Leading Order Analysis of Neutrino Induced D im uon Events in the CHORUS Experiment

The CHORUS Collaboration

A.Kayis-Topaksu,G.Onengut

C ukurova U niversity, A dana, Turkey

R. van Dantzig, M. de Jong, R. G. C. Oldem an¹

N IK H E F, A m sterdam, The N etherlands

M.Guler, S.Kama, U.Kose, M.Serin-Zeyrek, P.Tolun

M ETU, Ankara, Turkey

M G.Catanesi, M.T.Muciaccia

Universita di Bari and IN FN, Bari, Italy

A.Bulte, K.W inter

H um boldt U niversitat, B erlin, G erm any²

B.Van de Vyver^{3;4}, P.Vilain⁵, G.Wilquet⁵

Inter-U niversity Institute for H igh Energies (U LB –V U B) B russels, B elgium

B.Saitta

Universita di Cagliari and IN FN, Cagliari, Italy

E.DiCapua

Universita di Ferrara and IN FN, Ferrara, Italy

S.Ogawa, H.Shibuya

Toho University, Funabashi, Japan

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

IR.Hristova⁶, T.Kawamura, D.Kolev⁷, M.Litmaath, H.Meinhard, J.Panman, A.Rozanov⁸, R.Tsenov⁷, J.W.E.Uiterwijk, P.Zucchelli^{3,9}

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{CERN}}$, $\ensuremath{\texttt{G}}$ eneva, $\ensuremath{\texttt{Sw}}$ itzerland

J.G oldberg

Technion, Haifa, Israel

M.Chikawa

K inkiUniversity, H igashiosaka, Japan

J.S. Song, C.S. Yoon

G yeongsang N ational U niversity, J in ju, K orea

K.Kodama,N.Ushida

A ichiUniversity of Education, Kariya, Japan

S.Aoki,T.Hara

K obe U niversity, K obe, Japan

T.Delbar, D.Favart, G.Gregoire, S. Kalinin, I. Makhlioueva

U niversite C atholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-N euve, B elgium

A.Artamonov, P.Gorbunov, V.Khovansky, V.Shamanov, I.T sukerman

Institute for Theoretical and Experim ental Physics, M oscow , R ussian Federation

N.Bruski, D.Frekers, D.Rondeshagen, T.Wol

W estfalische W ilhelm s-U niversitat, M unster, G erm any²

K.Hoshino, J.Kawada, M.Komatsu, M.Miyanishi, M.Nakamura, T.Nakano, K.Narita, K.Niu, K.Niwa, N.Nonaka, O.Sato, T.Toshito

N agoya U niversity, N agoya, Japan

S.Buontem po, A.G. Cocco, N.D'Ambrosio, G.De Lellis, G. De Rosa, F.DiCapua, G.Fiorillo, A.Marotta, M.Messina, P. Migliozzi, R.Santorelli, L.Scotto Lavina, P. Strolin, V.Tioukov Universita Federico II and INFN, Naples, Italy

T.Okusawa

O saka C ity U niversity, O saka, Japan

U.Dore, P.F.Loverre, L.Ludovici, G.Rosa, R.Santacesaria, A.Satta, F.R.Spada

Universita La Sapienza and IN FN, Rome, Italy

E.Barbuto, C.Bozza, G.Grella, G.Romano, C.Sirignano, S.Sorrentino

Universita di Salerno and IN FN, Salerno, Italy

Y.Sato, I.Tezuka

U tsunom iya U niversity, U tsunom iya, Japan

¹ Now at University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy.

 2 Supported by the G erm an B underm inisterium fur B ildung und Forschung under contract num bers 05 6BU 11P and 05 7M S12P.

³ Now at SpinX Technologies, Geneva, Switzerland.

⁴ Fonds voor W etenschappelijk O nderzoek, Belgium.

 5 Fonds National de la Recherche Scienti que, Belgium .

⁶ Now at DESY, Ham burg.

⁷ On leave of absence and at St.K lim entOhridskiUniversity of So a, Bulgaria.

⁸ Now at CPPM CNRS-IN 2P3, M arseille, France.

⁹ On leave of absence from INFN, Ferrara, Italy.

A bstract

W e present a leading order QCD analysis of a sam ple of neutrino induced chargedcurrent events with two muons in the nal state originating in the lead-scintillating bre calorim eter of the CHORUS detector. The results are based on a sam ple of 8910 neutrino and 430 antineutrino induced opposite sign dim uon events collected during the exposure of the detector to the CERN W ide Band Neutrino Beam between 1995 and 1998. The analysis yields a value of the charm quark mass of m_c = (1:26 0:16 0:09) G eV = c^2 and a value of the ratio of the strange to non-strange sea in the nucleon of = 0:33 0:05 0:05, im proving the results obtained in sim ilar analyses by previous experiments.

Keywords: Charm production, neutrino, dim uon

Introduction

In neutrino-nucleon deep-inelastic scattering, events that present two muons in the nal state are mainly due to the muonic decay of a charm ed hadron produced in a neutrino charged-current interaction. The charm quark can be produced both through d ! c and s ! c weak currents. The s ! c transition dom inates in the antineutrino induced dimuon events, whilst in neutrino induced interactions the d ! c and s ! c transition have com parable contributions, as the large valence d quark content of the nucleon com pensates for the C abibbo suppressed d ! c transition. D in uon events in neutrino interactions can be used to measure the strange quark content of the nucleon and the value of the charm quark m ass. M oreover, the charm production mechanism is of great im portance for testing perturbative QCD predictions.

Neutrino cham production has been investigated with counter experiments like CDHS [1], CCFR [2], CHARM II [3], NOMAD [4] and NuTeV [5], as well as bubble chambers (BEBC [6]) and nuclear emulsion detectors like E531 [7] and CHORUS [8,9,10]. In this paper we present the study of a sam ple of neutrino induced charged-current dimuon events produced in the lead-scintillating bre calorimeter of the CHORUS detector; the collected statistics is the second largest to date. The analysis is performed in the framework of the leading order QCD formalism and the result will be expressed in terms of the strange content of the nucleus , the charm quark mass m_c , the Peterson fragmentation parameter $_P$ and the charm ed hadron sem ileptonic branching ratio B.

1 The CHORUS experiment

The CHORUS experiment has been designed for a short-baseline search of neutrino oscillations in the – channel at relatively high m² [11]. The detector was exposed to the W ide B and Neutrino B eam at CERN from 1995 until 1998. Neutrinos were produced at the SPS by a proton beam of 450 G eV; the average energy was 27 G eV with a contam ination of about 6%. The detector is described in detail in R ef. [12]; it consists of a nuclear em ulsion target, a bre tracker, an air gap hadron spectrom eter, a lead-scintillating bre calorim eter and a muon spectrom eter.

The 112 ton lead-scintillating bre calorim eter, described in detail in Ref. [13], consists of three sections (EM, HAD1, HAD2) with decreasing granularity along the beam direction, for a total of 5.2 interaction lengths. Owing to its high mass, the calorim eter also provides an active target for incom ing neutrinos. A large number of neutrino charged-current

interactions on lead was collected during the four years of data taking.

A test beam calibration of the calorim eter perform ances was carried out during the data taking and results are reported in R ef. [13]. Two neural net algorithms were developed in order to improve the vertex position resolution and the energy resolution for charged-current events originating in the calorim eter. Both algorithms have been tuned for the purposes of this analysis on M onte-C arlo neutrino induced events which present m ore than one reconstructed m uon in the nal state. The vertex nding algorithm achieved a longitudinal and transverse position resolution of $(V_x) = 4.0$ cm and $(V_{yz}) = 3.0$ cm, respectively, with an average e ciency of nding the correct calorim eter plane of (82 1)%. The energy resolution was estimated to be $(E_z) = E_z = 0.39 = E_z + 0.14$ (with E expressed in G eV).

A muon spectrom eter composed of six magnetized iron toroids instrum ented with drift chambers and scintillators is located further downstream to identify muons and determ ine their momentum. A resolution varying from p=p 0:15 at 20 GeV = c to p=p 0:19 at 70 GeV = c was achieved, as measured with test beam muons.

The trigger system of the CHORUS experiment is described in Ref. [14]. A dedicated muon trigger was set-up to collect a large statistic of \double track" events used both in dimuon and trimuon analyses [15]. A total of 4.9 10^{19} protons were accumulated on the Be target in the period 1995{1998 and the overall CHORUS data collection e ciency was about 92% with a dead time of 5%.

2 Theoretical fram ew ork

Neutrino interactions with two muons in the nal state mainly occur when the weak charged-current on a d or s quark produces a charm ed quark and the charm ed hadron subsequently decays with a probability B into a muon and other hadrons. The primary muon and the decay muon have opposite electric charge. The large value of the charm quark mass gives rise to an energy threshold for the process; this is described in the leading order QCD form alism by the so called \slow rescaling" mechanism [16].

2.1 Leading order dim uon cross-section

The leading order (LO) QCD fram ework of deep-inelastic neutrino scattering (DIS) has been adopted to describe charm quark production. This scheme is reported in Ref. [17] and uses the helicity formalism to describe the neutrino charged-current cross-section. It has the advantage of treating in a natural way the dierent mass scales involved in the heavy quark production process. The neutrino charm production cross-section is written as

$$\frac{d^2}{dxdy} = 2G_F^2 \frac{yQ^2}{12} \quad jV_{cd}fd() + jV_{cs}fs() \quad 4 \quad \frac{1+\cosh}{2} + \frac{m_c^2}{2Q^2} \frac{\sinh^2}{2} \quad (1)$$

in terms of the B jorken variables x and y and the Ferm i constant $G_F \cdot V_{cd}$ and V_{cs} are the CKM matrix elements, d(x) and s(x) being the momentum distributions of the scattered quarks. The angle is related to E, E, and Q, the incoming neutrino energy, the leading muon energy and the W boson four-momentum, respectively:

$$\cosh = \frac{E + E}{Q^2 + (E - E)^2} :$$
(2)

This reduces to $\frac{2-y}{y}$ when m_c vanishes. W ith m_q the mass of the scattered quark and M the nucleon mass, the scaling variable is de ned as

$$= \frac{(Q^{2} m_{q}^{2} + m_{c}^{2}) + (Q^{2}; m_{q}^{2}; m_{c}^{2})}{2Q^{2}}; \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2x} = \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{M^2}{4x^2} + \frac{M^2}{Q^2}$$
(4)

and the function is given by

$$(a;b;c) = a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} - 2(ab + bc + ca)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (5)

In the lim it M 2 =Q 2 ! 0 the variable becomes the usual \slow rescaling" variable [18].

W hen dealing with experim entally measured quantities, the expression (1) has to be corrected for the electrom agnetic radiative processes arising in the nal state whose e ect is to lower the prim ary muon energy and, correspondingly, to raise the hadronic shower energy. A correction for such an e ect has been applied according to the prescription of Bardin [19].

2.2 Parton distributions

To interpret the experim entaldata in term softharm quark production described by Eq.(1) a param etrization of the quark content in the target nuclei is required. The GRV 94LO parton distribution functions derived from experim entaldeep-inelastic scattering data has been used [20]. To take into account the non-isoscalarity of the lead-scintillating bre calorim eter, the total valence quark content has been param etrized as

$$x q_{val}(x;Q^2) = \frac{A Z}{A} x u_{val} + \frac{Z}{A} x d_{val};$$
(6)

where, due to strong isospin symmetry, the down quark content of the neutron is taken to be the same as the up quark content of the proton. The strange quark content in protons and neutrons is assumed to be the same. The non-strange sea-quark contents of the nucleon is assumed to be symmetric between up and down quarks. The strange quark content of the nucleon is described by the parameter

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{R} \left[xs(x; \frac{2}{0}) + xs(x; \frac{2}{0}) \right] dx}{xu(x; \frac{2}{0}) + xd(x; \frac{2}{0}) dx};$$
(7)

where $\frac{2}{0}$ is an arbitrary reference scale chosen to be 20 G eV². In the following, an SU (3) avour symmetric sea is assumed; this means that the strange and the anti-strange content of the nucleon are the same and have the same x dependence.

An additional parameter is often introduced in similar analyses that allows the strange quark to behave dimension from the up and down quarks. This is obtained by multiplying the strange quark parton distribution function (pdf) by the factor $(1 \times)$. In the following the weighted average = 2 1 of the values given by the CHARM II and CCFR leading order analyses is used.

2.3 Charm quark fragm entation and m eson decays

The non-perturbative processes that act to \dress" the bare charm quark produced in the neutrino interaction are known as fragmentation or hadronization. The fragmentation is usually described as a function of the variable z de ned as the fraction of the longitudinal momentum taken over by the charm hadron h_c :

$$z = P_{L}(h_{c}) = P_{L}^{max}(h_{c});$$
 (8)

where $P_L^{max}(h_c)$ is the maximum hadron longitudinal momentum relative to the W-boson direction in the boson-nucleon center of mass reference frame. The Peterson parametrization of the charm quark fragmentation is written as [21]

$$D(z;_{P})/z^{1} 1 \frac{1}{z} \frac{P}{1 z}^{2};$$
 (9)

where $_{\rm P}$ is a free parameter to be determined from the data. In leading order QCD, the neutrino cross-section can be factorized as

$$\frac{d^{3}}{dxdydz}(N! h_{c}X) = \frac{d^{3}L^{0}}{dxdydz}(N! cX)D(z):$$
(10)

This is no longer true in the next-to-leading order form alism [22]. The transverse momentum of charmed hadrons with respect to the W-boson direction is on average small $(hp_T^2 i \quad 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 = c^2)$ and is assumed to be distributed as $dN = dp_T^2 / e^{hp_T^2}$. The parameter b is taken to be 1:1 GeV²=c² following Ref. [23].

The fragmentation variable z cannot be determined on an event-by-event basis since the direction of the charm quark or charmed hadron cannot be measured directly. The visible fragmentation variable is thus de ned as

$$z_{vis} = \frac{E_2}{(E_2 + E_{sh})} ;$$
 (11)

where E $_2$ and E $_{\rm sh}$ are the energy of the muon coming from the charmed hadron decay and the hadronic shower nal state energy, respectively. The relation between z and $z_{\rm vis}$ has been studied with the aid of M onte-C arb simulations.

3 Data sam ple and event selection

The dimuon sample was collected during four years of data taking using a dedicated trigger setup based on the energy released in the bre calorim eter and on the presence of two or more well separated tracks in the spectrom eter [14]. A total of 6:6 10^6 events tagged as dimuons by the trigger were reduced to about 5:0 10^5 requiring that at least two muons were well reconstructed in the muon spectrom eter. For each event, the reconstructed muon energy E , the angle of the muon with respect to the neutrino beam direction evaluated at the interaction vertex and the hadron shower energy E sh are used to derive the follow ing kinem atic variables:

 $E^{vis} = E_{sh} + E_1 + E_2$, the visible neutrino energy

 $Q_{vis}^2 = 2E^{vis}E_1(1 \cos_1) m^2$, the visible four-momentum transfer squared $x_{vis} = Q_{vis}^2 = 2M (E_{sh} + E_2)$, the visible B jorken x $z_{vis} = E_2 = (E_{sh} + E_2)$, the visible fragmentation variable, as reported in Eq. 11.

The nal sample of dim uon events was selected imposing the following selection criteria:

- C1: at least two muons must be well reconstructed, i.e. a minimum of ve measured track points in the drift cham bers placed in the gaps between the iron magnets is required for both muons;
- C2: the longitudinal position of the event vertex (V_x) determ ined by the N eural N et algorithm must be inside a ducial volume given by 290:7 cm < V_x < 384:0 cm (this corresponds mainly to the HAD1 part of the calorim eter); the transverse vertex positions (V_y and V_z) are required to satisfy 120 cm < V_{yz} < 120 cm to ensure hadronic shower lateral containment;
- C3: the shower energy must be in the range 5 GeV < E $_{\rm sh}~<$ 150 GeV ;
- C4: to ensure a good reconstruction quality and control of the acceptances, a cut E > 5GeV when extrapolated to the vertex position in the calorim eter is applied. This cut also reduces the m eson decay background;
- C 5: the reconstructed neutrino energy must be in the range 10 G eV < E < 240 G eV to ensure a good control of the detection e ciencies;
- C6: a cut $Q^2 > 3:0$ G eV²=c² is applied in order to exclude regions in which the M onte-C arbs simulation is not reliable, as described in the following section;
- C 7: the transverse distance between the two muons extrapolated to the interaction vertex x coordinate (d_{12}) is requested to be less than 15 cm to reject background due to hadron decays.

The prim ary m uon is assumed to be the one with the highest p_T with respect to the neutrino beam direction; this leads to a (95.8 1.0)% e ciency to correctly identify the primary m uon for neutrino induced interactions and (94.0 1.1)% for antineutrino interactions. In cases in which there are m ore than two reconstructed m uons, the two m ost energetic ones are used in the analysis. The average charm induced opposite sign dim uon trigger e ciency was evaluated by m eans of M onte-C arb simulation on a sample of events surviving the selection cuts and found to be (91 6)%. The event statistics is reported in Table 1 where the top line indicates the charges of the primary and secondary m uons respectively.

The dim uon sam ple statistics after the selection is therefore

$$N^{+} = 10218$$
; $N^{-} = 1441$; $N^{+} = 975$; $N^{++} = 46$: (12)

Figure 1 shows a scatter plot of the selected events in the (p_1, p_2) plane.

Table 1

Statistics for opposite-sign and sam e-sign dim uon events induced by neutrino interactions. The cuts are explained in the text.

Cut	+		+	+ +	total
C 1	33251	30750	5769	899	70669
C 2	17517	12387	2144	203	32251
С3	16894	11510	2023	186	30613
C 4	13948	10078	1689	141	25856
C 5	13938	10051	1688	140	25817
C 6	12579	8962	1270	110	22921
C 7	10218	1441	975	46	12680



Fig. 1. Scatter plot in the (p $_1$ $p _2$) plane of the dim uon selected events. The sign of the muon momentum represents its electric charge.

4 M onte-C arlo sim ulation

A full simulation of the events induced by neutrinos with the production of a charm ed quark has been performed. The rst step of the simulation consists in the implementation of the formalism described in section 2. A speci c event generator has been developed by the CHORUS collaboration. It in plements JETSET [24] for charm quark fragmentation and charmed hadron decays following Eq. (9). The incoming neutrino beam is described according to a GEANT 3.21 [25] based package that describes the interaction of the SPS proton beam with the beryllium target. All relevant components of the beam were part of the simulation. The parton distribution functions were implemented with the use of the PDFLIB [26] package. The GRV 94LO [20] pdf's were adopted in the production of the sam ple of events used in the t procedure. The CTEQ 3L [27] pdf's have been used to obtain an estimate of the systematic error on the nalresult. The value of the CKM mixing matrix elements has been taken from the PDG compilation [28]. The maximum allowed incoming neutrino energy was $E^{max} = 300 \text{ GeV}^2$ and the kinematical bounds in the event generator were $Q_{min}^2 = 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2 = c^2$ and $W_{min}^2 = 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ in order to avoid quasi-elastic and resonance production processes.

The generator output is then used as input for the CHORUS event simulation program. Based on GEANT 3.21 [25], it contains the complete description of the apparatus and provides the response of the various instrum ented parts of the detector. The events produced in this way undergo all the analysis steps perform ed for the real data.

4.1 Background evaluation

The main source of background to the charm induced dim uon events is given by the muonic decay of non-charm ed hadrons produced either directly or during the show er developm ent of a charged-current event. The background level depends on the probability for a or a K to decay before it interacts in the calorim eter or in the rst part of the muon spectrom eter. The relative rates of same e-sign and opposite-sign events resulting from this background are closely related to the probability of producing positive or negative pions and kaons during the hadronic shower developm ent. A standard way to estimate its contribution to the opposite-sign dim uon sample relies on the admitted hypothesis that the same-sign dim uon events belong to the background. For instance, the background to the charm induced opposite-sign dim uon events with a leading is given by

$$B^{+} = \frac{N_{CC}^{+}}{N_{CC}} N_{DATA} :$$
 (13)

where the charm to muon decay process has been disabled in the simulation of the neutrino induced charged-current events. A total of about 300 events were obtained after selection cuts out of 3 10^6 neutrino CC interactions for which a complete M onteC arb simulation of the detector response has been performed. The comparison between same-sign neutrino dim uon events in data and M onteC arb is shown in Figure 2; the fairly good agreement between data and M onteC arb validates the use of relation (13). U sing this sam ple of events, a value of (N_{CC}⁺=N_{CC})_{MC} = 0:89 0:10 has been established. Once selection cuts have



Fig.2.C om parison between reconstructed quantities for same-sign d in uon events in data (points) and MC sample (histogram).

been applied, the number of events with opposite sign dimuons is thus smaller than that of same sign muons¹; this is mainly due to the fact that the magnet polarity of the muon spectrom eter was chosen to focus negative charged muons. Consequently, the e ciency to reconstruct a ⁺ is smaller than to reconstruct a ⁻. The majority of background events is in the region of smallm uon energy where the e ect is more pronounced. Similarly the value $(N_{CC}^{+}=N_{CC})_{MC}=0.11$ 0.02 is obtained and used to evaluate the non-charm background to the opposite-sign dimuon sample with a leading ⁺.

5 Results

The number of observed dimuon events depends on the charm quark mass (m $_{\rm c}$) via the slow rescaling mechanism, the amount of strange quark sea (), the fragmentation parameter ($_{\rm P}$) and on the branching ratio of charm into muon (B). From the initial sam ple of Table 1, taking into account selection e ciencies and neutrino {antineutrino cross contam ination, a

¹ The ratios between positive and negative pions and kaons produced in the M onteC arb sam ple of neutrino induced charged current interactions are + = 1:7 and K + = K 1:6 for events having E $_1 > 10$ G eV and E $_K > 5$ G eV

Iable 2	
R esults of the four param eter	t procedure and param eter correlation m atrix given by M ${\rm INUII}$

Param eter Correlation Coe cients

	value	error	G lobal	$m_{c}[GeV=c^{2}]$		P	В
$m_{c}[GeV=c^{2}]$	1.258	0.160	0.74986	1.000	0.522	-0.732	0.018
	0.326	0.048	0.56786	0.522	1.000	-0.534	-0.018
P	0.0646	0.0053	0.75471	-0.732	-0.534	1.000	0.031
В	0.0959	0.0038	0.06935	0.018	-0.018	0.031	1.000

total of 8910 180 events with a leading and 430 60 events with a leading ⁺ were

selected. This represents the second largest sample of neutrino induced dim uons to date.

5.1 Maximum Likelihood four-parameter t

Fitresult

To extract information on the production mechanism of dimuon events, a maximum likelihood t of the Monte-Carlo events to the data has been performed.

A M onte-C arb reference sample has been used to avoid the painful procedure in which the likelihood is evaluated by generating a di erent sample of events for each set of parameters. The charm quark mass was set to $m_c^{ref} = 0.5 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ to avoid a possible bias due to the vanishing charm production cross-section below the m_c^{ref} value, while the other parameters were set to $r^{ref} = 0.45$ and $r^{ref}_{p} = 0.07$. All M onte-C arb \true" information has been retained to be able to weight each event when any of the four parameters is changed during the tting procedure. The reference sample consists of 17439 events that survived the selection criteria out of 3.5 10^5 fully reconstructed generated events.

An unbinned likelihood t of the four unknown parameters has been performed on the basis of the distributions of the three experimental observables E^{vis} , x_{vis} and z_{vis} . The probability density functions of the three observables are constructed by assigning to each event in the reference sample a weight equal to the ratio of the cross-section evaluated with the current set of parameters to the cross-section obtained with the reference set. The absolute normalization of the M onte-C arlo sample was obtained by using the observed dim uon production rate with respect to the single m uon events and xing the absolute value of the cross-section with the sam e m ethod as reported in R ef. [29].

The twas perform ed using M IN U II [30] sim ultaneously on the four unknown parameters and the result of the tprocedure is reported in Table 2. Figures 3 and 4 show the comparison between the data and the M onte-C arlo sam ples produced using the best tvalues reported in Table 2. The result of the t is very stable and consistent values are obtained by tting separately each parameter keeping the others xed.



Fig. 3. Comparison between data (points) and Monte-Carb (histogram) evaluated using the parameters found by the tprocedure. The small fraction of events originating from pions and kaons decaying into muons is also shown.

5.2 System atic uncertainties

System atic uncertainties on the result of the t are mainly due to the theoretical model, the background description and the neutrino ux norm alization. Theoretical uncertainties were taken as the maximum deviation of each tted value from its central value when varying the parameters of the model inside their quoted errors and repeating the t proce-



Fig. 4. Comparison between data (points) and Monte-Carlo (histogram) evaluated using the parameters found by the tprocedure. The small fraction of events originating from pions and kaons decaying into muon is also shown.

dure. The contribution of the nucleon pdf has been evaluated repeating the t procedure using the CTEQ 3L pdf param etrization. The am ount of events induced by pion and kaon decays, the background subtraction procedure and the total uncertainty on the overall event normalization set the limit on the precision of B and of the ratio of the dimuon to the charged-current cross-sections $_{+} = _{CC}$. The system atic errors due to the ducial volum e and the event selection criteria de nition have been evaluated by varying these cuts inside the resolutions of the corresponding variables. The major contributions to the

	m _c [G eV = c^2]		P	В
$m_{c} [G eV = c^{2}]$		0.02	0.004	0.001
	0.02		0.005	0.001
P	0.03	0.02		0.001
Event norm alization				0.003
Background scale	0.04	0.02	0.004	0.005
F it procedure	0.01	0.002	0.001	0.0005
E _{sh} scale (5%)	0.03	0.013	0.001	0.004
E_{sh} oset (2 G eV)	0.05	0.011	0.001	0.001
Radiative corrections	0.02	0.005	0.002	0.0005
V_{cd} , V_{cs} , nucleon pdf	0.02	0.015	0.001	0.002
Fiducial volum e	0.01	0.02	0.001	0.0012
Selection cuts	0.02	0.003	0.002	0.0011
Total	0.088	0.046	0.0084	0.0078

M a jor sources of system atic error uncertainties to the evaluated values of m $_{\rm c}$, and $_{\rm P}$ and B .

total system atic errors on the four tted param eters are shown in Table 3.

Including the system atic uncertainties, the result of this leading order analysis can be sum marized as follows:

m	_c = (1:26	0:16(stat)	0:09(syst))GeV=c	
	= 0:33	0 : 05(stat)	0:05(syst)	(14)
E	= 0 : 065	0 : 005(stat)	0:009(syst)	
В	= 0:096	0 : 004(stat)	0 : 008(syst)	

6 Discussion and conclusions

Table 3

The results of this analysis are in agreement with those reported by previous experiments [1,2,3,4,5], as shown in Table 4.

The value of B $\mathbf{j}_{cd}\mathbf{j}$ obtained using the results of this analysis can be combined directly with other leading order results [1,2,3] following the prescription given in Ref. [31]. An

average value of

$$(B \ \mathcal{J}_{cd} \ \mathcal{J}_{LO} = (0.474 \ 0.027) \ 10^{-2}$$
(15)

is obtained.

R esults of this analysis m ay also be compared with earlier analyses of events originating in the nuclear en ulsion target of the CHORUS experiment. G ood agreement is found with the result B = 0.085 0.010 obtained after applying the selection E > 30 G eV =c [10]. The use of that selection for the comparison is justified by the small contribution of events below 30 G eV in the present analysis, as visible in Fig. 3. The value of the Peterson fragmentation parameter $_{\rm P}$ = 0.059 0.013 reported in R ef. [8] for the same denition of z as used here (called $_{\rm P}^{\rm Q}$ in R ef. [8]) is in good agreement with the result found in the present analysis. In R ef. [9], the value m $_{\rm c}$ = (1:42 0:08) G eV =c² was reported, assuming = 0:38 and = 1. The dependence of m $_{\rm c}$ on and is given in R ef. [8]. For = 2, as used here, and xing to its tted value 0:33, the value of m $_{\rm c}$ becomes 1:30 0:08 G eV =c² in excellent agreement with the value found in the present analysis.

A s an additional result, the large sam ple of collected dim uon events allowed us to make the evaluation of the rate of charm induced opposite-sign dim uon events relative to the chargedcurrent events as a function of the incoming neutrino energy. An unfolding procedure has been used to take into account all detector elects. The correlation between dilerent energy bins has been evaluated using a M onte-C arlo simulation. The correction due to the missing energy of the outgoing neutrino in the charm decay has been calculated for each energy bin using the M onte-C arlo event sam ple. The result is shown in Fig. 5 where statistical and system atic errors have been added in quadrature. It agrees well with the world average dim uon rate shown in Fig.26 of R ef. [31].

In sum m ary, the analysis of the second largest sam ple of neutrino induced dim uon events has been perform ed using a leading order QCD form alism. The slow rescaling m echanism gives a good description of the CHORUS data. Results on the charm quark m ass m $_c$, the Table 4

Experiment	N_2 ()	N ₂ ()	m _c [G	eV =c ²]		В		P		
T his analysis	8910	430	1:26	0:18	0:33	0 : 07	0:096	0:008	0:065	0:010
CDHS [1]	9922	2123			0 : 47	0:09	0:084	0:014	[0:02	;0 : 14]
CCFR [2]	4503	632	13	0:2	0 : 44	0:09	0:109	0:010		
CHARM II[3]	3100	700	1:8	0:4	0:39	0:09	0:091	0:010	0:072	0:017
NOMAD [4]	2714	115	1:3	0:4	0 : 48	0 : 17	0:095	0:015	0:08	0:05
NuTeV [5]	2280	655	13	0:2	0:38	0:08	0:101	0:012		

C om pilation of latest results on neutrino induced opposite-sign dim uon events.



Fig. 5.0 pposite sign dim uon production relative cross section. The solid line represents the M on-te-C arlo prediction obtained using the values of Table 2.

content of strange quark in the nucleon $\,$, the Peterson fragm entation parameter $_{\rm P}$ and the branching fraction of charm ed hadrons into muon, B $\,$, agree with the data obtained in similar analyses and improve the overall knowledge on the neutrino induced charm production and charm decay mechanism s.

7 A cknow ledgm ents

W e gratefully acknow ledge the help and support of the neutrino beam sta and of the num erous technical collaborators who contributed to the detector construction and operation. The experiment was made possible by grants from the Institut Interuniversitaire des Sciences Nucleaires and the Interuniversitair Instituut voor K emwetenschappen (Belgium), the Israel Science Fundation (grant 328/94) and the Technion V ice President Fund for the Promotion of Research (Israel), CERN (Geneve, Switzerland), the Germ an Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung und Forschung (Germany), the Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (Moscow, Russia), the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (Italy), the Promotion and MutualA id Corporation for Private Schools of Japan and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Japan), the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2003-005-C00014) (Republic of Korea), the Foundation for Fundam ental Research Organization NWO (The Netherlands), and the Scienti c and Technical Research Council of Turkey (Turkey). We gratefully addrew ledge their support.

R eferences

- [1]H.Abram owicz et al., Z.Phys.C 15 (1982) 19.
- [2] S.A. Rabinow itz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 134;
 A.O. Bazarko et al., Z. Phys. C 65 (1995) 189.
- [3]P.Vilain et al., Eur. Phys. J.C 11 (1999) 19-34.
- [4] P. Astier et al., Phys. Lett. B 486 (2000) 35-48.
- [5]M.Goncharov et al., Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 112006.
- [6]G.Gerbier et al., Z. Phys. C 29 (1985) 15.
- [7]N.Ushida et al, Phys. Lett. B 121 (1983) 292.
- [8]G.Onengut et al, Phys. Lett. B 604 (2004) 145-156.
- [9]G.Onengut et al, Phys. Lett. B 613 (2005) 105-117.
- [10]A.Kayis-Topaksu et al., Phys. Lett. B 626 (2005) 24-34.
- [11]E.Eskut et al, Phys.Lett.B 497 (2001) 8-22.
- [12] E.Eskut et al., Nucl. Inst. and M ethods A 401 (1997) 7.
- [13] E.DiCapua et al., Nucl. Inst. and M ethods A 378 (1996) 221.
- [14] M G. van Beuzekom et al., Nucl. Inst. and Methods A 427 (1999) 587.
- [15]A.Kayis-Topaksu et al., Phys.Lett.B 596 (2004) 44-53.
- [16] H.Georgi and H.D.Politzer et al., Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 1829.
- [17] M A G. Aivazis et al, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3085; M A G. Aivazis et al, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3102.
- [18] R M . Barnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 1163.
- [19] D.Yu.Bardin and V.A.Dokuchaeva, Preprint JINR E2-86-260 (1986).
- [20]M.Gluck, E.Reya and A.Vogt, Z.Phys.C 67 (1995) 433-448.

- [21]C.Peterson et al, Phys.Rev.D 27 (1983) 105.
- [22]M.Gluck et al, Phys.Lett.B 398 (1997) 391.
- [23]M.Aguilar-Benitez et al., Phys. Lett. B 123 (1983) 98.
- [24] T. Sjostrand, Com put. Phys. Com m un. 82 (1994) 74.
- [25]GEANT 3.21, CERN program library long writeup W 5013.
- [26] H. Plothow -Besch, PDFLIB, CERN program library long writeup W 5051.
- [27] H L.Laietal, Phys.Rev.D 51 (1995) 4763.
- [28] S. Eidelm an et al., Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [29]G.Onengut et al, Phys.Lett.B 632 (2006) 65-75.
- [30] F.James, M INUIT Function M in in ization and Error Analysis, CERN program library entry D 506.
- [31] P.M igliozzi et al., Phys. Rep. 399 (2004) 227-320.