# The Master Space of N = 1 G auge Theories

Davide Forcella<sup>1</sup>, Am ihay Hanany<sup>2y</sup>, Yang-HuiHe<sup>3z</sup>, Alberto Za aroni<sup>4x</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Collegium M ertonense in Academ ia O xoniensis, O xford, O X 1 4JD, U K. M athem atical Institute, O xford U niversity, 24-29 St. G iles', O xford, O X 1 3LB, U K. R udolf Peierls C entre for Theoretical Physics, O xford U niversity, 1 K eble Road, O X 1 3N P, U K.

<sup>4</sup> Universita di Milano-Bicocca and INFN, sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza, 3; I-20126 Milano, Italy

#### A bstract

The full moduli space M of a class of N = 1 supersymmetric gauge theories is studied. For gauge theories living on a stack of D 3-branes at C alabi-Y au singularities X, M is a combination of the mesonic and baryonic branches. In consonance with the mathematical literature, the single brane moduli space is called the master space  $F^{[}$ . Illustrating with a host of explicit examples, we exhibit many algebro-geometric properties of the master space such as when  $F^{[}$  is toric C alabi-Y au, behaviour of its H ilbert series, its irreducible components and its symmetries. In conjunction with the plethystic program me, we investigate the counting of BPS gauge invariants, baryonic and mesonic, using the geometry of  $F^{[}$  and show how its rened H ilbert series not only engenders the generating functions for the counting but also beautifully encode hidden" global symmetries of the gauge theory which manifest them selves as symmetries of the complete moduli space M for N number of branes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA / ISAS) & INFN-Sezione di Trieste, via Beirut 2, I-34014, Trieste, Italy PH-TH Division, CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D epartm ent of P hysics T echnion, Israel Institute of T echnology H aifa 32000, Israel T heoretical P hysics G roup, B lackett Laboratory, Im perial C ollege, London SW 7 2A Z, U.K.

forcella@sissa.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>a.hanany@imperial.ac.uk, hanany@physics.technion.ac.il

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>hey@maths.ox.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup>alberto.zaffaroni@mib.infn.it

# C ontents

1	Intr	Itroduction										
2	The	e M ast	er Space	7								
	2.1	Warm-up: An Etude in F <sup>[</sup>										
		2.1.1	Direct Computation	9								
		2.1.2	Hilbert Series	10								
		2.1.3	Irreducible C om ponents and P rim ary D ecom position $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	11								
		2.1.4	Toric Presentation: Binomial Ideals and Toric Ideals	14								
		2.1.5	C om puting the R e ned H ilbert Series	17								
		2.1.6	The Symplectic Quotient Description	18								
		2.1.7	D in er M odels and Perfect M atchings	21								
	2.2	Cases	Studies	25								
		2.2.1	The Suspended Pinched Point	26								
		2.2.2	Cone over Zeroth Hirzebruch Surface	28								
		223	C one over F irst del Pezzo Surface: $dP_1$	32								
		2.2.4	C one over Second del Pezzo Surface: $dP_2$	34								
		2.2.5	C one over T hird del Pezzo Surface: $dP_3$	36								
		2.2.6	C one over Fourth P seudo del Pezzo Surface: P dP $_4$	38								
		2.2.7	C one over F ifth P seudo del Pezzo Surface: P dP $_5$	40								
	2.3	TheM	aster Space: Recapitulation	42								
		2.3.1	The Toric N = 1 Case	43								
		232	GeneralN	46								
		233	The Plethystic Programme Reviewed	47								

3	Line	ear Branches of Moduli Spaces	49
	3.1	The ( $C^2=Z_2$ ) C Singularity	50
	3.2	Case Studies Re-examined	51
		3.2.1 Multiple Flows	53
4	H id	den G lobal Sym m etries	55
	4.1	Character Expansion: A W arm -up with C <sup>3</sup> $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	56
	4.2	Conifold Revisited	58
		4.2.1 Hidden Symmetries for Higher Plethystics	60
	4.3	$F_0$ R evisited	62
	4.4	$dP_0$ R evisited	64
		4.4.1 Higher Number of Branes	66
	4.5	$dP_1 R$ evisited	68
	4.6	$dP_2$ R evisited	69
	4.7	$dP_3 R$ evisited	70
	4.8	A Prediction for True $dP_4$	72
5	C or	iclusions and Prospectus	74
A	H ilk	pert Series of Second K ind and the R eeb Vector	78
В	Re	ned H ilbert Series: M acaulay2 Im plem entation	79
С	Re	ned Hilbert Series using Molien Formula	80

### 1 Introduction

The vacuum moduli space, M , of supersymmetric gauge theories is one of the most fundamental quantities in modern physics. It is given by the vanishing of the scalar potential as a function of the scalar components of the super elds of the eld theory. This, in turn, is the set of zeros, dubbed atness, of so-called D -term s and F -term s, constituting a parameter, or moduli, space of solutions describing the vacuum. The structure of this space is usually complicated, and should be best cast in the language of algebraic varieties. Typically, M consists of a union of various branches, such as the mesonic branch or the baryonic branch, the C oulom b branch or the Higgs branch; the names are chosen according to some characteristic property of the speci c branch.

It is a standard fact now that M can be phrased in a succinct m athem atical language: it is the sym plectic quotient of the space of F – atness, by the gauge sym m etries provided by the D – atness. W e will denote the space of F – atness by F<sup>[]</sup> and sym m etries prescribed by D – atness as G<sub>D</sub>, then we have

$$M \quad ' \quad F \stackrel{l}{=} G_{D^{l}} : \tag{1.1}$$

U sing this language, we see that F [ is a parent space whose quotient is a moduli space. In the mathematical literature, this parent is referred to as the master space [1] and to this cognom en we shall adhere<sup>1</sup>.

In the context of certain string theory backgrounds, M has an elegant geom etrical realisation. W hen D-branes are transverse to an a ne (non-com pact) Calabi-Yau space X, a supersymmetric gauge theory exists on the world-volume of the branes. Of particular interest is, of course, when the gauge theory, prescribed by D 3-branes, is in four-dimensions. O urmain interest is the IR physics of this system, where all A belian symmetry decouples and the gauge symmetry is fully non-A belian, typically given by products of SU (N) groups. The A belian factors are not gauged but rather appear as global baryonic symmetries of the gauge theory.

Under these circum stances, the moduli space M is a combined mesonic branch and baryonic branch. These branches are not necessarily separate (irreducible) components of M but are instead in most cases intrinsically merged into one or more components in M. Even when mesonic and baryonic directions are mixed, it still makes sense to talk about the

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>W$  e thank A lastair K ing to pointing this out to us.

m ore fam iliar mesonic moduli space  $m \in M$ , as the sub-variety of M parameterized by mesonic operators only. Since mesonic operators have zero baryonic charge, and thus invariant under the U (1) A belian factors, the mesonic moduli space can be obtained as a further quotient of M by the A belian symmetries:

W e are interested in the theory of physical N branes probing the singularity; the gauge theory on the worldvolum e is then superconform al.

It is of particular interest to consider the case of a single D 3-brane transverse to the C alabi-Y au three-fold X, which will enlighten the geom etrical interpretation of the m oduli space. Since the m otion of the D-brane is param eterized by this transverse space, part of the vacuum m oduli space M is, per constructionem, precisely the space which the brane probes. The question of which part of the m oduli space is going to be clari ed in detail in this paper. For now it is enough to specify that for a single D-brane it is the m esonic branch:

M  $^{m} \stackrel{\text{es}}{\longrightarrow}$  'X ' non-com pact Calabi-Yau threefold transverse to D 3-brane. (1.3)

In this paper we are interested in studying the full moduli space M . In general, M will be an algebraic variety of dimension greater than three. In the case of a single D 3-brane, N = 1, the IR theory has no gauge group and the full moduli space M is given by the space of F- atness F<sup>[]</sup>. Geometrically, F<sup>[]</sup> is a C<sup>dim F<sup>[]</sup> 3</sup> bration over the mesonic moduli space X given by relaxing the U (1) D-term constraints in (1.2). Physically, F<sup>[]</sup> is obtained by adding baryonic directions to the mesonic moduli space. Of course, we can not talk about baryons for N = 1 but we can alternatively interpret these directions as Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameters in the stringy realization of the N = 1 gauge theory. Indeed on the world-volume of a single D-brane there is a collection of U(1) gauge groups, each giving rise to a FI parameter, which relax the D-term constraint in (1.2). When these FI parameters acquire vacuum expectation values they induce non-zero values for the collection of elds in the problem and this is going to be taken to be the full moduli space M = F<sup>[]</sup>. If one further restricts the moduli space to zero baryonic number we get the mesonic branch which is X, the Calabi-Yau itself.

For N > 1 num ber of physical branes, the situation is more subtle. The mesonic moduli space, probed by a collection of N physical branes, is given by the symmetrized product of

N copies of X<sup>2</sup>. The full moduli space M is a bigger algebraic variety of more di cult characterization. One of the purposes of this paper is to elucidate this situation and to show how the properties of M for arbitrary number of branes are encoded in the master space F<sup>[</sup> for a single brane. In view of the importance of the master space F<sup>[</sup> for one brane even for larger N, we will adopt the important convention that, in the rest of the paper, the word master space and the symbol F<sup>[</sup> will refer to the N = 1 case, unless explicitly stated.

The sym plectic quotient structure of (1.1) should immediately suggest toric varieties in the N = 1 case. Indeed, the case of X being a toric C alabi-Y au space has been studied extensively over the last decade. The translation between the physics data of the D-brane world volum e gauge theory and the mathematical data of the geometry of the toric X was initiated in [3, 4, 5]. In the computational language of [5], the process of arriving at the toric diagram from the quiver diagram plus superpotential was called the forward algorithm, whereas the geometrical computation of the gauge theory data given the toric diagram was called the inverse algorithm. The computational intensity of these algorithms, bottle-necked by noting dual integer cones, has been a technical hurdle.

Only lately it is realized that the correct way to think about toric geometry in the context of gauge theories is through the language of dimermodels and brane tilings [6,7]. Though the eciency of this new perspective has far superseded the traditional approach of the partial resolutions of the inverse algorithm, the canonical toric language of the latter is still conducive to us, particularly in studying objects beyond X, and in particular, F<sup>[</sup>. We will thus make extensive use of this language as well as the modern one of dimers.

Recently, a so-called plethystic program m e [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] has been advocated in counting the gauge invariant operators of supersymmetric gauge theories, especially in the above-mentioned D-brane quiver theories. For mesonic BPS operators, the fundamental generating function turns out to be the H ilbert series of X [9]. The beautiful fact is that the full counting [11], including baryons as well, is achieved with the H ilbert series of F<sup>[</sup> for one brane! Indeed, mesons have gauge-index contractions corresponding to closed paths in the quiver diagram and the quotienting by  $G_{D^{[]}}$  achieves this. Baryons, on the other hand, have more general index-contractions and correspond to all paths in the quiver; whence their counting should not involve the quotienting and the master space should determ ine their counting.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Cf. [2] for a consistency analysis of this identication.

In light of the discussions thus far presented, it is clear that the master space F  $^{[}$  of gauge theories, especially those arising from toric C alabi-Y au threefolds, is of unquestionable in portance. It is therefore the purpose of this paper to investigate their properties in detail. W e exhibit many algebro-geom etric properties of them aster space F  $^{[}$  for one brane, including its decomposition into irreducible components, its symmetry and the remarkable property of the biggest component of being always toric C alabi-Y au if X is. W e point out that even though we mainly concentrate on the master space F  $^{[}$  for one brane, we are able, using the operator counting technique, to extract in portant inform ation about the complete moduli space M , inform ation such as its symmetries for arbitrary number of branes.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In x2 we introduce the concept of them aster space in detail, starting with various com putational approaches, emphasizing on the H ilbert series and toric presentation, and then launching into a wealth of illustrative exam ples. We recapitulate at the end of the section on the key abstract properties of the master space while reviewing the plethystic program me which counts gauge invariants given the H ilbert series. We then, in x3, discuss how the master space, and indeed, the moduli space of supersymmetric theories, are generically reducible and have various branches which we will obtain by primary decomposition. We shall see how certain lower dimensional components of one theory causes it to ow to another. A nother remarkable feature of gauge theories arising from underlying geometry such as those living on world-volum es of D -brane probes at C alabi-Y au singularities is that the symmetries of the master space can manifest them selves as hidden global symmetries of the gauge theory. In x4 we exam ine how such symmetries beautifully exhibit them selves in the plethystics of the H ilbert series of the master space by explicitly arranging them selves into representations of the associated Lie algebra. Finally, we part with concluding remarks and outboks in x5.

Due to the length of this paper, we nd it expedient to supplement it with a companion essay [14]. The reader who wishes for a quick tour of the high-lights is referred thereto.

## 2 The Master Space

It was realized in [4] that for a single D3-brane probing a toric Calabi-Yau threefold X, the space of solutions to the F-term s (or, in the notation of Section 1.2 in Cit. Ibid., the commuting variety Z) is also a toric variety, albeit of higher dimension. In particular, for

a quiver theory with g nodes, it is of dimension g + 2. Thus we have the stim portant property for the master space  $F^{[}$  for a toric U  $(1)^{g}$  quiver theory:

dim (
$$F^{[]}$$
) = g + 2 : (2.1)

This can be seen as follows. The F-term equations are invariant under a (C)<sup>g+2</sup> action, given by the three mesonic symmetries of the toric quiver, one R and two avor symmetries, as well as the g 1 baryonic symmetries, including the anomalous ones. This induces an action of (C)<sup>g+2</sup> on the master space. Moreover, the dimension of F<sup>[1]</sup> is exactly g + 2 as the following eld theory argument shows. We know that the mesonic moduli space has dimension three, being isomorphic to the transverse Calabi-Yau manifold X. As described in the introduction, the mesonic moduli space is obtained as the solution of both F-term and D-term constraints for the U(1)<sup>g</sup> quiver theory. The full master space is obtained by relaxing the U(1) D-term constraints. Since an overall U(1) factor is decoupled, we have g 1 independent baryonic parameters corresponding to the values of the U(1) D-term s, which, by abuse of language, we can refer to as FI term s. As a result, the dimension of the master space is g + 2, given by three mesonic parameters plus g 1 FI term s.

A second property of the M aster Space is its reducibility. W ewill see in several examples below that it decomposes into several irreducible components, the largest of which turns out to be of the samed in ension as them aster space, and more importantly the largest component is a toric Calabi-Yau manifold in g + 2 complex dimensions which is furthermore a cone over a Sasaki E instein manifold of real dimension 2g + 3. Examples follow below, as well as a proof that it is Calabi-Yau in section x2.3.

Having learned the dimension of the master space, let us now see a few warm-up examples of what the space looks like. Let us begin with an illustrative example of perhaps the most common a netoric variety, viz., the Abelian orbifold.

#### 2.1 Warm-up: An Etude in F<sup>[</sup>

The orbifold  $C^3=Z_k$   $Z_m$  is well-studied. It is an a netoric singularity whose toric diagram s are lattice points enclosed in a right-triangle of lengths k m (cf. e.g., [15]). The matter content and superpotential for these theories can be readily obtained from brane-box constructions [16]. W e sum m arize as follow s:

G auge G roup Factors:	mn;									
Fields:	bi-fundam entalsfX $_{i,j}$ ; $Y_{i,j}$ ; $Z_{i,j}$ g from node i to node j									
	(i;j) de ned modulo $(k;m)$ ; total = $3mn;$	(2.2)								
Superpotential:	$W = \sum_{i=0}^{k_{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{1} X_{i,j} Y_{i+1,j} Z_{i+1,j+1} + Y_{ij} X_{i,j+1} Z_{i+1,j+1} :$									

W e point out here the important fact that in the notation above, when either of the factors (k;m) is equal to 1, the resulting theory is really an N = 2 gauge theory since the action of  $Z_k = Z_m$  on the C<sup>3</sup> is chosen so that it degenerates to have a line of singularities when either k or m equals 1. In other words, if m = 1 in (2.2), we would have a (C<sup>2</sup>=Z<sub>k</sub>) C orbifold rather than a proper C<sup>3</sup>=Z<sub>k</sub> one (in the language of [19], this proper action would be called \transitive"). We shall henceforth be careful to distinguish these two types of orbifolds with this notation.

#### 2.1.1 Direct C om putation

G iven the matter content and superpotential of an N = 1 gauge theory, a convenient and algorithm ic method of computation, emphasising (1.1), is that of [20]. We can immediately compute F<sup>[</sup> as an a nealgebraic variety: it is an ideal in C<sup>3mn</sup> given by the 3mn equations prescribed by @W = 0. The dening equation is also readily obtained: it is simply the image of the ring map @W from C<sup>3mn</sup>! C<sup>3mn</sup>, i.e., the syzygies of the 3mn polynomials given by @W. To specify F<sup>[</sup> explicitly as an a nealgebraic variety, let us use the notation that

(d;  $\dot{p}$ ) = a ne variety of dimension d and degree embedded in  $C^{p}$ : (2.3)

Subsequently, we present what F<sup>[</sup> actually is as an algebraic variety for som e low values of (m;k) in Table 1. We rem ind the reader that, of course, quotienting these above spaces by D<sup>[</sup>, which in the algorithm of [20] is also achieved by a ring map, should all give our starting point of X =  $C^3 = Z_k$  Z<sub>m</sub>.

As pointed out above, the lim it of either k or m going to 1 in the theory prescribed in (2.2) is really just ( $C^2=Z_k$ ) C with the X – eds, say, acting as adjoints. The rst row and column of (1) should thus be interpreted carefully since they are secretly N = 2 theories with adjoints. We shall study proper  $C^3=Z_k$  theories later.

m nk	1	2	3	4	5
1	(3 <b>;</b> 1 <sub>3</sub> )	(4 <b>;</b> 2j6)	(5 <b>;</b> 4⊅)	(6 <b>;</b> 8j12)	(7 <b>;</b> 16j15)
2	(4 <b>;</b> 2j6)	(6 <b>;</b> 14j12)	(8 <b>;</b> 92j18)	(10 <b>;</b> 584 <u>;</u> 24)	(12 <b>;</b> 3632 <b>;</b> 30)
3	(5 <b>;</b> 4⊅)	(8;92j18)	(11 <b>;</b> 1620 <u>-</u> 27)	(14 <b>;</b> 26762 <u>;</u> 36)	(17 <b>;</b> 437038 <u>;</u> 45)

Table 1: The master space F  $[for C^3=Z_k Z_m]$  as explicit algebraic varieties, for some by values of k and m.

#### 2.1.2 H ilbert Series

O ne of the most important quantities which characterize an algebraic variety is the H ilbert series<sup>3</sup>. In [9], it was pointed out that it is also key to the problem of counting gauge invariant operators in the quiver gauge theory. Let us thus calculate this quantity for F<sup>[</sup>.

We recall that for a variety M in C  $[x_1; :::; x_k]$ , the Hilbert series is the generating function for the dimension of the graded pieces:

H (t;M) = 
$$\lim_{i=1}^{X^{i}} \dim_{C} M_{i}t^{i}$$
; (2.4)

where  $M_i$ , the i-th graded piece of M can be thought of as the num ber of independent degree i (Laurent) polynom ials on the variety M. The most useful property of H (t) is that it is a rational function in t and can be written in 2 ways:

$$H(t;M) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{Q(t)}{(1 t)^{k}}; & \text{Hilbert series of First K ind ;} \\ \frac{P(t)}{(1 t)^{d \text{ im } (M)}}; & \text{Hilbert series of Second K ind :} \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

In portantly, both P (t) and Q (t) are polynom ials with integer coe cients. The powers of the denom inators are such that the leading pole captures the dimension of the manifold and the embedding space, respectively. In particular, P (1) always equals the degree of the variety. W e can also relate the H ilbert series to the R eeb vector, which elucidate in A ppendix A.

For now, let us present in Table 2 the H ilbert series, in Second form, of some of the examples above in Table 1. We see that indeed the leading pole is the dimension of F<sup>[</sup> and that the numerator evaluated at 1 (i.e., the coe cient of the leading pole) is equal to the degree of F<sup>[</sup>. Furtherm ore, we point out that the H ilbert series thus far de ned depends on a single variable t, we shall shortly discuss in x2.1.5 and also from Appendix A how to re ne this to multi-variate and how these variables should be thought of as chem ical potentials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>N ote, how ever, that the H ilbert series is not a topological invariant and does depend on embedding.

(k <b>;</b> m )	F [	Hilbert Series H (t;F <sup>[</sup> )
(2 <b>;</b> 2)	(6 <b>;</b> 14j12)	$\frac{1+6t+9t^2}{(1 t)^6} \frac{5t^3+3t^4}{}$
(2 <b>;</b> 3)	(8 <b>;</b> 92j18)	$\frac{1+10t+37t^2+47t^3}{(1 t)^8}$
(2 <b>;</b> 4)	(10 <b>;</b> 584 <u>;</u> 24)	$\frac{1+14t+81t^2+233t^3+263t^4}{(1 t)^{10}} \frac{84t^5+4t^6+71t^7}{7t^8+8t^9}$
(3 <b>;</b> 3)	(11 <b>;</b> 1620 <del>;</del> 27)	$\frac{1+16 t+109 t^2+394 t^3+715 t^4+286 t^5}{(1 t^{11})} \frac{104 t^6+253 t^7}{77 t^8+27 t^9}$

Table 2: The Hilbert series, in second form, of the master space  $F^{[for C^3=Z_k Z_m]}$ , for some low values of k and m.

#### 2.1.3 Irreducible C om ponents and P rim ary D ecom position

The variety F<sup>[</sup> m ay not be a single irreducible piece, but rather, be composed of various components. This is a well recognized feature in supersymmetric gauge theories. The different components are typically called branches of the moduli space, such as Coulomb or Higgs branches, mesonic or baryonic branches. Possibly the most famous case is the Seiberg-W itten solution to N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories which deals mainly with the Coulomb branch but gives some attention to the other components on the moduli space which are generically called the Higgs branch.

It is thus an interesting question to identify the di erent components since sometimes the massless spectrum on each component has its own unique features. We are naturally lead to a process to extract the various components which in the math literature is called primary decomposition of the ideal corresponding to F<sup>[.</sup> This is an extensively studied algorithm in computational algebraic geometry (cf. e.g. [21]) and a convenient programme which calls these routines externally but based on the more familiar M athematica interface is [22].

Example of  $C^2=Z_3$ : Let us rst exemplify with the case of  $(C^2=Z_3)$  C (i.e., (k;m) = (1;3)). This case, having N = 2 supersymmetry, is known to have a Coulomb branch and a Higgs branch which is a combined mesonic and baryonic branch. The superpotential is

$$W_{(C^{2}=Z_{3})} = X_{0,0} Y_{0,0} Z_{0,1} Y_{0,0} X_{0,1} Z_{0,1} + X_{0,1} Y_{0,1} Z_{0,2} Y_{0,1} X_{0,2} Z_{0,2} + X_{0,2} Y_{0,2} Z_{0,0} Y_{0,2} X_{0,0} Z_{0,0};$$

$$(2.6)$$

composed of a total of 9 elds, where the X elds are adjoint elds in the N = 2 vector multiplet of the corresponding gauge group. Here, since we are dealing with a single D-brane,



Figure 1: The quiver diagram and superpotential for  $dP_0$ .

these elds have charge 0. Hence,  $F^{[}$  is de ned, as an ideal in  $C^{9}$ , by 9 quadrics:

$$F_{(C^{2}=Z_{3})C} = f Y_{0,2} Z_{0,0} + Y_{0,0} Z_{0,1} ; Y_{0,0} Z_{0,1} + Y_{0,1} Z_{0,2} ; Y_{0,2} Z_{0,0} Y_{0,1} Z_{0,2} ; (X_{0,0} X_{0,1}) Z_{0,1} ; (X_{0,1} X_{0,2}) Z_{0,2} ; (X_{0,0} + X_{0,2}) Z_{0,0} ; (X_{0,0} + X_{0,2}) Y_{0,2} ; (X_{0,0} X_{0,1}) Y_{0,0} ; (X_{0,1} X_{0,2}) Y_{0,1}g :$$
(2.7)

In mediately one can see that on one branch, the so-called Higgs branch, which we shall denote as  $F_1^{[}$ , the adjoint elds X do not participate. Thus it is dened by the rst 3 equations in (2.7): 3 quadrics in 6 variables. Furthermore, one can see that one of the quadrics is not independent. Therefore  $F_1^{[}$  is a complete intersection of 2 quadratics in C<sup>6</sup>, of dimension 4. To this we must form a direct product with the Coulomb branch which is parametrized by the X-directions, which turns out to be one dimensional  $X_{0,0} = X_{0,1} = X_{0,2}$  (in order to satisfy the remaining 6 equations from (2.7) such that the Y's and Z's are non-zero). Hence, F<sup>[</sup> is 5-dimensional (as we expect from (2.1) since there are g = 3 nodes), of degree 4, and composed of 2 quadrics in C<sup>6</sup> crossed with C.

Now, this example may essentially be observed with ease, more involved examples requires an algorithm ic approach, as we shall see in many cases which ensue. The decoupling of the X 's is indicative of the fact that we have an non-transitive action and this is indeed just an orbifold of  $C^2$ .

Example of  $dP_0 = C^3=Z_3$ : Let us next study a proper orbifold  $C^3=Z_3$  with a non-trivial action, say (1;1;1), on the  $C^3$ . This is also referred to in the literature as  $dP_0$ , the cone over the zeroth del Pezzo surface. In other words, this is the total space of the line bundle  $O_{P^2}(3)$  over  $P^2$ . Here, there are 9 elds and the theory is summarized in Figure 1. Now,

the F-term s are

$$F_{C^{3}=Z_{3}}^{I} = f X_{2\beta}^{3} X_{3\mu}^{2} + X_{2\beta}^{2} X_{3\mu}^{3}; X_{2\beta}^{3} X_{3\mu}^{1} - X_{2\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{3}; X_{2\mu}^{2} X_{3\mu}^{1} + X_{2\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{3\mu}^{1} + X_{2\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{3\mu}^{1} + X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{3}; X_{1\mu}^{2} X_{3\mu}^{1} + X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{1\mu}^{1} - X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{1\mu}^{1} - X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{3\mu}^{2}; X_{1\mu}^{1} - X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{2\mu}^{2}; X_{1\mu}^{1} - X_{1\mu}^{1} X_{2\mu}^{1}; X_{1\mu}^{1} - X_{1\mu}^{1}; X_{\mu}^{1}; X_{\mu}^{1} - X_{\mu}^{1}; X_{\mu}^$$

and a direct prim ary decomposition shows that  $F^{[}$  is itself irreducible and it is given, using the notation in (2.3), as

$$F_{C^{3}=Z_{3}}^{[}$$
 (5;6 $\mathcal{P}$ ); (2.9)

a non-com plete-intersection of 9 quadrics as given in (2.8), embedded in C<sup>9</sup>. We see that the dimension is 5 since there are 3 nodes. The Hilbert series (cf. [12] and a re-derivation below) is

H (t; 
$$F_{C^{3}=Z_{3}}^{[}$$
) =  $\frac{1+4t+t^{2}}{(1-t)^{5}}$ : (2.10)

Example of  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ : Finally, take the case of (k;m) = (2;2), or the Abelian orbifold  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ , studied in detail by [4,5]. The reader is referred to Figure 4 which we present in the next section. Here, there are  $2^2 = 4$  nodes and we expect the dimension of the master space to be 6. Again, we can obtain F<sup>[</sup> from (2.2) and perform primary decomposition on it. We see, using [21], that there are 4 irreducible components, three of which are merely coordinate planes and trivial. A long these directions the gauge theory admits an accidental supersymmetry enhancement to N = 2 and each direction can be viewed as a Coulom b branch of the corresponding N = 2 supersymmetry.

The non-trivial piece of  $F_{C^3=Z_2-Z_2}^{[}$  is a H iggs branch and is an irreducible variety which we shall call  $\inf F_{C^3=Z_2-Z_2}^{[}$ ; it is also of dimension 6. Moreover, it is of degree 14, and is prescribed by the intersection of 15 quadrics in 12 variables. The H ilbert series for  $\inf F_{C^3=Z_2-Z_2}^{[}$  is given by

H (t; 
$$\operatorname{Im}_{C^3=Z_2}^{[} Z_2] = \frac{1+6t+6t^2+t^3}{(1-t)^6}$$
: (2.11)

Sum m ary: We have therefore learned, from our few warm-up exercises, that one can com pute F [ directly, its H ilbert series, dim ension, degree, etc., using the m ethods of com putational algebraic geom etry, using, in particular, com puter packages such as [21]. In general, the m aster space F [ need not be irreducible. W e w ill see this in detail in the ensuing sections. The sm aller com ponents are typically referred to as C ou lom b B ranches of the modulispace.

The largest irreducible component of the master space  $F^{[}$  will play a special rôle in our analysis and deserves a special notation. We will denote it <sup>In</sup> $F^{[}$ . In the toric case, it is also known as the coherent component of the master space. In all our examples, this component actually has the same dimension as the full master space and, as we will see in detail in x2.3, is in fact Calabi-Yau. Let us redo Table 2, now for the coherent component; we present the result in Table 3.

(k <b>;</b> m)	Irr <sub>F</sub> (	Hilbert Series H (t; <sup>Im</sup> F <sup>[</sup> )
(2 <b>;</b> 2)	(6 <b>;</b> 14j12)	$\frac{1+6t+6t^2+t^3}{(1 t)^6}$
(2 <b>;</b> 3)	(8 <b>;</b> 92j18)	$\frac{1+10 t+35 t^2+35 t^3+10 t^4+t^5}{(1 t)^8}$
(2;4)	(10 <b>;</b> 584 <u>-</u> 24)	$\frac{1+14 t+78 t^2+199 t^3+199 t^4+78 t^5+14 t^6+t^7}{(1 t)^{10}}$
(3 <b>;</b> 3)	(11 <b>;</b> 1620 <del>;</del> 27)	$\frac{1+16 t+109 t^2+382 t^3+604 t^4+382 t^5+109 t^6+16 t^7+t^8}{(1 t)^{11}}$

Table 3: The Hilbert series, in second form, of the coherent component of the master space  $F^{[}$  for  $C^3=Z_k$ ,  $Z_m$ , for some by values of k and m. The reader is referred to Table 2 for contrast.

We note that the degree and dimension of  $^{In}F^{[}$  is the same as that of  $F^{[}$ , again suggesting that the smaller dimensional components are merely linear pieces. Nevertheless the linear pieces play a crucial rôle in the analysis of the physics of these theories since there is a rich structure of mixed H iggs and C oulom b branches; we will see this in x3. M oreover, we observe that the numerator now becomes symmetric (palindromic), a remarkable fact that will persist throughout our remaining examples; we will show why in x2.3.

#### 2.1.4 Toric Presentation: B inom ial Ideals and Toric Ideals

We have so far seen the application of computational algebraic geometry in studying the master space as an explicit algebraic variety. This analysis has not fully exploited the fact that  $F^{[}$  is in fact a toric variety; that is, we have been simplifying and primary decomposing the ideal corresponding to  $F^{[}$  without utilising its inherent combinatorial, toric nature. Now, given an ideal I of a polynom ial ring, when each generator of I, i.e., each polynom ial equation, is written in the form m onom ial = m onom ial," then I is known as a toric ideal and the variety thus de ned will be toric [23]. The F-term s arising from the partials of the superpotential in (2.2) clearly obey this condition and this is true for all toric C alabi-Y au

 $\operatorname{spaces} X$  .

A single matrix can in fact encode all the information about the ideal of  $F^{[}$ , called the K-matrix in [4, 5]. For orbifolds of the form  $C^3=Z_n$  with action (a;b; 1) the K-matrix were constructed in Eqs 4.1-3 of [3] and that of  $C^3=Z_3$  Z<sub>3</sub>, in [4, 5] (see also [17, 18]). In general, the procedure is straight-forward: solve the F-term s explicitly so that each eld can be written as a fraction of monom ials in term s of a smaller independent set. Then, translate these monom ials as a matrix of exponents; this is the K-matrix.

We have seen from above that the master space  $F^{[}$  and its coherent component  ${}^{In}F^{[}$  of a toric U  $(1)^{g}$  quiver gauge theory is a variety of dimension g + 2. The F-terms provide E equations for the E elds in the quiver. Not all of them are algebraically independent, since the F-terms are invariant under the (C)<sup>g+2</sup> toric action. It follows that the E elds can be parameterized in terms of g + 2 independent elds. K is therefore a matrix of dimensions g + 2 by E.

 $C^{3}=Z_{3}$  R evisited: For the  $C^{3}=Z_{3}$  example above let us illustrate the procedure. Solving (2.8), we have that

$$X_{1,2}^{1} = \frac{X_{1,2}^{3} X_{3,1}^{1}}{X_{3,1}^{3}}; X_{1,2}^{2} = \frac{X_{1,2}^{3} X_{3,1}^{2}}{X_{3,1}^{3}}; X_{2,3}^{1} = \frac{X_{2,3}^{3} X_{3,1}^{1}}{X_{3,1}^{3}}; X_{2,3}^{2} = \frac{X_{2,3}^{3} X_{3,1}^{2}}{X_{3,1}^{3}}:$$
(2.12)

We see that there are 5 elds  $fX_{1,2}^{3}$ ;  $X_{2,3}^{3}$ ;  $X_{3,1}^{1}$ ;  $X_{3,1}^{2}$ ;  $X_{3,1}^{3}$ , g which parameterize all 9 elds, signifying that  $F^{[}$  is 5-dimensional, as stated above. Whence we can plot the 9 elds in terms of the 5 independent ones as:

	X <sup>1</sup> <sub>1;2</sub>	X <sup>2</sup> <sub>1;2</sub>	X <sup>3</sup> <sub>1;2</sub>	X <sup>1</sup> <sub>2,3</sub>	X <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	X <sup>3</sup> <sub>2;3</sub>	X <sup>1</sup> <sub>3;1</sub>	X <sup>2</sup> 3;1	X <sup>3</sup> 3;1
X <sup>3</sup> <sub>1;2</sub>	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
X <sup>3</sup> 2;3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
X <sup>1</sup> <sub>3;1</sub>	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
X <sup>2</sup> 3;1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
X <sup>3</sup> 3;1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1

where we read each column as the exponent of the 5 solution elds. This is the K-matrix, and captures the toric information entirely. In particular, the number of rows g + 2 of the K-matrix is the dimension of F<sup>[</sup> and the columns of K are the charge vectors of the toric action of (C)<sup>g+2</sup> on F<sup>[</sup>.

The K-m atrix gives a nice toric presentation for the coherent component <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> of the master space. It de nes an integer cone  $_{\overline{K}}$  in  $Z^{g+2}$  prescribed by the non-negative integer span of the columns of K. Then, in the language of [15], <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> as an algebraic (toric) variety of dimension g + 2, is given by

$$\operatorname{Im}_{\mathbf{F}} [ \mathsf{'} \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathbf{C}} [ _{\overline{\mathbf{K}}} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{g}+2} ] :$$
(2.14)

Now, the toric diagram of the variety is not, custom arily, given by -, but, rather, by the dual cone . Let us denote the generators of as the matrix T, then, using the algorithm in [15], we can readily nd that

$$T = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

T is a matrix of dimensions g + 2 by c, where the number of its columns, c, is a special combinatorial number which is specic to the particular toric phase [5]. We recall that the dual cone consists of all lattice points which have non-negative inner product with all lattice points in the original cone. In terms of our dual matrices,

$$P \coloneqq K^{t} T 0 : \qquad (2.16)$$

The columns of T, plotted in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$ , is then the toric diagram, and the number of vectors needed to characterize the toric diagram in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$  is c which for our particular case is equal to 6. The P matrix takes the form 01

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.17)

In fact, one can say much more about the product matrix P, of dimensions E by c: it consists of only zeros and ones. In [6], it was shown that this matrix, which translates between the linear sigm a model elds and space-times elds, also encodes perfect matchings of the dimer model description of the toric gauge theory. This provides a more e cient construction of the master space. We will return to a description of this in x2.1.7.  $C^{3}=Z_{2}$   $Z_{2}$  R evisited: Next, let us construct the K -m atrix for our  $C^{3}=Z_{2}$   $Z_{2}$  example. We recall that the master space and its coherent component are of dimension 6. Using the superpotential (2.2) to obtain the 12 F-terms, we can again readily solve the system and obtain 0 1

giving us the toric diagram with 9 vectors in 6-dimensions as

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.19)

#### 2.1.5 Computing the Re ned Hilbert Series

Let us now study the H ilbert series in the language of the K -m atrix. W ementioned in x2.1.2 that the H ilbert series should be re-ned. This is easily done and is central to the counting of gauge invariants in the plethystic program me. Recall that the master space F<sup>[</sup> and its coherent component <sup>Im</sup>F<sup>[</sup> are given by a set of algebraic equations in C [X<sub>1</sub>; ...;X<sub>E</sub>], where E is the number of elds in the quiver. Since we are dealing with a toric variety of dimension g + 2 we have an action of (C )<sup>g+2</sup> on F<sup>[</sup> and <sup>Im</sup>F<sup>[</sup> and we can give g + 2 dimension to the variables X<sub>i</sub>.

W hat should these weights be? Now, all inform ation about the toric action is encoded in the matrix K. Therefore, a natural weight is to simply use the columns of K! There are E columns, each being a vector of charges of length g + 2, as needed, and we can assign the i-th column to the variable X<sub>i</sub> for  $i = 1; \dots; E$ . Since each weight is a vector of length g + 2, we need a g + 2-tuple for the counting which we can denote by  $\underline{t} = \underline{t}_1; \dots; \underline{t}_{g+2}$ . Because the dum my variable  $\underline{t}$  keeps track of the charge, we can think of the components as chem ical potentials [9, 12]. W ith this multi-index variable (chem ical potential) we can de ne the R e ned H ilbert Series of F<sup>[</sup> as the generating function for the dimension of the graded pieces:

$$H(\underline{t}; F^{[]}) = \dim_{C} F^{[\underline{t}-]}; \qquad (2.20)$$

where  $F_{, the_-th} m$  ulti-graded piece of  $F_{, can}$  be thought of as the num ber of independent m ulti-degree \_ Laurent m onom ials on the variety  $F_{, can}$  be thought of as the num ber of independent  $F_{, can}$ .

The re ned H ilbert series for F<sup>[</sup> and <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> can be computed from the dening equations of the variety, using computer algebra program and primary decomposition, as emphasized in [20]. In addition, for the coherent component <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup>, there exists an e cient algorithm [24] for extracting the re ned H ilbert series from the matrix K that can be implemented in M acaulay2 [21]. We give the actual code in Appendix B.

A crucial step in the above analysis seems to rely upon our ability to explicitly solve the F-terms in terms of a smaller independent set of variables. This may be computationally intense. For A belian orbifolds the solutions may be written directly using the symmetries of the group, as was done in [3, 4]; in general, how ever, the K-matrix may not be immediately obtained. We need, therefore, to resort to a more elsent method.

#### 2.1.6 The Sym plectic Q uotient D escription

There is an alternative and useful description of the toric variety  $^{In}F$  [as a sym plectic quotient [15]. In the m ath language this is also known as the C ox representation of a toric variety [25] and in physics language, as a linear sigm a m odel. In this representation, we have a nice way of computing the re ned H ilbert series using the M olien invariant.

Now , the c generators of the dual cone T are not independent in  $Z^{\,g+\,2}$  . The kernel of the matrix T

$$T \quad Q = 0$$
 (2.21)

or, equivalently, the kernel of the matrix  $P = K^{t} T$ 

$$P = Q = 0$$
 (2.22)

de nes a set of charges for the sym plectic action. The c g 2 rows of Q<sup>t</sup> de ne vectors of charges for the c elds in the linear sign a model description of <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[3,4,15]</sup>:

$${}^{Irr}F^{I} = C^{c} = Q^{t} : \qquad (2.23)$$

A crucial observation is that if the rows of  $Q^{t}$  sum to zero, then <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> is C alabi-Y au. In the follow ing we will see that this is the case for all the examples we will encounter. Indeed,

it is possible to show this is general; for clarity and emphasis we will have the proof of the fact to the summary section of x2.3 and rst marvel at this fact for the detailed examples.

The re ned H ilbert series for <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> can be computed using the M olien form ula [12, 26], by projecting the trivial H ilbert series of C<sup>°</sup> onto (C)<sup>° g 2</sup> invariants. W e will need in the following the re ned H ilbert series depending on some or all of the g + 2 chem ical potentials  $t_i$  and, therefore, we keep our discussion as general as possible. The dependence on the full set of parameters  $t_i$  is given by using the C ox hom ogeneous coordinates for the toric variety [25]. We introduce chom ogeneous variables p with chem ical potentials y; = 1;:::;c acted on by (C)<sup>° g 2</sup> with charges given by the rows of Q<sup>t</sup>. The H ilbert series for C<sup>°</sup> is freely generated and is sim ply:

$$H (\underline{y}; C^{c}) = H (fy g; C^{c}) = {Y^{c} \over 1} \frac{1}{1 - y}; \qquad (2.24)$$

where we have written  $\underline{y}$  as a vector, in the notation of (2.20), to indicate re nement, i.e., H depends on all the fy g's.

Next, the vector of charges of p under the (C)<sup>c g 2</sup> action is given by  $fQ_1$ ; :::; $Q_{c g 2}$ ; g. By introducing c g 2 U (1) chem ical potentials  $z_1$ ; :::; $z_{c g 2}$  we can write the M olien formula, which is a localisation formula of the H ilbert series from the ambient space to the embedded variety of interest, as

$$H (\underline{y}; {}^{Inf}F^{[]}) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z c Y^{g^{2}}} \frac{dz_{i}}{z_{i}} H (fy z_{1}^{Q_{1}} ::: z_{c g^{2}}^{Q_{c g^{2}}}; g; C^{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z c Y^{g^{2}}} \frac{dz_{i}}{z_{i}} \frac{Y^{c}}{z_{i}} \frac{1}{1 y z_{1}^{Q_{1}} ::: z_{c g^{2}}^{Q_{c g^{2}}}; (2.25)} :$$

The e ect of the integration on the U (1) chem ical potentials  $z_i$  is to project onto invariants of the U (1)'s. In this formula we integrate over the unit circles in  $z_i$  and we should take  $j_i j < 1$ .

Due to the integration on the c g 2 variables  $z_i$ , the nalresult for the H ilbert series depends only on g + 2 independent combinations of the parameters y, which can be set in correspondence with the g + 2 parameters  $t_i$ . We can convert the y variables to the set of independent g + 2 chemical potential  $t_i$  for the toric action using the matrix T as [25]

$$t_{i} = \int_{=1}^{Y^{c}} y^{T_{i}} :$$
 (2.26)

R ecall that the g + 2 variables  $t_i$  are the chem ical potentials of the g + 2 elementary elds that have been chosen to parametrize F<sup>[]</sup>. The weight of the i-th elementary eld X<sub>i</sub>

for i = 1; ...; E under this parameterization is given by the i-th column of the matrix K. Denoting with  $q_i = q_i(t)$  the chemical potential for the i-th eld we thus have

$$q_{i} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{Y^{c}} y^{P_{i}}$$
 (2.27)

where we used K  $^{t}$  T = P.

Formula (2.26), or equivalently (2.27), allow sus to determ ine the parameters  $y_i$  entering the M olien formula in terms of the chemical potentials for the elementary elds of the quiver gauge theory. This identication can be only done modulo an intrinsic c g 2 dimensional ambiguity parameterized by the matrix Q: y are determined by (2.26) up to vectors in the kernel of P. We will see in the next section that there is an elient way of assigning charges under the non-anomalous symmetries to the variables y using perfect matchings. In particular, if we are interested in the Hilbert series depending on a single parameter t, we can always assign charge t to the variables. Let us now re-compute the reined Hilbert series for the two examples studied above, using (2.25). For simplicity, we compute the Hilbert series depending on one parameter t, referring to Appendix C for an example of computation of the reined Hilbert series depending on all parameters.

Sym plectic Q uotient for  $dP_0 = C^3 = Z_3$ : The kernel of the matrix T, from (2.15), can be easily computed to be the vector Q:

$$P Q = 0$$
 )  $\vec{Q} = 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ j$  (2.28)

which form s the vector of charges for the linear sign a model description of the master space for  $dP_0$ . In this description, therefore, we not that the master space, which we recall to be irreducible, is given by

$$C^{6} = [1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1]:$$
 (2.29)

We can compute the H ilbert series using the M olien form ula (2.25). For simplicity, we consider the H ilbert series depending on a single chemical potential  $t_i$  t. This is obtained by assigning chemical potential to all eds of the linear sigm a model with negative charge. This assignment of charges is consistent with form ula (2.26) and, as we will see in the next section, is equivalent to assigning to the three external perfect m atchings and 1 to the three internal ones. We introduce a new chemical potential z for the U (1) charge and integrate

on a contour slightly smaller than the unit circle. Using the residue technique outlined in Section 3.2 of [11], we not that the contribution to the integral comes from the pole at z = t, whence  $\tau$ 

H 
$$(t; F_{dP_0}^{[}) = \frac{dz}{2 iz(1 t=z)^3(1 z)^3} = \frac{1+4t+t^2}{(1 t)^5};$$
 (2.30)

agreeing precisely with (2.10).

Sym plectic Q uotient for  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ : In this case the kernel for the matrix T, from (2.19), is three dimensional and it is encoded by the matrix:

$$Q^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.31)

The rows of Q<sup>t</sup> induce a (C)<sup>3</sup> action on C<sup>9</sup> which allows us to represent the coherent component of F<sup>I</sup><sub>C<sup>3</sup>=Z<sub>2</sub> Z<sub>2</sub></sub> as a symplectic quotient:

$${\rm Im}_{{\rm C}^{3}={\rm Z}_{2}-{\rm Z}_{2}}^{1}={\rm C}^{9}=({\rm C}^{-})^{3}:$$
 (2.32)

W e com pute here the H ilbert series depending on a single parameter  $t_i$  t. Form ula (2.26) is consistent with assigning them ical potential t to the elds of the sigm a model with negative charges and them ical potential 1 to all the others. As we will see in the next section, this corresponds to the natural choice which assigns t to the external perfect m atchings and 1 to the internal ones. The M olien form ula reads

$$H (t; {}^{Im}F_{C^{3}=Z_{2}-Z_{2}}) = \frac{drdwds}{rws} \frac{1}{(1 \ t=rw)(1 \ t=rs)(1 \ t=ws)(1 \ r)^{2}(1 \ w)^{2}(1 \ s)^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{1 + 6t + 6t^{2} + t^{3}}{(1 \ t)^{6}}; \qquad (2.33)$$

which agrees with (2.11) exactly. The computation of the re ned Hilbert series depending on all six parameters is deferred to Appendix C.

#### 2.1.7 D im er M odels and Perfect M atchings

It was recently realized that the most convenient way of describing toric quiver gauge theories is that of dimers and brane-tilings. Let us re-exam ine our above analysis using the language of dimers and perfect matchings. The reader is referred to [6] and for a comprehensive



Figure 2: (a) The perfect m atchings for the dimer model corresponding to  $dP_0$ , with  $p_i$  the external m atchings and  $q_i$ , the internal; (b) The toric diagram, with the labeled multiplicity of GLSM eds, of  $dP_0$ .

introduction, especially to [8]. W e will focus on perfect m atchings and the m atrix P de ned in (2.16).

Now, K is of size (g + 2) E with E the number of eds, and g the number of gauge group factors. The matrix T is of size (g + 2) c, where c is the number of generators of the dual cone. Thus, P is a matrix of size E c. The number c is, equivalently, the number of perfect matchings for the corresponding tiling (dimermodel). In fact, the matrix P contains entries which are either 0 or 1, encoding whether a eld X<sub>i</sub> in the quiver is in the perfect matching p :

$$P_{i} = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < 1 & \text{if } X_{i} 2 \text{ p}, \\ \vdots & 0 & \text{if } X_{i} 2 \text{ p}. \end{cases}$$
(2.34)

D in er M odel for  $dP_0$ : Let us rst discuss in detail the example of  $dP_0 = C^3 = Z_3$ . U sing the P matrix in Equation (2.17) we can draw the 6 di erent perfect matchings. They are shown in Figure 2. The rst three perfect matchings are identified as the external perfect matchings  $p_{1,2,3}$  while the last three are the internal perfect matchings  $q_{1,2,3}$  associated with the internal point in the toric diagram of  $dP_0$ . For reference we have also drawn the toric diagram, together with the multiplicity of the gauged linear sigm a model elds associated with the nodes, which we recall from [5]. In fact, it is this multiplicity that led to the form ulation of dimers and brane tilings as originally discussed in the rst reference of [6]. Here we nd another in portant application of this multiplicity.



Figure 3: (a) The perfect m atching for the dimermodel corresponding to  $C^2=Z_2$ . The two upper perfect m atchings are associated with the two external points in the toric diagram and the two bwer perfect m atchings are associated with the internal point in the toric diagram, drawn in (b).

Now, from Figure 2 we notice that the collection of all external perfect m atchings cover alledges in the tiling. Sim ilarly, the collection of all internal perfect m atchings cover alledges in the tiling, giving rise to a linear relation which form ally states  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ , or as a hom ogeneous relation,  $p_1 p_2 p_3 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 0$ . Since the P m atrix encodes whether an edge is in a perfect m atching, the linear combination of m atchings encodes whether an edge is in that linear combination. U sing the hom ogeneous form of the relation we in fact nd that the vector (1; 1; 1;1;1) is in the kernel of P and thus forms a row of the kernel m atrix Q<sup>t</sup>. Since the rank of the m atrix P is equal to the dimension of the m aster space, g + 2 = 5, we conclude that this is the only element in the matrix Q. We have thus re-obtained the result (2.28).

D in er M odel for  $C^2=Z_2$ : Next, let us bok at the example of  $C^2=Z_2$ . The toric diagram, with multiplicity 1, 2, 1, and the corresponding perfect m atchings are shown in Figure 3, denoting the two external perfect m atchings by  $p_{1,2}$  and those of the internal point by  $q_{1,2}$ . A quick inspection of the perfect m atchings shows a linear relation  $p_1$   $p_2 + q_1 + q_2 = 0$ , leading to a charge m atrix (1; 1;1;1) for the linear sigm a m odel description of the m aster space for the orbifold  $C^2=Z_2$ . As is computed in [11, 12] and as we shall later encounter in detail in x3.1 we nd that the m aster space is nothing but the conifold.

D in er M odel for  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ : The above arguments can also be used to compute the linear sigm a model description of the orbifold  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ . The toric diagram, shown in Figure 4, consists of 6 points, 3 external with perfect m atchings  $p_{1,2,3}$ , and 3 internal. The 3 internal points form a local  $C^2=Z_2$  singularity and have multiplicity 2. We ind 6 internal



Figure 4: (a) The toric diagram for  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$  together with the GLSM multiplicities/perfect matchings marked for the nodes. There is a total of 9 perfect matchings in the dimermodel, which for sake of brevity we do not present here; (b) The associated quiver diagram.

perfect m atchings  $q_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ . There are 3 sets of 3 points each, sitting on a line. Each such line is a  $C^2=Z_2$  singularity and can therefore be used to write down a relation between the 4 perfect m atchings, giving rise to 3 conifold like relations,  $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$ ;  $p_1 + p_3 = q_3 + q_4$ ;  $p_2 + p_3 = q_5 + q_6$ . Thus the m aster space of the orbifold  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$  is an intersection of 3 conifold-like (quadric) relations in  $C^9$ , with a charge m atrix

$$Q^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ B \\ C \end{bmatrix} 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (2.35)$$

which precisely agrees with Equation (2.31).

We see that we can ind a diagram matic way, using dimers and perfect matchings, to nd the charges of the matrix Q and thereby the charges of the linear sigm a model which describes the master space. This description is good for a relatively small number of perfect matchings and small number of elds in the quiver. When this number is large we will need to refer to the computation using the kernel of the P matrix. We thus reach an important conclusion:

OBSERVATION 1 The coherent component of the master space of a toric quiver theory is generated by perfect matchings of the associated dimermodel.

This should be a corollary of the more general Birkho -Von Neum ann Theorem <sup>4</sup> [27]:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>W e thank A lastair K ing for rst pointing this out to us. The precise relation between this theorem and perfect m atching is now actively pursued by A lastair K ing and N athan B room head and we look forward to their upcom ing publication.

THEOREM 2.1 Ann n doubly stochastic matrix (i.e., a square matrix of non-negative entries each of whose row and column sums to 1) is a convex linear combination of permutation matrices.

We can easily make contact between the perfect matching description and the more mathematical description of the master space outlined in the previous section. As shown in [6], the perfect matchings p parameterize the solutions of the F-term s condition through the formula

$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{Y^{c}} p^{P_{i}}$$
 : (2.36)

This equation determ ines the charges of the perfect m atchings (m odulo an am biguity given by  $Q^{t}$ ) in terms of the g + 2 eld theory charges. In the previous section we introduced a hom ogeneous variable y for each perfect m atching p. We see that form ula (2.26) for the chemical potential of the eld X<sub>i</sub>

$$q_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{Y^{c}} y^{P_{i}}$$
; (2.37)

following from the C ox description of the toric variety, nicely matches with (2.36) obtained from the dimer description.

Finally, there is a very simple way of determining the non-anom alous charges of the perfect matchings, which is useful in computations based on the M olien formula. The number of non-anom alous U (1) symmetries of a toric quiver gauge theory is precisely the number of external perfect matchings, or equivalently, the number d of external points in the toric diagram. This leads to a very simple parameterization for the non-anom alous charges [28, 29]: assign a di erent chemical potential  $x_i$  for i = 1; ...; dto each external perfect matching and assign 1 to the internal ones. An explicit example is discussed in Appendix C. It follows from (2.36) that this prescription is equivalent to the one discussed in [28, 29]. In particular, in the computation of the H ilbert series depending on just one parameter t, we can assign chemical potential t to all the external matchings and 1 to the internal ones, as we did in section 2.1.6.

#### 2.2 Case Studies

Enriched by a conceptual grasp and arm ed with the computational techniques for describing the master space, we can now explore the wealth of examples of toric D-brane gauge theories



Figure 5: The toric diagram and the quiver for the SPP singularity.

which have bedecked the literature. We shall be reinforced with our lesson that  $F^{[}$  for the U  $(1)^{g}$  quiver theory is ofdimension g+2 and generically decomposes into several components the top dimensional one of which is also a Calabi-Yau variety of dimension g+2, as well as some trivial lower-dimensional linear pieces de ned by the vanishing of combinations of the coordinates.

#### 2.2.1 The Suspended Pinched Point.

We begin rst with a non-orbifold type of singularity, the so-called suspended pinched point (SPP), rst studied in [30]. To rem ind the reader, the toric and quiver diagram s are presented in Figure 5 and the superpotential is

$$W_{SPP} = X_{11} (X_{12} X_{21} X_{13} X_{31}) + X_{31} X_{13} X_{32} X_{23} X_{21} X_{12} X_{23} X_{32} : (2.38)$$

The matrices K , T and P can readily found to be

																0					1		
		0						1	0					1		0	0	0	0	0	1		
		1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		р <sup>0</sup>	0	0	0	1	$^{0}$		
		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0		₽°	0	1	1	0	Ч		
Κ	=	(d <sup>0</sup>	0	1	0	0	1	<sub>0</sub> Α;	0 D = T	0	1	1	0	<sub>0</sub> Α;	P =	Βı	1	0	0	0	٥Č	:	(2.39)
		0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		G 0	1	0	1	0	٥Ā		. ,
		0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0		1	0	1	0	0	0		
																0	0	0	0	1	1		

In this example, we need to weight the variables appropriately by giving weight t to all external points in the toric diagram, as discussed above:

$$fX_{21};X_{12};X_{23};X_{32};X_{31};X_{13};X_{11}g! f1;1;1;1;1;2g: (2.40)$$

In the actual algebro-geom etric computation this means that we weigh the variables of the polynom ials with the above degrees and work in a weighted space. Now, we not that the moduli space is a reducible variety  $F_{SPP}^{[} = {}^{In}F_{SPP}^{[} [ L_{SPP} with H ilbert series:$ 

H (t; 
$$F_{SPP}^{[}) = \frac{1+2t+2t^2-2t^3+t^4}{(1-t)^4(1-t^2)}$$
 (2.41)

and

$${}^{Im}F_{SPP}^{[} = V(X_{23}X_{32} X_{11};X_{21}X_{12} X_{31}X_{13})$$
$$L_{SPP} = V(X_{13};X_{31};X_{12};X_{21}); \qquad (2.42)$$

where we have used the standard algebraic geom etry notation that, given a set  $F = ff_{ig}$  of polynom ials, V (F) is the variety corresponding to the vanishing locus of F. The top component  ${}^{In}F_{SPP}^{[}$  is a toric variety of complex dimension 5 which is the product of a conifold and a plane C<sup>2</sup>; it has H ilbert series:

H (t; 
$${}^{Irr}_{F_{SPP}}$$
) =  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^4} \frac{t^2}{(1-t)^2} = \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^5}$ ; (2.43)

with a palindrom ic numerator, as was with the etudes studied above. The other component  $L_{SPP}$  is a plane isomorphic C<sup>3</sup> with H ilbert series:

H (t;L<sub>SPP</sub>) = 
$$\frac{1}{(1 t^2)(1 t)^2}$$
: (2.44)

The two irreducible components intersect in a C $^2$  plane with H ilbert series:

H (t; 
$${}^{In}F_{SPP} \setminus L_{SPP}$$
) =  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$ : (2.45)

W e observe that the H ilbert series of the various com ponents satisfy the additive relation:

$$H (t; F_{SPP}^{[}) = H (t; {}^{In} F_{SPP}^{[}) + H (t; L_{SPP}) \quad H (t; {}^{In} F_{SPP}^{[} \setminus L_{SPP}) : (2.46)$$

This is, of course, the phenom enon of  $\surgery$ " discussed in [31]. We will see this in all our subsequent examples.

In the symplectic quotient description, we nd that the kernel of the T-m atrix is  $Q^{t} = (1; 1; 1; 1; 0; 0)$  and hence  $\operatorname{Im} F_{SPP}^{[}$ ,  $C^{6} = Q^{t}$ . The symmetry group of the coherent component is easily found to be SU  $(2)^{3}$  U  $(1)^{2}$ , a rank 5 group as expected from the toric property of this space. The non-Abelian part is realized as the repetition of the charges, 1; 1; and 0, respectively.



Figure 6: The toric diagram and the quivers for phases I and  $II of F_0$ .

#### 2.2.2 Cone over Zeroth H irzebruch Surface

We continue with a simple toric threefold: the a ne cone F<sub>0</sub> over the zeroth H irzebruch surface, which is simply P<sup>1</sup> P<sup>1</sup>. Indeed, we remark that the even simpler and more well-known example of the conifold was already studied in [10,11], the master space turns out to be just C<sup>4</sup>; we will return to this in x4.2. The toric diagram is drawn in (6). There are two toric/Seiberg (see for example [32, 33]) dual phases,  $(F_0)_I$  and  $(F_0)_{II}$ , of the gauge theory [5], and the quivers and superpotentials are:

$$W_{(F_0)_{II}} = _{ij pq} A_{i} B_{p} C_{j} D_{q};$$

$$W_{(F_0)_{II}} = _{ij m n} X_{12}^{i} X_{23}^{m} X_{31}^{jn} \qquad ij m n} X_{14}^{i} X_{43}^{m} X_{31}^{jn};$$
(2.47)

Toric Phase I: We can readily nd the F-terms from W  $_{(F_0)_I}$  in (2.47) and using the techniques outlined above, we can nd the K-matrix to be

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ & A_1 & A_2 & B_1 & B_2 & C_1 & D_1 & C_2 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & A_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & D_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2.48)$$

W hence, prim ary decom position gives that there are three irreducible pieces:

$$F_{(F_{0})_{I}}^{[} = {}^{In}F_{(F_{0})_{I}}^{[} [ L_{(F_{0})_{I}}^{1} [ L_{(F_{0})_{I}}^{2} ;$$
(2.49)

w ith

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\text{Irr}}{F} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ (F_0)_1 \end{bmatrix} = V (B_2 D_1 B_1 D_2; A_2 C_1 A_1 C_2) \\ & L^1_{(F_0)_1} \end{bmatrix} = V (C_2; C_1; A_2; A_1) \\ & L^2_{(F_0)_1} \end{bmatrix} = V (D_2; D_1; B_2; B_1) : \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

W ith weight t to all 8 basic elds in the quiver the H ilbert series of the total space is given by

H (t; 
$$F_{(F_0)_I}^{[}) = \frac{1+2t+3t^2-4t^3+2t^4}{(1-t)^6}$$
: (2.51)

The top-dimensional component of  $F_{(F_0)_T}^{[}$  is toric Calabi-Yau, and here of dimension 6; this is consistent with the fact that the number of nodes in the quiver is 4. Speci cally,  $\operatorname{Irr}_{F_{(F_0)_T}}^{[}$  is the product of two conifolds and it has H ilbert series (again with palindrom ic numerator):

H (t; 
$${}^{\text{Irr}}F^{[}_{(F_0)_1}) = \frac{(1+t)^2}{(1-t)^6}$$
: (2.52)

The two lower-dimensional components are simply C  $^4$  , with H ilbert series

H (t; 
$$L^{1}_{(F_{0})_{I}}$$
) = H (t;  $L^{2}_{(F_{0})_{I}}$ ) =  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^{4}}$ : (2.53)

These two hyperplanes are, as mentioned above, C oulom b branches of the moduli space, they intersect the  ${}^{ITT}F_{(F_0)_T}^{I}$  along one of the two three dimensional conifolds which have H ilbert series

$$H (t; {}^{In}F_{(F_0)_{I}} \setminus L_{(F_0)_{I}}^{1}) = H (t; {}^{In}F_{(F_0)_{I}} \setminus L_{(F_0)_{I}}^{2}) = \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^3} :$$
(2.54)

The Hilbert series of various components again satisfy the additive surgical relation of [31]:

 $H (t; F_{(F_0)_{I}}) = H (t; \Pr_{(F_0)_{I}}) + H (t; L_{(F_0)_{I}}) + H (t; L_{(F_0)_{I}}^{2}) H (t; \Pr_{(F_0)_{I}} \setminus L_{(F_0)_{I}}^{1}) H (t; \Pr_{(F_0)_{I}} \setminus L_{(F_0)_{I}}^{2})$  (2.55)

For reference, the dual cone T-m atrix and the perfect m atching m atrix  $P = K^{t}$  T are:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\$$

Subsequently, their kernel is Q<sup>t</sup>, giving us

$$Q^{t} = {}^{0}_{1 \ 0} {}^{1}_{1 \ 0} {}^{0}_{1 \ 1} {}^{0}_{1 \ 1} {}^{1}_{1 \ 0} {}^{1}_{0 \ 0} {}^{1}_{0}$$

The fact that the rows of  $Q^{t}$  sum to 0 m eans that the toric variety is indeed Calabi-Yau. The symmetry of the coherent component is SU (2)<sup>4</sup> U(1)<sup>2</sup>, suitable for a product of two conifolds. We note that the charge matrix Q has 8 columns which are formed out of 4 pairs, each with two identical columns. This repetition of columns in the charge matrix is another way of determining the non-Abelian part of the symmetry group of the coherent component. Toric Phase II: We can perform a similar analysis for the second toric phase which is a Seiberg dual of the rst. Note from (2.47) that the gauge-invariant terms in W  $_{(F_0)_{II}}$  now have a dierent number of elds; correspondingly, we must thus assign dierent weights to the variables. The ones that are composed of Seiberg dual mesons of the elds of the rst toric phase should be assigned twice the weight:

 $fX_{12}^{1};X_{23}^{1};X_{23}^{2};X_{31}^{2};X_{23}^{2};X_{31}^{2};X_{12}^{2};X_{31}^{12};X_{31}^{11};X_{14}^{1};X_{43}^{1};X_{43}^{2};X_{14}^{2}g! f1;1;2;1;2;1;2;1;2;1;1;1;1;1g:$ (2.58)

In the actual algebro-geom etric computation this means that we weight the variables of the polynom ials with the above degrees and work in a weighted space. Subsequently, we nd that

The master space a ords the primary decomposition  $F_{(F_0)_{II}}^{[} = {}^{In}F_{(F_0)_{II}}^{[} [ L_{(F_0)_{II}}^1 [ L_{(F_0)_{II}}^2 [ L_{(F_0)_{II}}^3 ]$ 

$$\begin{split} ^{Ir} \mathbb{F}^{[}_{(F_{0})_{II}} &= V\left(X_{31}^{12}X_{43}^{1} X_{31}^{11}X_{43}^{2}; X_{23}^{2}X_{43}^{1} X_{23}^{1}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{1} X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{1}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{1}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{43}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}^{2}; X_{31}$$

The Hilbert series is

H (t; F<sup>[</sup><sub>(F<sub>0</sub>)<sub>II</sub>) = 
$$\frac{1 + 6t + 17t^2 + 24t^3 + 14t^4 + 4t^5 + 4t^7 + 2t^8}{(1 + t)^2(1 + t^2)^4}$$
: (2.61)</sub>

We see that  $F_{(F_0)_{II}}^{[}$  is composed of four irreducible components: a six dimensional  $F_{(F_0)_{II}}^{[}$  which is the product of two conifolds; this is the biggest irreducible component and it actually has the same H ilbert series  $\frac{(1+t)^2}{(1-t)^6}$  as the  $F_{(F_0)_{II}}^{[}$  component of rst toric phase.

The other three irreducible components are three four dimensional complex planes each dened by the vanishing of 8 coordinates out of the 12 total. These planes intersect only at

the origin of the coordinate system and have the Hilbert series

$$H (t; L^{1}_{(F_{0})_{II}}) = \frac{1}{(1 t^{2})^{4}}; \quad H (t; L^{2}_{(F_{0})_{II}}) = H (t; L^{3}_{(F_{0})_{II}}) = \frac{1}{(1 t)^{4}}:$$
(2.62)

We see that  $L^1_{(F_0)_{II}}$  has  $t^2$  in the denom inator instead of the because it is parameterized precisely by the coordinates of twice the weight.

The three C<sup>4</sup> components and the  $\Pr_{(F_0)_{II}}^{I}$  component intersect in a three dimensional conifold variety but with dimensional grading of the coordinates:

$$H (t; {}^{Im}F_{(F_0)_{II}} \setminus L^1_{(F_0)_{II}}) = \frac{1+t^2}{(1-t^2)^3}; \quad H (t; {}^{Im}F_{(F_0)_{II}} \setminus L^2_{(F_0)_{II}}) = H (t; {}^{Im}F_{(F_0)_{II}} \setminus L^3_{(F_0)_{II}}) = \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^3};$$

$$(2.63)$$

Once again, we have a surgery relation:

The dual cone T-m atrix and the perfect m atching m atrix  $P = K^{t}$  T are:

Hence, the kernel is Q  $^{\rm t}$  and we have

$$Q^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{Inf}}{\text{F}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ (F_{0})_{\text{II}} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\prime}{\text{C}} C^{9} = Q^{t} :$$
(2.66)

Again, the rows of  $Q^{t}$  sum to 0 and the toric variety is Calabi-Yau. The second and third rows are conifold like relations and the rst row is a relation which is found for the master space of  $C^{3}=Z_{3}$  and is not in the rst phase of  $F_{0}$ .

We see here that a manifestation of Seiberg duality is in the fact that the coherent component of each of the phases are the same. This feature is going to repeat itself. Dierent toric phases of a given Calabi-Yau singularity will exhibit the same coherent component of the master space. This is going to be our conjectured relation:



Figure 7: The toric diagram and the quiver for  $dP_1$ .

C O N J E C T U R E 1 Q uivers which are toric (Seiberg) duals have the same coherent com - ponent of the master space.

It would be interesting to understand the fate of the linear components under Seiberg duality and this is left for future work.

#### 2.2.3 Cone over First del Pezzo Surface: dP<sub>1</sub>

In our analysis in x2.1.3 we initiated the example of  $dP_0$  to which we will later return; for now, it is only natural that we continue to the higher cases. We know that  $P^2$  blown up till 3 generic points admit toric description; the a ne cones thereupon have been referred to  $dP_{i=0,...,3}$  theories [4,5]. Moreover, if we persisted with the blow-ups, now at non-generic points, we arrive at the so-called P seudo-del Pezzo surfaces [34]; these continue to be toric and the corresponding theories are known as  $P dP_{i-4}$ . The toric and quiver diagram s for  $dP_1$ are given in F igure 7 and the superpotential is:

$$W_{dP_1} = {}_{ab}Y_1V_a\tilde{U}_b + {}_{ab}Y_3U_aV_b + {}_{ab}Y_2\tilde{U}_aZU_b : \qquad (2.67)$$

Now the K-matrix is

and the master space is the union of two components  $F_{dP_1}^{[} = {}^{In}F_{dP_1}^{[} [ L_{dP_1}, a 6-dimensional {}^{In}F_{dP_1}^{[} piece and a plane L_{dP_1} representing a C<sup>4</sup>:$ 

$${}^{Irr} F_{dP_{1}}^{[} = V (\mathcal{U}_{2}Y_{1} \quad U_{2}Y_{3}; \mathcal{U}_{1}Y_{1} \quad U_{1}Y_{3}; \mathcal{U}_{2}V_{1} \quad \mathcal{U}_{1}V_{2}; U_{2}V_{1} \quad U_{1}V_{2}; U_{2}\mathcal{U}_{1} \quad U_{1}\mathcal{U}_{2}; ; U_{1}\mathcal{U}_{2}Y_{2}Z \quad V_{2}Y_{3}; \mathcal{U}_{1}Y_{2}Z \quad V_{1}Y_{3}; U_{2}Y_{2}Z \quad V_{2}Y_{1}; U_{1}Y_{2}Z \quad V_{1}Y_{1})$$

$$L_{dP_{1}} = V (Z; Y_{3}; Y_{2}; Y_{1}; V_{2}; V_{1}) :$$

$$(2.69)$$

W e need to weight the elds appropriately:

The Hilbert series of the total space is

H (t; 
$$F_{dP_1}^{[}) = \frac{1+4t+8t^2+4t^3}{(1-t)^6(1+t)^2}$$
 (2.71)

and that of the  ${}^{\rm In}\!F\,{}^{\rm I}_{\rm dP_1}$  com ponent is

H (t; 
$${}^{In}F_{dP_1}^{[}) = \frac{1+4t+7t^2+4t^3+t^4}{(1-t)^6(1+t)^2}$$
: (2.72)

Note that the denom inator is equal to  $(1 t)^4 (1 t^2)^2$ , signifying that two variables are of weight 2. Hence, the above H ilbert series is in the Second form.

The two components intersect in the three dimensional conifold variety with Hilbert series:

H (t; 
$${}^{In}F_{dP_1} \setminus L_{dP_1}$$
) =  $\frac{1+t}{(1-t)^3}$  (2.73)

and we indeed have the surgery relation

$$H (t; F_{dP_1}^{[}) = H (t; {}^{In} F_{dP_1}^{[]}) + H (t; L_{dP_1}) H (t; {}^{In} F_{dP_1}^{[]} \setminus L_{dP_1}) : (2.74)$$

For the case of  $dP_1$  the 6 8 dual-cone T-m atrix and the 10 8 perfect m atching m atrix P take the form



Figure 8: The toric diagram and the quiver for  $dP_2$ .

The rank of this matrix is g + 2 = 6 and we expect a 2 dimensional kernel. This can be easily computed to be the matrix Q, which form s two vectors of charges for the linear sigm a model description of the coherent component of the master space for dP<sub>1</sub>. In summary,

$$T \quad Q = 0 \quad ) \quad \dot{Q} = {}^{1}_{1 \quad 1} {}^{0}_{0 \quad 0} {}^{1}_{1 \quad 1} {}^{1}_{1 \quad 0} {}^{1}_{0} {}^{1}_{1 \quad 0} {}^{1}_{1 \quad 0}$$

The sum of charges (rows of  $Q^{t}$ ) is zero, giving a Calabi Yau 6-fold. One relation is a relation found for  $dP_0$ , as appropriate for  $dP_1$  is a blow up of  $dP_0$  that manifests itself by Higgsing the Z eld of  $dP_1$ . The second relation is a conifold-like relation. The symmetry is SU(2) SU(2) U(1)<sup>4</sup>. One U(1) is the R-symmetry and the rst SU(2) is the natural one acting on the mesonic moduli space. The second SU(2) is a \hidden" symmetry com ing from one of the two anom alous baryonic U(1) symmetries. We will use the full symmetry to com pute the re ned H ilbert series for this space in section 4.5.

#### 2.2.4 Cone over Second del Pezzo Surface: dP<sub>2</sub>

M oving onto the next blow up, we have the  $dP_2$  theory, whose quiver and toric diagram s are given in Figure 8 and the superpotential is:

$$W_{(dP_2)} = X_{34}X_{45}X_{53} X_{53}Y_{31}X_{15} X_{34}X_{42}Y_{23} + Y_{23}X_{31}X_{15}X_{52} + X_{42}X_{23}Y_{31}X_{14} X_{23}X_{31}X_{14}X_{45}X_{52}$$

$$(2.77)$$

W e point out that this model is one of the toric phases (phase II in the notation of [35]), and we shall only consider this one for now.

The master space has K -matrix

		0													1		
		-		X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>23</sub>	X <sub>31</sub>	X 45	X <sub>52</sub>	X 42	Y <sub>23</sub>	X <sub>34</sub>	X <sub>53</sub>	Y <sub>31</sub>	X 15	_		
		D	X 14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	C		
		R	X <sub>23</sub>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	X		
V	_	Ħ	X <sub>31</sub>	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	č		(2 70)
I/	_	B	X 45	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	Č	•	(2.70)
		(ବ	X <sub>52</sub>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	А		
			X 42	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0			
			Y <sub>23</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1			

$$\begin{split} ^{In}F_{dP_{2}}^{I} &= V\left(X_{45}X_{53} \quad X_{42}Y_{23};X_{34}X_{45} \quad Y_{31}X_{15};X_{42}X_{23}X_{14} \quad X_{53}X_{15}; \\ & X_{45}X_{23}X_{14} \quad X_{15}Y_{23};X_{15}X_{31}X_{52} \quad X_{34}X_{42};X_{45}X_{31}X_{52} \quad Y_{31}X_{42}; \\ & Y_{31}X_{23}X_{14} \quad X_{34}Y_{23};Y_{23}X_{31}X_{52} \quad X_{53}Y_{31};X_{31}X_{52}X_{23}X_{14} \quad X_{34}X_{53}\right) \quad (2.79) \\ & L_{dP_{2}}^{1} &= V\left(X_{14};X_{23};X_{15};Y_{23};X_{53};X_{34}\right) \\ & L_{dP_{2}}^{2} &= V\left(X_{52};X_{31};X_{42};Y_{31};X_{53};X_{34}\right): \end{split}$$

Weweight the elds appropriately:

$$fX_{14};X_{23};X_{31};X_{45};X_{52};X_{42};Y_{23};X_{34};X_{53};Y_{31};X_{15}g! f1;1;1;1;1;2;1;2;1;2;1;2g: (2.80)$$

The Hilbert series of the total space is

H (t; 
$$F_{dP_2}^{[}) = \frac{1+2t+5t^2+4t^3}{(1-t)^7(1+t)^2}$$
 (2.81)

while that of the top component, a 7-dim ensional sub-variety, has

H (t; 
$$\operatorname{Irr}_{dP_2}^{[}) = \frac{1+2t+5t^2+2t^3+t^4}{(1-t)^7(1+t)^2}$$
: (2.82)

The two planes  $L^1_{dP_2}$  and  $L^2_{dP_2}$  are simply C  $^5$  (with appropriate graded coordinates) and their H ilbert series are

H (t; 
$$L_{dP_2}^1$$
) = H (t;  $L_{dP_2}^2$ ) =  $\frac{1}{(1 t)^4 (1 t^2)}$ : (2.83)

They them selves intersect on a complex line C, which is in fact their common intersection with the  ${}^{\rm Im}\!F\,{}^{[}_{dP_2}$  component:

$$H (t; L_{dP_{2}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{2}}^{2}) = H (t; (L_{dP_{2}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{2}}^{2}) \setminus {}^{Irr}F_{dP_{2}}^{1}) = \frac{1}{1 t} :$$
(2.84)

Each, however, intersects  ${}^{\rm In}\!F\,{}^{\rm I}_{\rm dP_2}$  on a non trivial 4 dimensional variety with H ilbert series:

$$H (t; {}^{Im}F_{dP_2} \setminus L_{dP_2}^1) = H (t; {}^{Im}F_{dP_2} \setminus L_{dP_2}^2) = \frac{1 + t + t^2}{(1 + t)^3(1 + t^2)} :$$
(2.85)

This is a manifold of complete intersection generated by 4 generators of degree 1 and 1 generator of degree 2 satisfying 1 relation of degree 3. Again, we have a surgery relation among the H ilbert series, fully exhibiting the intersection structure of the components of the master space:

For reference, the dual cone T -m atrix and the perfect m atching m atrix  $P = K^{t}$  T are:

														0										T			
															0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1			
		0									1			P	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	°C			
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1			Þ	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	٥Č			
		<b>P</b> <sup>0</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	$^{0}$ C			Ē	50	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	٥Č			
		₿°	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	٥Č			Ę	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥Ç			
Т	=	₿∘	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	٥Č	;	P =	- 5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0		:	(2.8	7)
		(d 1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥A			Þ	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1Č			
		0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0			Ē	5 1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	٥Č			
		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1			(ବ	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	${}_1\mathrm{A}$			
															1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0			
															0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0			

Subsequently, their kernel is Q<sup>t</sup>, giving us

 $Q^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Irre}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ dP_{2} \end{bmatrix} C^{10} = Q^{t} :$ (2.88)

Once again, the rows of Q  $^{t}$  sum to 0 and the toric variety is C alabi-Y au.

2.2.5 Cone over Third del Pezzo Surface: dP<sub>3</sub>

Now for the last true toric del Pezzo, let us study  $dP_3$ , whose toric and quiver diagram s are given in Figure 9 and superpotential:

$$W_{(dP_3)} = X_{12}X_{23}X_{34}X_{45}X_{56}X_{61} + X_{13}X_{35}X_{51} + X_{24}X_{46}X_{62}$$
$$X_{23}X_{35}X_{56}X_{62} - X_{13}X_{34}X_{46}X_{61} - X_{12}X_{24}X_{45}X_{51} : (2.89)$$

Again, we need to weight the variables appropriately:

Again, this is only one of the 4 toric phases of the theory (Phase I in the notation of [35]). For now, we shall focus on this one.


Figure 9: The toric diagram and the quiver for  $dP_3$ .

The K-matrix for the master space is

		0		X <sub>12</sub>	X <sub>23</sub>	X 45	X 56	X 46	X 34	X <sub>61</sub>	X 51	X 13	X <sub>24</sub>	X 62	X 35	1	
		Ð	X <sub>12</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	a	
		Ř	X <sub>23</sub>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	Ç	
		Ř	X 45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Č	
Κ	=	₿	X <sub>56</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	Č (	2.91)
		Ŗ	X 46	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	Ç	
		a	X <sub>34</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	А	
			X <sub>61</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0		
			X <sub>51</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1		

and F  $_{dP_3}^{~[}$  decomposes as  $^{In}\!F_{dP_3}^{~[}$  [  $L^1_{dP_3}$  [  $L^2_{dP_3}$  [  $L^3_{dP_3}$  with

(2.92)

W e see that there are four irreducible sub-varieties: the 8 dim ensional Calabi-Yau cone and three 6 dim ensional planes. The various H ilbert series are:

$$\begin{array}{l} H (t; F_{dP_3}^{[}) = \frac{1 + 4t^2 + 4t^4 \ 6t^5 + 3t^6}{(1 \ t)^8 \ (1 + t)^2} ; & H (t; {}^{Irr}F_{dP_3}^{[}) = \frac{1 + 4t^2 + t^4}{(1 \ t)^8 \ (1 + t)^2} ; \\ H (t; L_{dP_3}^{1}) = H (t; L_{dP_3}^{2}) = H (t; L_{dP_3}^{3}) = \frac{1}{(1 \ t)^4 \ (1 \ t^2)^2} ; \end{array}$$

$$(2.93)$$

The planes  $L^1_{dP_3}$ ,  $L^2_{dP_3}$  and  $L^3_{dP_3}$  intersect the  ${}^{In}F_{dP_3}$  in a non-trivial 5-dimensional variety with H ilbert series

$$H (t; {}^{Im}F_{dP_3} \setminus L_{dP_3}^1) = H (t; {}^{Im}F_{dP_3} \setminus L_{dP_3}^2) = H (t; {}^{Im}F_{dP_3} \setminus L_{dP_3}^3) = \frac{1 + t^2}{(1 + t^2)^4 (1 + t^2)} (2.94)$$

and they intersect them selves in a complex plane C<sup>2</sup>, which is also their common intersection with  ${}^{In}F_{dP_3}^{[}$ ; this intersection has H ilbert series

$$\begin{array}{l} H \ (t; \ L_{dP_{3}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{2}) = H \ (t; \ L_{dP_{3}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3}) = H \ (t; \ L_{dP_{3}}^{2} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3}) = \\ H \ (t; \ {}^{In}F_{dP_{3}}^{[} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{2}) = H \ (t; \ {}^{In}F_{dP_{3}}^{[} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3}) = H \ (t; \ {}^{In}F_{dP_{3}}^{[} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{2} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3}) = \frac{1}{(1 \ t)^{2}} \ ; \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (2.95) \end{array}$$

The common intersection among the three planes and among all the four irreducible components of F  $^{\rm l}_{\rm dP_3}$  is just the origin of the embedding space. Once more, we have the surgery relation

	F	ina	ally	/ <b>,</b> t	he	dι	ıal	. 00	ne	Т-	m a	atr	ix a	and	the	e pe	erfe	ct	m a	atd	nin	g n	1 at	riz	κP	=	Κ	t	Т	a	re:	
		0												1				0 D	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	1 0	1 0	1	
		Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1				R	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	C	
		R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	° C				₿	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Č	
		В	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	°Č				Ŗ	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ç	
Τ :	=	B	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	°Ç	;	Ρ	=	B	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	č :	
_		B	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		'	_		Ē	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	Č	
		G	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 A				Ŗ	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	Ç	
			0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1				B	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	X	
			0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0				e	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	А	
																			0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		
																			1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		
																															(2.96	5)
Subs	æq	lne	ntl	y,	the	eir	ke	me	li	5 Q	t,	giv	inc	g us					!													
					1		1	1	1	(	)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1														
		0 <sup>t</sup>	=		0		0	1	1	(	)	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		)	Irr	ן ק ערי	'	С	, 12	(	)t	:			(2.97)	7)
		~			1		1	0	0	(	)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		'	-	dP	3	Ŭ		,	5	-			(_ <b>1</b> 0 /	'
					1		T	T	1		T	T	U	U	U	U	U	0														

W e see that the rows of  $Q^{t}$  sum to 0 and the toric variety is Calabi-Yau.

2.2.6 C one over Fourth P seudo del Pezzo Surface: P dP<sub>4</sub>

By blowing  $dP_3$  up at a non-generic point we can obtain another toric variety, referred to as a pseudo del Pezzo [34]. The toric and quiver diagram s are given in Figure 10 and the superpotential is

$$W_{PdP_4} = X_{61}X_{17}X_{74}X_{46} + X_{21}X_{13}X_{35}X_{52} + X_{27}X_{73}X_{36}X_{62} + X_{14}X_{45}X_{51}$$
  
$$X_{51}X_{17}X_{73}X_{35} \quad X_{21}X_{14}X_{46}X_{62} \quad X_{27}X_{74}X_{45}X_{52} \quad X_{13}X_{36}X_{61} :$$



Figure 10: The toric diagram and the quiver for  $P dP_4$ .

Once again, we need to assign appropriate weights to the variables:

 $fX_{45};X_{73};X_{46};X_{21};X_{36};X_{74};X_{52};X_{35};X_{17};X_{62};X_{51};X_{27};X_{61};X_{13};X_{14}g$  ! f2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;2;4;4;4;4;4g:(2.98)

Subsequently, the K -m atrix is given as

	0		X <sub>13</sub>	X <sub>17</sub>	X <sub>35</sub>	X <sub>61</sub>	X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>27</sub>	X <sub>62</sub>	X <sub>51</sub>	X 74	X <sub>45</sub>	X <sub>36</sub>	X 46	X <sub>21</sub>	X <sub>73</sub>	X <sub>52</sub>	1	
	-	X <sub>13</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	~	
	Ř	X <sub>17</sub>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	Ç	
	R	X <sub>35</sub>	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	X	
к —	B	X <sub>61</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	č.	(2 99)
IV —	B	X <sub>14</sub>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	Ç ·	(2.99)
	B	X <sub>27</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	C	
	<u>u</u>	X <sub>62</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	A	
		X <sub>51</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1		
		X 74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1		

and the master space has Hilbert series

$$H (t; F_{pdP_4}) = \frac{1 5t^6 10t^8 + 8t^{10} + 26t^{12} + 67t^{14} 162t^{16} 56t^{18} + 276t^{20} 111t^{22} 122t^{24} + 112t^{26} 14t^{28} 15t^{30} + 5t^{32}}{(1 t^4)^5 (1 t^2)^{10}}$$
(2.100)

Now , F  $_{\rm P\,dP_4}^{\rm [}$  has a top  ${}^{\rm In}\!F_{\rm P\,dP_4}^{\rm [}$  component of dimension 9:

 $\frac{\operatorname{Irrg}}{\operatorname{P}_{p}\operatorname{dP}_{4}} = V(X 46X 21X 62 X 45X 51; X 73X 35X 17 X 45X 14; X 46X 74X 17 X 36X 13; X 21X 52X 35 X 36X 61; X 45X 74X 52 X 73X 36X 62; X 52X 35X 13 X 46X 62X 14; X 74X 17X 61 X 21X 62X 14; X 73X 62X 27 X 61X 13; X 74X 52X 27 X 51X 14; X 45X 52X 27 X 46X 17X 61; X 45X 74X 27 X 21X 35X 13; X 73X 36X 27 X 46X 21X 14; X 35X 17X 51 X 36X 62X 27; X 73X 17X 51 X 21X 52X 13; X 73X 35X 51 X 46X 74X 61; X 73X 46X 17X 62 X 45X 52X 13; X 73X 36X 27 X 46X 21X 14; X 73X 46X 17X 61; X 73X 21X 52X 13; X 73X 21X 55X 62 X 45X 74X 61; X 74X 52 X 35X 17 X 36X 62X 14; X 74X 21X 35X 17 X 46X 21X 74X 52 X 73X 36X 51; X 21X 52X 62 X 27 X 17X 51 X 61; X 46X 74X 62X 27 X 35X 51 X 46X 21X 35X 17 X 46X 21X 74X 52 X 73X 36X 51; X 21X 52X 62X 27 X 17X 51X 61; X 46X 74X 62X 27 X 35X 51X 13; X 73X 74X 17X 27 X 21X 13X 14; X 73X 52X 35X 27 X 46X 61X 14); (2.101)$ 

with Hilbert series

H (t; 
$${}^{Inf}F_{PdP_4}^{[}) = \frac{1+t^2+6t^4+t^6+t^8}{(1-t^2)^9}$$
: (2.102)

The dual cone T-m atrix and the perfect m atching matrix  $P = K^{t}$  T are:

T =	ے ا الاسمالی ال	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1 1 1	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	;	
P =		0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	:	(2.103)

Subsequently, their kernel is Q<sup>t</sup>, giving us

	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
	_	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	~	
	Ŗ	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	Ç	
	R	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	X	T. [ 10
$Q^{\tau} =$	B	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	Č)	${}^{III}F_{P,dP}$ / $C^{18} = Q^{t}$ :
	B	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ç	r dr 4
	a	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	А	
		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
																					(2.104)

Indeed, the row  $s \circ f Q^{t}$  sum to 0 and the toric variety is Calabi-Yau.

2.2.7 C one over F ifth P seudo del Pezzo Surface:  $PdP_5$ 

Trudging on, we can also study one more blow up, the  $P dP_5$  theory. The toric and quiver diagram s are given in Figure 11 and the superpotential is

$$W_{PdP_{5}} = X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{81} + X_{14}X_{46}X_{68}X_{81} + X_{35}X_{57}X_{72}X_{23} X_{46}X_{67}X_{72}X_{24} + X_{67}X_{71}X_{13}X_{36} X_{57}X_{71}X_{14}X_{45} + X_{58}X_{82}X_{24}X_{45} X_{68}X_{82}X_{23}X_{36} : (2.105)$$

Now, all the variables have the sam e weight and the master space has K -matrix



Figure 11: The toric diagram and the quiver for  $P dP_5$ .

		0																		1
				X <sub>24</sub>	Х <sub>Зб</sub>	X <sub>67</sub>	X <sub>82</sub>	X <sub>35</sub>	X <sub>57</sub>	X <sub>81</sub>	X <sub>45</sub>	X 46	X <sub>68</sub>	X <sub>14</sub>	X <sub>13</sub>	X <sub>23</sub>	X <sub>72</sub>	X <sub>58</sub>	X <sub>71</sub>	
		D	X <sub>24</sub>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	C
		R	X 36	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	X
		B	X 67	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	Č
		B	X <sub>82</sub>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	Ç
Κ	=	Ŗ	X <sub>35</sub>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	$\mathcal{L}$ ;
		R	X 57	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	č.
		₿	X 81	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	Č
		Q	X 45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	A
			X 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	
			X 68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	
																			(	2.106)

as well as the Hilbert series

H (t; 
$$F_{PdP_5}^{[}) = \frac{1+6t+21t^2+40t^3+39t^4-30t^5+19t^6}{(1+t)^{10}}$$
: (2.107)

The top component is Calabi-Yau of dimension 10 given by the intersection:

 $I^{ITT} F_{P dP_{5}}^{I} = V(X_{13}X_{67}X_{36} X_{14}X_{57}X_{45}; X_{68}X_{23}X_{36} X_{58}X_{24}X_{45}; X_{13}X_{67}X_{71} X_{68}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{14}X_{57}X_{71} X_{58}X_{24}X_{82}; X_{35}X_{72}X_{23} X_{14}X_{71}X_{45}; X_{35}X_{57}X_{23} X_{46}X_{67}X_{24}; X_{35}X_{57}X_{23} X_{46}X_{67}X_{24}; X_{35}X_{57}X_{23} X_{46}X_{67}X_{24}; X_{35}X_{57}X_{23} X_{46}X_{67}X_{24}; X_{35}X_{57}X_{72} X_{68}X_{36}X_{82}; X_{81}X_{46}X_{68} X_{57}X_{71}X_{45}X_{81}X_{14}X_{68} X_{72}X_{67}X_{24}; X_{81}X_{14}X_{46} X_{23}X_{36}X_{82}; X_{35}X_{58}X_{81} X_{57}X_{71}X_{36}X_{35}X_{58}X_{81} X_{57}X_{72}X_{23}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{81} X_{24}X_{45}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58} X_{14}X_{46}X_{68}; X_{72}X_{23}X_{67}X_{36} X_{58}X_{81}X_{14}X_{45}; X_{46}X_{68}X_{67}X_{36} X_{35}X_{58}X_{57}X_{45}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{23}X_{36} X_{14}X_{46}X_{24}X_{45}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{61}X_{46}X_{68}X_{67}X_{71} X_{58}X_{81}X_{68}X_{82}; X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{14}X_{46}X_{46}X_{24}X_{45}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{61}X_{14}X_{46}X_{24}X_{45}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{57}X_{72}X_{23}X_{24}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{71}X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{68}X_{71}X_{35}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{68}X_{71}X_{35}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{82}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{36}X_{36}X_{22}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{14}X_{46}X_{67}X_{71} X_{35}X_{58}X_{23}X_{45}X_{22}; X_{13}X_{35}X_{35}X_{58}X_{14}X_{46}X_{57}X_{23} X_{14}X_{46}X_{57}X_{23}; X_{14}X_{46}X_{57}X_{23} X_{14}X_{45}X_{22}; X_{13}X_{35}$ 

## and has H ilbert series

$$H (t; {}^{ITT}F_{PdP_5}) = \frac{1 + 6t + 21t^2 + 40t^3 + 21t^4 + 6t^5 + t^6}{(1 t)^{10}} :$$
(2.109)

]	Fin	ally	/ <b>,</b> t	he	du	al		ne	Τ-I	m a	atr	ix a	and	tł	ne j	pei	rfe	ct r	n a	tch	ing	g m	at	rix	Ρ	=	K	t	Т	are:
Ţ	[ =		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	1 CCCCCCA	;		
E	0 =		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		:		(2.110)
Subse	que	ntl	y,	the	eir	kei	me	lis	σQ	<sup>t</sup> ,	giv	ing	r us	5																
Qt			0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1	1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1	L	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0		0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0		D       ()         D       ()	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (			ł	$= Q^{t}:$

Again, the rows of  $Q^{t}$  sum to 0 and the toric variety is Calabi-Yau.

# 2.3 The M aster Space: R ecapitulation

Having warm ed up with an etude and som e developm ental case studies let us recapitulate with our them e in  $F^{[}$ . We have studied, with extensive examples for toric theories at num ber of D 3-branes equaling to N = 1, the algebraic variety form ed by the F- atness equations, we have seen that in general this is a reducible variety, with a top Calabi-Yau component

of dimension g + 2. In this section we recapitulate and deepen the properties of the master space F<sup>[</sup> for such toric quiver gauge theories and will discuss what happens for N > 1.

### 2.3.1 The Toric N = 1 C ase

As we have seen,  $F^{[}$  is a toric g + 2 dimensional variety, not necessarily irreducible. The coherent component  ${}^{In}F^{[}$ , the largest irreducible component of  $F^{[}$ , also of dimension g + 2, can be quite explicitly characterized. Denoting, as usual, with E the number of elds in the quiver, g the number of nodes in the quiver and with c the number of perfect m atchings in the dimer realization of the gauge theory, we have de ned three m atrices:

A (g+2) E matrix K obtained by solving the F-term s in term s of a set of independent elds. The columns give the charges of the elementary elds under the (C)<sup>g+2</sup> action; in a more mathematical language, they give the sem i-group generators of the cone  $_{\overline{K}}$  in the toric presentation for  $^{Im}F$ <sup>[</sup>:

$$\lim_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F} \left[ \mathbf{Y} \operatorname{Spec}_{C} \left[ -\frac{1}{K} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{g+2} \right] \right]$$
(2.112)

A (g + 2) c m atrix T, de ned by K<sup>t</sup> T 0, and representing the dual cone <sub>K</sub>. The columns are the c toric vectors of the g + 2 dimensional variety <sup>Im</sup>F<sup>[]</sup>. We see that the number of perfect m atchings in the dimer realization of the quiver theory is the number of external points in the toric diagram for <sup>Im</sup>F<sup>[]</sup>. This generalizes the fact that the external perfect m atchings are related to the external points of the toric diagram for the three dimensional transverse Calabi-Yau space X.

A E  $c m atrix P = K^t$  T which de nes the perfect m atchings as collections of elementary elds.

The variety <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> also has a linear sigm a model, or symplectic quotient, description as

$$\lim_{T \to 0} \mathbf{F}^{[} = \mathbf{C}^{c} = \mathbf{Q}^{t}; \qquad (2.113)$$

where Q is the kernel of the matrices P and T. From all these descriptions we can extract som e general properties of the master space and of its H ilbert series. A s a H igher-D in ensional C alabi-Y au: The most suggestive property is that  $^{In}F$  [ is always a g + 2 dimensional C alabi-Y au manifold. This has been explicitly checked in all the examples we have discussed. It is simple to check the C alabi-Y au condition in the linear sigm a model description, since it corresponds there to the fact that the vectors of charges in Q<sup>t</sup> are traceless, or equivalently, the toric diagram has all the vectors ending on the sam e hyper-plane.

There is a rem arkably simple proof that <sup>Im</sup>F<sup>[</sup> is C alabi-Y au which emphasizes the rôle of perfect m atchings. R ecall from [6] and x2.1.7 that the quiver gauge theory has a description in term s of a dimermodel, this is a bi-partite tiling of the two torus, with V=2 white vertices and V=2 black vertices, where V is the number of superpotential terms. The elementary elds of the quiver correspond to the edges in the tiling, each of which connects a black vertex to a white one. Now, by de nition, a perfect m atching is a choice of elds/dimers that cover each vertex precisely once. In particular, a perfect m atching contains exactly V=2 dimers, connecting the V=2 black vertices to the V=2 white ones. Since the columns of the matrix P, of size E c, tell us which elds/dimers occur in a given perfect m atching we have the nice identity

$$\{\underbrace{1;1;:...;1}_{E}\} P = \frac{V}{2} \{\underbrace{1;1;:...;1}_{z}\}; \qquad (2.114)$$

which basically counts the number of edges in each perfect matching.

By multiplying this equation on the right by the matrix kernel Q of P , P Q = 0, we obtain

$$0 = \{\underbrace{1;1;::::;1}_{c}\} Q$$
(2.115)

and we conclude that the vector of charges of the linear sign a model, which are the rows of the matrix  $Q^{t}$ , are traceless. This proves that <sup>Inf</sup>F<sup>[</sup> is Calabi-Yau.

W e again see that the prefect m atchings description is crucial in our understanding of the properties of the m aster space. A s explained in x2.1.7, the perfect m atchings generates the coherent component of the m aster space as a consequence of the Birkho -Von Neum ann Theorem.

Seiberg Duality: From our study of the toric dual phases, exemplied above by the two phases of the cone over the Hirzebruch surface, we conjecture that

For a pair of Seiberg dual theories,  $^{In}F^{[}$  is the same for both.

Palindrom ic H ilbert Series: An intriguing property of the H ilbert series for  $I^{In}F^{[}$  is its sym m etry. As manifest from all our examples, the num erator of the H ilbert series (in second form) for  $I^{In}F^{[}$  is a polynom ial in t

P (t) = 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{X^{N}} a_{k} t^{k}$$
 (2.116)

with symmetric coe cients  $a_N = a_k$ . This means that there is a remarkable symmetry of the H ilbert series for <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> under t! 1=t,

$$H (1=t; F^{[]}) = t^{W} H (t; F^{[]})$$
(2.117)

where the modular weight w depends on  ${}^{\rm In}\!{\rm F}$  [ .

A polynom ial with such a symmetry between its consecutively highest and lowest coe cients a  $_{N}_{k}$  \$  $a_{k}$  is known as a palindrom ic polynom ial. A beautiful theorem due to Stanley [36] states the following

THEOREM 2.1 The numerator to the Hilbert series of a graded Cohen-Macaulay domain R is palindromic i R is Gorenstein.

W hat this means, for us, is that the coordinate ring of the a ne variety  ${}^{In}F$  [ must be G orenstein. However, an a ne toric variety is G orenstein precisely if its toric diagram is co-planar, i.e., it is Calabi-Yau (cf.e.g.x 4.2 of [30]). Thus we have killed two birds with one stone: proving that  ${}^{In}F$  [ is a ne toric Calabi-Yau above from perfect m atchings also show s that the num erator of its H ilbert series has the palindrom ic symmetry.

As we will see, this symmetry extends to the rened Hilbert series written in terms of the R-charge parameter t and chemical potentials for a global symmetry G. Although G has been up to now Abelian, we will see that in some special cases of theories with hidden symmetries G becomes non Abelian. Introducing chemical potentials z for Cartan sub-algebra of G, we will write the rened Hilbert series as a sum over G-characters in the general form,

where PE is the plethystic exponential to be reviewed in x2.3.3, com puting sym m etric products on a set of generators of the coherent component. The re ned Hilbert series is now invariant under the the combined action oft! 1=t and charge conjugation,  $N = k \cdot W = W$  will see m any examples in Section 4.

#### 2.3.2 GeneralN

The case for an arbitrary number N of D 3-branes is much more subtle and less understood in the mathematical literature <sup>5</sup>, even though it is clear from the gauge theory perspective. We know that the world-volume theory for N D 3-branes is a quiver theory with product U (N<sub>i</sub>) gauge groups and in the R, the U (1) factors decouple since only the special unitary groups exhibit asymptotic freedom and are strongly coupled in the R. Thus the moduli space of interest is the space of solutions to the F- atness, quotiented out by a non-Abelian gauge group

$$M_{N} = F_{N}^{l} = (SU(N_{1}) ::: SU(N_{g})):$$
 (2.119)

where the index N recalls that we are dealing with N branes. The moduli space M  $_{\rm N}$  is of di cult characterization since the quotient is fully non-A belian and it can not be described by toric methods, as in the N = 1 case.

The more familiar mesonic moduli space is obtained by performing a further quotient by the Abelian symmetries. Even for N branes, the Abelian group will be constituted of the decoupled U (1) factors, and hence will be the same as in the toric, N = 1 case. Once again, we expect to have some symplectic quotient structure, in analogy with (1.2), for this mesonic moduli space:

Hence, a toric sym plectic quotient still persists for (2.120), even though the m oduli space in question is not necessarily toric.

M oreover, our plethystic techniques, which we will shortly review, will illum inate us with much physical intuition. First, the mesonic moduli space for N branes is the N-th symmetrized product of that of N = 1:

<sup>m e</sup><sup>M</sup> <sub>N</sub> ' Sym<sup>N</sup> X 
$$\coloneqq$$
 X<sup>N</sup> = <sub>N</sub> ; (2.121)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>W e thank Balazs Szendroi for discussions on this point.

where  $_{\rm N}$  is the symmetric group on N elements. We see that the mesonic moduli space, for X a Calabi-Yau threefold, is of dimension 3N by (2.121). The dimension of the moduli space M  $_{\rm N}$  is thus 3N + g 1 for general N.

#### 2.3.3 The Plethystic Program m e R eview ed

W e cannot en bark upon a study of general N without delving into the plethystic program me, whose one key purpose of design was for this treatment. Here let us review some essentials, partly to set the notation for some extensive usage in x4 later. The realisation in [9] is that the mesonic generating function, with all baryonic numbers xed to be zero,  $g_{1,0}(t; X) =$  $f_1(t; X)$  for the single-trace mesonic operators for D 3-branes probing a Calabi-Yau threefold X at N ! 1 is the Hilbert series of X. Let us de ne the P lethystic Exponential of a multi-variable function  $g(t_1; :::; t_n)$  that vanishes at the origin, g(0; :::; 0) = 0; to be

PE [g(t\_1;...;t\_n)] = exp 
$$\frac{X^1}{k} = \frac{g(t_1^k;...;t_n^k)}{k}$$
: (2.122)

Then the multi-trace mesonic operators at N  $\ ! \ 1$  are counted by the plethystic exponential  $^6$ 

$$g_{1,0}(t; X) = PE[f_1(t; X) 1] \coloneqq \exp \frac{X^1}{r} \frac{f_1(t^r) 1}{r} :$$
 (2.123)

The inverse,  $f_1(t; X) = PE^{-1}[f_1(t; X)]$ , is counting objects in the dening equation (syzygy) of the threefold. The mesonic multi-trace generating function  $g_N$  at nite N is found by the series expansion of the -inserted plethystic exponential as

$$PE[f_{1}(t; X)] = \exp \left( \frac{X^{1}}{r} - \frac{r}{f_{1}(t^{r})} \right)^{!} = \frac{X^{1}}{N} = \int_{N=0}^{N} q_{N}(t)^{N} : \qquad (2.124)$$

In general [11], for the combined m esonic and baryonic branches of the m oduli space<sup>8</sup>, the N = 1 operators are counted by the H ilbert series of the m aster space. The plethystic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>N ote that in order to avoid an in nity the PE is de ned with respect to a function that vanishes when all chem ical potentials are set to zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Note that the insertion satis are set to zero. Any attempt to subtract something from this function leads to incorrect results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>To be Strict, we should say here the mesonic branch together with given FI-parameters, since at N = 1,

program can be e ciently applied to the study of the coherent component of the moduli space [12]. W ith the generating function for the coherent component of the master space, which we denote  $g_1(t; X)$  H (t;  $^{Im}F^{[})$ , we can proceed with the plethystic program and nd the result for  $g_N$  (t; X), counting the combined baryonic and mesonic gauge invariant operators at nite N.

The in plem entation of the plethystic program requires a decom position of the  $g_1(t; X)$  generating function in sectors of de nite baryonic charge, to which the plethystic exponential is applied. An interesting connection of this decom position is found in [12, 11] with K ahler m oduli. This also enables a di erent com putation of  $g_1(t; X)$ . Though our current techniques com pute this quantity using the H ilbert series of the master space, we will later check that this indeed agrees with the form alism of [12].

The decom position of  $g_1$  (t; X) requires the know ledge of two sets of partition functions, the geom etrical ones, obtained by localization, and the auxiliary one, obtained from dimer combinatorics. Speci cally, it was realized that

$$g_{1}(t; X) = \underset{P2GKZ}{X} m (P)g_{1,P}(t; X)$$
(2.125)

where the summation is extended over the lattice points P, of multiplicity m (P), of a socalled GKZ (or secondary) fan of the Calabi-Yau threefold and  $g_{1,P}$  is a much more manageable object obtained from a localisation computation, as given in Eq (4.18) of [12]. The GKZ fan, to rem ind the reader, is the fan of an auxiliary toric variety, which is the space of K ahler parameters of the original toric threefold X. This space is of dimension I 3+d, where I is the number of internal points and d, the number of vertices, of the toric diagram of X.

The multiplicity m (P) of points in this GKZ lattice fan is counted by an auxiliary partition function, so-nam ed  $Z_{aux}$ . This is simply the (re ned) H ilbert series of the follow – ing space: take the simpler quiver than the original by neglecting any repeated arrows and then form the space of open but not closed loops in this simplified quiver. The expansion of  $Z_{aux}$  can then be used to compute the generating function for one D 3-brane.

As a brief rem inder to the reader, the procedure to determ ine the re ned generating function  $g_1$  in (2.125) is to (1) obtain the generating function  $g_{1;1;:::_{K}}$  in terms of a there are no baryons. Nevertheless, we can still generate the counting for the baryons for N > 1 using PE of the N = 1 case. set of K ahler parameters  $_1$ ; ...;  $_K$  using the localisation form ula (4.18) of [12]; (2) obtain  $Z_{aux}(t_1; ...; t_K)$  as above, and (3) replace a term  $t_1^{-1} ::: t_K^{-K}$  in  $Z_{aux}$  by an expression for  $g_{1; 1; ...; K}$ . We will not enter in the details of this construction and we refer the reader to [12]. The in portant point for our ensuing discussions is that the plethystic program can be applied to the N = 1 partition functions at each point of the G K Z fan in order to obtain the nite N generating function

$$g(t; X) \coloneqq \overset{X^{1}}{\underset{N=0}{\overset{N}{\Rightarrow}}} g_{N}(t; X) = \overset{X}{\underset{P2GKZ}{\overset{m}{\Rightarrow}}} m(P)PE[\underline{q}_{LP}(t; X)]: \qquad (2.126)$$

# 3 Linear Branches of M oduli Spaces

From the previous section we learned that the master space  $F^{[}$  of an N = 1 quiver gauge theory on a toric singularity X generically presents a reducible moduli space. This fact could appear surprising. Indeed the N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories are the classical examples of theories with reducible moduli spaces. These theories present two well separated branches: the Higgs branch and the C oulom b branch. Moving along these dimensions has a well de ned characterization both in terms of the geometry of the moduli space and in terms of the VEV structure of the gauge theory. It would be interesting to have a similar interpretation in this more generic setup<sup>9</sup>.

In the case of just N = 1 brane on the tip of the conical singularity X, the reducibility of F<sup>[</sup> follows easily from the toric condition. Indeed, the equations de ning F<sup>[</sup> are of the form \m onom ial = m onom ial", whereby giving us toric ideals as discussed in x2.1.4. Let us embed this variety into C<sup>d</sup> with coordinates  $fx_1; ...; x_dg$ , then its algebraic equations have the form :

$$x_{1}^{i_{j_{1}}} ::: x_{d}^{i_{j_{d}}} M_{1_{j}}(x) M_{2_{j}}(x) = 0;$$
 (3.1)

where j = 1; ...; k runs over the number of polynom ials dening the variety and the polynom ials M<sub>1j</sub>(x) M<sub>2j</sub>(x) are irreducible. If some  $i_{j_p}$  is different from zero the variety is reducible and is given by the union of the zero locus of M<sub>1j</sub>(x) M<sub>2j</sub>(x) together with a set of planes (linear components) L<sup>1</sup> given by the zero locus of the factorized part in (3.1).

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$ O ne can clearly bok for other interpretations of the reducibility of F<sup>[</sup>. An interesting line of research would be to connect the geom etrical structure of F<sup>[</sup> to the pattern of BPS branes in X . W e will leave this topic for future work.



Figure 12: Quiver and toric diagram s for (C  $^2$ =Z  $_2$ ) C.

Hence, the master space  $F^{[}$  could be the union of a generically complicated g + 2 dimensional variety with a set of smaller dimensional linear varieties  $fC^{d_1}$ ; ...; $C^{d_n}g$  parameterized by combinations of the coordinates<sup>10</sup>; this is certainly true for the extensive case studies seen above in x2.2. It would be nice to give a gauge theory interpretation to these smaller dimensional linear branches.

In the follow ing subsections we will give a nice picture for these planes, for some selected examples. The lesson we will learn is that these planes of the master space could parametrize ows in the gauge theory. Speci cally, there may be chains of ows from one irreducible component of the master space of a theory to another. The archetypal example which will be the term inus of many of these ows will be the gauge theory on a D 3-brane probing the Calabi-Yau singularity  $C^2=Z_2$  C, to which we alluded in x2.1.7. Let us rst brie y review this theory, continuing along the same vein as our discussions in x2.1.3.

# 3.1 The $(C^2=Z_2)$ C Singularity

The quiver gauge theory for  $(C^2=Z_2)$  C has N = 2 supersymmetry with two vector multiplets and two bi-fundamental hyper-multiplets. In N = 1 notation we have six chiral multiplets denoted as \_1; \_2;A\_1;A\_2;B\_1;B\_2, with a superpotential

$$W = {}_{1}(A_{1}B_{1} \quad A_{2}B_{2}) + {}_{2}(B_{2}A_{2} \quad B_{1}A_{1})$$
(3.2)

and the quiver and toric diagram s are given in Figure 12. The master space F  $_{(C^2=Z_2)}^{[}$  of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The reducibility of the m oduli space could persist for N > 1, the sim plest example being the conifold with N = 2 (see [12] with other examples) and it would be interesting to have a clearer geometric picture even in these m ore complicated cases. In this section we will concentrate on the N = 1 case.

the theory can be easily found to be

$$F_{(C^{2}=Z_{2})C}^{l} = V(A_{1}B_{1} A_{2}B_{2}; A_{1} A_{2}A_{1}; A_{2} A_{2}; B_{1} B_{1} B_{1}; B_{2} B_{2}; B_{2}): (3.3)$$
Now,  $F_{(C^{2}=Z_{2})C}^{l}$  is clearly reducible and decomposes into the following two irreducible components as  $F_{(C^{2}=Z_{2})C}^{l} = \frac{10}{10}F_{(C^{2}=Z_{2})C}^{l}$  [ L with

$${}^{In}F_{(C^{2}=Z_{2})C} = V(1 + 2;A_{1}B_{1} + A_{2}B_{2}); \qquad L = V(A_{1};A_{2};B_{1};B_{2}):$$
(3.4)

Specifically,  ${}^{In}F_{(C^2=Z_2)C}^{[C^2=Z_2)C}$  is C C, where the C is defined by  ${}_1 = {}_2$  and the conifold singularity C is described by the chiral elds  $fA_1; A_2; B_1; B_2g$  with the constraint  $A_1B_1 = A_2B_2$ . The component  $L = C^2$  is parametrized by the elds f  ${}_1; {}_2g$ . These two branches meet on the complex line parametrized by  ${}_1 = {}_2$ .

The eld theory interpretation of these two branches is standard: moving in L we are giving VEV to the scalars in the vector multiplet and hence we call L the C oulom b branch; while moving in  $\operatorname{Im} F_{(C^2=Z_2)}^{(C^2=Z_2)}$  we are giving VEV to the scalars in the hyper-multiplets and hence we call  $\operatorname{Im} F_{(C^2=Z_2)}^{(C^2=Z_2)}$  the Higgs branch. Let us go on and revisit the reducibility of som e of the master spaces studied in the previous section trying to give them a gauge theory interpretation.

## 3.2 Case Studies Re-examined

First Toric Phase of  $F_0$ : Let us start by re-examining  $(F_0)_I$ , encountered in x2.2.2. We recall from (2.49) that the master space  $F_{F_0}^{\ l}$  is the union of three branches: the biggest one is six dimensional and is the set product of two conifold singularities, i.e., C C, and the two sm allest ones are two copies of C<sup>4</sup>, parametrized respectively by the VEV of fB<sub>1</sub>; B<sub>2</sub>; D<sub>1</sub>; D<sub>2</sub>g and fA<sub>1</sub>; A<sub>2</sub>; C<sub>1</sub>; C<sub>2</sub>g.

Looking at the toric diagram <sup>11</sup> one can easily understand that the gauge theory can ow to the one associated to the  $C^2=Z_2$  C singularity in four di erent ways by giving

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$ W e rem ind the reader that the prescription is as follows [4, 5, 34]. If one toric diagram is derived by deleting external vertices of the other, then the associated gauge theories can ow one into the other. G eom etrically this is blowing up the singularity, while in the gauge theory a FI term is turned on and as a consequence gives VEV to some chiral eds, for a product of U (N<sub>i</sub>) gauge groups; or a baryonic VEV is turned on in the case of product of SU (N<sub>i</sub>) gauge groups. It is indeed possible to associate eds of the gauge theory to each vertex in the toric diagram , as shown in Figure 13. The deleted vertex can be associated with the eds that are getting a VEV.



Figure 13: The four possible ows from  $F_0$  to  $(C^2=Z_2)$  C as exhibited in the toric diagram s.

VEV to one out of the four possible sets of elds:  $fA_1;C_1g, fA_2;C_2g, fB_1;D_1g, fB_2;D_2g$ . Once arrived at the xed point one can move along the Coulomb branch of the IR theory giving VEV respectively to the set of elds:  $fA_2;C_2g, fA_1;C_1g, fB_2;D_2g, fB_1;D_1g$ . Hence, along the two smaller branches of the moduli space the theory admits an accidental N = 2 supersymmetry and the theory moves along the Coulomb branch of the resulting gauge theory.

First del Pezzo: In (2.69) we saw that  $F_{dP_1}^{[}$  decomposes into two irreducible parts:  $\lim_{T} F_{dP_1}^{[}$  and L. The form er is a six dimensional variety, while the existence of the latter four dimensional linear variety L parametrized by  $fU_1; U_2; U_1; U_2$  can be interpreted as the e ect of the presence of an accidental N = 2 sector.

Indeed, boking at the toric diagram (see gure 7) it is easy to realize that the theory ows to the N = 2 theory of ( $C^2=Z_2$ ) C in two di erent ways: giving VEV to  $fU_1; U_1g$  or to  $fU_2; U_2g$ , and the C oulom b branch of these ones is parametrized respectively by  $fU_2; U_2g$ and  $fU_1; U_1g$ . Hence, as in the case of  $F_0$  the linear component in the reducible master space parameterizes the C oulom b branch of the IR N = 2 theory.

#### 3.2.1 Multiple Flows

At this point we have seen that the reducible part of the master space of  $F_0$  and  $dP_1$  can be interpreted as parameterizing the ows of these theories to the Coulomb branch of  $(C^2=Z_2)$  C. Indeed,  $F_{F_0}^{[}$  contains two 4 dimensional planes: along  $L_{F_0}^1$  the theory can ow in two dimensional planes: along  $L_{F_0}^1$  the theory can ow in two other dimensions to  $(C^2=Z_2)$  C. In all the  $F_0$  theory has 4 ways to ow to an N = 2 theory and these various ows are parametrized by the two linear irreducible components of the moduli space. On the other hand,  $F_{dP_1}^{[}$  contains just one linear four dimensional irreducible components of the  $(C^2=Z_2)$  C theory. It is important to note that the linear components of the master spaces of  $F_0$  and  $dP_1$  do not have non trivial intersections among them selves.

It is a well-known fact that the dP<sub>3</sub> theory can ow to the dP<sub>2</sub> and thence further to  $dP_1 \text{ or } F_0$  (cf.e.g. [34]). The linear irreducible components of the master space  $F_{dP_3}^{[}$  and  $F_{dP_2}^{[}$  intersect in a non trivial way and it would be interesting to understand the link between the non trivial linear structure of the moduli space of these theories and the possible ow s.

F low Through dP<sub>2</sub>: As already explained in (2.79),  $F_{dP_2}^{l}$  contains two ve dimensional planes that are parameterized by the following set of coordinates in the moduli space:

$$L_{dP_{2}}^{1} = fX_{45}; Y_{31}; X_{42}; X_{31}; X_{52}g$$

$$L_{dP_{2}}^{2} = fX_{45}; X_{15}; Y_{23}; X_{23}; X_{14}g:$$
(3.5)

The two hyperplanes intersect along a complex line parametrized by X  $_{45}$ :

$$L_{dP_2}^1 \setminus L_{dP_2}^2 = fX_{45}g$$
: (3.6)

Inspecting the toric diagram (see gure 8) it is easy to see that the dP<sub>2</sub> theory can ow to  $F_0$  just in one way and this ow is parametrized in the moduli space by the linear variety  $L^1_{dP_2} \setminus L^2_{dP_2}$ . A long this ow the two linear ve dimensional components of dP<sub>2</sub> become the two linear four dimensional components of the moduli space of  $F_0$ :

$$hX_{45}i \in 0: L^{1}_{dP_{2}} ! L^{1}_{F_{0}}, L^{2}_{dP_{2}} ! L^{2}_{F_{0}}:$$
(3.7)

W hence the  $F_0$  theory can ow to the Coulomb phase of (C<sup>2</sup>=Z<sub>2</sub>) C in the four di erent ways previously explained.

The dP<sub>2</sub> theory has another interesting ow to dP<sub>1</sub>. Again, boking at the blowing up structure of the two toric diagrams one can see that there are two possible ways to ow to dP<sub>1</sub>: one is to give VEV to the eld X <sub>52</sub> in  $L_{dP_2}^1$  in which case this ve dimensional linear space ows to the four dimensional linear irreducible component  $L_{dP_1}$  of the master space of dP<sub>1</sub>; the other is to give a VEV to the eld X <sub>14</sub> in  $L_{dP_2}^2$  in which case this ve dimensional linear linear space ows to  $L_{dP_1}$ . In summary,

W hence the theory can ow to the Coulomb branch of (C<sup>2</sup>=Z<sub>2</sub>) C along  $L_{dP_1}$  in the two ways previously explained.

Third del Pezzo: From (2.92) we know that  $F_{dP_3}^{[}$  contains three six dimensional hyperplanes and these are parameterized by the following set of coordinates in the moduli space:

$$L^{1}_{dP_{3}} = fX_{56}; X_{23}; X_{34}; X_{51}; X_{61}; X_{24}g$$

$$L^{2}_{dP_{3}} = fX_{61}; X_{34}; X_{12}; X_{45}; X_{35}; X_{62}g$$

$$L^{3}_{dP_{3}} = fX_{12}; X_{45}; X_{23}; X_{56}; X_{13}; X_{46}g; \qquad (3.8)$$

every pair of hyperplanes intersect in a C  $^2$  param eterized by the following coordinates:

$$L_{dP_{3}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{2} = fX_{34}; X_{61}g$$

$$L_{dP_{3}}^{2} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3} = fX_{12}; X_{45}g$$

$$L_{dP_{3}}^{1} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{3} = fX_{56}; X_{23}g : (3.9)$$

From the toric diagram (see gure 9) one can see that  $dP_3$  can ow to  $dP_2$  in six di erent ways. These are indeed the six di erent C contained in the various pairw ise intersections in (3.9). The six possible di erent VEVs one can give to ow to  $dP_2$  are:

$$hX_{12}i, hX_{23}i, hX_{34}i, hX_{45}i, hX_{56}i, hX_{61}i:$$
 (3.10)

O beerve that every coordinate param eterizing the six di erent com plex lines are contained just in two of the three six dimensional planes in (3.8). Indeed along these ows the two

planes  $L_{dP_3}^i$ ,  $L_{dP_3}^j$  containing the eld  $F_{i;j}^k$ , k = 1;2 with non trivial VEV, ow to the two ve dimensional planes  $L_{dP_2}^1$ ,  $L_{dP_2}^2$  of the moduli space of  $dP_2$ :

$$h \mathbb{F}_{i;j}^{k} i \in 0 : L_{dP_{3}}^{i} ; L_{dP_{3}}^{j} ! L_{dP_{2}}^{1} ; L_{dP_{2}}^{2} :$$
(3.11)

W hence, giving VEV to the eld param eterizing the second C in the pairwise intersections in (3.9) the theory ows to  $F_0$  and the two vedimensional planes in the master space of  $dP_2$ ows to the two four dimensional planes in the master space of  $F_0$ . We can summarize these ows, observing that  $dP_3$  can ow in three dimensional planes to  $F_0$  along the three intersections in (3.9), giving VEV to the two elds in the C<sup>2</sup>:

$$hL_{dP_{3}}^{i} \setminus L_{dP_{3}}^{j} i \in 0: L_{dP_{3}}^{i}; L_{dP_{3}}^{j} ! L_{F_{0}}^{1}; L_{F_{0}}^{2}:$$
(3.12)

As explained above  $dP_2$  can also ow to  $dP_1$ . Sum marizing the two main dierent ows, we have that

$$dP_3 ! dP_2 ! dP_1 ! (C^2 = Z_2) C ! Coulom b branch$$

$$dP_3 ! dP_2 ! F_0 ! (C^2 = Z_2) C ! Coulom b branch;$$
(3.13)

these can be geom etrically interpreted as  $\infty$  s along the various irreducible linear com ponents of the complete reducible master space of dP<sub>3</sub>.

In this section we have proposed a simple eld theory interpretation for the linear irreducible components of the master space. It seems to work nicely for the case examined. But there are other cases in which the correspondence between ows and linear spaces is less straightforward and need further analysis.

# 4 Hidden G lobal Sym m etries

The moduli space of a eld theory may possess symmetries beyond gauge symmetry or reparametrisation. Searching for hidden symmetries of a given supersymmetric eld theory often leads to insight of the structure of the theory and may even provide selection rules for operators of high mass dimension. For example, seeking unexpected algebro-geometric signatures of the (supersymmetric) standard model is the subject of [20]. For D-brane quiver theories, an underlying symmetry is ever-present: the symmetry of the Calabi-Yau space X is visible in the UV as a global avor symmetry in the Lagrangian, while the symmetry of the fullmoduli space M can reveal a new hidden global symmetry which develops as the theory ows to the IR.

In particular, in [37], the basic elds of the quiver for  $dP_n$  theories were reordered into multiplets of a proposed  $E_n$  symmetry and consequently the superpotential terms into singlets of this symmetry. The exceptional Lie group  $E_n$  acts geometrically on the divisors of the del Pezzo surfaces and is realized in the quantum eld theory as a hidden symmetry enhancing the n non-anom alous baryonic U (1)'s of the  $dP_n$  quiver.

Do the sym m etries of the m aster space, whose geom etrical signi cance we have learned to appreciate in the foregoing discussions, m anifest them selves in the full moduli space M of the gauge theory? Phrased another way, do these sym m etries survive the sym plectic quotient of (1.1) and m anifest them selves also at nite N? This is indubitably a natural question.

In this section, equipped with the new notion of the master space we can revisit this problem and recast all operators into irreducible representations of the sym m etry of  $F^{[}$ . We will show that these sym m etries are encoded in a subtle and beautiful way by the fundam ental invariant of the plethystic program m e [9] for M , viz., the Hilbert series. Moreover, we will demonstrate m any other exam ples of hidden sym m etries in orbifolds and toric quivers, enhancing anom alous and non-anom alous A belian sym m etries.

Since we will always deal with the coherent component of the moduli space in the following and there is no source for ambiguity, we will adopt a simplied notation for the Hilbert series which was already used in [12]:

$$g_1(t;X) \quad H(t; {}^{In}F_X) \tag{4.1}$$

# 4.1 Character Expansion: A W arm -up with C<sup>3</sup>

The N = 4 supersymmetric gauge theory does not have a baryonic branch and therefore the master space F<sup>[</sup> coincides with the Calabi-Yau manifold X = C<sup>3</sup>. We therefore do not expect any new symmetries but instead can use this example as a warm -up example for expanding in terms of characters of the global symmetry. For a single D3-brane, F<sup>[</sup> ' M ' X ' C<sup>3</sup> and there is a U (3) symmetry. Now, there is an SU (4)<sub>R</sub> symmetry for which U (3) is a maximal subgroup, however, we shall see below that the slightly smaller U (3) su ces to keep the structure of the BPS operators.

The generating function for  $C^3$  is well known and was computed in various places

(cf.eg. [9]). It takes the form

$$g(;t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = \frac{Y^{1} Y^{1} Y^{1}}{\prod_{n_{1}=0}^{n_{2}=0} \prod_{n_{3}=0}^{n_{3}} \frac{1}{1 \prod_{n_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} t_{2}^{n_{2}} t_{3}^{n_{3}}}; \qquad (4.2)$$

which coincides with the grand canonical partition function of the three dimensional harmonic oscillator. This form is perhaps the simplest one can write down for the exact answer and from this extract the generating function for any xed N. In this subsection, we will rewrite it in terms of characters of the U (3) global symmetry. The expansion demonstrates how one can explicitly represent this function in terms of characters. This will help in analyzing the next few examples in which expansion in terms of characters are done but for more complicated cases.

Equation (4.2) adm its a plethystic exponential form ,

$$g(;t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = PE[g_{1}]; \quad g_{1}(t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = \frac{1}{(1 t_{1})(1 t_{2})(1 t_{3})} = PE[t_{1} + t_{2} + t_{3}]:$$
(4.3)

W e recall that  $g_1$ , the generating function for a single D 3-brane, N = 1, is none other than the re ned H ilbert series for C<sup>3</sup>, itself being the PE of the de ning equations (syzygies) for C<sup>3</sup>, here just the 3 variables. Furtherm ore, PE [  $g_1$ ] encodes all the generators for the multi-trace operators (symmetric product) at general number N of D-branes.

We can now introduce SU (3) weights  $f_1$ ;  $f_2$  which re ect the fact that the chem ical potentials  $t_1$ ;  $t_2$ ;  $t_3$  are in the fundam ental representation of SU (3), and a chem ical potential t for the U (1)<sub>R</sub> charge,

$$(t_1; t_2; t_3) = t f_1; \frac{f_2}{f_1}; \frac{1}{f_2}$$
 : (4.4)

W e can de ne the character of the fundam ental representation with the symbol

$$[1;0] = f_1 + \frac{f_2}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}; \qquad (4.5)$$

and get

$$g_1(t_1;t_2;t_3;C^3) = P E [[1;0]t] = \sum_{n=0}^{X^1} [n;0]t^n :$$
 (4.6)

The second equality follows from the basic property of the plethystic exponential which produces all possible sym m etric products of the function on which it acts. The full generating function is now rewritten as

$$g(;t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = PE \qquad [n;0]t^{n}; \qquad (4.7)$$



Figure 14: The quiver and toric diagram s, as well as the superpotential for the conifold C.

giving an explicit representation as characters of SU (3). We can expand g( ;t\_2;t\_2;t\_3;C<sup>3</sup>) =  $P_{M_{N=0}}$  g<sub>N</sub> (t\_1;t\_2;t\_3;C<sup>3</sup>) <sup>N</sup> and nd, for example,

$$g_{2}(t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = 1 \quad [0;2]t^{4} + [1;1]t^{6} \quad [0;1]t^{8} \text{ PE} \quad [1;0]t + [2;0]t^{2} : \quad (4.8)$$

A lternatively we can write down an explicit power expansion for  $g_2$  as

$$g_{2}(t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C^{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} X^{1} & \frac{b_{4}^{n}c_{k}}{2}b^{\frac{n+1}{4}c} \\ m(n;k)[n & 2k;k]t^{n}; \\ m(n;k) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{2}^{n}c & k+1 & n \text{ odd} \\ b_{2}^{n}c & 2b^{\frac{k+1}{2}}c+1 & n \text{ even} \\ (4.9) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $g_2$  is not palindrom ic, indicating that the moduli space of 2 D-branes on C<sup>3</sup> is not Calabi-Yau<sup>12</sup>. A rm ed with this character expansion let us now turn to more involved cases where there is a baryonic branch.

# 4.2 Conifold Revisited

Having warm ed up with C<sup>3</sup>, let us begin with ourm ost fam iliar example, the conifold X = C. The master space for the conifold is simply F<sup>[</sup> = C<sup>4</sup> [10, 11]. The symmetry of this space is SU(4) U(1) where the U(1) is the R-symmetry while the SU(4) symmetry is not visible at the level of the Lagrangian and therefore will be called \hidden". One should stress that at the IR the two U(1) gauge elds become free and decouple and one is left with 4 noninteracting elds, which obviously transform as fundam ental representation of this SU(4) global symmetry. Now, there is a mesonic SU(2) SU(2) symmetry and a baryonic U(1)<sub>B</sub> symmetry, this SU(4) H idden Symmetry is an enhancement of both.

To start let us recall the theory in Figure 14. Indeed, we see that when the number of branes N = 1, we have a U  $(1)^2$  theory with W = 0. The vanishing of the superpotential in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>W e thank D avid B erenstein for an enlightening discussion on this point.

	SU(2) <sub>1</sub> (j <sub>1</sub> ;m <sub>1</sub> )	SU(2) <sub>2</sub> (j <sub>2</sub> ;m <sub>2</sub> )	U (1) <sub>R</sub>	U (1) <sub>B</sub>	m onom ial
$A_1$	$(\frac{1}{2}; + \frac{1}{2})$	(0;0)	<u>1</u> 2	1	t <sub>i</sub> x
A 2	$(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$	(0;0)	<u>1</u> 2	1	<u>ti</u> x
Β <sub>1</sub>	(0;0)	$(\frac{1}{2}; + \frac{1}{2})$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1	t₂y
B 2	(0;0)	$(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$	<u>1</u> 2	-1	t <u>2</u> V

Table 4: The transform ation, under the explicit global symmetry group  $SU(2)_1 = SU(2)_2$  $U(1)_R = U(1)_B$ , of the 4 elds in the conifold theory. The monomials indicate the associated chemical potentials in the Plethystic programme.

this case m eans that we have four free variables  $A_{1,2}$  and  $B_{1,2}$  and the m aster space should be C<sup>4</sup>. The gauge theory has an explicit global sym m etry SU (2)<sub>1</sub> SU (2)<sub>2</sub> U (1)<sub>R</sub> U (1)<sub>B</sub> and the four elds transform under these sym m etries according to F igure 4. We have m arked the m onom ials for the counting of baryonic operators whose generating function for N = 1, in the notation of the plethystic program me, was given in Equation (3.3) of [11]; this is also sim ply the rened H ilbert series for the master space  $F_{C}^{[}$ :

$$g_{1}(t_{1};t_{2};x;y;C) = H(t_{1};t_{2};x;y;F_{C}^{[} = C^{4}) = \frac{1}{(1 t_{1}x)(1 t_{2}y)(1 t_{2}y)(1 t_{2}y)}$$

$$= PE[t_{1}x + \frac{t_{1}}{x} + t_{2}y + \frac{t_{2}}{y}]:$$
(4.10)

In the above, we recall from x2.3.3 that the H ilbert series is itself the PE of the de ning equations. Moreover, as in [11] we can de ne b which counts (i.e., is the chem ical potential associated to) baryon number and t which counts the total R-charge; then  $t_1 = tb$  and  $(t_2 = t=b)$  would respectively count the number of A and B elds appearing in the baryonic operator. Furtherm ore, x and y keep track of the rst and second SU (2) weights respectively. Indeed, if we unre ned by setting  $t_1 = t; t_2 = t; x = 1; y = 1$ , we would obtain the fam iliar H ilbert series for C<sup>4</sup> which is  $g_1(t; C^4) = (1 t)^4$ .

Now, the elds in (4) are in the representations of the explicit SU (2) SU (2) U  $(1)_R$ U  $(1)_B$  symmetry, while our hidden symmetry is SU (4) U (1). We will therefore introduce SU (4) weights,  $h_1$ ;  $h_2$ ;  $h_3$  and m ap them to the three weights of the SU (2) SU (2) U  $(1)_B$ global symmetry. This is done simply by rst taking the four weights of the fundamental representation of SU (4), whose character we will denote as [1;0;0], and which we recall can be written in terms of the weights as

$$[1;0;0] = h_1 + \frac{h_2}{h_1} + \frac{h_3}{h_2} + \frac{1}{h_3} :$$
(4.11)

Then we multiply by t to obtain a SU(4) U(1) representation, which should be mapped them to the four weights of SU(2) SU(2) U(1)<sub>R</sub> U(1)<sub>B</sub> in the rightmost column of (4) above:

t 
$$h_1; \frac{h_2}{h_1}; \frac{h_3}{h_2}; \frac{1}{h_3} = t_1x; \frac{t_1}{x}; t_2y; \frac{t_2}{y}$$
 : (4.12)

This has a solution  $t = \frac{p_1}{t_1 t_2}$ ;  $h_1 = bx$ ;  $h_2 = b^2$ ;  $h_3 = by$ .

In analogy with (4.3), we can now write (4.10) in terms of a plethystic exponential:

$$g_{1}(t;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3};C) = P E [[1;0;0]t] = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} [n;0;0]t^{n}; \qquad (4.13)$$

where [n;0;0] is the completely symmetric tensor of rank n and dimension  $\frac{n+3}{3}$ . The rst equality writes the 4 generators of C<sup>4</sup>, viz.,  $t_1x; \frac{t_1}{x}; t_2y; \frac{t_2}{y}$ , in the weights of SU (4) U (1) and the second equality follows from the de nition of P E in (2.122) and the fact that expansion of the plethystic exponential in power series of twill compose the n-th symmetrized product. Equation (4.13) is a trivial and obvious demonstration that the N = 1 generating function is decomposed into irreducible representations of SU (4), precisely one copy of the irreducible representation [n;0;0] at R-charge n. To be precise we are taking here the R-charge to be n times that of the basic eld A.

### 4.2.1 Hidden Symmetries for Higher Plethystics

We have now seen that the basic invariant, i.e.,  $g_1$ , the H ilbert series, of  $F_c^{[}$ , can be written explicitly as the plethystic exponential of the fundam ental representation of SU (4). Now, the hidden symmetry m ixes baryon number with m eson number and therefore we do not expect this symmetry to hold for general number N of D 3-branes. For the case of N = 2, how ever, baryons and m esons have the same R-charge and therefore we may expect the global symmetry to be enhanced.

Actually for N = 2, SU (4) becomes a symmetry of the Lagrangian of the conifold theory, which is an SO (4) theory with four avors in the vector representation and an SU (4) invariant superpotential.<sup>13</sup> W riting the 4 avors in the vector representation of SO (4) as a 4 4 matrix Q we not that the superpotential is W = detQ. U sing the form alism which is developed to count gauge invariant operators for the conifold [11] we not that the N = 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>W e thank Vadim Kaplunovsky for a discussion on this point.

generating function does indeed decom pose into characters of irreducible representations of SU (4).

The generating function for N = 2 was computed in Equation (3.47) and its predecessor in [11]. The expression is given as a function of all 4 chem ical potentials and is quite lengthy. Here, we will take this expression and recast it into characters of the global sym m etry SU (4). The rst point to note is that the generators form the [2;0;0] representation of SU (4). It is natural to expect this since this representation is the second rank sym m etric product of the generators for N = 1. The other term s are less obvious and need explicit com putation. A short com putation yields

$$g_{2}(t;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3};C) = 1 \quad [0;0;2]t^{6} + [1;0;1]t^{8} \quad [0;1;0]t^{10} \text{ PE} \quad [2;0;0]t^{2} : \quad (4.14)$$

This can be seen if we write the explicit expressions for the characters of the irreducible representations [38] of SU (4) which we can write in terms of the weights as

$$[2;0;0] = h_{1}^{2} + \frac{h_{3}h_{1}}{h_{2}} + \frac{h_{1}}{h_{3}} + \frac{h_{3}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}} + h_{2} + \frac{1}{h_{2}} + \frac{1}{h_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}}{h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{1}^{2}}$$

$$[0;0;2] = \frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}h_{1}}{h_{2}} + \frac{h_{1}}{h_{3}} + h_{3}^{2} + h_{2} + \frac{1}{h_{2}} + \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}}{h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{h_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{2}h_{3}} + \frac{h_{3}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}} + \frac{h_{3}}{h_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{h_{2}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{2}h_{3}} + \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h_{2}}{h_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{2}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{3}^{2}}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{3}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{3}}{h_{1}h_{1}} + \frac{h_{2}h_{$$

As a check, (4.14) can be expanded to rst few orders in t,

$$g_{2}(t;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3};C) = 1 + [2;0;0]t^{2} + ([4;0;0] + [0;2;0])t^{4} + ([6;0;0] + [2;2;0])t^{6} + ([8;0;0] + [4;2;0] + [0;4;0])t^{8} + ([10;0;0] + [6;2;0] + [2;4;0])t^{10} + \dots$$

and in series form it is

$$g_{2}(t;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3};C) = \begin{cases} x^{1} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & X^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & (2n & 4k;2k;0)^{2} & t^{2n} \\ n=0 & k=0 \end{cases}$$
(4.16)

Now, using the formula for the dimension of a generic irreducible representation of SU (4),

$$\dim [n_1; n_2; n_3] = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 3)(n_1 + n_2 + 2)(n_2 + n_3 + 2)(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(n_3 + 1)}{12};$$
(4.17)

we nd that the unre ned generating function for N = 2 sum s to

$$g_2(t;1;1;1;C) = \frac{1+3t^2+6t^4}{(1-t^2)^7}; \qquad (4.18)$$

as expected and in agreem ent with Equation (3.47) of [11]. Taking the Plethystic Logarithm we nd that the 7 dimensional manifold is generated by 10 operators of order 2 transforming in the [2;0;0] representation of SU (4) subject to 10 cubic relations transforming in the [0;0;2] representation of SU (4). Since  $g_2$  is not palindrom ic we expect the moduli space of 2 D-branes on the conifold to be not Calabi-Yau.

This con m s the expansion in term s of characters of SU (4) for the case of N = 2. Unfortunately this symmetry does not extend to N = 3 and is not a symmetry for higher values of N. In the next example we are going to see how a hidden symmetry extends to all values of N, simply because the hidden symmetry does not m ix baryonic numbers with m esonic numbers as it does for the conifold. The symmetry structure then persists to all orders in N.

# 4.3 $F_0$ R evisited

Let us move on to the  $F_0$  theory and focus on the rst toric phase, whose master space we studied in x2.2.2. We recall that for a single D3-brane, N = 1, it is a six dimensional reducible variety composed by a set of coordinate planes and an irreducible six dimensional Calabi-Yau piece. This top piece, being toric, adm its a symmetry group which is at least U (1)<sup>6</sup>. Re-examining (2.50) we see that it is actually the set product of two three dimensional conifold singularities:

$$B_2D_1 \quad B_1D_2 = 0 ; A_2C_1 \quad A_1C_2 = 0 ;$$
 (4.19)

hence the group of sym m etries is SU  $(2)^4$  U  $(1)^2$ , twice of that in x4.2. The rst SU  $(2)^2$  is the non-A belian sym m etry group of the variety  $F_0$  and the second SU  $(2)^2$  is a hidden sym m etry related to the two anom abus baryonic sym m etries of the gauge theory. The chiral spectrum of the theory is sum m arized by the H ilbert series:

$$g_{1}(t_{1};t_{2};F_{0}) = \frac{(1 \quad t_{1}^{2})(1 \quad t_{2}^{2})}{(1 \quad t_{1})^{4}(1 \quad t_{2})^{4}} = P E [4t_{1} \quad t_{1}^{2} + 4t_{2} \quad t_{2}^{2}]; \qquad (4.20)$$

where the chemical potential  $t_1$  counts the elds  $A_i$ ;  $C_j$  while  $t_2$  counts the elds  $B_i$ ;  $D_j$ .

Let us de ne the representation [n] [m] [p] [q] = [n;m;p;q] for the SU  $(2)^4$  group. Equation (4.19) and Table 3 of [12] then in plies that A; C are in the [1;0;1;0] representation and B; D are in the [0;1;0;1] representation. The re nem ent for the Hilbert series (4.20) is then

$$g_1(t_1;t_2;x;y;a_1;a_2;F_0) = (1 \quad t_1^2)(1 \quad t_2^2)PE[[1;0;1;0]t_1 + [0;1;0;1]t_2]: (4.21)$$

Am azingly, the group SU  $(2)^4$  U  $(1)^2$  is the sym m etry for the chiral ring for generic N, not just for N = 1, and hence the m oduli space of the non-A belian theory on N D 3-branes has this group as a sym m etry group.

The generating function for nite N can be computed using the plethystic exponential in each sector of the GKZ decomposition of the N = 1 partition function. The reader is referred to [12] for details and to x2.3.3 for a short account of the general philosophy. In particular, the implementation of the plethystic program goes through the formulae (2.125) and (2.126) and requires the computation of the generating functions for xed K ahlerm oduli and the auxiliary partition function.

R ecall from [12] that the generating function for xed integral K ahler m oduli, which in this case can be parameterized by two integers  $, ^{0}$ , is equal to

$$g_{1;;} \circ (t_{1};t_{2};F_{0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} (2n + 1)(2n + 0 + 1)t_{1}^{2n+1} t_{2}^{2n+1}$$
(4.22)

This can be easily re ned in term s of representations of the global symmetry as

- 1

- 1

$$g_{1;;} \circ (t_{1};t_{2};x;y;a_{1};a_{2};F_{0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{2}} [2n + i^{2}n + i^{0};0;0]t_{1}^{2n+} t_{2}^{2n+}$$
(4.23)

The auxiliary partition function in Equation (5.56) of [12] also admits an expression in terms of representations of the global symmetry,

$$Z_{aux}(t_1;t_2;a_1;a_2;F_0) = (1 \quad t_1^2 t_2^2) P E [[0;0;1;0]t_1 + [0;0;0;1]t_2]; \quad (4.24)$$

which has an expansion as

$$Z_{aux}(t_{1};t_{2};a_{1};a_{2};F_{0}) = \begin{cases} X^{1} & X^{1} \\ [0;0;;;]^{0}t_{1}t_{2}^{0} & [0;0;;]^{0}t_{1}t_{2}^{0} \\ ;^{0}=0 & ;^{0}=2 \end{cases} (0;0; 2; 1, t_{2}^{0}) (4.25)$$

Recalling from x2.3.3, once we have  $g_{1; ; 0}$  and  $Z_{aux}$  we can do the replacement  $t_1 t_2^{\circ}$  in  $Z_{aux}$  by  $g_{1; ; 0}$  (as done in line 2 below and using the fact that by our de nition [a;b;0;0] [0;0;c;d] = [a;b;c;d]) to obtain the generating function for a single brane:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{1}\left(t_{1};t_{2};x;y;a_{1};a_{2};F_{0}\right) \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ P & & P \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ p & & P \\ \end{array} \\ = & & & & \\ p & & P \\ & & & \\ P & & P \\ & & & \\ p & & P \\ \end{array} \\ = & & & & \\ p & & \\ P & & \\ & & \\ p & & \\ P & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{rcl} p & & & \\ p & & \\$$

leaving only positive coe cients and meaning that SU (2)  $^4$  U (1) $^2$  is indeed a symmetry of the N = 1 m oduli space. The second equality follows by shifting n by 1 and the 's by 2. The third equality is the remaining n = 0 term from both contributions. It is important to note that Equation (4.26) factorizes into two conifold generating functions,

$$g_{1}(t_{1};t_{2};x;y;a_{1};a_{2};F_{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} & & & ! \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & = 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & = 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & &$$

which can be easily checked to equal Equation (4.21).

Next, using (2.126), we can write a generic expression for any N .

Note that the rst PE contains all the term s in the second PE and hence all the coe cients in the expansion are positive. This is the explicit demonstration that for generic N the chiral spectrum organizes into representations of SU  $(2)^4$  U  $(1)^2$  and hence the moduli space of the non-Abelian theory with generic rank N has symmetry SU  $(2)^4$  U  $(1)^2$ .

# 4.4 dP<sub>0</sub> R evisited

The master space for  $dP_0$  is calculated above in x2.1.4 and is found to be irreducible. Its sym plectic quotient description is  $C^6 = f_1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 0$ . We note that the sum of charges is zero, in plying that this 5-dimensional variety is Calabi-Yau, in agreement with (2.9). This space is a natural generalization of the conifold and has the description of a cone over a 9 real dimensional Sasaki E instein manifold given by a circle bundle over  $P^2 = P^2$ . This structure reveals a symmetry of the form SU(3) SU(3) U(1).

Indeed, we note that this construction is toric and therefore we would expect a sym – metry which is at least U  $(1)^5$  since the master space has dimension 5. However, due to the special sym metries of this space the sym metry is larger. The U (1) is the R-sym metry and the rst SU (3) is the natural one acting on the mesonic moduli space X = dP<sub>0</sub> = C<sup>3</sup>=Z<sub>3</sub>. The second SU (3) symmetry is a hidden" symmetry, and is related to the two anomalous

baryonic U (1) sym m etries that play a rôle as the Cartan subgroup of this sym m etry. We can use the full sym m etry to com pute the re ned H ilbert series for this space.

The Hilbert series for just one charge was computed with the Molien formula in (2.30)

$$g_{1}(t;dP_{0}) = \frac{dw}{2 \text{ iv } (1 \text{ t=w})^{3} (1 \text{ w})^{3}} = \frac{1 + 4t + t^{2}}{(1 t)^{5}}; \quad (4.29)$$

Taking the plethystic logarithm of this expression we nd 9 generators at order t subject to 9 relations at order  $t^2$ ,

$$P E^{-1}[g_1(t;dP_0)] = 9t \quad 9t^2 + \dots$$
(4.30)

This agrees exactly with the content of (2.9) which says that  $F_{dP_0}^{[}$  should be the incomplete (since the plethystic logarithm does not term inate) intersection of 9 quadrics in 9 variables.

Now, we would like to re ne the H ilbert series to include all the 5 global charges. This can be done using the M olien form ula or any other of the m ethods discussed in section 2. Here we nd a shorter way of determ ining it. To do this we recognize the 9 quiver elds as transforming in the [1;0] [0;1] representation of SU (3) SU (3). For short we will write an irreducible representation of this group as a collection of 4 non-negative integer numbers, here [1;0;0;1] and with obvious extension to other representations. These are all the generators of the variety (2.29). The relations are derived from a superpotential of weight t<sup>3</sup> so we expect 9 relations at order t<sup>2</sup> transforming in the conjugate representation to the generators, [0;1;1;0]. To get this into e ect we rewrite (4.29) into a form which allows generalization to include characters (multiplying top and bottom by (1 t)<sup>4</sup>):

$$g_1(t;dP_0) = \frac{1 \quad 9t^2 + 16t^3 \quad 9t^4 + t^6}{(1 \quad t)^9} :$$
(4.31)

The coe cient of the t<sup>2</sup> in the numerator can now be identified with the 9 relations, whose transformation rules are already determined to be [0;1;1;0]. Being irreducible we expect the H ilbert series to be palindromic. This gives as the t<sup>4</sup> term as [1;0;0;1]. The same property in plies that the coe cient of the t<sup>3</sup> term is self conjugate and hence uniquely becomes the adjoint representation for SU (3) SU (3), [1;1;0;0]+ [0;0;1;1]. Finally, the denominator can be simply expressed as a plethystic exponential of the representation for the generators, [1;0;0;1]. In other words,  $(1 t)^9 = PE[9t]$ . In summary, we end up with the remember of the H ilbert series for  $F_{dP_0}^{[1]}$  as:

$$g_{1}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = (1 \quad [0;1;1;0;t^{2} + ([1;1;0;0] + [0;0;1;1])t^{3} \quad [1;0;0;1]t^{4} + t^{6})PE \quad [[1;0;0;1]t]:$$

$$(4.32)$$

For completeness, we list here the explicit expressions for the characters of the representations, using weights  $f_1$ ;  $f_2$  for the rst, mesonic SU (3) and  $a_1$ ;  $a_2$  for the second, hidden SU (3):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1;0;0;1 \end{bmatrix} = f_{1} + \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}} + \frac{1}{f_{2}} & \frac{1}{a_{1}} + \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}} + a_{2} ; \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0;1;1;0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{f_{1}} + \frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}} + f_{2} & a_{1} + \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} + \frac{1}{a_{2}} ; \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1;1;0;0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}} + f_{1}f_{2} + \frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}^{2}} + 2 + \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}} + \frac{1}{f_{1}f_{2}} + \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}^{2}} ; \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0;0;1;1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{a_{1}^{2}}{a_{2}} + a_{1}a_{2} + \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}^{2}} + 2 + \frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}} + \frac{1}{a_{1}a_{2}} + \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} : \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0;0;1;1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{a_{1}^{2}}{a_{2}} + a_{1}a_{2} + \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}^{2}} + 2 + \frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}} + \frac{1}{a_{1}a_{2}} + \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}^{2}} : \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

In terms of the above weights, being generated by the representation [1;0;0;1], the H ilbert series, (4.32), for the case of N = 1 D 3-brane adm its a simple and natural series expansion of the form

$$g_{1}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} [n;0;0;n]t^{n} :$$
(4.34)

#### 4.4.1 Higher Number of Branes

U sing the form alism of [12] explained in the previous subsection, we can compute the N = 2 generating function in terms of characters of the global symmetry. The computation is somewhat lengthy but the result is relatively simple:

$$g_{2}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P} & \frac{h^{n}}{L^{2}}^{c} \\ [2n & 4k;2k;0;n]t^{2n} + \\ \mathbb{P} & \mathbb{P} & \mathbb{P}^{2} \\ + & [2n_{2}+3n_{3} & 2k;k;0;n_{2}]t^{2n_{2}+3n_{3}}; \\ n_{2}=0n_{3}=1k=0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.35)$$

with coe cient 1 for each representation which appears in the expansion. It is important to identify the generators of this expression and a quick computation reveals the order  $t^2$  and order  $t^3$  generators to be the representations, [2;0;0;1], and [3;0;0;0], respectively. We can therefore sum the series and obtain

$$g_{2}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = A_{2}PE \quad [2;0;0;1]t^{2} + [3;0;0;0]t^{3}; \quad (4.36)$$

where  $A_2$  is a complicated polynomial of order 58 in twhich has the rst 10 term s:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2}) &= 1 \quad [2;1;1;0]t^{4} \quad [1;2;0;1]t^{5} + \\ &+ \quad ([4;1;0;0] + \quad [1;1;0;0] + \quad [3;0;1;1] + \quad [0;3;1;1] \quad [0;0;0;3])t^{6} \\ &+ \quad ([3;2;1;0] + \quad [2;1;1;0] + \quad [1;3;1;0] + \quad [1;0;1;0] + \quad [0;2;0;2] + \quad [0;2;1;0])t^{7} \\ &\quad ([5;0;0;1] \quad [2;0;1;2] \quad [2;0;0;1] + \quad [1;2;1;2])t^{8} \\ &\quad ([5;2;0;0] + \quad [3;3;0;0] + \quad [2;2;0;0] + \quad [1;1;0;0] + \quad [0;3;0;0] + \quad 2[3;0;0;0] \\ &\quad [3;0;0;3] + \quad [0;0;0;3] + \quad [2;2;1;1] + \quad [1;1;1] + \quad [0;0;1;1])t^{9} + \quad ::: \\ &\quad (4.37) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain generic expressions for any N . Recall from [12] that the generating function for a xed integral Kahler modulus is equal to

$$g_{1}; (t) = \begin{cases} X^{1} & 3n + + 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{cases} t^{3n+};$$
(4.38)

this can be easily written in term s of representations of the global symmetry as

$$g_{1}; (t; f_{1}; f_{2}; a_{1}; a_{2}; dP_{0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} [3n + ;0;0;0]t^{3n+} : (4.39)$$

The auxiliary partition function also admits an expression in terms of representations of the global symmetry:

$$Z_{aux}(t;a_1;a_2;dP_0) = (1 t^3)PE[[0;0;0;1]t];$$
(4.40)

which has an expansion as

$$Z_{aux}(t;a_1;a_2;dP_0) = \begin{cases} X^{4} & X^{4} \\ 0;0;0;0; & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{cases} (0;0;0; 3]t : (4.41)$$

As with x4.3, we can use (4.23) and (4.41) to compute the generating function for one D 3-brane:

$$g_{1}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} P & P \\ [3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+} \\ P & P \\ = 0 & P \\ [3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+} \\ P & P \\ = 0 & P \\ = 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+} \\ P & P \\ = 0 & P \\ = 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3n + ;0;0; P^{3n+} \\ P & P \\ = 0 & P \\ = 0$$

In the second equality we shifted the index by 3 and an opposite shift of the index n by 1 in the second term . This allows us, in the third equality, to cancel all terms for n except for

the term in n = 0. Thus we reproduce (4.34) in a remarkable cancellation that leaves only positive coe cients.

Subsequently, we can obtain the expression  $g_N$ , by computing the -inserted plethystic exponential and series expansion:

$$\overset{\mathbb{P}}{=} \overset{\mathbb{N}}{=} \underset{n=0}{\overset{\mathbb{N}}{=}} g_{N} ( ;t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = g( ;t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0})$$

$$= \overset{\mathbb{N}}{=} \underset{n=0}{\overset{\mathbb{N}}{=}} [0;0;0;0] = \overset{\mathbb{P}}{=} [3n + ;0;0;0] = \overset{\mathbb{P}}{=} [3n + ;0;0;0] = \overset{\mathbb{P}}{=} [3n + ;0;0;0] = \overset{\mathbb{N}}{=} (4.43)$$

Note that the rst P E contains all the term s in the second P E and hence all the coe cients in the expansion are positive. As a check, we can expand (4.43) to second order in :

$$g_{2}(t;f_{1};f_{2};a_{1};a_{2};dP_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} X^{1} & X^{2} & X^{1} & X^{1} \\ & [2 & 4k;2k;0; ]^{2} + [;0;0;0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3n + ;0;0;0]^{2^{n+2}} \\ & = 0 & n = 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.44)$$

which indeed agrees with (4.35).

## 4.5 $dP_1$ R evisited

Let us now re-exam ine the dP<sub>1</sub> theory, studied in x2.2.3. The hidden symmetry expected for the del Pezzo surfaces as an enhancement of the non-anom alous baryonic symmetry [37] here is still trivial  $E_1 = U(1)$ . On the other hand, from the matrix of charges Q<sup>t</sup> for the symplectic action, we realize that the symmetry of  $InF_{dP_1}^{[}$  is SU(2) SU(2) U(1)<sup>4</sup>. One U(1) is the R-symmetry and the rst SU(2) is the natural one acting on the mesonic moduli space. The second SU(2) is a hidden" symmetry coming from one of the two anom alous baryonic U(1) symmetries.

The four elds U transform in the (2;2) representation of SU (2) SU (2), the elds V in the (2;0) representation,  $(Y_1;Y_3)$  in the (0;2) representation while  $Y_2$  and Z are SU (2) SU (2) singlets. We can use the full symmetry to compute the rened H ilbert series for this space. This can be done with any of the methods discussed in x2 and the result is

$$g_1(t;dP_1) = P(t)PE([1;1]+ [0;1]+ 2[0;0])t+ [1;0]t^2$$
(4.45)

w ith

$$P(t) = 1 ([0;0]+ [0;1])t^{2} [1;1]t^{3} + ([2;0]+ [0;2]+ 2[0;1]+ 2[0;0])t^{4}$$
$$[1;1]t^{5} ([0;0]+ [0;1])t^{6} + t^{8}; \qquad (4.46)$$

where [n;m] denotes the representation of dimension (n + 1;m + 1) of SU(2) SU(2). The variable t is as in x2.2.3 and, for sim plicity, we have suppressed the weights under the remaining U(1) symmetries. Note that this H ilbert series is palindrom ic, as expected. A lthough there are m inus signs in the numerator of the rened H ilbert series, it is easy to see that  $g_1(t;dP_1)$  has an expansion in term s of irreducible representations of SU(2) U(1) that have non-negative coelecters.

## 4.6 $dP_2$ R evisited

Let us now re-exam ine the  $dP_2$  theory, studied in x2.2.4. This is the rst example where we expect to see a non trivial hidden symmetry  $E_n$ , extending the non-anom abus baryonic symmetries of the quiver theory for  $dP_n$  [37]. The expected symmetry for  $dP_2$  is  $E_2 = SU(2)$  U(1). We choose the following assignment of charges and weights,

fX 14; X 23; X 31; X 45; X 52; X 42; Y 23; X 34; X 53; Y 31; X 15g! f3; 1; 1; 4; 3; 1; 1; 2; 2; 1; 1g (4.47)

for the U (1) action with weight q, and

$$fX_{14};X_{23};X_{31};X_{45};X_{52};X_{42};Y_{23};X_{34};X_{53};Y_{31};X_{15}g! f1;1; 1;0; 1; 1;1;0;0; 1;1g$$

$$(4.48)$$

for the action of the Cartan generator of SU (2).

W e can again compute the re ned H ilbert series with any of the m ethods discussed in x2. The result is

$$g_1(t;q;dP_2) = P(t;q;x)PE 3[1 g^{-1} + [1 g^3 + 2[0 g^2 + [0 g^{-4}]; (4.49)]$$

w ith

$$P(t;q;x) = 1 \quad t^{3}([2 p^{2} + [1 p + [0 p^{2}) \quad t^{4}([1](q \quad q^{3}) + [0 p^{4}) + t^{5}(2[2] + [1](q^{3} + q^{3}) + 2[0]) \quad t^{6}([1](q^{1} \quad q^{3}) + [0 p^{4}) \quad t^{7}([2 p^{2} + [1 p^{1} + [0 p^{2}) + t^{10}; (4.50))$$

where [n] denotes the representation of dimension n + 1 of SU (2).

A lthough there are m inus signs in the num erator of the re ned H ilbert series, one can check by explicit computation that  $g_1(t;q;x;dP_2)$  has an expansion in terms of irreducible representations of SU(2) U(1) with non-negative coe cients.

### 4.7 $dP_3$ R evisited

Let us now show that the dP<sub>3</sub> theory, studied in x2.2.5, has a hidden symmetry E<sub>3</sub> SU (2) SU (3), which should be related to the E<sub>3</sub> symmetry discussed in [37]. As in [37], the rst six elds in (2.90) with weight t transform in the (2;3) representation of SU (2) SU (3) while the other six, with weight  $t^2$ , transform as two copies of the representation (1;3). The H ilbert series, which we recall from (2.93) can now be re-ned using weights of SU (2) SU (3) representations and thus represent the explicit transform ation rules under this group.

To achieve this we will introduce some notation. We set  $_{(2,3)}$  as the character of the fundam ental representation of SU(2) SU(3). This character can depend on the three di erent chem ical potentials associated with the Cartan sub-algebra of SU(2) SU(3). The choice of the weights is not in portant and is subject to personal preference. To be explicit we can denote the weights of the SU(2) representation with spin j and dimension 2j + 1 by a chem ical potential x =  $e^i$  as

$$_{j}(x) = U_{2j}(\cos);$$
 (4.51)

where  $U_n(x)$  is the Chebyshev polynom ial of the second kind; for example,  $\frac{1}{2}(x) = x + \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $_1(x) = x^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{x^2}$ , etc. The reader is referred to the Chebyshev gym nastics of [11]. Sim ilarly, the SU (3) characters can be chosen by selecting weights  $_1$ ;  $_2$  for the fundam ental representation which can be conveniently taken as

$$_{3}(_{1};_{2}) = _{1} + \frac{2}{_{1}} + \frac{1}{_{2}} :$$
 (4.52)

O ther characters can be computed by using this basic character. For completeness we write the few of direct use below :

$$_{3}(_{1};_{2}) = \frac{1}{_{1}} + \frac{1}{_{2}} + _{2}: \qquad (4.53)$$

The character for the fundam ental representation of SU(2) SU(3) then takes the form

$$_{(2,3)}(\mathbf{x}; _{1}; _{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x})_{3}(_{1}; _{2});$$
 (4.54)

and m ore generally a representation of SU (2) SU (3) with dimensions (2j+1;dim R) has a character

$$_{(jR)}(x; _{1}; _{2}) = _{j}(x) _{R}(_{1}; _{2}):$$
 (4.55)

W e proceed by recasting the H ilbert series (2.93) in a way more suitable to reject the representation structure, by multiplying the numerator and denom inator of H (t;  ${}^{In}F_{dP_3}^{[}$ ) by (1 t<sup>2</sup>)<sup>4</sup>:

$$g_1(t; dP_3) = \frac{1 \quad 9t^4 + 16t^6 \quad 9t^8 + t^{12}}{(1 \quad t)^6 (1 \quad t^2)^6} :$$
(4.56)

Now the coe cients of the numerator are dimensions of representations while the exponents of the denom inator are also dimensions of representations. The denom inator takes a form of a plethystic exponential for the function  $6t + 6t^2$ , which after renement by SU (2) SU (3) weights takes the form  $_{(2\beta)}(x; _{1}; _{2})t + 2 _{(1\beta)}(_{1}; _{2})t^2$ . This agrees with Table (3.8) of [37] and is indeed consistent with the general expectation that each eld in the quiver is a generator of the H ilbert series for the master space. The renement in terms of weights also reveals the dimensions for the numerator which takes the form 1 3  $_{(1\beta)}(_{1}; _{2})t^4 + (8 + _{(1\beta)}(_{1}; _{2}))t^6$  3  $_{(1\beta)}(_{1}; _{2})t^8 + t^{12}$ . The representations again are chosen such that they agree with the expected generators and relations, as well as the palindrom ic property.

Collecting all of these together we nd

$$g_{1}(t; dP_{3}) = (1 \quad 3 \quad (1,3)t^{4} + (8 + (1,3))t^{6} \quad 3 \quad (1,3)t^{8} + t^{12})PE[(2,3)t + 2 \quad (1,3)t^{2}]: (4.57)$$

This has the nice feature that the terms in  $t^a$  and in  $t^{12}$  <sup>a</sup> are symmetric with respect to conjugation of the representation, the term in  $t^6$  being self conjugate. Equation (4.57) constitutes the explicit demonstration that the H ilbert series for the non-trivial C alabi-Y au component of the master space of dP<sub>3</sub> decomposes into representations of SU(2) SU(3) and the basic building blocks are given by the simplest representations of this group.

Expanding (4.57) in powers of twe nd

$$g_{1}(t; dP_{3}) = 1 + {}_{(2;3)}t + ({}_{(3;6)} + 3{}_{(1;3)})t^{2} + ({}_{(4;10)} + 3{}_{(2;8)} + 2{}_{(2;1)})t^{3} + ({}_{(5;15)} + 3{}_{(3;15^{0})} + 6{}_{(1;6)} + 2{}_{(3;3)})t^{4} + :::$$

$$(4.58)$$

W e w ill now re-w rite the H ilbert series in a suggestive form which uses a highest weight representation as was done in the preceding subsections. A representation of SU (2) SU (3) w ill now be denoted by 3 non-negative integers  $[n_1;n_2;n_3]$  such that  $n_1 = 2j$  denotes an SU (2) representation w ith spin j and  $n_2;n_3$  are the highest weights of an SU (3) representation given

by a Young diagram such that  $n_2$  is the di erence between the rst row and the second row, while  $n_3$  is the di erence between the second row and the third row. Using this notation (4.57) becomes

 $g_{1}(t; dP_{3}) = (1 \quad 3[0;1;0]t^{4} + (8 + [0;1;1])t^{6} \quad 3[0;0;1]t^{8} + t^{12})PE \quad [1;1;0]t + 2[0;0;1]t^{2} ;$  (4.59)

which admits an explicit expansion as

$$g_{1}(t; dP_{3}) = 1 + [1;1;0]t + ([2;2;0] + 3[0;0;1])t^{2} + ([3;3;0] + 3[1;1;1] + 2[1;0;0])t^{3} + ([4;4;0] + 3[2;2;1] + 6[0;0;2] + 2[2;1;0])t^{4} + ([5;5;0] + 3[3;3;1] + 6[1;1;2] + 2[3;2;0] + 3[1;0;1])t^{5} + ::: (4.60)$$

In fact, one can collect all the terms in the expansion and get an expression to all orders in powers of t:

$$g_{1}(t; dP_{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P} & \frac{\ln^{n}}{L^{2}} c \\ n=0 & k=0 \\ \\ \mathbb{P} & \frac{\ln^{n}}{L^{3}} c b \frac{\ln^{n}}{L^{2}} c \\ + & (k+j+1)[n & 2k & 2j;n & 2k & 3j;k] t^{n}; \\ n=0 & j=1 & k=0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.61)$$

where bxc represents the integer part of x. The restrictions in the sum mation over j and k are chosen such that every integer in the highest weight representations above is a non-negative integer. U sing the form ula for the dimension of a representation of SU (3) of highest weight  $[n_2;n_3]$ , namely,

dim 
$$[n_2;n_3] = \frac{(n_2+1)(n_3+1)(n_2+n_3+2)}{2};$$
 (4.62)

!

and recalling the dimension of the SU (2) representations, we have

dim 
$$[n_1; n_2; n_3] = \frac{(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(n_3 + 1)(n_2 + n_3 + 2)}{2};$$
 (4.63)

which can be substituted into (4.61) by setting all weights to 1. The result is remarkably simple and reproduces (4.56) as expected.

## 4.8 A Prediction for True $dP_4$

W e have addressed the P seudo-del Pezzo theories above in x2.2 because they are still toric; the true del Pezzo theories above n = 3 are non-toric and still a terra incognita as gauge
theories <sup>14</sup>. Nevertheless, arm ed with our hidden symmetry techniques and using the exact expression for the Hilbert series of  $dP_3$  we can come up with arguments using the Higgs mechanism [34] and simple group decomposition in order to propose an exact expression for the Hilbert series of true  $dP_4$ .

The matter elds will be taken to be 10 elds of weight t transform ing in the second rank antisymmetric tensor 10 = [0;1;0;0] and 5 elds of weight t<sup>2</sup> transform ing in the anti-fundamental representation 5 = [0;0;0;1]. The hidden symmetry is now expected to be  $E_4 = SU(5)$  and the under the decomposition SU(5) = SU(2)

$$10 ! (1;1) + (1;3) + (2;3) 5 ! (2;1) + (1;3) : (4.64)$$

A s above, we can denote a character of a representation by its highest weight,  $[n_1;n_2;n_3;n_4]$ , where  $n_i$  are non-negative integers, where  $n_1$  is the di erence between the rst row of the Young diagram to the second row,  $n_2$  is the di erence between second and third rows, etc., we propose:

$$H (t; {}^{In}F_{dP_4}^{[}) = (1 [1;0;0;0]t^3 + 2t^5 + [0;1;0;0]t^6 [0;0;0;1]t^7 [1;0;0;0]t^8 + 2t^{10})PE[_{10}t + _5t^2]:$$
(4.65)

This Hilbert series is conjectured to have a nice expansion in terms of the symmetric representations of SU (5):

H (t; 
$$\operatorname{ImF}_{dP_4}^{[}) = 1 + [0;1;0;0]t + ([0;2;0;0] + 2[0;0;0;1])t^2 + ([0;3;0;0] + 2[0;1;0;1])t^3 + ([0;4;0;0] + 2[0;2;0;1] + 3[0;0;0;2])t^4 + ([0;5;0;0] + 2[0;3;0;1] + 3[0;1;0;2])t^5 + ::: (4.66)$$

This can be used to evaluate the expression to all orders in t,

$$H (t; \operatorname{InF}_{dP_{4}}^{[}) = \frac{\mathbb{P} \quad \overset{h^{n}}{E^{2}}^{c}}{\underset{n=0 \quad k=0}{\overset{ke0}{\overset{k}{s}}\overset{k=0}{\overset{k}{\overset{k=0}{\overset{k}{s}}{\overset{k=0}{\overset{k}{s}}{\overset{k}{s}{s$$

The above expression is explicitly checked up to order 15 in t. It is not hard to extend this to higher order. In fact, it is easy to compute the dimension of the representation

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$ See [39] for a discussion of how to obtain non toric theories and their H ilbert series via deform ation of toric ones. See also [40] for som e of the non-toric theories derived from exceptional collections.

[0;m;0;1],which is

$$\frac{(m + l + 4)(m + l + 3)(l + 2)(l + 1)(m + 3)(m + 2)^{2}(m + 1)}{288}$$
: (4.68)

W e can now replace the characters of each representation by its dimension and obtain an expression for the H ilbert series of the C alabi-Y au component of the master space of  $dP_4$ :

H (t; 
$${}^{\text{Im}}F_{dP_4}^{[}) = \frac{1 \quad 5t^3 + 2t^5 + 10t^6 \quad 5t^7 \quad 5t^8 + 2t^{10}}{(1 \quad t)^{10}(1 \quad t^2)^5}$$
: (4.69)

An interesting aspect of this series is that it gives a dimension 11 for the master space of  $dP_4$ and not a dimension 9 as what we expect from all the toric cases. This deserves a further inspection.

A nother proposal for the H ilbert series can be

H (t; 
$${}^{Irr}_{F_{dP_{4}}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \chi^{1} & \chi^{2} & \chi^{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \chi^{2} & \chi^{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \chi^{2} & \chi^{2} \\ 0 & \chi^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.70)

which sum s to

$$(1 \quad [0;0;0;1]t^{2} + [0;0;1;0]t^{4} \quad [0;1;0;0]t^{6} + [1;0;0;0]t^{8} \quad t^{10})P \in [[0;1;0;0]t + [0;0;0;1]t^{2}]:$$

$$(4.71)$$

The current form is not satisfactory and we need more data in order to get the right answer. This is left for future work.

## 5 Conclusions and Prospectus

W e have en joyed a long them e and variations in  $F^{[}$ , touching upon diverse motifs. Let us now part with a recapitulatory cadence. We have seen that for a single brane, the master space is the algebraic variety formed by the space of F-terms. In the case of the singularity X which the D 3-brane probes being a toric C alabi-Y au threefold, we have a wealth of techniques to study  $F^{[}$ : direct computation, toric cones via the K and T matrices, symplectic quotients in the C ox coordinates as well as dimer models and perfect matchings. U sing these methods we have learned that

X is the mesonic branch of the full moduli space M of a single D 3-brane gauge theory and is the symplectic quotient of F<sup>[</sup> by the A belian D-term s;

For a U (1) toric quiver theory,  $F^{[}$  is a variety of dimension g + 2;

The master space  $F^{[}$  is generically reducible, its top dimensional component, called the coherent component <sup>In</sup> $F^{[}$ , is a Calabi-Yau variety, of the same dimension and degree as  $F^{[}$ . The lower-dimensional components are linear pieces  $L_{i}$ , composed of coordinate hyperplanes;

 $^{\rm In}F$  [ is generated by the perfect matchings in the dimermodel (brane tiling) corresponding to the quiver theory. This should follow from the Birkho -von Neumann theorem;

In the eld theory, <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> often realizes as the Higgs branch, and the hyper-planes  $L_i$ , the C oulom b branch of the m oduli space M. The acquisition of VEVs by the elds parametrising  $L_i$  can cause one theory to ow to another via the Higgs mechanism, an archetypal example is the chain of  $dP_n$  theories;

Under Seiberg/toric duality, we conjecture that <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> rem ains invariant;

A coording to the plethystic program m e, the H ilbert series of X is the generating function for the BPS m esonic operators. In order to count the full chiral BPS operators, including m esons and baryons, we need to nd the re ned (graded by various chem ical potentials) H ilbert series of F<sup>[</sup>;

The H ilbert series of the various irreducible pieces of  $F^{[}$ , obtained by primary decom – position, obey surgery relations;

The numerator of the Hilbert series of  ${}^{In}F^{[}$ , in second form, is palindrom ic. This follows from the Stanley theorem;

The gauge theory possesses hidden global sym metries corresponding to the sym metry of F<sup>[</sup> which, though not manifest in the Lagrangian, is surprisingly encoded in the algebraic geometry of F<sup>[</sup>. In particular, we can rewrite the terms of the single brane generating function, i.e., the re ned H ilbert series, of <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> in the weights of the representations of the Lie algebra of the hidden sym metry in a selected set of exam ples. V is the plethystic exponential, this extends to an arbitrary number N of branes.

In Table 5, we illustrate some of the above points by suum arizing various results for our host of examples encountered throughout the paper. We present the single-brane master

Х	F [	Irr <sub>F</sub> [	H (t; <sup>Im</sup> F <sup>[</sup> )	G lobal Sym m etry
C <sup>3</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	(1 t) <sup>3</sup>	U (3)
С	C 4	C 4	(1 t) <sup>4</sup>	U (1) <sub>R</sub> SU (4) <sub>H</sub>
$(C^2=Z_2)$ C	(4 <b>;</b> 2)	C C	$\frac{1+t}{(1-t)^4}$	$U(1)_{R}$ SU(2) <sub>R</sub> U(1) <sub>B</sub> SU(2) <sub>H</sub>
$C^{3}=Z_{2}$ $Z_{2}$	(6;14)		$\frac{1+6t+6t^2+t^3}{(1 t)^6}$	U (1) <sub>R</sub> U (1) <sup>2</sup> SU (2) <sub>H</sub> <sup>3</sup>
SPP	(5 <b>;</b> 2)	C C <sup>2</sup>	$\frac{1+t}{(1 t)^5}$	$U(1)_{R}$ $U(1)_{M}$ $SU(2)_{H}^{3}$
dP <sub>0</sub>	(5 <b>;</b> 6)	′ F [	$\frac{1+4t+t^2}{(1 t)^5}$	$U(1)_{R}$ SU(3) <sub>M</sub> SU(3) <sub>H</sub>
Ε <sub>0</sub>	(6 <b>;</b> 4)	C C	$\frac{(1+t)^2}{(1-t)^6}$	U (1) <sub>R</sub> U (1) <sub>B</sub> SU (2) <sub>M</sub> <sup>2</sup> SU (2) <sub>H</sub> <sup>2</sup>
$dP_1$	(6 <b>;</b> 17)		$\frac{1+4t+7t^2+4t^3+t^4}{(1-t)^6(1+t)^2}$	$U(1)_{R}$ SU(2) <sub>M</sub> U(1) <sup>3</sup> SU(2) <sub>H</sub>
dP <sub>2</sub>	(7 <b>;</b> 44)		$\frac{1+2t+5t^2+2t^3+t^4}{(1 t)^7 (1+t)^2}$	U (1) <sub>R</sub> SU (2) <sub>H</sub> U (1) <sup>5</sup>
dP <sub>3</sub>	(8;96)		$\frac{1+4t^2+t^4}{(1-t)^8(1+t)^2}$	(SU(2) SU(3)) <sub>H</sub> U(1) <sup>5</sup>

Table 5: The master space, its coherent component and Hibert space as well as the global symmetry of the gauge theory. The notation (n;d) denotes the dimension and degree respectively of  $F^{[}$ . For the symmetries, the subscript R denotes R-symmetry, M denotes the symmetry of the mesonic branch, B denotes baryon charge, while H denotes the hilden global symmetry. Note that the rank of the global symmetry group is equal to the dimension of  $F^{[}$ .

space, its coherent component (by name if familiar), the assciated H ilbert series as well as the global symmetry, standard as well as hidden. In passing, noticing the last few rows of the table, we see that for general toric  $dP_{n=0;1;2;3}$ , the coherent component of the master space has H ilbert series

$$H (t^{Im} F_{dP_n}^{[}) = \frac{1 + (6 - 2n)t + (10 - \frac{7}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}n^2)t^2 + (6 - 2n)t^3 + t^4}{(1 - t)^{5+n}(1 + t)^2} :$$
(5.1)

Indeed, the num erator is explicitly palindrom ic and there is no need to first over the appearance of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  therein since n<sup>2</sup> 7n always divides 2 for integer n.

For a general number N of D 3-branes, the situation is more subtle. The moduli space is now the variety of F - atness quotiented by the special unitary factors of the gauge group, so that when quotiented by the U (1) factors as a sym plectic quotient we once more arrive at the mesonic branch, which here is the N -th symmeterized product of the Calabi-Yau space X. However, the plethystic program me persists through and we can still readily extract the generating functions for any N. Furthermore, we still see the representation of the hidden symmetries in the plethystic exponential. W e are at the portal to a vast subject. The algebraic geom etry of the master space at N > 1 number of branes deserves as detailed a study as we have done for the single-brane example; we have given its form on physical grounds and mathematically the structure is expected to be complicated, doubtlessly H ilbert schemes will arise since we are dealing with symmetrised tensor products. Plethystics are expected to elucidate the situation.

The top-dimensional irreducible component of the master space is seen to be an inportant object and we have shown a few of its properties for N = 1. We have conjectured that Seiberg duality preserves this with our example, it would be important to prove this in general, for higher number of branes, and indeed for generic N = 1 gauge theories as well. U sing the encoding of hidden symmetries by the rened Hilbert series, we have also been able to make predictions about gauge theories, such as the true non-toric del Pezzo theories, whose details have yet to be completely settled. We need to check these predictions with more data. Indeed, as emphasized above, our system atic analysis should apply to not only D-brane theories but supersymmetric gauge theories in general; the master space and its associated physical insight need to be thus investigated panoram ically. The full symphony based on our motif in F<sup>[]</sup> awaits to be composed.

# A cknow ledgem ents

W e are indebted to N athan B room head, Vadim K aplunovsky, A lastair K ing, B a lazs Szendroi and D avid Tong for m any enlightening discussions. A . H ., Y .-H . H ., and A . Z . would like to thank the N ew ton Institute in C am bridge for hospitality and support during part of this work. A . H . is grateful to the Perim eter Institute, the physics and m athem atics departments at the U niversity of Texas at A ustin, and Stanford U niversity where parts of this project were com pleted. D . F . is supported in part by IN FN and the M arie C urie fellow ship under the program m e EURO THEPHY -2007-1. Y .-H . H . bows to the gracious patronage of M erton C ollege, O xford through the F itzJam es Fellow ship. A . Z . is supported in part by IN FN and M IUR under contract 2005-024045-004 and 2005-023102 and by the European C om m unity's H um an Potential Program M RTN-CT -2004-005104.

# A ppendices

#### A Hilbert Series of Second K ind and the R eeb V ector

In this appendix, let us study, in a further detail, the properties of the H ilbert series of a dimension n variety M, in light of its pole structure and the subsequent relation to the geometry of M. A Laurent expansion for the H ilbert series of second kind in (2.5) can be developed, as a partial fraction expansion:

H (t;M) = 
$$\frac{V_n}{(1 t)^n}$$
 +  $\frac{V_3}{(1 t)^3}$  +  $\frac{V_2}{(1 t)^2}$  +  $\frac{V_1}{1 t}$  +  $V_0$  + 0 (1 t); (A.2)

where we see explicitly that the H ilbert series is a rational function and the degree of its most singular pole is the dimension of M. In the case of M being a toric variety of dimension 3, the coe cients V  $_{0;1;2;3}$  are related directly to the R eeb vector of M and in particular, V<sub>3</sub> is the volume of the spherical Sasaki-E instein horizon. The relation to the R eeb vector, at least for toric M , is as follows. Rene the generating function into tri-variate (this can always be done for toric M ), in terms of  $t_{i=1;2;3}$  and set

$$t_i \coloneqq \exp(b_i q); \quad b = (b_1; b_2; b_3) \text{ is the R eeb vector}$$
 (A.3)

and then Laurent expand  $f(t_1;t_2;t_3)$  near q! 0 to compare with (A 2). A full discussion on the relation of the volum e in terms of the R eeb vector is nicely presented in [41]. W e will call this multi-variate Laurent expansion around q! 0 the expansion of a rened H ilbert series of Second kind.

Let us rst illustrate with the simplest case of C  $^3$ . We recall from [9] that the re-ned fundam ental generating function, is simply

$$H (t_1; t_2; t_3; C^3) = ((1 t_1)(1 t_2)(1 t_3))^{-1} :$$
 (A.4)

Hence, the Laurent expansion gives

$$H (\exp(b_1q); \exp(b_2q); \exp(b_3q); C^3) = \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 b_3 q^3} + \frac{b_1 + b_2 + b_3}{2 b_1 b_2 b_3 q^2} + \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + 3 (b_1 b_2 + b_2 b_3 + b_1 b_3)}{12 b_1 b_2 b_3 q} + \frac{(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) (b_1 b_2 + b_2 b_3 + b_1 b_3)}{24 b_1 b_2 b_3} + O (q)$$

Therefore, we can read o the volume as  $V_3 = (b_1b_2b_3)^{-1}$ . In general, the values of  $V_i$  are read o as the coe cients of  $q^{-i}$  from above.

Let us study another example, viz., the conifold. W e recall from [9] that the re ned H ilbert series for the conifold is

$$H(t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};C) = \frac{t_{1}t_{2}(1 t_{3})}{(1 t_{1})(1 t_{2})(t_{1} t_{3})(t_{2} t_{3})} :$$
(A.5)

Therefore, Laurent expansion gives us

$$H (\exp(b_1q); \exp(b_2q); \exp(b_3q); C) = \frac{b_3}{b_1 (b_1 - b_3) b_2^2 - b_2 b_3 q^3} + \frac{b_3^2}{2b_1 (b_1 - b_3) b_2^2 - b_2 b_3 q^2} + \frac{b_3 - b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3 + b_3^2}{12b_1 b_2 (b_1 - b_3) (b_2 - b_3) q} + \frac{b_3^2 - b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_3 + b_3^2}{24b_1 (b_1 - b_3) b_2^2 - b_2 b_3} + O (q)$$

Therefore, here the volume is  $V_3 = \frac{b_3}{b_1 (b_1 b_3) (b_2^2 b_2 b_3)}$ , which up to permutation of the denition of  $b_{1,2,3}$ , agrees with Eq 7.29 of [41]. The remaining  $V_{2,1,0}$  can be similarly obtained.

### B Rened Hilbert Series: Macaulay2 Im plem entation

In this appendix, we present the M acaulay2 routine (cf. [21, 24]) which computes the rened H ilbert series of a toric variety, given its K -m atrix of charges.

```
toBinomial = (b,R) -> (
  top := 1_R; bottom := 1_R;
  scan(#b, i->if b_i > 0 then top =top*R_i^(b_i)
      else if b_i < 0 then bottom =bottom*R_i^(-b_i));
  top - bottom);

toricIdeal = (A) -> (
  n :=#(A_0);
  R= QQ[vars(0..n-1),Degrees=>transpose A,MonomialSize=>16];
  B:= transpose LLL syz matrix A;
  J:= ideal apply(entries B, b -> toBinomial(b,R));
  scan(gens ring J, f -> J=saturate(J,f));
  J);
```

The input is the g + 2 by E m atrix K and the output of the command toricIdeal(K) is the rened H ilbert series for the coherent component <sup>In</sup>F<sup>[</sup> weighted by all the g + 2 charges (chemical potentials). The algorithm can be easily generalized to compute the H ilbert series depending on only one, or fewer, charges.

#### C Rened Hilbert Series using Molien Formula

In this Appendix we give an explicit example of computation of the rened H ilbert series using the M olien formula. The m ethod works well for quivers with relatively sm all num ber of eds.

Consider the example of  $C^3=Z_2$   $Z_2$ . The H ilbert series depending on one parameter twas computed in x2.1.6 from the symplectic quotient description with charges (2.31). To highlight the result for the rened H ilbert series we will exploit the full symmetry of the m oduli space. The symmetry of the master space can be readily determined to be SU (2)<sup>3</sup>  $U(1)^3$ , the three SU (2)'s coming from repetition of columns in the chargematrix (2.31). This is another example of the general phenom enon related to the existence of hidden symmetries which is discussed in detail in x4.

For now, we want to learn how to compute the re ned H ilbert series using (2.25). To this purpose we introduce nine hom ogeneous variables y = 1; ...; 9 acted on by (C)<sup>3</sup> with charges  $Q_i$  given by the rows of the matrix (2.31). The M olien formula (2.25) then reads

$$H (\underline{y}; {}^{Irr}F_{C^{3}=Z_{2} Z_{2}}) = {}^{Ir} \frac{drdwds}{rws} \frac{1}{(1 \frac{y_{1}}{r}w)(1 \frac{y_{2}}{r}s)(1 \frac{y_{3}}{w}s)(1 y_{4}r)(1 y_{5}r)(1 y_{6}w)(1 y_{7}w)(1 y_{8}s)(1 y_{9}s)} (C.6)$$

The result of the three integrations is a lengthy rational expression that we do not report here; it depends on 6 independent quantities that can be matched with the six chemical potentials  $t_i$  by solving (2.26). In this case we can use the SU (2)<sup>3</sup> U (1)<sup>3</sup> symmetry and introduce a set of adapted chemical potentials respecting the symmetry of the matrix Q:

$$fy_1; y_2; y_3; y_4; y_5; y_6; y_7; y_8; y_9 g = ft_1; t_2; t_3; z; 1=z; y; 1=y; x; 1=xg: (C.7)$$

The three charges  $t_i$  parameterize the R-charge and the two non-anomalous avor U (1) symmetries of the theory; these are obtained by assigning a dimensional potential to the three external perfect matchings in the dimensional discussed in x2.1.7. The variables x; y; z are the chemical potentials for the C artan subgroup of SU (2)<sup>3</sup> and the remed

Hilbert series can be then computed as

$$H (t_1;t_2;t_3;x;y;z;^{Im}F_{C^3=Z_2}^{[}z_2) = P (t_1;t_2;t_3;x;y;z)P E [t_1[0;1;1] + t_2[1;0;1] + t_3[1;1;0]];$$
(C.8)

w ith

$$P(t_{1};t_{2};t_{3};x;y;z) = 1 \quad t_{1}^{2} \quad t_{2}^{2} \quad t_{3}^{2} + 2t_{1}t_{2}t_{3} + 2t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} \quad t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{3}t_{3}^{2} \quad t_{1}^{3}t_{2}^{3}t_{3}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{3}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{3}$$

$$+ [0;1;1](t_{2}t_{3} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2} + t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} \quad t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2}) + [1;1;0](t_{1}t_{2} + t_{1}^{2}t_{3} + t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} \quad t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3} + t_{1}^{2}t_{2}^{2}t_{3}^{2} + t_{1}^{$$

where [n;m;l] is the character of the representation of dimension (n + 1;m + 1;l+1) of SU  $(2)^3$  expressed in the variables x;y;z with the speci ed order. For example, [1;0;0] = x + 1 = x.

The plethystic exponential PE in the above is de ned in x2.3.3 which we recall is such that for polynom ials or power series f(t) with f(0) = 0, it is given by

PE [f(t)] = exp 
$$\frac{\chi^{4}}{k} = \frac{f(t^{k})}{k}$$
 : (C.10)

In our case, the plethystic exponential counts all possible sym metric products of the twelve elementary elds organized as the [0;1;1]; [1;0;1] and [1;1;0] representations of SU (2)<sup>3</sup>. The sym metry of the master space is manifest in the expression of the rened H ilbert series; this is the subject of x4. Notice also the remarkable palindrom ic sym metry of the numerator of the rened H ilbert series as a polynom ial in three variables  $t_1; t_2; t_3$  under the exchange  $t_1^i t_2^j t_3^k \$   $t_1^3 \$   $i_2^3 \$   $j_3^k$ . This point is discussed in detail in x2.3.1.

On the practical side, it is sometimes convenient to perform the integration in the M olien formula before substituting the expression of the dummy variables y in terms of  $t_i$  since in this way there is no ambiguity in the integration: the contour integral is performed on unit circles and take contributions from the poles inside the unit circles, where we consider all jy j < 1.

#### R eferences

[1] S. Bradlow, G. Daskalopoulos, R. W entworth, \Birational Equivalences of Vortex M oduli," arX iv alg-geom /9304001. Topology 35 (1996) 731{748.; A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos, R. W entworth, \G rom ov Invariants for H olom orphic M aps from R iem ann Surfaces to G rassmannians," arX iv alg-geom /9306005, J. Am er. M ath. Soc. 9 (1996) 529{571.

M. Thaddeus, \Geometric invariant theory and ips," J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996) 691-723.

C.Okonek, A. Teleman, \M aster Spaces and the Coupling Principle: From Geometric Invariant Theory to Gauge Theory," Commun.M ath.Phys. 205, 437 { 458 (1999).

- [2] D.Berenstein, \R everse geom etric engineering of singularities," JHEP 0204,052 (2002)
   [arX iv hep-th/0201093].
- [3] M.R.Douglas, B.R.Greene and D.R.Morrison, \Orbifold resolution by D-branes," Nucl.Phys.B 506, 84 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9704151].
- [4] C. Beasley, B. R. Greene, C. I. Lazaroiu and M. R. Plesser, \D 3-branes on partial resolutions of abelian quotient singularities of Calabi-Yau threefolds," Nucl. Phys. B 566, 599 (2000) [arX iv:hep-th/9907186].
- [5] B.Feng, A.Hanany and Y.H.He, \D-brane gauge theories from toric singularities and toric duality," Nucl. Phys. B 595, 165 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0003085].
  B.Feng, A.Hanany and Y.H.He, \Phase structure of D-brane gauge theories and toric duality," JHEP 0108, 040 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/0104259].

[6] A. Hanany and K. D. Kennaway, \Dimer models and toric diagrams," arX iv hep-th/0503149.
S. Franco, A. Hanany, K. D. Kennaway, D. Vegh and B. Wecht, \Brane dimers and quiver gauge theories," JHEP 0601,096 (2006) [arX iv hep-th/0504110].
B. Feng, Y. H. He, K. D. Kennaway and C. Vafa, \D imerm odels from m irror symmetry and quivering am oebae," arX iv hep-th/0511287.
S. Franco and D. Vegh, \M oduli spaces of gauge theories from dimerm odels: Proof of the correspondence," JHEP 0611,054 (2006) [arX iv hep-th/0601063].

- [7] S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, D. Vegh and B. Wecht, \Gauge theories from toric geometry and brane tilings," JHEP 0601 (2006) 128 [arX iv:hep-th/0505211].
   A. Hanany and D. Vegh, \Quivers, tilings, branes and rhom bi," arX iv:hep-th/0511063.
- [8] K.D.Kennaway, \Brane Tilings," Int.J.M od.Phys.A 22,2977 (2007) [arX iv:0706.1660 [hep-th]].
- [9] S. Benvenuti, B. Feng, A. Hanany and Y. H. He, \Counting BPS operators in gauge theories: Quivers, syzygies and plethystics," arX iv hep-th/0608050.
  B. Feng, A. Hanany and Y. H. He, \Counting gauge invariants: The plethystic program," JHEP 0703,090 (2007) [arX iv hep-th/0701063].
- [10] A.Butti, D.Forcella and A.Za aroni, \Counting BPS baryonic operators in CFTswith Sasaki-Einstein duals," JHEP 0706,069 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611229].
- [11] D. Forcella, A. Hanany and A. Za aroni, \Baryonic generating functions," arXiv:hep-th/0701236.
- [12] A.Butti, D.Forcella, A.Hanany, D.Vegh and A.Za aroni, \Counting ChiralOperators in Quiver Gauge Theories," arX iv:0705.2771 [hep-th].
- [13] D. Forcella, \BPS Partition Functions for Quiver G auge Theories: Counting Fermionic
   Operators," arX iv:0705.2989 [hep-th].
- [14] D. Forcella, A. Hanany, Y. H. He and A. Za aroni, \M astering the M aster Space," To appear.
- [15] W.Fulton, \Introduction to Toric Varieties," (AM -131) by Fulton, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [16] A. Hanany and A. Za aroni, \On the realization of chiral four-dimensional gauge theories using branes," JHEP 9805,001 (1998) [arX iv hep-th/9801134].
  A. Hanany and A. M. Uranga, \Brane boxes and branes on singularities," JHEP 9805, 013 (1998) [arX iv hep-th/9805139].
- [17] T.M uto and T.Tani, \Stability of quiver representations and topology change," JHEP 0109,008 (2001) [arX iv:hep-th/0107217].
- [18] T. Sarkar, \D-brane gauge theories from toric singularities of the form C(3)/G amma and C(4)/G amma," Nucl. Phys. B 595, 201 (2001)

- [19] H.F.Blichfeldt, \Finite Collineation G roups," The Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1917.
   A.Hanany and Y.H.He, \A monograph on the classication of the discrete subgroups of SU (4)," JHEP 0102,027 (2001) [arX iv hep-th/9905212].
- [20] J. Gray, Y. H. He, V. Jejjala and B. D. Nelson, \Exploring the vacuum geometry of
   N = 1 gauge theories," Nucl. Phys. B 750, 1 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604208].
- [21] D.G rayson and M. Stillman, \M acaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry," A vailable at http://wwwmath.uiuc.edu/M acaulay2/
- [22] J.G ray, Y.H.He, A. Iderton and A. Lukas, \STR ING VACUA, A M athem atica Package for Studying Vacuum Con gurations in String Phenom enology", [arX iv:0801.1508 [hep-th]]
- [23] B.Sturm fels, \G robner Bases and C onvex Polytopes," Am erican M athem atical Society, Providence, R I, 1996
- [24] David Eisenbud, Daniel R. Grayson, Michael E. Stillman, and Bernd Sturm fels Ed., Chapter Algorithms for the Toric Hilbert Scheme in \Computations in algebraic geometry with Macaulay 2," Springer-Verlag 2001.
- [25] D. Cox, \The hom ogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety," J. A lgebraic G eom . 4 (1995) 17-50.
- [26] P. Pouliot, \M olien function for duality," JHEP 9901, 021 (1999)
  [arX iv hep-th/9812015].
  F.A.Dolan, \Counting BPS operators in N = 4 SYM," Nucl. Phys. B 790, 432 (2008)
  [arX iv:0704.1038 [hep-th]].
- [27] G.Birkho, \Tres observaciones sobre elalgebra lineal," Univ.Nac.Tucum an Rev.Ser. A, vol. 5, pp. 147–151, 1946.
  J. von Neum ann, \A certain zero-sum two-person gam e equivalent to the optim al assignment problem," in Contributions to the Theory of G am es.Princeton, NJ:Princeton Univ.Press, 1953, vol. 2, pp. 5–12.
- [28] A. Butti and A. Za aroni, \R-charges from toric diagrams and the equivalence of amaximization and Z-minimization," JHEP 0511 (2005) 019 [arXiv:hep-th/0506232].

- [29] A. Butti and A. Za aroni, \From toric geometry to quiver gauge theory: The equivalence of a-maximization and Z-minimization," Fortsch. Phys. 54 (2006) 309 [arXiv:hep-th/0512240].
- [30] D.R.Morrison and M.R.Plesser, \Non-sphericalhorizons.I," Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.
   3,1 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9810201].
- [31] A.Hanany and C.Rom elsberger, C ounting BPS operators in the chiral ring of N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories or N = 2 braine surgery," arX iv hep-th/0611346.
- [32] B.Feng, A.Hanany, Y.H.He and A.M. Uranga, \Toric duality as Seiberg duality and brane diam onds," JHEP 0112,035 (2001) [arX iv:hep-th/0109063].
- [33] S. Franco and A. Hanany, \Toric duality, Seiberg duality and Picard-Lefschetz transform ations," Fortsch. Phys. 51, 738 (2003) [arX iv hep-th/0212299].
- [34] B.Feng, S.Franco, A.Hanany and Y.H.He, \Unhiggsing the delPezzo," JHEP 0308, 058 (2003) [arX iv hep-th/0209228].
- [35] B. Feng, S. Franco, A. Hanany and Y. H. He, \Symmetries of toric duality," JHEP 0212,076 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0205144].
- [36] R. Stanley, \Hilbert functions of graded algebras," Adv. M ath. 28 (1978), 57-83.
- [37] S. Franco, A. Hanany and P. Kazakopoulos, \Hidden exceptional global symmetries in 4d CFTs," JHEP 0407,060 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0404065].
- [38] J. Harris and W. Fulton, \Representation theory," no. 129 in GTM, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1991.
- [39] A. Butti, D. Forcella and A. Za aroni, \D eform ations of conform al theories and nontoric quiver gauge theories," JHEP 0702 (2007) 081 [arX iv hep-th/0607147].
- [40] M.W ijnholt, \Large volum e perspective on branes at singularities," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 7, 1117 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0212021].
- [41] D.Martelli, J.Sparks and S.T.Yau, \SasakiEinstein manifolds and volum e minim isation," arX iv hep-th/0603021.



