evolution equations for operators expectation values hO i_v [20]. In the large N_c and large A m ean-eld lim it, this hierarchy sin pli es greatly. W hen O is the \dipole" operator, corresponding to the forw ard scattering am plitude in deep inelastic scattering, the resulting closed evolution equation is known as the Balitsky-K ovcheqov (BK) equation [21,22].

In refs. $[23\{25]$, we developed a form alism to compute observables related to multiparticle production in eld theories with strong time dependent sources. This form alism is naturally applicable to the CGC description of high energy scattering² albeit, for sin plicity, we considered only a scalar 3 eld theory. (The corresponding QCD fram ework was brie y considered in ref. [26].) In these papers, the form alism for multiparticle production was developed for a xed distribution of sources, w ith the assumption that the nalresults could be averaged over, as in eq. (3), with unspeci ed distributions of sources W $\sqrt{1}$ [1] and W_{y₂} [2] (one for each of the projectiles). However, we did not discuss in these papers the validity of such a factorization formula.

In the form alism of refs. [23{25], one can form ally arrange the perturbative expansion of an observable like the single inclusive gluon spectrum as

$$
0 [i \; j \; z] = \frac{1}{g^2} \, c_0 + c_1 g^2 + c_2 g^4 + \frac{i}{g^2} \, , \tag{5}
$$

where each term corresponds to a di erent bop order. Each of the coe cients C_n is itself an in nite series of term s involving arbitrary orders in $(g_{1,2})^p$. We call *Leading* 0 rder" the contribution that com es from the rst coe cient c₀:

$$
O_{10} [1; 2] \frac{C_0}{g^2}
$$
: (6)

In the case of the single gluon spectrum, the rst term $c_0 = g^2$ has been studied extensively. In [24] we developed tools to calculate the next term c1. Following this term inology, we denote

> O_{NLO} [1; 2] Q ; O_{NNLO} [1; 2] QQ^2 ; (7)

 1 The explicit form of this H am iltonian will be given later in the text.

 2 A lthough the color sources of each nucleus are independent of the corresponding light-cone time, their sum constitutes a time-dependent current.

How ever, this strict bop expansion ignores the fact that large logarithm s of the \mathfrak{m} om entum fractions $x_{1;2}$ can appear in the higher order coe cients c $_{1;2}$, when \overline{s} is very large. The term c_n can have up to n powers of such logarithm s, and a m ore precise representation of these coe cients is

$$
c_n = \sum_{i=0}^{X^n} d_{n,i} \ln^i \frac{1}{x_{1,i^2}} \qquad (8)
$$

The \Leading Log" term s are de ned as those term s that have as m any logarithm s as their order in q^2 ,

$$
O_{LL_{og}}[1; 2] \frac{1}{g^2} \Big|_{n=0}^{x} d_{nn} g^{2n} \ln^n \frac{1}{x_{1,2}} \quad (9)
$$

In this work, we will go signi cantly further than the Leading Order result, and resum the complete series of Leading Log term s. We will prove that, after averaging over the sources $_{1,2}$, all the Leading Log corrections are autom atically resum m ed by the JIM W LK evolution of the distribution of sources, and that the event averaged Leading Log result is given by the factorized expression

 $\overline{7}$

$$
h \Omega_{\dot{L}_{\text{Log}}} = \text{ D } 1 \text{ [D } 2 \text{]W } Y_{\text{beam}} \text{ Y } [1 \text{]W } Y_{\text{beam}+Y} [2 \text{]O}_{\text{LO}} [1 \text{ ; } 2] : \text{ (10)}
$$

In this formula, Y is the rapidity at which the gluon is measured, and the Y indicate the amount of rapidity evolution³ of the source subscripts Y_{heam} distributions of the two projectiles, starting in their respective fragmentation regions.

The expressions W Y_{beam} Y [1,2] in eq. (10) are gauge invariant functionals describing the source distributions in each of the nuclei. In analogy to the parton distribution functions $f_{a(b)=A(B)}(x_{a(b)}; \frac{2}{a})$ we introduced previously, they contain non-perturbative inform ation on the distribution of sources at rapidities close to the beam rapidities. Just as the latter evolve in $\frac{2}{x}$ with the DGLAP [27{ 29] evolution equations, the form er, as suggested by eq. 2, obey the JIM W LK evolution equation in rapidity which evolves then up to the rapidities Y_{beam} Y and $Y_{\text{beam}} + Y$ from the nuclei A_1 and A_2 respectively. As we will discuss in detail, the leading order inclusive gluon spectrum, for given sources $_{1:2}$, can be computed by solving the classical Yang-M ills equations with simple retarded boundary conditions. Eq. (10) suggests that the result resum m ing all the leading logarithm s of the collision energy can be obtained by averaging over this leading order result with the weight functionals W evolved from the beam rapidity to the rapidity Y at which the gluon is produced.

In the Regge {G ribov $\text{Im } \text{it}$, eq. (10) is the analog of the factorization form ula eq. (1) proved in the B jorken lim it. W hile we will prove that eq. (10) holds for leading logarithm is contributions at all orders in perturbation theory, we

 $\frac{1}{3}$ In term s of the center of m ass energy $\frac{p}{s}$ of the collision (for a nucleon-nucleon pair) and the longitudinalm om entum components p of the measured gluon, one has also { at leading log { Y_{beam} $Y = \ln(\frac{D - 1}{S})$ and $Y_{\text{beam}} + Y = \ln(\frac{D - 1}{S})$.

have not attem pted to show that it is valid for sub-leading logarithm s. There is currently an intense activity in computing sub leading logarithm ic contributions in the high parton density $\lim_{t \to \infty}$ (36 36] so an extension of our results beyond leading logs is feasible in future. There is another aspect of $A + A$ collisions that we have not discussed thus far. Our power counting does not account for the so called \secular divergences" $[37{39}]$. These are contributions that diverge at least as powers of the time elapsed after the collision. Including these contributions w ill not alter our factorization theorem; it does a ect how \observables" de ned at nite times after the nuclear collisions are related to quantities m easured in $A + A$ experiments. We will address this issue brie y. A fuller treatm ent requires more work.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we derive an important formula for the Next to Leading 0 rder corrections to the inclusive gluon spectrum. This form ulaw ill play a crucial role later, in disentangling the initial state e ects from the rest of the collision process. In section 3 , we will derive the expressions stated in eqs. (2) { (4) for JMW LK evolution of a single nucleus. A lbeit the result is well known, our derivation is quite di erent from those existing in the literature [12{19,40{44]. We will obtain our result entirely in term s of retarded light-cone G reen's functions w ithout any recourse to time-ordered propagators. We will show that there are no am biquities in specifying the pole prescriptions in this approach. M ore in portantly, our derivation allows us to straightforwardly extend our treatm ent of the JMW LK equation to the case of the collision of two nuclei. This is discussed separately in section 4 where we show explicitly that non-factorizable term s are suppressed and our key result, stated in eq. (10) , is obtained. In the following section, we will relate our work to previous work in this direction and brie y explore some of the connections between the different approaches. In section 6, we will discuss how one can relate our result for the G lasm a produced at early times in heavy ion collisions $[45,25]$ and its subsequent evolution into the Q uark G luon P lasm a. W e conclude with a brief sum m ary and discussion of open issues. There are three appendices dealing with properties of G reen's functions in light cone gauge relevant to the discussion in the m ain text of the paper.

NLO corrections to inclusive observables \mathcal{P}_{\cdot}

Before studying the logarithm ic divergences that arise in loop corrections to observables, let us derive a form ula that expresses the 1-loop corrections to inclusive observables in term s of the action of a certain operator acting on the sam e observable at leading order. As we shall see, this form ula { albeit quite form al { can be used to separate the physics of the initial state from the collision itself.

We have in m ind an operator m ade of elementary color elds, which probes multi-gluon correlations. To be speci c, for a given source distribution, we shall consider the quantum expectation value

$$
O(x; y) \t A1(x)A1(y) ; \t(11)
$$

in the lim it where the time argum ents of the two elds go to $+1$. We chose thisparticularoperatorbecausewew ish to study thesinglegluon spectrum {the rstm om ent of the m ultiplicity distribution $\{$ in the collision of two nuclei; it is obtained by Fourier transform ing this bilinear com bination of elds. Note that the two elds are not time-ordered. The expectation value of such a product can be calculated in the Schw inger-K eldysh form alism [46{48], by considering that $A^i(x)$ lies on the branch of the contour and $A^j(y)$ on the + branch (A representation of the Schw inger{K eldysh contour is shown in $q.1$.)

Figure 1: The closed time path used in the Schw inger-K eldysh form alism.

This section is organized as follows. We rst recall the expression of eq. (11) at leading order in term s of retarded solutions of the classicalYang{M ills equations. T his result is wellknow n and has been derived in a num ber ofdierent ways. We will then discuss the next-to-leading order com putation of this quantity in the CGC fram ework. There are two sorts of NLO corrections; these are the virtual corrections arising from one-bop corrections to the classical elds and the real corrections w hich are obtained by com puting the G $+$ propagator of a sm all uctuation in light-cone gauge. We will show that O_{NLO} can be expressed as a linear operator with real and virtual pieces acting on $O_{\perp_{0}}$, plus an unim portant (as far as the resum m ation of logs of $1=x_{1:2}$ is concerned) additionalterm.

2.1 Leading order result

We showed in [23] that, at leading order, 0 is the product of two classical solutions of the Yang-M ills equations, w ith null retarded boundary conditions⁴,

$$
O_{L_0} (x; y) = A^i (x) A^j (y) ; \qquad (12)
$$

w ith

D ;**F** = J ;
\n
$$
\lim_{x^0 \to 1} A (x) = 0 :
$$
\n(13)

Here, A denotes the classical e k, and J is the color current corresponding to a xed con guration of the color sources. The current is com prised of one or

 4 T he retarded nature of the boundary conditions is intim ately related to the inclusiveness of the observable under consideration. For instance, if instead of the single inclusive gluon spectrum , one w anted to calculate at leading order the probability of producing a xed num ber ofgluons,one w ould have to solve the classicalYang-M illsequationsw ith boundary conditions both at $x^0 = 1$ and at $x^0 = +1$ (see [49]).

two sources depending on w hether we consider only one nucleus or the collision of two nuclei { this distinction is not im portant in this section. It is im portant to note that this current, which has support only on the light-cone, must be covariantly conserved,

$$
D \t; J = 0: \t(14)
$$

Thism eans that in general, there is a feed-back of the gauge eld on the current itself, unless one chooses a gauge condition such that the gauge eld does not couple to the non-zero com ponents of the current on the light-cone.

A lthough one can solve analytically the Yang-M ills equations w ith these boundary conditions in the case of a single nucleus $[12,50]$, this is not possible in the case of two nuclei, and one m ust resort to num erical methods to obtain results in this case. Fortunately, as we shall see, the discussion of factorization in the case of two nucleidoes not require that we know this solution analytically.

Because the solution of the Yang-M ills equations we need is dened w ith retarded boundary conditions, its value at the points x and y (where the observable is measured) is fully determ ined if we know its value⁵ on an initial surface {which is locally space-like⁶{ located below the points x and y, as illustrated in g.2.

Figure 2: A locally space-like surface used to de ne the initial value of the coloreld.

Therefore, we will write

$$
\mathsf{O}_{\text{LO}}\left(\mathsf{x}\,;\mathsf{y}\right) \quad \mathsf{O}_{\text{LO}}\left[\mathsf{A}\right]\,;\tag{15}
$$

w hich m eans that the observable is considered as a functional of the value of the color eld on the initial surface . Note that we use the same symbol for the ∞ breld and for its initialvalue on , although m athem atically these objects depend on a dierent num ber of variables and are therefore dierent functions.

 5 S ince the Yang-M ills equations contain second derivatives w ith respect to time, one m ust also know the value of the rst time derivative of the eld on this initial surface.

 6 T hism eans that at every pointu 2 , the vector norm alto at the pointu (n dx = 0 for any displacem entdx on around the pointu) must be time-like. This condition prevents a signalem itted at the pointu 2 , propagating at the speed of light, from encountering again the surface .

2.2 N ext to leading order corrections

A detailed discussion of the power counting for m om ents of the inclusive multiplicity distribution can be found in Ref. [23]. The leading order contributions to 0 (x,y) involves only tree diagram s, which explains why it can be obtained from classical solutions of the Yang-M ills equations. As mentioned previously, this leading order contribution is of order 0 ($\frac{1}{s}$ ¹) but includes all orders in g. In the rest of this section, we shall study the 1-loop corrections to this quantity, that are of order 0 (1) in the coupling and to all orders in q.

The fram ew ork to compute these 1-loop corrections (hereafter called \backslash NLO ") to quantities such as eq. (11) has been developed for a scalar theory in ref. [24]. M uch of this analysis can be carried over to QCD. To avoid complications such as ghost bops, we shall work in a gauge such as the light cone gauge $A^+ = 0$. Following the discussion for the scalar case, we obtain at NLO,

$$
O_{NLO}(x,y) = A^{i}(x) {j(y) + i(x) A^{j}(y) + G^{i,j}(x,y)}: (16)
$$

In this equation, G^{ij} , (x,y) is the + component of the sm all uctuation Schw inger-K eldysh propagator in the presence of the classical background eld A^i and the eld \dot{a} is the one loop correction to the classical eld. It is obtained by solving the small uctuation equation of motion

h
_xg

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{x} \mathfrak{g}_{x} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{2} \mathsf{U}(\mathsf{A})}{\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mathsf{x})\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mathsf{x})} \quad (\mathsf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathfrak{g}^{3} \mathsf{U}(\mathsf{A})}{\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mathsf{x})\mathfrak{g}_{A}(\mathsf{x})} \mathsf{G}_{++}(\mathsf{x}) \mathfrak{x} \quad (17)
$$

w ith null retarded boundary conditions:

$$
\lim_{x^0 : 1} (x) = 0: \t(18)
$$

Here U(A) is the potential term in the Yang-M ills Lagrangean⁷, obtained by w riting

$$
L = L_{quad} \tU(A); \t(19)
$$

where L_{quad} is de ned in eq. (136) of appendix A.W e refer the reader to appendix A for m ore details. The source term in this small uctuation equation includes the closed loop form ed by the Schw inger-K eldysh propagator G_{++} (x;x) to be de ned shortly, the third derivative corresponds to the 3-gluon vertex in the presence of a background e k and $1=2$ is a symmetry factor.

Following [24], we can write the propagator G_{+}^{ij} (x;y) in eq. (16) as a bilinear com bination of sm all uctuations of the gauge eld whose initial conditions are plane waves,

$$
G_{+}^{ij,bc}(x,y) = \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} a_{k}^{ib} (x) a_{k}^{jc} (y) ;
$$
 (20)

 7 Unless one chooses a non-linear gauge condition, U (A) is m ade of the usual 3-gluon and 4-gluon couplings.

w here

h
_xg

$$
Q_x Q_x
$$

_g = $Q_x Q_x$
_g = $Q^2 U (A) \frac{1}{a} k a$; (x) = 0; (21)
_{x⁰} = 0; (x) = 0; (x) = 0

The sum over is over the two physical polarizations for the initial plane wave and the index a represents the initial color carried by the sm all
uctuation eld. In eq. (20), our notation is such that the lower color index (a) represents the initial color of the uctuation, while the upper color index (b or c) refer to its color after it has evolved on top of the classical background $\,$ eld⁸. It is im portant to stress that this decom position of G_{+}^{ij} is valid only if one uses sm all uctuations that are plane waves in the rem ote past. U sing other solutions of the sm all
uctuation equation ofm otion (21) would lead to a propagator that obeys incorrect boundary conditions.

The $++$ propagator at equal points can be w ritten in a similar fashion as⁹

$$
G_{++}^{ijbc}(x; x) = \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} a_{k}^{ib} (x) a_{+k}^{jc} (x) : \qquad (23)
$$

W e note that in a generic gauge, covariant current conservation m ay require the incom ing eld uctuation to induce a color precession of the classical current J .T hism odication ofthe currentw illin turn induce an additionalcontribution to the eld uctuation. Our strategy [51{53] to avoid this complication will be to perform allinterm ediate calculations in a gauge w here this phenom enon does not happen. For instance, on the line $x = 0$ w here the color charges m oving in the + z direction live, on should use a gauge in which $A = 0$. Indeed, because the color current only has $a + com ponent$, covariant conservation is trivial in this gauge. A gauge rotation of the nal result is then performed to return to the light-cone gauge of interest. A lle ects due to current conservation are then taken care of by this nalgauge transform ation.

2.3 R earrangem ent of the N LO corrections - I

In this subsection, we will express the sm all uctuation propagator G^{ij}₊ (x;y) as the action of a dierential operator on the classical elds $A^i(x)$ and $A^j(y)$. This operator contains functional derivatives w ith respect to the initial value of the ∞ breld on . In the follow ing subsection, we will repeat the exercise for the one loop correction to the classical e k (x) and w rite it in term s of a sim ilar

a
$$
_{k \ a}(x)
$$
 a $_{k \ a}^{b}(x)T^{b}$: (22)

 $^8\rm{For}$ future reference, note that quantities w ith only the lower color index are m atrices in the adjoint representation of SU (N) de ned by

 $9W$ hen the two end-points are separated by a tim e-like interval, there can be an additional term contributing to this propagator $\frac{1}{2}$ see [24] for m ore general form ulas.

operator acting on the classical e k A (x) . These identities, besides providing a transparent derivation of the JIM W LK equation for a single nucleus, will be especially powerful in our treatm ent of nucleus-nucleus collisions.

Let us begin from the G reen's form ula for the classical e k A ,

A (x) =
$$
d^4 y D_{0_R} (x; y) \frac{\partial U (A)}{\partial A (y)} + B_0 [A](x);
$$
 (24)

where D $_{0_{R}}$ (x $\mathsf{;y}$) is the free retarded propagator (discussed in appendix A in the case of the light-cone gauge) and B_0 [A](x) is the boundary term that contains the initialvalue of the classical eld on . (Boundary term s for the classical and sm all uctuation elds in light-cone gauge are discussed in detail in appendix B.) $+$ denotes the region of space-time above the surface . Now, consider an operator T (to be de ned explicitly later) that acts on the initial value of the elds on the surface , and assum e that this operator is linear, w hich im plies

$$
T \frac{\theta U (A)}{\theta A (y)} = \frac{\theta^2 U (A)}{\theta A (y) \theta A (y)} T A (y) : \qquad (25)
$$

Now apply this operator T to both sides of eq. (24), we get

TA (x) =
$$
\int_{0}^{Z} d^{4}y D_{0,k} (x,y) \frac{\theta^{2} U(A)}{\theta A (y) \theta A (y)} T A (y) + T B_{0}[A](x)
$$
: (26)

By com paring this equation w ith the G reen's form ula for a sm all uctuation a (see appendix B),

a (x) =
$$
\int_{0}^{Z} d^4 y D_{0_R} (x; y) \frac{\theta^2 U (A)}{\theta A (y) \theta A (y)} a (y) + B_0 [a](x);
$$
 (27)

we see that we can identify a $(x) = T A$ (x) provided that we have

Z

$$
B_0 [a](x) = T B_0 [A](x) : \qquad (28)
$$

Because B_0 is a linear functional of the initial value of the color elds on the surface $,$ it is easy to see that the operator T that fulls this goal is

$$
T \t d^3 u a \mathbb{F}; \t (29)
$$

where \mathbb{T}_n is the generator of translations of the initial elds¹⁰ at the point u 2 We denote by d^3 a the m easure on the surface (for instance, if is a surface de ned by $x = const$, this measure reads $d^3u = du^+ d^2u_?$.) The detailed expression of this operator can be obtained by w riting explicitly the

 $\overline{10}$ For now, it is sucient to think of this operator as an operator which is linear in rst derivatives with respect to the color eld on.

G reen's form ula for the retarded propagation of color elds above the surface

, and it usually depends both on the choice of the surface and on the choice of the gauge condition. An explicit expression of this operator will be given in the next section when the initial surface is parallel to the light-cone ($u = const$) and when the elds are in the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$. Therefore, we have established the follow ing identity,

$$
Z
$$

a (x) = $d^3 u$ a $\mathbb{T} A$ (x): (30)

Eq. (30) provides a form al expression of a uctuation at point x in term s of its value on some initial surface (in the right hand side of $eq.$ (30), only the value of the uctuation a on appears). This form ula is especially useful in situations where we can calculate analytically the initial value of the uctuation on , but were we do not know analytically the classical background eld A above this surface.

The single nucleus case is a bit academ ic in this respect because one can analytically compute the background gauge eld and the uctuation at any point in space-tim e. R ather, eq. (30) w ill prove especially powerful for nuclear collisionsbecause in that case one does nothave an analytic expression for the classicalbackground eld after the collision.

A rm ed w ith eq. (30), it is straightforward to write the third term of the right hand side of $eq.$ (16) as

$$
G^{ij, bc}_{+}(x, y) = \begin{array}{c} X & \frac{Z}{(2)^3 2E_k} & \frac{d^3 k}{(2)^3 2E_k} \\ h & \text{in} \\ a_{k, a} &
$$

In this equation, the brackets lim it the scope of the operators $\mathbb{T}_{u,v}$.

2.4 R earrangem ent of the N LO corrections - II

The term sinvolving the 1-loop correction can also be written in term s of the operator \mathbb{T}_u , but this is not as straightforw ard as for G $_{+}^{ij}$. The $\;$ rst step is to w rite down the form alG reen's function solution of eq. (17) . It is convenient to w rite it as

$$
(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ d^4 y D_x & (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & (x \in \mathbb{R}^2) \end{cases}
$$

w here B [A](x) is identical to B₀ [A](x) except that all occurrences of the bare propagator D $_{0}$ in the latter are replaced in the form erby the dressed propagator in the background eld A . This dressed propagator, denoted D_R, satis es

the equation

h
_xg

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{x} \mathbf{Q}_{x} \quad \frac{\mathbf{Q}^{2} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{A})}{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A} \quad (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A} \quad (\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{D}_{R} \quad (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{Q} \quad (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{y}) \tag{33}
$$

plus a retarded boundary condition such that it vanishes if $\mathrm{x}^0 < \mathrm{y}^0$.

The second term on the right hand side of $eq.$ (32) is the value would have if one turns o the source term (proportional to G_{++}) in the dom ain $+$ above the initial surface. It is therefore given by a form ula identical to eq. (30) ,

$$
Z
$$

2 (x) = $d^3 \alpha$ \mathbb{T} A (x): (34)

To calculate $_1(x)$, let us rstm ake explicit the interactions with the background eld by w riting it as

$$
{1} (x) = \int{1}^{Z} d^{4}y D_{0_{R}} (x_{i}y) \frac{h}{\theta A} (\frac{\theta^{2}U (A)}{(y)\theta A} (\frac{1}{y})^{1}} (y) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta^{3}U (A)}{(\theta A} (\frac{1}{y})\theta A} (\frac{1}{y})\theta A (\frac{1}{y}) \theta A (\frac
$$

T his expression is obtained by substituting the expression for the dressed retarded propagator in term s of the free retarded propagator in the de nition of

C onsider now the quantity

1 .

$$
(x) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{1}{(2)^3 2E_k} \int_{0}^{Z} d^3x \, d^3y \, d^3x \, d^3x
$$

W e shall prove that $_1$ and are identical. U sing eq. (30), we can write

$$
(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{a}}^{X} \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2)^{3} 2E_{k}}^{Z} d^{3} \mathbf{a} a_{k a} \mathbf{F} a_{k a} (\mathbf{x}) : \qquad (37)
$$

R eplace $a_{+ k a}$ (x) in this equation by the r h s of eq. (27). Because the boundary term B_0 [a_{+ ka}](x) does not depend on the initial value of the classical eld A,

the action of a $_k$ a $\mathbb T$ on this term gives zero. We thus obtain

$$
(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{X} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} \frac{Z}{d^{3}u} \frac{Z}{d^{4}y D_{0_{k}}(x; y)}
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{a}^{B} \frac{e^{2}U(A)}{(2A)(2A)(y)} dx_{k} d^{4}y D_{0_{k}}(x; y)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{e^{3}U(A)}{(2A)(y)(2A)(y)} dx_{k} d^{4}y
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{a}^{B} \frac{d^{3}U(A)}{(y)(2A)(y)(2A)(y)} dy_{k} dy_{k}
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{a}^{B} \frac{d^{4}y D_{0_{k}}(x; y)}{(2A)(y)(2A)(y)} dy_{k}
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{e^{3}U(A)}{(2A)(y)(2A)(y)} \frac{1}{G_{+}} \frac{1}{Y(y; y)} (38)
$$

which is identical to eq. (35). We therefore obtain $_1$ (x) = (x). C om bining the two contributions $_1$ and $_2$, we nally arrive at the compact expression

(x)= " Z d ³u~ ^T^u + 1 2 X ;a Z d 3k (2)³2E^k Z d ³u~ d ³~v a ^ka ^T^u a⁺ ^ka ^T^v # A (x)(39) :

W e can now use eqs. (31) and (39) to obtain a com pact expression for NLO corrections to 0 as

$$
O_{NLO} (x; y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3} 2E_{k}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} d^{3}d d^{3}v a_{k} = \text{max of } \mathbb{Q}_{10} [A]
$$

$$
+ O_{NLO} (x; y); \qquad (40)
$$

w here we recall that O $_{10}$ [A] is the sam e observable at leading order, considered as a functional of the value of the gauge elds on the initial surface . The corrective term \circ $_{\text{\tiny NLO}}$ (x;y) is dened by

$$
O_{NLO}(x; y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} \sum_{d^{3}u d^{3}v}^{Z} d^{3}u d^{3}v
$$
\n
$$
P_{a,k} = \prod_{\substack{a \text{odd } k}}^{X} A^{\text{ib}}(x) = a_{k} a \prod_{\substack{a \text{odd } k}}^{X} A^{\text{jb}}(y)
$$
\n
$$
P_{a,k} = \prod_{a \text{odd } k}^{X} A^{\text{ib}}(x) = a_{k} a \prod_{\substack{a \text{odd } k}}^{X} A^{\text{jc}}(y)
$$
\n(41)

As we shall see later, this term O_{NLO} does not contain any large logarithm. Only the term sin the rst and second lines of eq. (40) will be important for our later discussion of factorization.

3 JIM W LK evolution for a single nucleus

Eq. (40) is central to our study of NLO corrections and of factorization. In the restofthissection,wew illshow how thisform ula isused to derivetheJIM W LK evolution equation. In section 4 , we will show that it can be generalized to the collision of two nuclei. A very convenient choice of initial surface in the derivation of the JMW LK equation is the surface de ned by $x = 0$ ne should choose so that all the color sources of the nucleus are located in the strip $0 \times$. An illustration of the objects involved in eq. (40) and their localization in space-time is provided in gure 3.

Figure 3: NLO corrections in the single nucleus case, seen as an initial value problem on the surface $x = 0$. The shaded area represents the dom ain where the nuclear color sources live $(0 \times$). The eld uctuations represented in red continue to evolve in the region $x >$ until they hit the operator we want to evaluate. H owever, this evolution is entirely hidden in the dependence of the classical eld upon its initial value at $x = 1$, and we do not need to consider it explicitly.

3.1 G auge choice

W e need rst to choose the gauge in w hich to perform this calculation. Because the observable we w ish to calculate and everything else in $eq.$ (40) is expressed in term s of light cone gauge ($A^+ = 0$) quantities, we need to obtain a k_a and

in this gauge as well. However, as previously m entioned, covariant current conservation is m ost easily preserved in a gauge where the eld uctuations have no com ponent. This is because they do not induce a precession of

the color current J^+ while crossing the light cone. We are therefore going to adopt the strategy advocated in refs. [51,52,17,19], that consists in perform ing interm ediate calculations in a gauge w here $A = 0$ and then gauge transform ing the nal result to $A^+ = 0$ gauge.

A s discussed in detail in appendix B, if one uses the LC gauge and the surface $u =$ as the initial surface, the linear dierential operator a \mathbb{T} that appears in the identity (30) should be de ned as 11

a
$$
\mathbb{T} = \theta
$$
 ((u)aⁱ(u))
 $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ ((u)aⁱ(u))
 $+\theta$ ((u)a (u))
 $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ ((u)a (u))
 $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ ((u)a (u)) (42)

where is the adjoint colormatrix 12 that will be denedineq. (46). Note that this operator in eq. (42) contains a term for each of the eld com ponents that must be specied on the initial surface to know completely the eld above this surface. This operator \mathbb{T}_u can therefore be interpreted as the generator of translations of the initial condition for a classical solution of the Yang-M ills equations. It is also im portant to note that the uctuation eld a (u) that m ultiplies this operator is evaluated just above the initial surface (at $u = 1$. T herefore, because one does not require its entire history beyond this surface, it can in generalbe calculated analytically.

3.2 C lassicaleld

Let us recall the structure of the classical background eld itself. A s is well known, the eld in the Lorenz gauge (θ A = 0) has no A com ponent, and therefore ful lls the $A = 0$ condition. Its explicit expression in term s of the ∞ lor source¹³ e in given by

$$
\mathcal{R}^{+}(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_{?}^{2}} e(x, x_{?}) \quad ; \quad \mathcal{R}^{2} = \mathcal{R}^{2} = 0 : \quad (43)
$$

The gauge transform ation that relates the classical background elds in the $A^+ = 0$ gauge and the corresponding elds in Lorenz gauge is 14

$$
A = {}^{y} \mathcal{R} + \frac{i}{g} {}^{y} \mathcal{C} \qquad ; \qquad (44)
$$

 11 W e have om itted the color indices in this equation. Should be understood as a m atrix in the SU (N) group, and A as a colum n vector. A is therefore a column vector whose com ponents are $(A \nvert b \nvert \nvert cbA \nvert b$.

 12 At rst sight, does not play any role in the denition of $\mathbb {T}$ { the necessity to introduce this m atrix in the denition of T_u is also explained in the appendix B.

 13 T he density of color sources is a gauge dependent quantity. When dened in the Lorenz gauge,w e denote it w ith a tilde.

¹⁴ In this expression, is a m atrix in the group SU (N), while \widetilde{A} is a m atrix in the adjoint representation of the algebra SU (N). The product \widetilde{Y} is a m atrix in the SU (N) algebra. N ote that depending on the context we use the same sym bol for an elem ent A of the algebra (i.e. a m atrix), and for the vector column m ade of its components A_c on the basis of the algebra. The relation between the two is of course $A = A_C T^c$.

w here the tible denotes ebls in the Lorenz gauge; those w ithout a tible are in light cone gauge. U sing the light cone gauge condition $A^+ = 0$, we get

$$
\mathbf{Q}^+ = \mathrm{i}\mathbf{g} \, \mathbf{A}^{\!\!\mathrm{P}^+} \quad \mathbf{;} \tag{45}
$$

w hich adm its the W ilson line

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n & & h & \mathbb{Z} & & & & \text{if} & \\
(x & ; x_? &) & T & exp & ig & & dz & \mathbb{A}_{a}^{e^+}(z & ; x_? &)T^a & & & & (46)\n\end{array}
$$

as a solution. Note that because the color sources do not depend on x^+ , R^+ and depend only on x and x_2 . The solution of the classical equations of m otion in light cone gauge is then

$$
A^+ = A = 0
$$
 ;
\n $A^{\perp}(x) = \frac{1}{g} Y(x ; x_?)(d^{\perp}(x ; x_?));$ (47)

We should comment here on the residual gauge freedom of the classical solution. The m ost general solution of eq. (45) is

$$
(x \t ; x?)(x+ ; x?) ; \t(48)
$$

where is an arbitrary x -independent gauge transform ation. W ith this more general choice, one obtains

$$
A^{+} = 0; A = \frac{i}{g} y_{0} ; A^{i} = \begin{array}{cc} y_{0} & \frac{1}{g} & \frac{1}{g} & \frac{1}{g} \\ y_{0} & \frac{1}{g} & \frac{1}{g} & \frac{1}{g} \end{array}
$$
 (49)

The arbitrariness in the solution is because the condition $A^+ = 0$ does not x com pletely the gauge and x -independent 's span the residual gauge freedom. R equiring that the classical gauge e eld be of the form given in eq. (47) am ounts to the choice 1. T his choice is assum ed in the restofthis paper.

3.3 Field
uctuations on the light cone

To readers fam iliar w ith the structure of the JIM W LK H am iltonian, the structure of $eq.$ (40) is already suggestive. In the rest of this section, we will show that the leading logarithm ic contributions in this form ula { term s that are linear in the rapidity di erences between the projectile and target relative to the observed gluon { can be absorbed into a rede nition of the distribution of $co\,I$ sources of the nucleus. Our rst task towards this conclusion is to compute the value of the eld uctuations a $_{k}$ a and just above the light cone on the initialsurface u = .

Let us consider a sm all uctuation a on top of the classical eld A . The relation between the two gauges must be modied,

$$
A + a = \frac{y}{R} + a + \frac{i}{g} \frac{y}{g}
$$
 ; (50)

w ith

$$
(1 + ig!) \quad ; \tag{51}
$$

w here ! has com ponents of order unity. U sing this ansatz in eq. (50), and keeping in m ind that A $;A^{\ominus}$ 0 (g¹) while a;a 0(1), we obtain the relation

$$
a = {}^y a \t ig[! \mathcal{F}] \t g! \t (52)
$$

To determ ine !, as previously, apply the gauge condition $a^+ = 0$. This gives

$$
[e^+ + ig[:;A^{e^+}] = e^+ ; \qquad (53)
$$

the solution ofw hich can be w ritten as

$$
f(x) = (x^2, y^2) f(x^2, y^2) + \frac{z^2}{2} (x^2, z^2, z^2) g(x^2, y^2) g(x^2, y^2) = (54)
$$

In this equation f is an arbitrary function that does not depend on x , and $(x ; z ; x_?)$ is an \incomplete" W ilson line de ned by

$$
(x ; z ; x?) \t T exp ig dz Raet (z ; x?)Ta : (55)
$$

The arbitrariness in the choice of the function f_b again m eans that there is a residual gauge freedom after we have imposed $a^+ = 0$.

A crucial point in our derivation is how the residual gauge freedom is xed. We need sm all eld uctuations in order to represent the propagators as in eqs. (20) and (23) as bi-linear form s in these uctuations. These equations are valid only if the initial value of the uctuations a $_k$ a are plane waves with onshellm om enta; one can check easily that this is true for the free propagators. Thus eq. (54) m ust give plane wave solutions for the eld uctuations in light cone gauge when $x < 0$. This is simply achieved by taking plane waves for the uctuation α in the original gauge and setting the function f to zero¹⁵. Therefore, the requirem ent that eqs. (20) and (23) be valid leaves no residual gauge freedom .

We only need to know ! on our initial surface $\{$ at $x =$. Because the com ponents of and of α are all of order unity, it is legitim ate to neglect the values of z that are between 0 and in the integration in eq. (54) . For x =

 $15W$ e note that it is also possible to choose α 's that are not plane w aves and a non-zero f to achieve our requirem ent that a be a plane w ave. T his how ever m akes the interm ediate calculations m ore tedious.

and $z \prec 0$, the incomplete W ilson line is equal to the complete W ilson line (which has the lower bound at 1). We therefore obtain

$$
! (x =) = (x?) \t\t dz \t\t a+ (z ; x+ ; x?) : \t\t (56)
$$

x, the W ilson line becomes independent of x because Note also that when all the cobr sources are in the strip 0×1 . This explains why we only indicate x_2 in its list of argum ents.

Once! has been determined, the and i components of the uctuation in light cone gauge are determ ined from those in the $A = 0$ gauge to be

A swe shall see shortly when we discuss the leading logarithm ic divergences, the only quantity we need is^{16}

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n0 & a & \n\end{array} = \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n0 & a & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n0 & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n1 & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n0^{\frac{1}{2}} & a^{\frac{1}{2}} & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n0^{\frac{1}{2}} & a^{\frac{1}{2}} & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n1 & \n\end{array} \n\begin{array}{rcl}\n1 & \n\end{array} \n\end{array} \n\tag{58}
$$

where we have used eq. (53) and the fact that $a = 0$ in order to elim inate a few term s. U sing the equation for 0^+ !, as well as the fact that \mathbb{R}^+ is zero at $x = y$ we get

a
$$
Y = \theta_2^2
$$
! $\theta \text{ a}^+$ $\theta^i \text{a}^i$: (59)

Let us now consider specically the uctuations a $_{k,a}$. In the gauge $a = 0$, their expression below the light cone reads 17

$$
\mathbf{a}_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = \sim (\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{x}}; \tag{60}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\times \quad & \times \quad & \times \quad & \times \quad & \downarrow \quad & \quad & \quad \downarrow \quad & \quad
$$

The form ulas that govern the light cone crossing in this gauge have been worked out in [53]. Using these results, one nds the following expressions for the uctuation elds just above the light cone:

$$
a^{ib}_{k}{}_{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x_{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} (k) e^{-ikx} ;
$$
\n
$$
a^{+b}_{k}{}_{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x_{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} (k) e^{-ikx} ;
$$
\n
$$
a^{i}{}_{k}{}_{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x_{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x_{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (k) e^{-ikx} ;
$$
\n
$$
(62)
$$

 Θ

¹⁶N ote that (A^y)_c = c_bA_b, from the denition of the adjoint representation. W ith the notation where A is a column vector, this quantity would also be denoted by $($ A $)_c$.

¹⁷T herefore, a_{k}^{b} (x) = ~ (k) ^{ab}e ^{ik} x.

N ote that for these eld uctuations, one has

$$
\mathbf{e} \quad \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{a} \tag{63}
$$

T hus we have

$$
Q \quad a_{k}^{\quad y} = Q^i Q^i! \quad 2a_{k}^i \quad . \tag{64}
$$

Substituting eq. (60) in eq. (56) gives the follow ing expression for ! just above the light cone,

$$
!_{\text{b}} = 2i_{\text{ba}} \frac{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{\text{2}}^{2}} \sim^{j} (k) e^{ikx}
$$
 (65)

T herefore,

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n0 & a & b & i & i \\
0 & a & b & e^{y} & e^{ikx} & h & h \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{array}
$$

w here we have introduced the shorthand notation

$$
{}^{1} (k) \t m \t {2^{k+k^{m}} \over k_{?}^{2}} \t m (k);
$$

\n
$$
\lim_{k \to a} \t {k^{1}k^{1} \over k_{?}^{2}} \t b a {k^{1} \over k_{?}^{2}} e^{i} b a : \t (67)
$$

3.4 Logarithm ic divergences

Let us recall that our objective is to isolate the leading logarithm ic contributions to $eq.$ (40). From the structure of this equation, isolating these contributions requires that we exam ine eq. (42) term by term. As we shall see later, the contribution in $\mathbb T$ (\virtualcorrection") can be derived from the term bilinear in $\mathbb T$ (\real correction"). Therefore, let us concentrate on the bilinear term for now .

To determ ine the leading logarithm ic contributions in the real correction, we need to consider the integration over the on-shellm om entum k as well. It inv olves an integral Z_{n+1}

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \t{,} \t(68)
$$

which potentially leads to logarithm ic singularities both atk^+ ! 0 and atk^+ ! $+1$. N ote that w herever k appears in the integrand, it should be replaced by the on-shell value $k = k_2^2 = 2k^+$. Inspecting the integrand of eq. (40), one sees that the k^+ dependence contains exponential factors

$$
e^{\frac{i x_2^2}{2k^+}(v^+ - u^+)}: \t\t(69)
$$

There is no factor depending on $v = u$, because the points u and v are both on the initial surface , and thus have equal ∞ -ordinates. It is clear the integral converges at k^+ ! 0^+ thanks to the oscillatory behavior of this exponential. On the other hand, when k^+ ! $+1$, the exponential goes to unity and one

m ay have a logarithm ic singularity there. How ever, to truly have a divergence, the other factors in the integrand should not have any power of $1 = k^+$.

Let us now exam ine these. The coe cients in the operator a \mathbb{T}_1 are the initial values of a μ (aⁱ) and θ (a). We need only to keep the coe cients that have no power of $1=k^+$. O ne sees readily that this is not the case for a or $($ ($a^i)$: these two quantities (com pare eq. (57) to eqs. (58) and (59)) contain a factor k $1 = k^+$.

Thus, as previously anticipated, the only divergence arises when one picks up the term θ (a) both in a $\mathbb T$ and a $\mathbb T$.

In order to regularize the integral over k^+ , we must introduce an upper bound ⁺. Physically, this cuto is related to the division of degrees of freedom one operates with in the CGC: the color sources describe the fast partons and thus correspond to m odes k^+ > ^+ , while the elds represent the slow degrees of freedom that have a longitudinal m om entum $k^+ <$ \rightarrow T herefore, when one perform s a calculation in this e ective description, the longitudinalm om entum of all the ebs and eb uctuations should not exceed \rightarrow , in order not to overcount m odes that are already represented as part of the color sources \cdot The lower scale in this logarithm is of the order of the longitudinalm omentum p^+ of the produced gluon. Therefore, the logarithm resulting from the k^+ integration is a logarithm of $+ = p^+$.

To pick up the logarithm, one should approxim ate the exponential by unity. This in plies that the coe cient of the logarithm is independent of u^+ and v^+ or, in other words, it is invariant under boosts in the $+ z$ direction. A swe shall see, such perturbations of $($ $($ $)$ can be m apped to a change in the color source \sim , and these logarithm s can be absorbed in a rede nition of the distribution W [\sim].

3.5 Real corrections

Keeping only the term in θ (a) in eq. (42), and limiting ourselves to the divergent part of the real correction for now, we see that we must evaluate the operator

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{x} \frac{d^{2}k_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d^{2}k_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}u_{?} d^{2}u_{?}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?} d^{2}v_{?}}{dx^{2}u_{?}} \frac{d
$$

Here, to avoid any confusion, we have written explicitly all the color indices. Note also that we have performed the sum over the two polarization states of the eld uctuation in this expression¹⁸.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} \text{i1} & 2\frac{k^4 k^1}{k_2^2} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \text{1j} & 2\frac{k^1 k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_2^2} \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} \text{ij} ; \end{array}
$$

 $18A$ useful identity is

The object on which this operator acts is the observable calculated at leading order, considered as a functional of the initial value of the elds Aⁱ in light cone gauge. In this gauge, the initial values of A^+ and A^- are zero (provided the residual gauge freedom is xed as explained in section 3.2). M oreover, from the set-up of the problem, it turns out that these initial elds do not depend on x^* ,

$$
A^{\perp}(x^{+}; x_{?}) = A^{\perp}(x_{?}) ; \qquad (71)
$$

and

$$
(\mathbf{u})_{\text{bd}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{d}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{+}\mathbf{u}_{?}\right) = \mathbf{0}^{\text{d}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{?}\right)_{\text{bd}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{d}}^{\text{1}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{?}\right): \tag{72}
$$

W hen we restrict ourselves to functionals that depend only on x^+ -independent initial elds, we can simply write¹⁹

$$
Z \t du^{+} - \frac{1}{(d)(u)_{bd}A_{d}(u^{+};u_{?})} = - \frac{1}{(d^{2}(u_{?})_{bd}A_{d}^{i}(u_{?})}
$$
 (73)

Our goalnow is to relate the leading logarithm ic contribution we have identied to the JIMW LK evolution of the distribution of color sources. As we have seen in the previous sections, the initial value of the eld in light cone gauge has a simple expression when expressed in term s of the sources \sim or elds R^+ in Lorenz gauge. Therefore, we will try to make the connection with the JMW LK equation in this gauge. To do this, we must relate the functional derivative = θ^i (u_?)_{bd}A_dⁱ(u_?) to the functional derivative = \mathbb{R}^+ . We begin by considering the light cone gauge expression for the classical transverse gauge ebs given by eqs. (47) and (46). Rew riting $A^i(x_2)$ m ore explicitly as

$$
A^i(x ; x_?) =
$$

one observes that a variation²⁰ \mathbb{R}^+ (\mathbf{r} ; \mathbf{x} ₂) of the eld in covariant gauge in the last x bin (of width dx) leads to a change $A^i(x_2)$ of the initial value of the gauge eld in light cone gauge, given by

$$
A^{\text{i}}(x_? = {}^y(x_?) \theta^{\text{i}} A^{\theta^+} (x_?) dx (x_?): \qquad (75)
$$

From this formula, we get the variation of θ^i (u₂)_{kd}A_d(u₂),

h
\n
$$
\stackrel{\text{1}}{=} (u_?)_{\text{bd}} A_{\text{d}}^{\text{i}}(u_?) = \stackrel{\text{i}}{=} \mathfrak{g}_?^2 \stackrel{\text{ref}}{=} (. ; x_?)\text{dx} : \qquad (76)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{A_{b}^{i}(u^{*} , u_{2})} \quad M \text{ ass}^{2} \quad ; \qquad \frac{1}{A_{b}^{i}(u_{2})} \quad M \text{ ass}^{1} :
$$

 19 It is useful to recall that the dim ension of a functional derivative operator is M ass $\,$ d(A $)$ $\,$ $\scriptstyle\rm D}$ where $d(A)$ is the m ass dimension of the eld w ith respect to which one is dierentiating, and D the m ass dim ension of the space in which this eld lives. For instance

²⁰ It is natural that the size of the bin in which the e^{tA} is changed plays a role here. Indeed, because A^+ is integrated over x in the expression of A^1 , a change in a bin of zero width produces no change in A^1 . Note also that the factor dx in eq. (75) is necessary on dim ensional grounds.

Inverting this relation, one obtains

$$
\frac{d^{i} (u_{?})_{bd} A_{d}^{i} (u_{?})}{d^{i} (u_{?})_{cd}} = d^{2} x_{?} G (u_{?} x_{?}) \frac{d^{i} (u_{?} x_{?})}{d^{i} (u_{?} x_{?})}
$$
 (77)

H ere G (u_? x_2) is a two-dim ensional propagator whose m ain properties are discussed in appendix C .

Z

It is im portant to observe that the functional derivatives on the left and right hand side of this equation do not have the sam e dim ensions. T his is because they are de ned with respect to elds that live in spaces with dierent dim ensions. On the left hand side, the initial transverse eld in light cone gauge does not depend on x as soon as we are outside the nucleus and is therefore a function of $u_?$ only. On the right hand side, the eld R^+ depends crucially on x . The $\sqrt{ }$ argum ent in the right hand side of eq. (77) is not integrated over, and should be chosen as the value of x where the last layer of quantum evolution has produced its partons. This is the same as the location of the surface used for the initial conditions, but the subscript Y indicates that it m ay shift as the rapidity Y increases.

W e can now rew rite the operator in eq. (70) as follow s

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{p^+} \frac{Z}{d^2 x_2 d^2 y_2} \log (x_2, y_2) \frac{z}{R_b^{p^+}(x_1, x_2) R_c^{p^+}(x_1, y_2)}; \qquad (78)
$$

where we have de $ned²¹$

$$
\int_{C}^{bc}(x_{?}, y_{?}) \frac{1}{4^{3}} \frac{d^{2}k_{?}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}u_{?}d^{2}v_{?}}{d^{2}u_{?}d^{2}v_{?}} \xrightarrow[a]{\text{ib}} \lim_{k_{a}}(u_{?}) \frac{j_{c}}{k_{a}}(v_{?})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d^{k_{?}}}{dx^{k_{?}}(u_{?}v_{?}) \frac{u_{?}^{i}}{(u_{?}x_{?})^{2}} \frac{x_{?}^{j}}{(v_{?}y_{?})^{2}} \frac{v_{?}^{j}}{(v_{?}y_{?})^{2}} \tag{79}
$$

From eq.(67), $\frac{11b}{k_a}$ can naturally be broken in two term s. If we keep only the rst term in each of the $'s$ in eq. (79) , we obtain correspondingly

bc (1)(x? ;y?) = 1 8 ⁴ Z d ²u? d ²v? (x i ? u i ?) (x? u?)² (y j ? v j ?) (y? v?)² ij(u? v?) h (u) ^y(v) 1 i bc : (80)

H ere the function $\frac{1}{3}$ is dened in eq. (167) of appendix C. W hen we keep the rst term in the rst and the second term in the second (or vice versa), we get zero because the two term s in are m utually orthogonal. Ifwe keep the

 21 W e perform ed along the way an integration by parts and used the identity in eq. (164).

second term in each of the $'s, w$ e obtain

 \overline{a}

$$
\int_{(2)}^{bc} (x_2 \, y_2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 u_2}{(2 \, y_1^2)} \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2 (y_2 - u_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2} \frac{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(y_2 - v_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2} \frac{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(y_2 - v_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2} \frac{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(y_2 - v_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2} \frac{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(y_2 - v_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2} \frac{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(y_2 - v_2)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{8} \frac{d^2 u_2 d^2 v_2 d^2 v_2 \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u
$$

W hen we add the two contributions, the term s involving $\frac{1}{3}$ cancel, and we are nally left w ith

$$
^{bc}(x_{?};y_{?}) = \frac{1}{h} \frac{d^{2}u_{?}}{h^{(2)}y^{2}} \frac{(x_{?}^{i} - u_{?}^{i})(y_{?}^{i} - u_{?}^{i})}{(x_{?} - u_{?})^{2}(y_{?} - u_{?})^{2}} \frac{1}{(x_{?} - y_{?}^{i})(y_{?} - y_{?}^{i})} \frac{1}{(x_{?} - y_{?}^{i})(y_{?} - y_{?}^{i})(y_{?} + 1_{x_{?}^{i}}.(82))}
$$

This function is precisely the function $b^{\infty}(x, y, z)$ that appears in the JMW LK equation [17,19].

At this point, a word must be said of the term O_{NLO} in eq. (40). It is given by the dierence of two term s that can be obtained from each other by exchanging a_{+k-a} and a_{k-a} . G oing back to the calculation of $bca(x_?$; $y_?$), it is easy to check that for the calculation of the leading log term these two term sgive the sam e result and cancel. Physically this is due charge conjugation sym m etry { because the classical eld is realwe obtain the same result by exchanging the negative and positive energy asym ptotic solutions for the quantum uctuation, O_{NLO} is the dierence between these two and thus cancels out.

3.6 V irtualcorrections

In the previous subsection, we focused on the real contribution to eq. (40) . W e now turn our attention to the term in $\mathbb T$ in eq. (40). Recall that is the one-loop correction to the classical eld in the LC gauge and is evaluated in eq. (40) at $u =$, just above the region occupied by the nuclear sources. M in icking the evaluation of the real contribution, we can write directly²² Z

$$
du^{+} d^{2} u_{?} \tT =
$$

\n
$$
u = Z
$$

\n
$$
= d^{2} x_{?} d^{2} u_{?} G (x_{?} u_{?}) e^{u} (u)_{bd d} (u)
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{p^{+}} b_{(x_{?})}
$$

\n
$$
(83)
$$

²²O ne can con m that ($\frac{1}{2}$ d $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ d $\frac{1}{4}$ are zero and therefore cannot appear in the operator $[$ \mathbb{T}].

We anticipate that a large logarithm in the k^+ integralw ill show up in this quantity, and we have dened $b(x_?)$ as its coencient. Note that in this denition of the function $b(x₂)$, the value²³ of u is u = .

We begin with the G reen's form ula for the 1-point function (u) , where the initial surface is taken at $v = 0$ (instead of $v = 0$,

(u) =
$$
\frac{Z}{d^4 v D_{0_R} (u; v)} \frac{h}{dA (v)(A(v))}
$$
 (v)
\n $v > 0$
\n $+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^3 U (A)}{(dA (v)(A(v))} G_{++} (v; v))$: (84)

By this choice of the initial surface, we do not have a boundary term, because is zero at u $\qquad 0$. The propagator G_{++} (v;v) can be expressed in term s of the eld uctuations a $_{k}$ a by using eq. (23). C onsider now the G reen's form ula for the uctuation a_{+k} a we introduced in eq. (27), but written this time for an initial surface at $u = 0$,

$$
a_{+k a} (x) = \frac{Z}{d^4 y D_{0k} (x; y) \frac{d^2 U (A)}{d A (y) d A (y)} a_{+k a} (y) + B_0 [a_{+k a}]: (85)}
$$

In this formula, both the uctuation a_{+k} a and the derivative of the gauge potential depend on the background classical eld in LC gauge. Let us apply to this equation the operator²⁴ a $_{k}$ a T that substitutes one power of the background eld by a power of a $_{k}$ a. By de ning

(u)
$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} \int_{y>0}^{Z} d^{4}v a_{k} a \prod_{v=0}^{T} a_{+k} a(u)
$$
; (86)

we obtain for this object the G reen's formula

 $\overline{7}$

(u) =
$$
\frac{Z}{d^4} \nu D_{0_{\hat{\kappa}}} (u, v) \frac{h}{dA} \frac{d^2 U (A)}{(v) dA (v)}
$$
 (v)
+ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^3 U (A)}{dA (v) dA (v) dA (v)} G_{++} (v, v)$ (87)

w here we used eq. (23) for the propagator that appears in the source term. We see that and are identical. Therefore, we have proved that

 $\overline{7}$

$$
d(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}} \int_{v \to 0}^{u} d^{4}v \ a \ k \ a \quad \sqrt{u} \ a_{+k}^{d} \ (u) : \tag{88}
$$

 23 The value of u⁺ is irrelevant because the 1-point function (u) propagating over an x ⁺ -independent background  eld (and w ith a vanishing initialcondition in the past) is independent of u^+ .

 24 T his operator is sim ilar to the operator a \quad $\mathbb T$ previously de ned, but it perform s the replacem ent of elds inside the region of the sources, instead of just on the surface of this region.

Inserting this expression into the denition of $b(x_2)$, we obtain

$$
\ln \frac{1}{p^{+}} b(x_{?}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3} 2E_{k}} d^{4}v a_{k a} \nabla
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2} d^{2}u_{?} G(x_{?} u_{?}) e^{u} (u)_{b a} a_{k a}^{d} (u) : \n\tag{89}
$$

To obtain a divergence at large k^+ , we need to tame the oscillations in this variable w hich exist because we have now $u = w$ hile v can be anyw here in the range $[0;]$. These oscillations are dam ped only if v is in the imm ediate vicinity of $u =$. As a corollary, note that the left diagram in qure 3 is therefore a bit m isleading because the tadpole contribution depicted vanishes when the upper vertex of the tadpole is below the light cone. In fact, to have a leading logarithm ic contribution, this vertex of the tadpole m ust be very close to the surface $u =$, as illustrated in gure 4.

Figure 4: Leading logarithm ic contribution of the tadpole diagram.

For suciently smalldx , we can use

$$
\lim_{\substack{dx \to 0 \\ dx \to 0}} \text{d}v \quad \text{a}_{k} = \frac{\pi}{2} = a_{k} \quad \text{if} \quad \text{(90)}
$$

nam ely, we recover the operator that substitutes the background eld by the uctuation in the last layer at $v = .$ A gain, using the eqs. (73) and (77) from the previous subsection, w e obtain the operator

Z Z Z
\ndv⁺ d²v₂ a _{k a}
$$
\mathbb{F} = d^2 y_2 d^2 v_2 G(y_2 v_2)
$$

\nv = d^y (v) _{ce} a ^e_{k a} (v) $\frac{1}{R_c^e(y_2 y_2)}$: (91)

W hen inserted in eq. (89), this gives

$$
\ln \frac{1}{p^{+}} \quad ^{b}(x_{?}) = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2}y_{?} \frac{X}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Z}{(2)^{3}2E_{k}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d^{2}v_{?} G(y_{?} v_{?}) e^{v} (v)_{ce} a_{k}^{e}}{Z}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Z}{R_{c}^{+}(y_{?} y_{?}) \frac{d^{2}u_{?} G(x_{?} u_{?}) e^{u} (u)_{bd} a_{k}^{d}}{(2)}
$$
\n(92)

Note that the product of the underlined term s, by them selves are just

$$
\ln \frac{1}{p^+} \quad \ln(x_2, y_2): \tag{93}
$$

The nalstep in our derivation is to note that when $\mathcal R$ shares a color index with , we have the identity [17,19,54]

$$
\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{\text{c}}^{\text{+}}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma},\frac{1}{\gamma}\gamma\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{\text{e}}\left
$$

because of the antisymm etry of the ad pint generators of SU (N). We can therefore m ove the operator = \mathbb{A}_{c}^{+} ($_{y}$; $_{y_{2}}$) in m ediately after the measure $d^{2}y_{2}$ to obtain Z

$$
^{b}(x_{?}) = \frac{1}{2} d^{2}y_{?} \frac{1}{R_{c}^{b}(x_{?}, y_{?})} ^{b}(x_{?}, y_{?}) ;
$$
 (95)

which is identical to the relation between \overline{C}^{bc} and \overline{C}^{bc} in the JMW LK equation.

3.7 JIM W LK equation

We shall now combine the real and virtual corrections to write the JMWLK equation. Using the real correction in eq. (78) and the virtual one given by eqs. (83) and (95) we can write the totalNLO correction, eq. (40), in the form

$$
O_{NLO} = \n\begin{array}{c}\n= \n\text{Llog} & \text{in} \\
\text{Llog} & \text{in} \\
\text{Llog
$$

where we have introduced the JMW LK H am iltonian,

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x_2 d^2 y_2}{d^2 x_2 d^2 y_2} \frac{d^2 y_2}{d^2 y_2 d^2 y_2 d^2
$$

A lthough the coupling does not appear explicitly in the H am iltonian, it is of order s because of the presence of two functional derivatives with respect to classical elds that are of order g^{-1} .

W e noted that the observable 0 at leading order can be expressed as a functional of the classical gauge e eld $R⁺$ in covariant gauge. The average of this observable over all the con qurations of the eld R^+ , up to NLO, can be expressed as

$$
SO_{L_0} + O_{N_0} i \qquad D A^{et} W A^{et} O_{L_0} + O_{N_0} i \qquad (98)
$$

At the leading logarithm ic level, this can be rew ritten as

$$
h_{\text{no}} + O_{\text{no}} i = \n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{on} & \text{on} & \text{in} \\
\text{on} & \text{on} & \text{on} \\
\text{on} & \text{on} &
$$

where we denote Y ln($^+=p^+$). Note that Y is also the rapidity interval between the slowest incom ing sources (that have k^+ \quad $^+$) and the measured gluon. To obtain this equation, one uses the H erm iticity of H w ith respect to the functional integration over \mathcal{R}^+ . In w riting this equation, we have absorbed all the leading logarithm sof k⁺ into a rede nition of the distribution W R^+ ,

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n & & & & & \text{h} & & \text{i} & & & \\
\mathbb{W} & \mathbb{A}^{\oplus +} & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{Y} & \mathbb{H} & \mathbb{W} & \mathbb{A}^{\oplus +} & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{Y} & \mathbb{H} & \mathbb{W} & \mathbb{A}^{\oplus +} & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb{I} & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \mathbb{I} & & \mathbb
$$

This suggests that the distribution W $A^{\oplus +}$ should depend on the scale A that separates the m odes described as static sources from the m odes described as dynam ical elds in the CGC description. O f course, this is not surprising in an e ective theory based on such a separation of the degrees of freedom. For this reason, it should be denoted as W $_{+}$ [A^{e+}]. T herefore eq. (99) can be w ritten as

$$
i\Omega_{\text{lo}} + O_{\text{Nlo}} i = \text{D} \overline{R}^{\text{th}} \quad 1 + i\text{h} \quad \frac{1}{p^{+}} \quad H \quad W \quad \overline{R}^{\text{th}} \quad O_{\text{lo}} \quad [R^{\text{th}}] : (101)
$$

Because $+$ is a an unphysical separation scale, the expectation value of observables should not depend on this param eter. D i erentiating the previous equation w ith respect to \pm and requiring that the r.h.s be zero, we get²⁵

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\ln(1+\epsilon)} W + [A^{\xi^+}] = H W + [A^{\xi^+}] : \qquad (102)
$$

Equivalently, if Y $\ln(P^+ = \pm)$ denotes the rapidity separation between the fragm entation region of the nucleus (located at k^+ P^+) and the rapidity down to which partons are described as static color sources, we have

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{g} \gamma} W_{\gamma} \left[\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{g}+} \right] = H W_{\gamma} \left[\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{g}+} \right]; \tag{103}
$$

which is the JIM W LK equation that drives the Y dependence of the distribution \mathbb{W} $_{\scriptscriptstyle\vee}$ [Ae+].

 $^{25}\mathrm{To}$ avoid confusion, recall that H , and hence $\mathcal{C}\mathsf{W}$ =
@ $\,^+$, are of order $\,$ $_{\rm s}$. Therefore, for consistency, one should not keep the term proportional to H ($@W = @$ +) because it is of order $\frac{2}{s}$ and therefore beyond the accuracy of the present calculation.

The above considerations also indicate that the distribution W $_{\mathrm{v}}$ [A^{e+}]m ust be evolved to a scale $+$ com parable to the typical longitudinalm om entum in the observable to avoid large residual logs contributing to the latter. Therefore, at leading logarithm ic accuracy, the expectation value of the observable is given by Z

$$
hD \; \mathbf{i}_{L \; \text{Log}} = \qquad D \; R^+ \quad W \;_{\text{y}} \; R^+ \quad O \;_{\text{lo}} \; [R^+ \;] \; ; \tag{104}
$$

w ith $Y = ln(P⁺ = p⁺)$ the rapidity separation between the beam and the observable and W \mathbb{R}^+ given by the solution of eq. (103).

3.8 A llorder resum m ation of leading logs

Thus far, we only considered 1-loop corrections that generate one power of the large logarithm of P⁺. On this basis, we deduced an evolution equation for W $[\mathbb{R}^+$] using renorm alization group argum ents. H owever, the solution of the RG equation is equivalent to a resum m ation of all n-loop diagram sthathaven powers of large logarithm s of $p^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$. We shall here analyze the structure of higher loop contributions to con m w hether the all loop resum m ation perform ed by the RG equation is justi ed.

W e w ill not perform here a detailed analysis of these leading n-loop graphs to show that we indeed recover the solution of eq. (103) . M ore m odestly, we w ill work a posteriori by exam ining the solution of the JIM W LK equation to see what the n-loop graphs that it resum s are. Before proceeding, it is useful to recall a crucial property of the JMW LK H am iltonian de ned in eq. (97). The operator H contains derivatives with respect to the eld R^+ (\sqrt{x} ; x ²) and its coecients depend on all the elds $R^{H}(x; x_2)$ for 0 x \ldots . For this reason, we will denote it H(Y), where the endpoint χ at which the derivatives act is related to Y by Y $\ln(y)$. It is im portant to note that in a product H (y₁)H (y₂), the derivatives in H (y₁) do not act on the coecients of H (y₂) if $y_1 > y_2$.

T he JIM W LK equation should now be w ritten as

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{g} Y} W_{Y} \left[\mathbb{A}^{\mathfrak{g}^+} \right] = H (Y) W_{Y} \left[\mathbb{A}^{\mathfrak{g}^+} \right]; \tag{105}
$$

and its solution reads

$$
W_{Y} [A^{E^{+}}] = U(Y) W_{0} [A^{E^{+}}], \qquad (106)
$$

w ith

U (Y)
$$
T_x
$$
 exp $\frac{Z_y}{2}$ dy H (y) : (107)

In this equation, T_v denotes a \rapidity ordering" such that products of H 's in the Taylor expansion of the exponential are ordered from left to right in order of decreasing y. W $_0$ [Ae⁺] is a non-perturbative initial condition. U(Y)

is the evolution operator for the H am iltonian H (Y) . Inserting eq. (106) into eq.(104),we get

$$
SO\ i_{L \text{Log}} = \nD \nB^{\text{et}} \nW_0 \nB^{\text{et}} \nU^{\text{y}}(Y) O_{L_0} \nB^{\text{et}} \nI: \n(108)
$$

Because H (y) is H em itian, the H em itian conjugate of the evolution operator U(Y) is the sam e operator with the rapidity ordering reversed :

$$
U^{y}(Y) = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ T_{y} & exp & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
$$

w here $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{y}}$ denotes the anti-rapidity ordering. The expansion of U $^\mathrm{y}$ to order one in H gives the leading logarithm icone-loop contributions that we have evaluated earlier in this section. (See eq. (99) for instance.)

If one expands it to second order, we see that the leading logarithm ic contributions in the observable at two loops should be given by

$$
O_{NNLO} = \n\begin{bmatrix}\nZ_{Y} & Z_{y_{1}} \\
E_{1} & Q_{2} & H(y_{2})H(y_{1}) & Q_{10} \\
U_{2} & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\nZ_{Y} & & \\
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & H(y_{2})H(y_{1}) & Q_{10} \\
Q_{2} & Q_{2} & Q_{2} & Q_{2}\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\nZ_{Y} & & \\
Z_{Y} & Q_{Y} & Q_{Y} \\
Q_{Y} & Q_{Y} & Q_{Y} \\
Q_{Y
$$

Because $y_2 < y_1$, the derivatives in H (y_2) can act on the coecients and of $H(y_1)$. Let us rst consider the term s w here this does not happen, nam ely w here the derivatives in H (y₂) act directly on O _{L0} $[\mathbb{A}^{E^+}]$. These term scorrespond to the graphs depicted in qure 5. If we look only at what happens below the line $x =$, these contributions are just disconnected products of term swe had already at 1-loop. The analysis we perform ed of the logarithm ic contributions

Figure5:2-loop contributionsm adeofproductsofpiecesalready encountered at 1-bop. A lthough we do notm ake this distinction in the gure, one of the factors is attached at a slightly m aller value of x , because the two H am iltonians in eq. (110) are at dierent rapidities.

at one loop extends trivially to these term s and it is easy to see that they have two powers of the logarithm s.

In addition, eq. (110) also contains term s in w hich at least one of the derivatives in H (y_2) acts on the coecients of H (y_1). This corresponds to topologies of the type displayed in gure 6. Such term s, that have a gluon vertex inside

Figure 6: Exam ple of term obtained when the derivatives in H (y_2) can act on the coecients of H (y₁). Here, one of the derivatives in H (y₂) acts of the function of H (y_1) and the second derivative in H (y_2) acts on O $_{10}$.

the region where the sources live, have a large logarithm for the same reason that the tadpole has a logarithm in the 1-loop term s. Thus one can see that it is crucial to properly order the powers of the H am iltonian H in rapidity not to lose these term s^{26} .

Finally, there also exist at two loops som e topologies that never appear in eq. (110) , such as those of gure 7. The contributions in this gure are 1-loop

Figure 7: Som e of the 2-loop corrections to the observable O that do not appear at leading log.

corrections to the coecients of the operators $\mathbb{T}_{u,v}$ in eq. (40). In other words, these term s generate corrections of order s to the coecients in the JIM W LK

 26 For instance, if the ordering of the two H am iltonians in eq. (110) is reversed, we get only the term s of gure 5.

equation, and do not have double logs of $+$. This explains w hy they are not generated by the leading log form ula in eq.(110).

4 N ucleus-nucleus collisions

In the previous section, we obtained an expression for resum m ed leading logarithm ic inclusive gluon observablesin a single nucleusin term softhe equivalent leading order observable. A long the way, we presented a novel derivation of the J M W LK evolution equation. In this section, we will extend our analysis to the case of nuclear collisions. We will show that the leading logarithm s of k that arise in the calculation of loop corrections to the single inclusive gluon spectrum can be factored out in the distributions W $[\mathbb{A}_1^{\mathbb{C}}]$ and W $[\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{C}}]$ that describe the two incom ing nuclei. This result will complete a proof of factorization of leading logarithm s of $1=x_{1;2}$ for inclusive observables in nucleus-nucleus collisions.

4.1 Inclusive observables at leading order

A s in the single nucleus case, our discussion is valid for an inclusive multi-gluon operatorO (corresponding to a m om ent of the multiplicity or energy distribution produced in nucleus-nucleus collisions) but for simplicity, we will focus on the

rstm om ent of the m ultiplicity distribution { the inclusive gluon spectrum . A s we discussed in [24,26], the inclusive single particle spectrum in nucleus-nucleus collisionscan be expressed as Z

$$
E_{p} \frac{dN}{d^{3}p} = \frac{1}{16^{3}} \lim_{x_{0}! + 1 \atop{X} } d^{3}x d^{3}y e^{ip (x y)}(e_{x}^{0} iE_{p})(e_{y}^{0} + iE_{p})
$$
\n(p) (p) A (x)A (y) : (111)

Unsurprisingly, the operator A (x) A (y) is identical to what we considered previously in the single nucleus case. In particular, at leading order, the single gluon spectrum is evaluated by replacing the two gauge operators in the right hand side of the previous equation by classical solutions of the Yang-M ills equations. These classical solutions are obtained by im posing retarded boundary conditions that vanish in the rem ote past. The only dierence with the previous section and w ith eqs. (13) is that the current J that drives the solutions of the Yang-M ills equations is now com prised of two contributions corresponding to each of the nuclei. This is a signi cant complication in that, unlike the single nucleus case, analytical solutions do not exist. H ow ever, the classical elds and the inclusive spectrum have been com puted num erically [55{63].

Form ally, the single inclusive gluon spectrum at leading order is a functional of the LC gauge elds A $_{1;2}$ of the two nucleion the surface x = and x^+ = respectively, or of the covariant gauge elds $R_{1,2}$ in the strips 0 x < and 0 x^+ < (see gure 8),

$$
E_{p} \frac{dN}{d^{3}p} \n\qquad \nO_{L_{0}} \left[A_{1} \, ; A_{2} \right] \nO_{L_{0}} \left[A_{1}^{e} \, ; A_{2}^{e} \right] \n\qquad (112)
$$

This quantity does not depend on the rapidity y $ln(p = p)$ because of the boost invariance of the classical equations of motion [64{66].

4.2 O ne loop corrections

A t 1 -loop, eq. (16) can be used again to compute the inclusive spectrum. The m anipulations in sections 2.3 and 2.4 were not speci c to the case of a single nucleus. Indeed, we did not specify the detailed content of the current J in section 2. The only requirem ent for the validity of the nalform ula is that one chooses an initial surface which is locally space-like (or light-like at worst).

W e can now exploit this freedom in the choice of in order to take a surface that treats the two nucleion the sam e footing. A convenient choice is a surface w ith the two branches

$$
x = ; x† x† = ; x < ; \t(113)
$$

as illustrated by the thick solid line in gure 8. W e shalldenote the m easure

 F iqure $8: NLO$ corrections in the collision of two nuclei. The thick solid line is the initial surface w here the functions and a k_a are evaluated. The precise shape of the sm all portion of this surface located above the forward light cone is not im portant because its contribution is power suppressed.

on this initial surface as d $_u$. It is simply $du^+ d^2u_?$ on the rst branch and du $d^2u_?$ on the second branch. Sim ilarly, the de-nition of the operator [a \mathbb{T}] depends on the branch on w hich it is evaluated, because the G reen's form ula for the classical elds depends on a dierent set of initial eld com ponents on the two branches²⁷. It is also im portant to note that the functional derivatives w ith respect to the initial gauge elds are derivatives with respect to the eld

 27 T his result is evident from the derivation of the G reen's form ula in LC gauge discussed at length in appendix B .

 A_1 of the rst nucleus on the rst branch and likew ise the eld A $_2$ of the second nucleus on the second branch.

We need also to say a few words about the gauge in which the initial elds on are expressed. On the left branch of (i.e. on the branch $u = 0$, we use the $A^+ = 0$ gauge, while we use the $A = 0$ gauge on the other branch. U sing di erent gauge conditions on these two branches is possible because they are not causally connected. Sim ilarly, for the propagation of the small uctuations $a_{k,a}$ and , we use the $A^+ = 0$ gauge if their endpoint is on the left branch of , and the $A = 0$ gauge if it is on the other side.

M odulo these obvious changes, eq. (40) is valid in the case of two nuclei and we can now express it as

$$
O_{NLO} = d_u \n\pi
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a}^{X} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3} 2E_{k}}^{Z} d_{u} d_{v} a_{k} \n\pi a_{k} \n\pi a_{k} \n\pi a_{0} \n\pi a_{1} A_{2} \n+ O_{NLO} \n(114)
$$

The rst two terms in this formula are illustrated in gure 8. As in the case of a single nucleus, the leading logs will cancel in \circ $_{\text{NLO}}$ because of the charge conjugation symmetry discussed previously.

The leading log piece of the term involving [\mathbb{T}] can be m apped into the corresponding term of the JMW LK equation in the same way as in the case of a single nucleus. Depending on whether we are on the rst or second branch of the initial surface , we get two tem s which can be expressed together as

$$
\ln \frac{1}{p^+} \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2} \frac{b}{1}(x_2) \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_1} + \ln \frac{d^2x_2}{p^+} \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2} \frac{b}{2}(x_2) \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2} \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2} \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2} \frac{d^2x_2}{dx_2}
$$
 (115)

where $\frac{b}{12}(x_2)$ are respectively the one point functions from the JMW LK H am iltonian for the two nuclei and likewise, R_1^+ ; R_2^+ are classical gauge elds in Lorenz gauge of the rst and second nucleus respectively. We have also introduced a cuto , that separates the color sources of the second nucleus from the dynamical elds.

There is a subtlety in generalizing the single nucleus derivation to obtain this result. In eq. (73), the integration over u^+ runs from 1 to + 1. Now, because of the choice of the surface , this integration runs only from 1 to 0 , and we m ust justify that this di erence is irrelevant. To simplify the notations in this argum ent, let us use the shorthand (u^+, u_2) θ ((u) A (u^+, u_2)). In our problem, the functional derivative with respect to (u^+, u_2) is only applied to

functionals that depend solely on the u^+ -independent m ode of $(u^+;u_?),$

$$
(u_?)
$$
 $\frac{1}{L}^2 du^+$ $(u^+; u_?)$; (116)

where L is the length of the u^+ interval²⁸. W hen this is the case, we have

$$
\frac{1}{(u^*, u_?)} F [(u_?)] = \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{(u_?)} F [(u_?)] : \qquad (117)
$$

M oreover, the result of this di erentiation does not depend on the value of u⁺ in the lh s. Therefore, the subsequent integration over u^+ m erely generates a factor L equal to the length of the integration range. We have therefore proven that $Z_{\rm I}$

$$
du^{+} \frac{1}{(u^{+}, u^{+})^{2}} F [(u^{+}, u^{+})] = \frac{1}{(u^{+}, u^{+})^{2}} F [(u^{+}, u^{+})^{2}]
$$
 (118)

regardless of the integration range for the variable u^* .

A nother possible concern is whether there is a contribution to [\mathbb{T} 1 from the small portion of the initial surface that lies above the forw ard light cone in the region where both u are positive. It is easy to convince oneself that the contribution from this region does not lead to stronger singularities than the rest of the initial surface. Furtherm ore, contributions from this region are phase space suppressed due to its sm all size of order .

The leading log contribution of the term s of eq. (114) that are bilinear in [a T] is equally sin ple when the two points u and v belong to the same branch of the initial surface \cdot . If this is so, it is straightforward to reproduce what we did for a single nucleus, and we nd the two separate contributions

Sum m ing eqs. (115) and (119), and expressing in term s of , we obtain the leading log 1-loop expression for the single inclusive gluon spectrum to be $#$

$$
O_{NLO} = \text{ln} \frac{1}{p^+} H_1 + \text{ln} \frac{1}{p} H_2 O_{LO} [\mathbb{A}_1^{\mathbb{C}^+}; \mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{C}}]; \qquad (120)
$$

where H_{1:2} are the JMWLK Ham iltonians of the rst and second nucleus respectively. This equation { assum ing we can prove that there are no other term s at leading log { is the generalization of eq. (96) to the case of the collision of two nuclei. In the next subsection, we will demonstrate that indeed there are no other contributions.

²⁸ Since here this interval is semi-in nite, it is best to consider $d \left[L(0) \right]$ in all the interm ediate steps, and to take L ! 1 only at the end.

4.3 A bsence of pre-collision m ixings

Figure 9: Contribution that m ixes the two nuclei and may lead to a violation of factorization.

Thus far, we did not discuss the contribution to the bilinear [a T l term s where the coordinates u and v belong to dierent branches of the initial surface. This contribution is illustrated in gure 9. If it contains leading log contributions, such a term would spoileg. (120), because it would generate a term that m ixes derivatives w ith respect to R_1^+ and R_2 , thereby precluding any possibility of factorization.

Fortunately, this possibility is not realized because term swhere u and v are on di erent branches contain the phases

$$
e^{ik^+(u - v)} e^{ik (u^+ v^+)}
$$
 (121)

in the integral over d^3k . For generic points u and v in this con guration, neither u v nor u^+ \vec{v} are vanishing and these exponentials oscillate rapidly when either k^+ ! +1 or k ! +1. Therefore, the integral over k^+ (or k) is completely nite, and we do not get a large logarithm from this con guration of u's and v's.

The only potential danger m ight come from the con guration where u or v (or both) lie in the sm all portion of above the tip of the light cone. A gain, such a con guration can atm ost produce a logarithm ic singularity, but is suppressed by a sm all phase space prefactor of order due to the sm all size of this region. Therefore, eq. (120) contains all the leading log term s that show up in the 1-loop corrections to the single inclusive gluon spectrum.

4.4 Factorization

F inally, integrating over all the con gurations of the nuclear elds $\mathcal{R}_{1:2}$ with weights W $[\mathbb{R}_1^{\mathbb{H}}]$ and W $[\mathbb{R}_2^{\mathbb{G}}]$, and using the fact that the JMW LK H am iltonian

is Hem itean, we can write the sum of the LO and NLO (leading logs only) of the single inclusive gluon spectrum as

$$
SO_{L0} + O_{NLO} i = D R_1^+ D R_2^0 1 + Y_1 H_1 W R_1^+
$$

nh
ih
 i o
 i o

In this equation, we denote Y_1 $\ln(\frac{1}{1} = p^+)$ and Y_2 $\ln(\frac{1}{2} = p^+)$, where \pm is the cuto in the CGC description of the rst nucleus, of the second nucleus, and p the longitudinalm om entum components of the produced gluon. We can now choose the (arbitrary) cuto s as $=$ p and express, as anticipated in eq. (10), the leading log part of the NLO result in term s of the LO operator convoluted with the appropriately evolved weight functions as

 $\text{IO } \text{i}_{\text{LLog}} = \text{D } \mathbb{R}^+_{1} \quad \text{D } \mathbb{R}^{\text{D}}_{2} \quad \text{W }_{\text{Y}_1} \quad \mathbb{R}^+_{1} \quad \text{W }_{\text{Y}_2} \quad \mathbb{R}^{\text{D}}_{2} \quad \text{O }_{\text{L}_0} \quad \text{R}^{\text{D}}_{1} \quad \text{R}^{\text{D}}_{2} \quad \text{I } \tag{123}$

where each of the W [R]'s obeys the JIM W LK equation (possibly with dierent initial conditions if the two nuclei are not identical) and $Y_1 = \ln(P_1^+ = p^+)$ and $Y_2 = \ln(P_2 = p)$.

5 H igh energy factorization result in context

It is useful to consider our result in eq. (123) in the context of related work in the high energy lim it. Factorization, in the speci c sense of our work, was proven previously for proton-nucleus collisions in the large N_c lim it of dipole scattering o a large nucleus [67{70]. In the case of nucleus-nucleus collisions, there has been recent work by Braun, computing single and double inclusive gluon production in a reggeon eld theory approach [71]. At present, it is unclear how to relate these results to the JMW LK evolution. A rst attempt at establishing such a dictionary between cut disconnected diagrams in the CGC e ective theory and cut Pom erons was discussed in Ref. [23]; see also Refs. [72,73].

It is in portant to note that the factorization theorem proven here is valid only for inclusive quantities such as m om ents of the multiplicity or energy distributions. In fact, it seem sunlikely that these results will extend to discussions of total cross-sections and exclusive nal states $[74{76}]$. Indeed, it is known [24,49] that the retarded nature of the boundary conditions for the elds and ebl uctuations has a close connection with the inclusiveness of an observable, and we have seen in the present paper that the retarded nature of these ob jects plays an essential role in our proof of factorization. Whether the Pom eron loops thatm ay play a role in those computations are suppressed for the observables we consider is also unclear. Our results certainly suggest that these contributions are not in portant for inclusive m om ents in nucleus-nucleus collisions, provided

the densities $1;2$ of color sources are large²⁹.

A nother in portant trend in the literature is computing next-to-leading order contributions to high energy evolution. In the reggeon eld theory approach [77], we note the very signicant work on multi-R egge factorization at NLO by Fadin and collaborators [78] which builds on the extension of the BFK L equation to NLO $[79{81}]$. In the CGC e ective theory, there have been signi cant recent work to include running coupling corrections [30,32{36]culm inating in the recent N LO extension [31] of the Balitsky-K ovchegov equation. A s our result is valid for JIM W LK factorization at leading log , these N LO results will be useful in attem pts to extend our proof of high energy factorization to next-to-leading logarithm ic accuracy.

Finally, we should em phasize that JMW LK factorization proven here is far m ore general and robust in comparison to the k_2 -factorization often discussed in the literature. T he latter is concerned w ith high energy factorization at the level of unintegrated k_2 dependent parton distributions [82{84] and can be obtained in the low density lim it of JIM W LK factorization [23,85,86]. k_2 factorization also holds for single inclusive gluon production at leading order in proton-nucleus collisions [67,87{91,85]. k_2 -factorization was however show n to be broken explicitly for quark pair production even at leading order [86] albeit it is restored [23] for large m om enta k_2 Q s. Likew ise, this breaking of factorization is also seen for gluon pair production [68,92]. T hough JIM W LK factorization rem ains to be proven for inclusive production of pairs, we anticipate it is far m ore robust than k_2 -factorization.

To a large extent, factorization in hadronic collisions is merely a consequence of causality : two fast projectiles cannot interact before they collide. Thus the ob jects that describe their content m ust be universal { independent of the other projectile, and of the observable that one is going to m easure after the collision. H owever, this general argum ent does not tell us w hat inform ation should be included in the objects describing the projectiles; indeed, this depends on the observable under consideration, and on w hether we are in the saturation regim e or not. In the saturated regime, a given observable will generally be produced via the coherent interaction ofm any partons of the projectiles, w hich m eans that one w illneed to know the probability of these m ulti-parton con quration in the wavefunction of the projectiles. In contrast, in the dilute regime, since only one parton of each projectile interact, one needs only to know the probabilities for 1-parton con gurations. This is why JIM W LK factorization is more general than k_? -factorization: the distribution W [] contain enough inform ation³⁰ to calculate the non-integrated gluon distribution, but the converse is certainly not true³¹. Sim ilar considerations suggest that JIM W LK factorization m ay not work in the case of exclusive observables. Indeed, inclusive observable usually

²⁹ If $1;2$ are not of order g¹, then the pow er counting on which our considerations are based m ay be m odi ed. Since it has been argued that Pom eron loops play a role in the dilute regim e, this leaves open the possibility that these e ects m ay alter our conclusions close to the fragm entation region of the projectiles.

³⁰ It provides inform ation about m ultiparton correlations such as $\langle (x_1) (x_2)$ \ldots n ϕ .

³¹ N on integrated gluon distributions depend only on 2-parton correlations \langle (x₁) (x₂).

require less detailed inform ation about the projectiles than exclusive ones³².

The factorization theorem that we have proved here is a necessary rst step before a full NLO com putation of gluon production in the G lasm a. Eq. (123) includes only the NLO term s that are enhanced by a large logarithm of $1=x_1;2$, w hile the completeNLO calculation would also include the non enhanced term s. This would be of the same order in s as the production of quark-antiquark pairs $[93,94]$ from the classical eld. N ote that to be really useful, this complete NLO calculation would probably have to be prom oted to a N ext-to-Leading Log result by resumming all the term sin s(s ln(1= $x_{1;2}$)ⁿ. Now that evolution equations in the dense regim e are becom ing available at N LO ,work in this direction is a prom ising prospect.

6 Factorization,the G lasm a and T herm alization

T he G lasm a is the non-equilibrium hot and dense m atter form ed im m ediately in the afterm ath of a high energy heavy ion collision [45,95,25]. How this m atter them alizes is of great in portance for a quantitative understanding of the phenom enology of heavy ion collisions³³. We will discuss here the relevance of our factorization theorem , present qualitative ideas about its generalization and discuss their im portance in quantifying the properties of the G lasm a.

At leading order, the G lasm a is described by the solution of the Yang-M ills equations in the forward light cone w ith retarded boundary conditions (given by the classical elds of the two nuclei before the collision). The produced elds have large occupation num bers of order $\,$ $_{\rm s}$ 1 and are boost invariant [64,65]. This boost invariance of elds im plies that the classical dynam ics can be described by the proper time evolution of gauge elds that live in the transverse plane. An interesting consequence of the classical eld dynam ics is that the chrom o-electric and m agnetic elds are purely longitudinal after the collision [64,45] leading to the generation of C hem-S in ons charge density in the collision [95]. The G lasm a

elds at this order generate only transverse pressure at proper times $\& Q_s$ 1 so it seem s im possible that a treatm ent of the G lasm a at this order leads to therm alization.

This is where the sm all quantum uctuations of the color e k (of order 1 , com pared to the classical eld of order g^{-1}) becom e relevant. In an observable such as the inclusive gluon spectrum, these quantum uctuations lead to corrections that are ϵ sm aller than the leading order classical contribution. A swe have discussed at length in the previous sections, some contributions of these sm all uctuations $|$ those that are enhanced by leading powers of $ln(1=x_{1;2})$ |

 32 For instance, in order to study single di ractive processes, one would need \conditional" probabilities ofm ulti-parton con gurations,w here one im poses the condition that no parton has been radiated betw een the rapidity of the projectile to the rapidity w here the gap ends. T his inform ation is not provided by the distributions W [] that are the basis of JIM W LK factorization.

 $33A$ nother im portant aspect is how jets propagate inside this m atter, in order to assess issues such as leading parton quenching in jets.

can be resummed and absorbed into universaldistributions W [] that describe the high energy evolution of the nuclear wavefunctions.

But what about the rem aining part of these sm all uctuation term s, that are purely of order s relative to the classical elds? Our resumm ation of leading logs corresponds to a well controlled approximation provided the coe cients d_{ni} in the expansion of eqs. (5) and (8) are truly numbers of order unity. In- α deed, we have disregarded thus far the term s $d_{n,i}$ for $i < n$, on the basis that they do not have as m any logs as powers of $_s$. However, num erical simulations of the classical Yang-M ills equations with initial conditions that break boost invariance show the existence of an instability of the rapidity dependent uctuations [96{98]. In these simulations, it is observed that the sm all rapidity dependent perturbations superim posed to the boost invariant classical eld grow exponentially with the square root of time as 34

$$
a \t e^{\frac{p}{\pi}}; \t (124)
$$

where is a quantity of the order of Q_s (its precise value depends on the wavelength of the uctuation in the rapidity direction). This grow th has variously been interpreted as either a W eibeltype [99,97] or N ielsen-0 lesen type [100,101] instability. The form er m echanism in particular has been discussed extensively as a possible m echanism for them alization in heavy ion collisions [102{108]. The existence of these unstable m odes suggests that our assumption that the coe cients d_{ni} for $i < n$ are of order unity is incorrect.

Our present understanding is that there are three classes am ong the sm all eld uctuations, that can be organized according to the m om entum p they have in the direction:

Zero m odes $(p = 0)$ that generate a leading $log.$ That the leading $log s$ com e solely from zero m odes is obvious from the fact that the coe cients of the leading logs do not depend on x . These term s are already included in the resum m ation we have discussed at length in this paper.

Zero m odes that do not contribute at leading log because they have an extra power of k that prevents the divergence when k^+ ! 1 (see the discussion in section 3.4). These term s have not been resummed in our scheme, and they do not seem to trigger the instability either. They would only become relevant in a full NLO calculation, and in resummation of Next-to-Leading Log term s [31].

N on zero m odes $(p \notin 0)$. These term s do not contribute large logarithm s of $1=x_{1,2}$, but they are unstable and grow exponentially as $\exp(Y \overline{}$).

It is the latter boost non-invariant term s that are potentially dangerous. W hile also suppressed by a power of s , they can be enhanced by exponentials of the proper time after the collision. Term s that diverge with time are called

 34 The fact that the square root of the proper time, rather than the proper time itself, controls the grow th of the instability is due to the longitudinal expansion of the system. This has also been observed analytically in the study of the W eibel instability [99].

\secular divergences" and som e techniques for resum m ing these divergences are well know n^{35} in the literature [37].

Based on the above considerations, let us re ne the expansion we wrote in eqs (5) and (8), in order to keep track also of powers of \exp (\exp). We should now write \mathbf{L}

$$
0 [1; 2] = \frac{1}{g^2}^{11} c_0 + c_1 g^2 + c_2 g^4 + \cdots
$$
 (125)

w ith

$$
C_n \tX^n \tX^p \t f_{\text{npi}} e^{(p i)^p} = n^i \tfrac{1}{x_{1i^2}} \t:(126)
$$

In other words, the coe cients d_{ni} that we have introduced in eq. (8), and assum ed to be of order unity, are in fact

$$
d_{n i} = \sum_{p=1}^{X^{n}} f_{n p i} e^{(p i)^{p}} \qquad (127)
$$

and can thus grow exponentially in time after the collision. In eq. (126), the sum of the number of logs and of factors \exp (P) (this sum is the index p) cannot exceed n at n loops. This is because a uctuation m ode cannot be at the same time a zero mode (required to generate a bg) and a non zero mode (required to generate an instability). In this new language, the Leading Log resummation that we have perform ed so far am ounts to keep only the term f_{nnn} in every c_n .

At rst sight, one m ay expect a complete breakdown of the Leading Log description when the time

$$
max \quad Q_s \quad 1 \quad \ln^2 \quad \frac{1}{s} \tag{128}
$$

is reached. This is the time at which 1-loop corrections become as large as the LO contribution. This conclusion can be avoided if one can resum these divergent contributions leading to a resumm ed result that is better behaved for

 $! + 1$. Indeed, it is possible to in prove upon the Leading Log approximation, by keeping at every loop order all the term s where $p = n$: this corresponds to all the term s where every power of $\frac{1}{s}$ is accompanied by either a log or an $\exp(\frac{p}{r})$. Thus, let us de ne

$$
O_{\text{log+Linst}}[1;2] \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{n=0}^{x^2} g^{2n} \int_{n=0}^{x^n} f_{nni} e^{(n-i)^p} \text{ in } \frac{1}{x_{1;2}} \quad ; \qquad (129)
$$

The subscript \LInst" is meant for \Leading Instability".

In the form alism we have developed in this paper, the grow th of sm all uctuations w ith time can be traced to the action of the linear operator in eq. (114) on the classical eld. The quantity

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}\,\mathrm{A}\,\left(\mathrm{x}\right)\quad\frac{\mathrm{A}\,\left(\mathrm{x}\right)}{\mathrm{A}\,\left(\mathrm{u}\right)}\quad\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{D}}\quad;\tag{130}
$$

 35 Indeed, one can think of the Boltzm ann equation as an equation that e ectively resum s a certain class of secular divergences.

is a m easure of how sensitive the classical eld $A(x)$ is to initial condition at the point u on the initial surface. If there is an instability, m all perturbations of the initial conditions lead to exponentially large deviations in the classical solutions. We will assume for now that the improved resummation de ned in eq. (129) can be perform ed and leads to

$$
O_{\text{LLog+LInst}} = Z \left[\mathbb{T}_{u} \right] O_{\text{LLog}} \left[A \right] ; \qquad (131)
$$

where Z (\mathbb{T}_u) is a certain functional of the operator \mathbb{T}_u . In the r.h.s. we have em phasized the dependence of the observable on the initial value of the gauge eld. This form ula can be expressed m ore intuitively by perform ing a Laplace transform of Z/T_{u}] w hich reads

$$
Z \quad \text{and} \quad
$$

G iven the structure of a \mathbb{T} in eq. (42), the functional integration $[D a(u)]$ is an integration over the initial uctuation a (a) itself and over som e of its rst derivatives. Because \mathbb{T}_u is the generator of translations of the initial conditions on the light cone, the exponential in the previous form ula is the translation operator itself. W hen this exponential acts on a functional of the initial classical eld A, it gives the same functional evaluated with a shifted initial condition $A + a$. Therefore, we can write

$$
O_{\text{LLog+LInst}} = D a(\alpha) \mathcal{L}[a(\alpha)] O_{\text{LLog}}[\text{A} + \text{a}]: \qquad (133)
$$

The e ect of the resum m ation is $\sin p$ to add uctuations to the initial conditions of the classical eld, w ith a distribution that depends on the outcome of the resum m ation 36 . The resum m ation lifts the lim ited applicability of the CGC approach im plied by $eq. (128)$. Indeed, after the resum m ation, the uctuation $a(u)$ enters only in the initial condition for the full Y ang-M ills equations whose non-linearities prevent the solution from blow ing up. C om bining our factorization form ula in eq. (123) w ith the conjectured result of the resum m ation of the leading instabilities, one obtains a generalization of eq. (123) w hich reads

$$
10 \text{ i}_{\text{Llog+LInt}} = \text{D} \, \text{R}^{\text{+}}_{1} \, \text{D} \, \text{R}^{\text{+}}_{2} \, \text{W}_{\text{y}_{1}} \, \text{R}^{\text{+}}_{1} \, \text{W}_{\text{y}_{2}} \, \text{R}^{\text{+}}_{2}
$$
\n
$$
2 \text{D} \, \text{a} \, (\text{u}) \, \text{P} \, [\text{a} \, (\text{u})] \, \text{O}_{\text{L}_0} \, [\text{R}^{\text{+}}_{1} + \text{a} \, \text{R}^{\text{+}}_{2} + \text{a}]: \quad (134)
$$

This form ula resum sthem ost singular term s at each order in $\,$ s. In com parison to the physics of the initial and nal state respectively in the collinear factorization fram ew ork, the distributions W [] are analogous to parton distributions while $\mathcal{E}[a]$ plays a role sim ilar to that of a fragm entation function³⁷. To prove

 36 In a recent work, using a completely dierent approach, the spectrum of initial uctuations w as found to be G aussian[109].

 37 N aturally, this functional has nothing to do with a gluon fragm enting into a hadron. Instead, it describes how classical elds becom e gluons.

 $eq. (134)$, and to extract the spectrum of uctuations, one needs to compute the behavior of uctuations on the forward light cone wedge at $x = x$: +1.

Even after the resum m ations are perform ed in the initial and nal states, $eq.$ (134) still su ers from the usual problem of collinear gluon splitting in the nalstate [35]. T his however is not a serious concern in heavy ion collisions because collinear singularities occur only when one takes the $! + 1$ lim it. In practice, we expect to have sw itched to a m ore e cient description like kinetic theory or hydrodynam ics long before this becom es a problem . Indeed, the initial condition for hydrodynam ics, w hich is specied in term s of the energym om entum tensor T , is an infrared and collinear safe quantity because it m easures only the density and ow of energy and m om entum. It is straightforward to re-express our results for multiplicity m om ents in term s of T .

A far m ore challenging problem , that has still not received a satisfactory answer, is to understand how the initial particle spectrum $\{$ or the local energy m om entum -tensor { becom e isotropic and perhaps even therm al. Indeed, a very im portant question is w hether this im proved resum m ation, that includes the leading unstable term s, hastens the local therm alization of the system form ed in heavy ion collisions.

7 Sum m ary and outlook

In this paper, we have presented a novel derivation of the JIM W LK equation. We showed that in this approach the JIM W LK H am iltonian can be determ ined entirely in term s of retarded propagators w ith no am biguities related to light cone pole prescriptions. Our approach generalizes easily to the case of nucleus-nucleus collisions and we were able to derive the factorization form ula in eq.(123). T his form ula is valid to allorders for leading logs in x and to all orders in the color charge densities of the nuclei. For this factorization to work, it appears crucial to consider an observable that can be expressed in term s of retarded elds. Since we had previously linked retarded boundary conditions to the inclusiveness of an observable, this em phasizes the im portance of inclusiveness for factorization, and the diculties one m ay expect when considering exclusive observables.

In view of this, it seem s interesting to study w hether the factorization theorem proved here can be extended to less inclusive quantities. O ne such exam ple is the production of two jets that are separated in rapidity by $Y = 1 = s$. In particular, can the evolution between the jets be factorized from JIM W LK evolution of the wavefunctions as in the case of inclusive gluon production? A nswers to these questions w ill be of great im portance in assessing w hether the early tim e dynam ics in heavy ion collisions leaves an im print in the long range rapidity correlations at later stages.

W e further con jectured the existence of the generalized factorization form ula in $eq. (134)$. This expression also resum s the leading exponentials in tim e arising from the instability of the classical elds to quantum uctuations on the initial light cone surface. The resulting spectrum of uctuations is very important

for determ ining the subsequent therm alization of the G lasm a. W ork in this direction is in progress.

A cknow ledgem ents

Wewould like to thank Nestor A m esto, Edm ond Iancu, Jam al Jalilian-M arian, Yuri K ovchegov, A lex K ovner, M isha Lublinsky and Larry M cLerran for very useful conversations. F.G and R.V thank the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics of K yoto U niversity and the Yukawa International Program for Quark-Hadron Sciences for their support during the completion of this work. R.V.'s work is supported by the US D epartm ent of Energy under DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. F.G.'s work is supported in part by Agence Nationale de la Recherche via the program me ANR-06-BLAN-0285-01.

G luon propagator in LC gauge Α

Consider the OCD Lagrangian to which we add a gauge xing term proportional to $(n \tA^2)$.

$$
L \t \frac{1}{4} F^{a} F_{a} + \frac{1}{2} (r \t R^{2})
$$
 (135)

We are mostly interested in the case where $n \in A = A$, but in fact most of the discussion is valid for any vector n . In order to determ ine the free propagator in this gauge, we need rst to isolate the quadratic part of the Lagrangian,

$$
L_{\text{quad}} = \frac{1}{2} A^{\text{a}} \text{g} \qquad \text{if } \theta = \frac{1}{2} A^{\text{a}} \text{g} \qquad (136)
$$

The free propagator we are boking for is a G reen's function of the operator in the square brackets. Its calculation is best perform ed in m om entum space, where we need to invert

$$
g k^2 + k k + \frac{1}{n} n
$$
 : (137)

Because this tensor is symmetric in $(;)$, its inversemust be a linear combination ofg $\,$, k k , n n and k n + k n . W riting them ost general general linear combination of these elementary tensors, and multiplying it with eq. (137) , we nally obtain the following expression for the propagator in m om entum space:

$$
D_0 (k) = \frac{g}{k^2} + \frac{k k}{(n + k)} \qquad \frac{n^2}{k^2} + \frac{k n + k n}{k^2 (n + k)}: \qquad (138)
$$

Note that this expression is still incomplete, because we need to add i 's to the denom inators in order to m ake the propagator regular on the real energy axis. Doing so am ounts to choosing certain boundary conditions for the elds that evolve according to this propagator. In this paper, the central object is the

retarded propagator, which has all its poles below the real energy axis. This am ounts to writing:

$$
D_{0,k}(k) = \frac{g}{k^2 + ik^0} + \frac{k k}{(n + k + i^2)} \frac{n^2}{k^2 + ik^0} + \frac{k n + k n}{(k^2 + ik^0)(n + k + i)};
$$
\n(139)

(O ur choice for the i prescription of the n k denom inators is indeed retarded if $n^0 > 0$. We will assume that this is the case.)

In the case of the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$, this am ounts to choosing a vector m that has $m = 1$ and all its other components zero. Moreover, we work in the \strict" light cone gauge, that corresponds to the \lim it \quad ! 0 for the gauge xing param eter. The propagator simplies som ewhat in this particular case:

$$
D_{0_k}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + ik^0}
$$
 g $\frac{k n + k n}{n k + i}$: (140)

Note that this propagator is zero if any of its Lorentz indices is equal to $+$.

G reen's form ula in LC gauge $\mathbf B$

An essential ingredient in our discussion is the G reen's form ula that expresses a eld uctuation in terms of its value on some initial surface. In this appendix, this initial surface will be the light-like plane de ned by $x = 0$, but our derivation is m ore general than that and applies to any initial surface.

$B.1$ G reen's form ula for a sm all uctuation in the vacuum

Consider rst a sm all eld uctuation a propagating in the vacuum. In the strict light cone gauge, it obeys

$$
a^{+}(y) = 0 ;
$$

$$
yg \t Q_{y} a (y) = 0 ;
$$
 (141)

Recall also that the free propagator D_{0,} (x; y) obeys

D
$$
_{0}
$$
_{ik} (x,y) $y g$ $\theta_y \theta_y = g$ (x y); (142)

where the arrows indicate that the derivatives act on the left. Now, multiply eq. (141) by D_{0k} (x;y) on the left, eq. (142) by a (y) on the right, integrate y over all the dom ain de ned by $y > 0$, and subtract the two equations. One obtains

a (x) =
$$
d^4 y D
$$
 0_{iR} (x,y) $\theta_y^s \theta_y^s$ $y g$ a (y); (143)

where A A A. U sing the relations

$$
A \stackrel{\$}{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & 0 & B \\ A & 0 & B & B \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
A \stackrel{\$}{C} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & 0 & B \\ A & 0 & B & B \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & 0 & B \\ A & 0 & B & B \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (144)

we see that the integrand in eq. (143) is a total derivative. Therefore, we can rew rite this integral as an integral on the boundary of the integration dom ain. If the derivative we integrate by parts is a ℓ^i or ℓ , then the corresponding boundary is located at in nity in the direction y^i or y^* respectively. We will assum e that the eld uctuation under consideration has a compact enough support so that these contributions vanish. We are thus left with the term s coming from the derivative θ^+ . The contribution from the boundary at $y = +1$ is zero, because of our our choice of the retarded prescription for the propagator. Therefore, the only contribution is from the boundary at $y = 0$,

a (x) =
$$
\frac{Z}{dy^+ d^2 y_2 D}
$$
 0, (x;y) g (n θ_y) $\frac{1}{2} n \theta_y + n \theta_y$ a (y);

 (145)

where n is a vector such that $n = A$ (it is the unit vector norm alto the surface $y = 0$). This formula indicates how the value of the uctuation at the point x is related to its value on an initial surface located at $y = 0$ (N ote that this dependence is linear since sm all uctuations obey a linear equation of m otion). A priori, it involves the values of all the com ponents of the uctuation on this surface, as well as that of its rst derivatives. However, some of this inform ation is not necessary because the propagator vanishes when $= +$ and because of the gauge condition a^+ (y) = 0. If one elim inates from the previous form ula all the term s that are obviously zero and integrate som e term s by parts³⁸ , we get a (x) $B_h[a](x)$, where $B_h[a](x)$ is an integral that depends only on the value of the eld and of some of its derivatives on the initial surface,

$$
B_0[a](x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{nh} & \text{i} \\ dy^+ d^2 y_2 & \text{if } 0_{0k} (x, y) \text{ a } (y) \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
y = 0 \qquad \qquad h = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \\ y = 0 & \text{if } 0 \\ 0_{0k} (x, y) \theta_y a (y) & D_{0k}^{-1} (x, y) 2\theta_y a^1(y) \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
(146)
$$

Therefore, it appears that in the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$, and for an initial surface x = 0, we need to know the initial value of a ; @ aⁱ and @ a in order to fully determ ine the value of the uctuation at the point x. This fact is the reason why there are only three terms in the denition of the operator T_u in $eq. (42)$ (but we postpone until the end of this section the explanation of why one needs to include the W ilson line in this denition).

³⁸ The antisym m etric derivatives $\frac{9}{9}$ and $\frac{9}{9}$ can be elim inated by integration by parts. This is not possible for $\check{\theta}$ $*$ since the boundary term does not contain an integral with respect to y . This is why we have a term involving the derivative $\mathfrak{G}_{y}^{+} D_{0,p}$.

M oreover, the rst term in the right hand side of eq. (146) can be sim plied considerably by using the explicit expression of the free propagators in light cone gauge :

$$
({}^y{\rm D})_{{}^0{\rm R}}(x,y) = (x \t y) (x^+ \t y^+) (x_2 \t y_2) : \t (147)
$$

B .2 G reen's form ula for classical solutions

There is also a sim ilar G reen's form ula for retarded classical solutions of the Yang-M ills equations. Contrary to the case of sm all uctuations, we do not assum e that the gauge eld is sm all, and we keep all the self-interactions as well as the interactions w ith som e external source. Form ally, we can write the Lagrangian as

$$
L = L_{quad} \tU(A); \t(148)
$$

where $U(A)$ is a local polynom ial of the gauge e k. It contains the 3- and 4gluon couplings and the coupling to the external source. In the $A^+ = 0$ gauge, the corresponding classicalequation ofm otion is

yg @^y @^y A (y)= @U (A) @A (y) : (149)

Then one can follow the same procedure as in the case of small uctuations, and we obtain

A (x) =
$$
\int_{y \to 0}^{Z} d^4 y D_{0_{ik}} (x; y) \frac{\theta U (A)}{\theta A (y)} + B_0 [A](x)
$$
 : (150)

O f course, the dependence of the classical eld on its initial conditions is no longer linear because of the rst term in the right hand side; the self interactions of the gauge elds lead to an involved dependence on the initial conditions.

B .3 G reen's formula for a in a background eld

F inally, the G reen's form ula of eq. (146) can be extended to the situation w here the
uctuation a (x)propagateson top ofa classicalbackground eld A rather than the vacuum. The only change is that the free propagatorm ust be replaced by the propagator in a background e k. The property that its $= +$ Lorentz com ponent vanishes rem ains true, because it is a consequence of the choice of the gauge. For such a uctuation, there is also a G reen's form ula that uses only the free gauge propagator, and w here the interactions with the background eld appear explicitly as the additional term

a (x) =
$$
d^4 y D_{0_R} (x; y) \frac{\theta^2 U (A)}{\theta A (y) \theta A (y)}
$$
 a (y) + B₀ [a](x): (151)
y > 0

The derivation of this formula is very similar to that for the classical eld A. We can also rew rite it in a form very similar to eq. (146) , i.e. a $(x) = B[a](x)$ w ith

B [a](x) =
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & h h & i \\ dy^* d^2 y_2 & \theta^y D_g & (x, y) a (y) \end{pmatrix}
$$

\ny = 0
\nh i
\n $D_g (x, y) \theta_g a (y) = D_g^{-1}(x, y) 2\theta_g a^i(y) : (152)$

The boundary term $B[a]$ di ers from $B_0[a]$ in the fact that it contains the retarded propagator D_s dressed by the background eld instead of the bare retarded propagator D_{0k} . A crucial di erence between the dressed and bare propagators is that the simplication of eq. (147) does not occur with the dressed propagator.

In the derivation of the JMW LK equation, the uctuations a (x) one considers are uctuations whose initial condition at x^0 ! 1 are plane waves of m om entum k. One can calculate explicitly their value on the initial surface, which means that we know analytically the quantities a , θ aⁱ and θ a in the rh s. of $eq. (152)$. A crucial property is that the initial values of a and θ aⁱ are suppressed by an extra factor 1=k⁺, and thus any term containing them cannot have a logarithm ic divergence when k^+ ! +1. This argum ent is correct provided the prefactors of these quantities in eq. (152) do not bring factors of k^+ . There is no problem with the second and third term s, since their prefactors is just a propagator.

However, as we shall see now, the coe cient of the rst term can be large because it involves the derivative of the propagator. The only case of practical interest to us is when the background eld above the initial surface is a pure gauge eld such as the one given in eq. (47). In this particular case, there is a sim ple relationship between the dressed and bare propagators :

$$
D_{R} (x; y) = \frac{y}{2} (x) D_{0R} (x; y) (y) : \qquad (153)
$$

This can be seen by applying a gauge transform ation $\frac{y}{x}$ to the problem, which has the e ect of rem oving the pure gauge background. U sing this equation, as w ellas eq. (147) , we now obtain

$$
\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{D}_{R} \quad (\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{D}_{0,R} \quad (\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}) \quad (\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{D}_{R} \quad (\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}) \quad \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{y}} \quad (\mathbf{y}) \quad (154)
$$

The problem is that we take the derivative of the W ilson line (y) in a region where it is changing very quickly. Only the term with the \mathfrak{g}_v^+ derivative exhibits this issue (since the large derivatives are those in the y direction),

$$
D_{R} (x; y) \quad \mathbf{Y}(y) \mathbf{C}_{V}^{+} (y) : \qquad (155)
$$

From its structure, it is obvious that this term m ixes with the second term in the rh s. of eq. (152) (which, as explained in section 3.4, leads to a logarithm is divergence); it would thus be incorrect to keep the latter while not considering

the form er. There are two ways to dealw ith this issue: keep track separately of these two term s, or try to combine them into a single term. The second option is the simplest, and from the above considerations, we know how to achieve it: by rotating the uctuation a \overline{a} ! a , we can rew rite the boundary term as

B [a](x) =
$$
{}^{y}(x)
$$
 dy⁺ d²y₂ ${}^{n11} (y)$ dy d⁺ y D_{0_k} (x;y) (y)a (y)
\n ${}^{y}_{n} = 0$ i
\n D_{0k} (x;y) y (y)a (y) D_{0k}^{i} (x;y) $2\theta_{y}$ (y)aⁱ(y) ; (156)

where we have now only bare propagators. This is why the most convenient de nition of \mathbb{T}_n in eq. (42) involves functional derivatives with respect to a rather than a itself³⁹. Note that for this discussion to hold, it is only necessary that the background eld is a pure gauge in the vicinity above the initial surface, since the derivative is with respect to a coordinate on this initial surface. Whether the background eld is a pure gauge everywhere above the initial surface is not important.

\overline{C} Two-dim ensional free propagator

In the derivation of the JMW LK equation, one m akes use of several formulas involving the bare two-dim ensional propagators. These formulas are not new : all of them have already been used in one form or another in previous papers discussing the JMW LK equation. We compile them in this appendix, with their derivation, as a convenient reference for the reader.

Let us denote G $(x_2 - y_2)$ a G reen's function of the 2-d in ensional Laplacian operator,

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{?}^2 \mathsf{G} \left(\mathbf{x}_? \qquad \mathbf{y}_? \right) = \left(\mathbf{x}_? \qquad \mathbf{y}_? \right) : \tag{157}
$$

It adm its a simple Fourier representation,

$$
G(x_2 \t y_2) = \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2)^2} e^{ik_2 - (x - y_2)} \frac{1}{k_2^2} : \t (158)
$$

Note that this object su ers from an infrared problem, which is obvious for dim ensional reasons: this propagator is a dim ensionless object in coordinate space, invariant under translations and rotations, and therefore it must be a function of x_2 y_2 where is some mass scale that was not present in the previous equation.

Derivatives of this propagator do not su er from this infrared am biguity. C onsider for instance⁴⁰

$$
\mathfrak{E}_{x}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}\right) = \mathbf{i} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{?}}{(2\ \)^{2}} \ \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}_{?} \quad (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?})} \ \frac{\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{i}}}{\mathbf{k}_{?}^{2}} \tag{159}
$$

³⁹ O f course, the two ways of dening \mathbb{T}_u (with and without the { are exactly equivalent. But if we did not include the in the denition, the logarithm is divergences would come from a combination of the second and third term s of eq. (42), instead of being lim ited to the third tem if we include the \quad in the de nition of \mathbb{T}_u .

Emm in we include the $\frac{a}{x}$ = $\frac{a}{\theta x_i}$ = $\frac{a}{\theta x^i}$.

From its symmetries and dimension, it is obvious that this derivative can be w ritten as

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{x}^{\perp} \mathfrak{G} \ (\mathbf{x}_{?} \qquad \mathbf{y}_{?} \) = \ C \ \frac{\mathbf{x}_{?}^{\perp}}{(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?})^{2}} \ ; \tag{160}
$$

where the prefactor C is dimensionless. Because the derivative of the propagator is not infrared singular, the cuto cannot appear in its expression and C m ust be a pure number (otherwise it would have to be a function of x_2 $y₂$ to have the correct dim ension). In order to determ ine the constant, take another derivative \mathfrak{g}_x^i and integrate over x_2 the resulting equation over some dom ain

of the plane that contains the point y_2 . On the left hand side, we get the integral of a delta function since G is a G reen's function of θ $?$. We then get

$$
1 = C \t\t d^{2}x_{?} \t\t d_{x}^{i} \frac{x_{?}^{i}}{(x_{?} + y_{?}^{i})^{2}} : \t\t (161)
$$

The right hand side can be transform ed by using the 2-dim ensional Stokes theorem, leading to an integral on the boundary of (oriented counter-clockwise)

$$
1 = C \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{i j (x_2^i - y_2^i) dx^j}{(x_2 - y_2)^2} ;
$$
 (162)

where $\frac{1}{3}$ is completely antisymmetric ($\frac{12}{3}$ = 1). The contour integral in this equation is a topological quantity, that depends only on the winding number of the contour ℓ around the point y_2 . Thus, it is best calculated by deforming ℓ into the unit circle around the point y_2 . We get easily

$$
1 = 2 \quad C \tag{163}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\mathfrak{E}_{x}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathfrak{G} \ (\mathbf{x}_{?} \qquad \mathbf{y}_{?} \) = \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{\mathbf{x}_{?}^{\mathbf{i}}}{(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?} \)^{2}} \ ; \tag{164}
$$

The second derivative of the propagator is also useful in the derivation of the JMW LK equation. By applying \mathfrak{g}^j_x to the previous equation, one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{G} \left(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}\right) & = & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{j}} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{?}^{\mathbf{i}}}{(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}\mathbf{y})^{2}} \\
& = & \frac{1}{2 \left(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}\right)^{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad 2 \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{?}^{\mathbf{i}} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}^{\mathbf{j}})(\mathbf{x}_{?}^{\mathbf{j}} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?}^{\mathbf{j}})}{(\mathbf{x}_{?} \quad \mathbf{y}_{?})^{2}}\n\end{array} \tag{1.65}
$$

This formula, although perfectly correct for $x_2 \oplus y_2$, is incorrect at the point $x_2 = y_2$. In order to see this, take the trace over the indices i and j. In the left hand side, we have the Laplacian of the propagator, i.e. $(x_2 - y_2)$, while the right hand side would give zero. Thus the full form ula for the second derivative is

$$
\theta_{x}^{\perp}\theta_{x}^{\perp}G(x_{?} \quad y_{?}) = \frac{1}{2} (x_{?} \quad y_{?}) + \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } (x_{?} \quad y_{?}) \text{;} \tag{166}
$$

w ith

Z

$$
^{ij}(x_2 \t y_2) = \frac{1}{(x_2 - y_2)^2} \t i_j = 2 \frac{(x_2^i - y_2^i)(x_2^j - y_2^j)}{(x_2 - y_2)^2} \t ; \t (167)
$$

This function $\frac{1}{1}$ obeys an interesting identity. By integration by parts, one can check that

$$
\frac{d^2u_2}{(2 \t7)^2} \frac{d^2v_2}{(2 \t7)^2} \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2 (y_2 - v_2)^2} \frac{d^1u_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \frac{\frac{1}{(2 \t7)^2}}{\frac{d^2u_2}{(2 \t7)^2} \frac{d^2v_2}{(x_2 - u_2)^2 (y_2 - u_2)^2}} = \frac{\frac{d^2u_2}{(2 \t7)^2} \frac{d^2v_2}{(x_2 - u_2)^2 (y_2 - v_2)^2}}{(y_2 - y_2)^2} \frac{4i}{(y_2 - y_2)^2} \frac{d^2v_2}{(y_2 - v_2)^2} \frac{d^2v_2}{(y_2 - v_2)^2
$$

U sing now eq. (166), we obtain the following identity,

$$
\frac{z}{(2 \gamma^2} \frac{d^2 v_2}{(2 \gamma^2} \frac{(x_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(y_2^{\frac{1}{2}} - v_2^{\frac{1}{2}})}{(x_2 - u_2)^2 (y_2 - v_2)^2} \frac{h_{ij}}{2} (u_2 - v_2) \frac{1}{2}^{ij} (u_2 - v_2) = 0:
$$
\n(169)

Let us also provide an alternate representation of the 2-dim ensional propagator that is som etim es helpful. Let us start w ith the integral

$$
\frac{d^2u_2}{(2 \t)^2} \frac{u_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 \t x_2)^2} \frac{x_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 \t y_2)^2} \frac{u_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 \t y_2)^2} = \frac{d^2u_2}{d^2u_2} \frac{h}{\theta_u^{\frac{1}{2}}} G(u_2 \t x_2) \frac{ih}{\theta_u^{\frac{1}{2}}} G(u_2 \t y_2) :
$$
\n(170)

The integral in the right hand side can be perform ed by parts, since it leads to the Laplacian of a propagator, which is a delta function. Thus, we obtain the identity \overline{z}

$$
G(x_2 \t y_2) = \frac{d^2 u_2}{(2)^2} \frac{u_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 - x_2)^2} \frac{x_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 - x_2)^2} \frac{u_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(u_2 - y_2)^2} : \t (171)
$$

N ote that the integral over u_2 suers from the same infrared problem sthat we have already m entioned at the beginning of this appendix.

R eferences

[1] A H.M ueller, Perturbative QCD, W orld Scienti c (1988).

[2] J.C.Collins, D.E.Soper, G.Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 250, 199 (1985).

[3] J.C.C ollins, D.E.Soper, G.Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 261, 104 (1985).

- [4] J.C.Collins, D.E.Soper, G.Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 263, 37 (1986).
- [5] J.C.Collins, D.E.Soper, G.Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 286, 704 (1987).

[6] J.C.Collins, D.E.Soper, G.Sterm an, Nucl. Phys. B 308, 833 (1988).

- [7] L.V.G ribov, E.M.Levin, M.G.Ryskin, Phys.R ept. 100, 1 (1983).
- [8] A H . M ueller, J-W . Q iu, N ucl. Phys. B 268, 427 (1986).
- [9] L.D .M cLerran,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.D 49,2233 (1994).
- [10] L.D .M cLerran,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.D 49,3352 (1994).
- [11] L.D.M cLerran, R.Venugopalan, Phys.R ev.D 50, 2225 (1994).
- [12] J.Jalilian-M arian,A .K ovner,L.D .M cLerran,H .W eigert,Phys.R ev.D 55,5414 (1997).
- [13] J.Jalilian-Marian, A.Kovner, A.Leonidov, H.Weigert, Nucl. Phys. B 504,415 (1997).
- [14] J.Jalilian-M arian,A .K ovner,A .Leonidov,H .W eigert,Phys.R ev.D 59, 014014 (1999).
- [15] J.Jalilian-M arian,A .K ovner,A .Leonidov,H .W eigert,Phys.R ev.D 59, 034007 (1999).
- [16] J.Jalilian-M arian,A .K ovner,A .Leonidov,H .W eigert,Erratum .Phys. R ev.D 59,099903 (1999).
- [17] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, L.D. M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 692, 583 (2001).
- [18] E.Iancu,A .Leonidov,L.D .M cLerran,Phys.Lett.B 510,133 (2001).
- [19] E.Ferreiro,E.Iancu,A .Leonidov,L.D .M cLerran,N ucl.Phys.A 703, 489 (2002).
- [20] I.Balitsky,N ucl.Phys.B 463,99 (1996).
- [21] I.Balitsky, Phys.R ev.D 70, 114030 (2004).
- [22] Yu.V .K ovchegov,Phys.R ev.D 61,074018 (2000).
- [23] F.G elis, R.Venugopalan, Phys.R ev.D 69, 014019 (2004).
- [24] F.G elis, R.Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys.A 776, 135 (2006).
- [25] F.G elis, R.Venugopalan, hep-ph/0611157.
- [26] F.G elis, T.Lappi, R.Venugopalan, Int.J.M od.Phys.E 16, 2595 (2007).
- [27] V N . G ribov, L N . Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 675 (1972).
- [28] G.A ltarelli, G.Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126, 298 (1977).
- [29] Yu.D okshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP 46, 641 (1977).
- [30] I.Balitsky, Phys.R ev.D 75,014001 (2007).
- [31] I.Balitsky, G.A.Chirilli, Phys.Rev.D 77, 014019 (2008).
- [32] E.G ardi, J.K uokkanen, K.R um m ukainen, H.W eigert, Nucl. Phys.A 784,282 (2007).
- [33] Yu.V. Kovchegov, H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. A 784, 188 (2007).
- [34] Yu.V.K ovchegov, H.W eigert, Nucl. Phys.A 789, 260 (2007).
- [35] Yu.V.K ovchegov, H.W eigert, arX iv:0712.3732.
- [36] J.L.A lbacete, Y.K ovchegov, Phys.R ev.D 75, 125021 (2007).
- [37] N. G oldenfeld, Lectures on Phase Transitions and the R enorm alization G roup, Frontiers in Physics, A ddison W esley (1992).
- [38] F.G elis, S.Jeon, R.Venugopalan, arX iv: 0706.3775.
- [39] J.Berges, A IP C onf. Proc. 739 (2005) 3, [arX iv:hep-ph/0409233].
- [40] A.K ovner, G.M ilhano, Phys.R ev.D 61, 014012 (2000).
- [41] H.W eigert, Nucl. Phys. A 703, 823 (2002).
- [42] A H . M ueller, Phys. Lett. B 523, 243 (2001).
- [43] A.K ovner, U.W iedem ann, Phys.R ev.D 64, 114002 (2001).
- [44] A H.M ueller, A J. Shoshi, S M H.W ong, Phys. Lett. B 632, 257 (2006).
- [45] T .Lappi,L.D .M cLerran,N ucl.Phys.A 772,200 (2006).
- [46] J. Schwinger, J.Math. Phys. 2, 407 (1961).
- [47] L.V.Kebdysh, Sov.Phys.JETP 20, 1018 (1964).
- [48] P.M. Bakshi, K.T. Mahanthappa, J.Math. Phys. 4, 1 (1963).
- [49] F.G elis, R.Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 779, 177 (2006).
- [50] Yu.V .K ovchegov,Phys.R ev.D 54,5463 (1996).
- [51] A .A yala,J.Jalilian-M arian,L.D .M cLerran,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev. D 52,2935 (1995).
- [52] A .A yala,J.Jalilian-M arian,L.D .M cLerran,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev. D 53,458 (1996).
- [53] F.G elis,Y .M ehtar-Tani,Phys.R ev.D 73,034019 (2006).
- [54] A .H .M ueller, Lectures given at the International Sum m er Schoolon ParticleProduction Spanning M eV and TeV Energies (N ijn egen 99), N ijn egen, N etherlands,8-20,A ug 1999,hep-ph/9911289.
- [55] A.K rasnitz, R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4309 (2000).
- [56] A .K rasnitz,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.Lett.86,1717 (2001).
- [57] A .K rasnitz,R .Venugopalan,N ucl.Phys.B 557,237 (1999).
- [58] A .K rasnitz,Y .N ara,R .Venugopalan,N ucl.Phys.A 727,427 (2003).
- [59] A .K rasnitz,Y .N ara,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.Lett.87,192302(2001).
- [60] A .K rasnitz,Y .N ara,R .Venugopalan,Phys.Lett.B 554,21 (2003).
- [61] T .Lappi,Phys.R ev.C 67,054903 (2003).
- [62] T .Lappi,Phys.R ev.C 70,054905 (2004).
- [63] T .Lappi,Phys.Lett.B 643,11 (2006).
- [64] A .K ovner,L.D .M cLerran,H .W eigert,Phys.R ev.D 52,3809 (1995).
- [65] A .K ovner,L.D .M cLerran,H .W eigert,Phys.R ev.D 52,6231 (1995).
- [66] Yu.V.K ovchegov, D.H.R ischke, Phys.R ev.C 56, 1084 (1997).
- [67] Yu.V .K ovchegov,K .Tuchin,Phys.R ev.D 65,074026 (2002).
- [68] J.Jalilian-M arian,Y .K ovchegov,Prog.Part.N ucl.Phys.56,104 (2006).
- [69] J. Jalilian-Marian, Y. K ovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 70, 114017 (2004), Erratum -ibid.D 71,079901 (2005).
- [70] A .K ovner,M .Lublinsky,JH EP 0611,083 (2006).
- [71] M A.Braun, arX iv:0801.0493.
- [72] M .K ozlov,E.Levin,A .Prygarin,hep-ph/0606260.
- [73] M.Kozlov, E.Levin, A.Prygarin, Nucl. Phys. A 792, 122 (2007).
- [74] I.Balitsky, Phys.R ev.D 72,074027 (2005).
- [75] Y. Hatta, E. Iancu, L. M cLerran, A. Stasto, D N. Triantafyllopoulos, N ucl.Phys.A 764,423 (2006).
- [76] Y.Hatta, Nucl. Phys.A 768, 222 (2006).
- [77] L.N .Lipatov,Phys.R ept.286,131 (1997).
- [78] V .S.Fadin,M .I.K otsky,R .Fiore,Phys.Lett.B 359,181 (1995).
- [79] V .S. Fadin, L N . Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 429, 127 (1998).
- [80] G .C am ici,M .C iafaloni,Phys.Lett.B 412,396 (1997),Erratum -ibid.B 417,390 (1998).
- [81] M .C iafaloni,G .C am ici,Phys.Lett.B 430,349 (1998).
- [82] J.C. Collins, R.K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B 360, 3 (1991).
- [83] S.C atani,M .C iafaloni,F.H autm ann,N ucl.Phys.B 366,135 (1991).
- [84] E.M. Levin, M.G. Ryskin, Yu.M. Shabelsky, A.G. Shuvaev, Sov.J. Nucl. Phys.53,657 (1991).
- [85] J.P.Blaizot, F.G elis, R.Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys.A 743, 13 (2004).
- [86] J.P.Blaizot,F.G elis,R .Venugopalan,N ucl.Phys.A 743,57 (2004).
- [87] Yu.V .K ovchegov,L.D .M cLerran,Phys.R ev.D 60,054025 (1999).
- [88] M A . B raun, hep-ph/0101070.
- [89] N.A m esto, M.A.Braun, Eur. Phys.J.C 20, 517 (2001).
- [90] B.Z. K opeliovich, A. Schafer, A .V. Tarasov, Phys. Rev. D 62, 054022 (2000).
- [91] D .K harzeev,Yu.K ovchegov,K .Tuchin,Phys.R ev.D 68,094013 (2003).
- $[92]$ R. Baier, A. K ovner, M. N ardi, U A. W iedem ann, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094013 (2005).
- [93] F.G elis, K.K a jantie, T.Lappi, Phys.R ev.C.71,024904 (2005).
- [94] F.G elis, K.K a pntie, T.Lappi, Phys.R ev.Lett. 96,032304 (2006).
- [95] D .K harzeev,A .K rasnitz,R .Venugopalan,Phys.Lett.B 545,298 (2002).
- [96] P.R om atschke,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.Lett.96,062302 (2006).
- [97] P.R om atschke,R .Venugopalan,Eur.Phys.J.A 29,71 (2006).
- [98] P.R om atschke,R .Venugopalan,Phys.R ev.D D 74,045011 (2006).
- [99] A K . R ebhan, P . R om atschke, Phys. R ev. Lett. 97, 252301 (2006).
- [100] A. Iwazaki, arX iv:0803.0188.
- [101] H. Fujii, K. Itakura, arX iv:0803.0410.
- [102] P.A mold, J. Lenaghan, G.D. Moore, JHEP 0308, 002 (2003).
- [103] A K .R ebhan, P .R om atschke, M . Strickland, Phys. R ev. Lett. 94, 102303 (2005).
- [104] A K . R ebhan, P . R om atschke, M . Strickland, JH EP 0509, 041 (2005).
- [105] A .D um itru,Y .N ara,M .Strickland,Phys.R ev.D 75,025016,(2007).
- [106] A H.M ueller, A .I. Shoshi, S M .H .W ong, Nucl. Phys. B 760, 145 (2007).

[107] D .Bodeker,K .R um m ukainen,JH EP 0707,022 (2007).

[108] S.M rowczynski,hep-ph/0611067.

[109] K.Fukushim a, F.G elis, L.M cLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 786, 107 (2007).