D iscovery Potential for the SM H iggs Boson in the Inclusive Search Channels

A lexander Schm idt

Institut fur Experin entelle K emphysik, U niversitat K arlsruhe, now at Physik-Institut, U niversitat Zurich, On Behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

A bstract. This paper gives an overview of the potential to discover a Standard M odel Higgs Boson in the inclusive search channels at the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC. The most im portant decay modes, H ! , H ! W W ! 11 and H ! ZZ ! 41 are described and a sum m ary of recently published analyses using realistic detector simulations is presented.

PACS. 14.80 Bn Standard-modelH iggs bosons

1 Introduction

The allowed decay m odes of the H iggs Boson are predicted within the Standard M odel and depend only on its m ass m_H . D irect searches conducted at LEP have given a lower lim it of m_H > 114:4 GeV = c^2 at the 95% con dence $\text{Im } \text{t}$ []. In the low mass region, m_H < 150 G eV = c^2 the sm all width of the H iggs B oson $_{\rm H}$ < 1 G eV = c^2 can be utilized to nd a narrow peak in the H! and H ! ZZ ! 41 channels, because the invariant m ass resolution due to the m easurem ent is larger than the intrinsic width. For Higgs masses around the W W Boson resonance at 160 G eV = c^2 , the H ! W W ! 11 decay is the preferred search channel because the branching ratio BR (H ! W W) is alm ost one, but it is not possible to reconstruct a m ass peak because of the two neutrinos. For m asses above the W W resonance, the H I ZZ channel is again the m ost prom ising search channel.

In the exclusive searches for the H iggs B oson, characteristical properties of the event topology of the particular production and decay m odes are exploited for event selection. For example, in the exclusive search for Higgs production through Vector Boson Fusion, the typical feature are forward jets that are used to identify the event. Sim ilarly, in the search for associated H iggs production, ttH, the signatures of the two top quarks are used for this purpose. In contrast, the inclusive searches do not separate between the various production topologies. The latter are described in m ore detail in the follow ing sections.

In case of CM S, the analysis results presented in the follow ing are based upon publications in the context of the \Physics Technical Design Reports" [2,3] (PTDR) published in the year 2006. All analyses apply realistic detector simulations based on GEANT4, including Level-1 and H igh-Level Trigger simulations. W here available, Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) calculations have been used and system atic errors due

to theory and detector e ects have been taken into account. In the case of ATLAS, the PTDR has been published in 1999 when NLO calculations and full detector simulations have not been available for the channels discussed here [4]. The ATLAS collaboration is currently updating the H iggs analyses according to the m ost recent simulations and theoretical calculations. Some updates on the H ! channel are available, but the results on the H ! ZZ and H ! W W are not o cial yet and cannot be presented in this paper.

2 The Channel H !

The decay into two photons is a rare decay mode with a branching ratio of 0.2% at m_H = 120 G eV = c^2 [5]. The totalNLO cross section times branching ratio, including all production modes is $BR := 99:3fb$ for m_H = 115 G eV = c^2 and drops to 41.5 fb for m_H = 150 G eV = c^2 [6,7]. B ackground processes are separated into reducible and irreducible backgrounds. Irreducible backgrounds have two real high E_t photons produced via bom and box diagram s with a cross section of about 80 pb each. Reducible backgrounds arise from

plus et or multi-et events in which one or two ets arem isidenti ed asphotons. The photon identi cation is very clean at the ATLAS and CMS detectors. The electrom agnetic calorim eter at ATLAS has a presam pler that reduces the fake rate to a level that is not reached by CM S. For example, a jet rejection factor between 4000 and 10000 can be reached at 80% photon selection e ciency at ATLAS, depending on the transverse m om entum [8]. This is achieved by isolation criteria exploiting the fact that m isidenti ed jets are accompanied by particles measured in the tracker, electrom agnetic and hadronic calorim eters. Therefore, reducible backgrounds can be suppressed su ciently.

Both in ATLAS and CMS the standard cut-based analyses are supplem ented by m ore powerful separa-

Fig. 1. D istribution of the invariant m ass m for signal (red, brown) w ith m_H = 120 G eV = c^2 and background (blue, green) in case of CM S and the neural network analysis.Events are norm alized to an integrated lum inosity of 7.7 fb^{-1} and the signal is scaled by a factor of 10 for better visibility.[\[7](#page-3-6)[,3\]](#page-3-2)

tion tools using neuralnetworks and likelihood m ethods. In case of CM S, the cut-based analysis introduces quality categories based on the electrom agnetic shower shape and pseudo-rapidity. In a m ore optim ized analysis,a neuralnetwork istrained w ith kinem atic observables in addition to the isolation.T hese observables are chosen to be independent of the H iggs Boson m ass. The training of the network is done on the sidebands of the distribution of the invariantm ass. Thism ethod can be used for the determ ination of background rates directly on data since the narrow peak in the invariant m ass distribution sits on an alm ost linear background as illustrated in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) System atic errors have a m oderate im pact on the discovery potential, m ostly because the background can be m easured from data. Thism eans that the error resides in the uncertainty of the tas well as statistics and the tting functions. It has been evaluated to be of the order of 1%. The erroron the signalisestim ated to be about20% .A bout 15% are contributed to the theoreticalerror and the rest to instrum entale ects like lum inosity, trigger and tracker m aterial.T he error on the signala ects prim arily the determ ination ofexclusion lim its since this has to rely on theoreticalpredictions.

T he results in term s of observability are sim ilar for $ATLAS$ and CMS , even though slightly di erent m ethods (neural networks and likelihoods) and observables have been used. For the cut based analyses, the discovery signi cance is expected to be 6 for m_H = 120 G eV = c^2 and for the optim ized analyses 10 for an integrated lum inosity of $L = 30$ fb 1 . Details on these analyses can be found in $[7,3,8,9]$ $[7,3,8,9]$ $[7,3,8,9]$ $[7,3,8,9]$.

3 T he ChannelH ! W W ! ll

For interm ediate m asses $2m_W < m_H < 2m_Z$ the H ! W W ! ll channel is expected to be them ain discovery channelatLHC. In thism ass range, the H ! W W branching ratio is alm ost one. H owever, no m ass peak can be reconstructed because of the two neutrinos. The norm alization of the background is therefore m ore dif-

cult. The total NLO signal cross section, including gluon fusion and vector boson production is largest at m_H = 160 G eV = c^2 w ith N LO B R:(e;;) = 2:34 pb [\[10\]](#page-3-9). Backgrounds to this channel arise from continuum di-vector-boson production (W W ,ZZ,W Z) w ith a cross section of $_{NLO}$ B R :(e;;) = 15 pb. Further backgrounds are $t\overline{t}$ and single top production in association with a W Boson tW b with $N L O$

B R :(e; ;) = $86:2$ pb and 3.4 pb, respectively. For CM S, a special technique of re-weighting the p_t spectra oftheH iggsBoson from PY T H IA to theM C @ N LO [\[11,](#page-3-10) [12\]](#page-3-11)prediction has been developed and applied in this analysis $[13]$. Thism ethod of introducing p_t dependent k-factors has also been used for the W W background.

The event selection exploits properties of the event topologies in order to reject background. For exam p be, the spin correlation between the W Bosons of the H iggs decay provides a handle to select signalevents based on the angle between the two leptons.Further m ore, the cuts on m issing energy, the invariant m ass of leptons, the transverse m om enta and isolation criteria have been optim ized in order to m axim ize the discovery signi cance. In addition, a central jet veto is applied w hich rejects the tt background by roughly a factor of 30 and signalevents only by a factor of about two [\[10\]](#page-3-9).

Since this analysis is basically a counting experim ent, the norm alization of the background is the largest source of system atic errors. The $t\overline{t}$ can be estim ated by replacing the jet veto w ith a double b-tag w hile keeping allother cuts identical.T he expected uncertainty is16% .T he W Z background can be determ ined by requiring a third lepton w hich gives an uncertainty of 20%. For the m easurem ent of the W W background rates, a norm alization region in \parallel and m \parallel can be de ned, again keeping allother cutsidentical. This results in an expected uncertainty of 17%. For the W W background produced by gluon fusion and for the singletop background, it is di cult to de ne a norm alization region and one has to rely on the theoretical prediction w hich leads to an uncertainty of 30%. A ll these num bers refer to an integrated lum inosity of 5 fb 1 . The resulting e ect on the discovery potential in term s of required lum inosity for a 5 discovery is shown in Figure [2.](#page-2-0)

The analysis of this channel is currently being revisited in $CM S$, in particular to get better control of the system atics due to m issing transverse energy and jets.Furtherm ore,an attem pt is m ade to increase the sensitivity towards lower m_H by e.g. applying multi-variate analysis techniques [\[14\]](#page-4-0).

The analysis strategy adopted by AT LAS is similar to the CM S analysis, but it uses a transverse m ass in addition w hich is dended as m_T = $2p_t^{\text{ll}}E_t^{\text{m}}$ iss $(1 \cos),$

Fig. 2. Required lum inosity for a 5 discovery in the H ! WW! 11 channelat CMS. [0]

w here is the azimuthalangle between the di-lepton system and the m issing transverse energy. This transverse m ass is correlated to the invariant m ass of the Higgs Boson and can therefore be used to de ne am ass w indow in order to further reject background events. In this case a result reaching a signi cance of $=$ 10 for m_H = 160 G eV = c^2 including a system atic error of 5% is obtained for an integrated lum inosity of 30 fb $[4]$.

4 The ChannelH ! ZZ ! 41

This channel has a very clean signature due to the presence of four leptons. It is very prom ising in the m ass range 130 G eV = c^2 < m $_H$ < 500 G eV = c^2 except for $2m_W < m_H < 2m_Z$. The analysis designs for the dierent nalstates $(4e, 2e2$ and $4)$ are very \sin ilar, except for the lepton identication. In the folnal state will be described in more $Lowing, the 2e2$ detail. The NLO signal cross section times branching ratio has two m axima, one at m $_{\text{H}}$ = 150 G eV = c^2 $B \cdot R$: (2e2) = 13 fb, and another one at of_{NLO} m_H = 200 G eV = c² of $_{N \text{ LO}}$ B **R** : (2e2) = 24 fb [15]. This behaviour is mostly dom inated by the branching ratio since the cross section itself is continuously falling from 30 pb for m_H = 150 GeV = c² to 5 pb for m_H = 500 G eV = c^2 . B ackgrounds to this channel are tt events with leptonic W Boson decays and leptons in b-jets which have a cross section of NLO $B \cdot (2e2) = 743$ fb. Further backgrounds are Zbb with $_{NLO}$ BR:(2e2) = 390 fb and ZZ = events with NLO $B R:(2e2) = 37 fb. For the ZZ =$ background, a re-weighting procedure has been implem ented, which introduces m_{41} -dependent k-factors in order to account for contributions from all NLO diagram s and from NNLO gluon fusion qq ! $ZZ =$ $[15]$.

Fig. 3. Number of expected events for signal and background for an integrated lum inosity corresponding to a discovery signi cance of 5 for a Higgs Boson mass of m_H = 140 G eV = c^2 . A s an illustration, a toy M onte C arlo distribution based on the histogram s is superimposed to simulate real CM S data. [15]

The analysis strategies at CMS and ATLAS are again sim ilar. Both apply several tools to reduce the background. Lepton isolation reduces contributions from leptons in jets. Cuts on the impact parameter of leptons reduce b-jets. In addition, leptons are required to come from the same primary vertex. For lower Higgs Boson masses, one of the Z Bosons is on-shell, for higher m asses with m_H > $2m_Z$, both Z Bosons are on-shell. M ass w indows around the Z resonance help to reduce $t\bar{t}$ and Zbb backgrounds. By applying these cuts, the $t\overline{t}$ and Zbb backgrounds can be suppressed by a factor of m ore than 1900 after online selection, while the signal (with m_H = 120 G eV = c^2) and ZZ = background are only reduced by a factor of about two. As an illustration, Figure 3 shows the distribution of the invariant m ass of four leptons after o ine selection.

The system atic uncertainties in this channelare dened by the uncertainty of the determ ination of the background rates from data using sidebands in the m ass distribution. The analysis show s that this is possible with a precision of less than 10% for H iggs Boson m asses below 200 G eV = c^2 . For higher m asses the uncertainty increases up to 30% for $m_H = 400$ G eV = c^2 , because the background is not at anym ore as visible in Figure 3.

An important alternative to the determ ination of the background rates from sidebands is to measure the Z! 21 process as controlsam ple and scale it down by a theoretical factor $z_2 = z$. This reduces the PDF and QCD scale uncertainties as well as lum inosity uncertainties [3]. The in pact of the system atic error on the discovery signi cance has found to be sm all (at the percent level), especially in the low m ass range below 200 G $\epsilon V = c^2$.

Fig. 4. Signal signi cance (in units of) as a function of the H iggs Boson m ass for an integrated lum inosity of 30 fb 1 at CM S.

5 Sum m ary and Conclusion

The three analyses discussed in this paper are complem entary in the sense that they are sensitive to distinct H iggs B oson m ass ranges. For lower m asses up to 150 G eV = c^2 the H ! channel provides a good discovery potential. For interm ediate m asses around 160 G eV = c^2 the H ! W W ! 212 channel is prom is ing. The H ! ZZ ! 41 channel is interesting for higher m asses, but it also lls a gap at around 140 G eV = \ddot{c} where the H ! W W branching ratio is not yet high enough, and the H ! sensitivity starts to decrease. By combining all these analyses the full m ass range is covered. This is shown in Figures 4 and 5. From these

qures one can conclude that a Standard M odel H iggs Boson is very unlikely to escape the LHC.

6 Acknow ledgem ents

I would like to thank Louis Fayard, Sasha N ikitenko, G igiRolandi, M arkus Schum acher and Y ves Sirois for their valuable suggestions.

R eferences

- 1. A LEPH Collaboration, D ELPH I Collaboration, L3 Collaboration, OPAL Collaboration and The LEP Working Group for Higgs Boson Searches, \Search for the Standard M odel H iggs boson at LEP," Phys. Lett. B 565 (2003) 61{75.
- 2. CM S Collaboration, \The CM S Physics Technical Design Report, Volume 1," CERN/LHCC 2006-001 (2006). CMSTDR 8.1.

Fig. 5. Signal signi cance (in units of) as a function of the Higgs Boson mass for an integrated luminosity of 30 fb¹ at $ATLAS$. For m any channels the agreem ent with the CMS results in proves if k-factors are introduced.

- 3. CM S Collaboration, \The CM S Physics Technical Design Report, Volume 2," CERN/LHCC 2006-021 (2006). CM S TDR 8.2.
- 4. ATLAS Collaboration, \ATLAS D etector and Physics Perform ance Technical Design Report, Volume 2," CERN/LHCC 1999-15 (1999). ATLAS TDR 15.
- 5. A.D puadi, J.Kalinowski, and M.Spira, \HDECAY: A Program for Higgs Boson Decays in the Standard M odel and its Supersymm etric Extension," Comput. Phys. Commun. 108 (1998) 56{74, arXiv:hep-ph/9704448.
- 6. M . Spira, \H IG LU: A Program for the Calculation of the Total H iggs P roduction C ross Section at H adron Colliders via G luon Fusion including QCD Corrections," arXiv:hep-ph/9510347.
- 7. M. Pieri, S. Bhattacharya, I. Fisk, J. Letts, V. Litvin, and J. Branson, \Inclusive Search for the Higgs Boson in the H! Channel," CM S Note 2006/112 (2006).
- 8. L. Cam inati, \Search for a Standard M odel H iggs in Channelwith the ATLAS detector," in the H! Physics at LHC '06. Cracow, 2006.
- 9. L. Cam inati, Search for a Standard Model Higgs Channelwith the ATLAS Boson in the H ! Detector," Acta Phys. Polon. B 38 (2007) 747.
- 10. G.D avatz, M.D ittm ar, and A.G iolo-N icollerat, \Standard M odel H iggs D iscovery Potential of CM S 2006/047 (2006).
- 11. S. Frixione and B. W ebber, \M atching NLO QCD com putations and parton shower simulations," JHEP 0206 (2002) 029, arXiv:hep-ph/0204244.
- 12. S. Frixione, P. Nason, and B. R. Webber, \Matching NLO QCD and parton showers in heavy avour production," JHEP 08 (2003) 007, arXiv:hep-ph/0305252.
- 13. G.Davatz, G.Dissertori, M.Dittmar, M.Grazzini, and F. Pauss, \E ective K-factors for

gg! H ! W W ! ' ' at the LHC," JHEP 05 (2004) 009, arXiv: hep-ph/0402218.

- 14. F.Beaudette, C.Charlot, E.Delmeire, C.Rovelli, and Y. Sirois, \Search for a Light Standard Model Higgs Boson in the H ! W W $($) ! e^+ e $-$ Channel," CM S Note 2006/114 (2006).
- 15. D. Futyan, D. Fortin, and D. Giordano, \Search for the Standard M odel H iggs Boson in the Two-E lectron and Two-Muon Final State with CMS," CMS Note 2006/136 (2006).