## Multield DBI In ation and Non-Gaussianities

Min-xin Huang

Department of Physics, CERN - Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Gary Shiu and Bret Underwood

Departm ent of Physics, University of W isconsin, M adison, W I 53706, USA

W e analyze the trajectories for multi eld DBI in ation, which can arise in brane in ation models, and show that the trajectories are the same as in typical slow roll in ation. We calculate the power spectrum and nd that the higher derivative terms of the DBI action lead to a suppression of the contribution from the isocurvature perturbations. We also calculate the bispectrum generated by the isocurvature perturbation, and nd that it leads to distinctive features.

IN T R O D U C T IO N

Scalar eld theories with non-canonical kinetic term s provide novel realizations of the in ationary paradigm [1]. One interesting class of such models which have been studied extensively in recent years is DBI in ation [2,3], characterized by kinetic term swhich arise from the Dirac-Bom-Infeld (DBI) action. A particularly appealing phenom enological feature of DBI in ation is that it can lead to strong and unique non-Gaussian signatures in the Cosm ic M icrow ave Background (CMB) [3, 4]. The DBI action is ubiquitous in string theory, e.g., as an e ective theory for worldvolum edegrees of freedom on branes. W hen embedded in brane in ation [5], the in aton eld in DBIm odels can be given a natural geom etrical interpretation as the position of a D-brane in extra dim ensions. DBI in ation arises when the D-brane moves in a highly warped region of the internal space where the speed lim it is small, and reduces to the usual slow roll. brane in ation (with canonical kinetic term ) when the brane is moving non-relativisitically with respect to the local warp factor. Since the position of the brane in each com pact direction is described by a scalar eld, brane in ation is naturally a multi eld in ationary model.

M ulti eld models are characterized by their trajectories in eld space and can in general be decom posed into an adiabatic eld, which param eterizes motion along the trajectory, and isocurvature elds, which describe the directions perpendicular to the trajectory. Features in the trajectory, such as a sharp turn, can convert isocurvature perturbations into adiabatic/curvature perturbations (even on superhorizon scales) and can give rise to interesting features in the prim ordial power spectrum and non-G aussianity.

In this paper we study the e ects of multiple elds in DBI in ation. In particular, we study the multi eld DBI trajectories and show that they are identical to the usual slow -rollmulti eld case in which the trajectory is dom inated by the eld with the largest slope of the potential. W e calculate the power spectrum for multiple DBI elds in the lim it the trajectory makes a sharp turn and show that the contribution of the isocurvature perturbations to the power spectrum is suppressed by the sound speed. Finally, we calculate the non-G aussianity in the sharp turn limit and nd that the non-G aussianity is dom inated at leading order in the sound speed by the usual single eld DBI contribution, but has new multi eld features at sub-leading order. We conclude by commenting on the im pact of our results for DBI model building.

#### M U LT IF IE LD EQUATIONS OF M OT ION

Consider a 10-dim ensional warped throat background with the metric

$$ds^{2} = f^{-1=2}(y)g dx dx + f^{1=2}(y)g_{m n}dy^{m}dy^{n}$$
 (1)

com m on to type IIB string com pacti cations [6,7], where f'(y) is called the warp factor of the throat which can in principle depend on all of the coordinates of the internal space y.

A D 3-brane in this background is described by (to low - est order in string coupling and to all orders in <sup>0</sup>),

$$S_{DBI} = d^{4}x^{p} - g \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ f(i) \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 \\ s - \frac{x}{1 + f(i)g} \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 + f(i)g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ f(i) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the warp factor is rescaled  $f(_i) = T_{D_3}^{-1} f'(y(_i))$ , and the real canonical scalar eds associated with the motion of the brane are given by

$$_{i}$$
  $T_{D_{3}}^{1=2}y_{i}$  (3)

where i = 1; :::6. The potential V ( $_i$ ) can arise, for example, from interactions with  $\overline{D}$  3-branes, D 7-branes, or from the breaking of the local isom etries of the com pact space, and we will leave it to be unspecied for the moment.

W e will de ne the sound speed during in ation to be the inverse of the \Lorentz factor" of the DBI action for spatially hom ogeneous elds,

$$c_{s} = 1 f(i) \frac{2}{1}$$
 (4)

We will be interested in the small sound speed limit  $c_s = 1$  where the non-G aussianity is observable. In the following we will use the convention that the  $y_i$  measure the distance from IR \tip" of the throat and that the D 3 brane moves towards the tip, so -i < 0.

Consider a FRW universe with four-dimensional metric,

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} dx_{i}^{2} :$$
 (5)

The Friedman equation and equations of motion for the elds i are (with  $H = \frac{a}{a}$ )

$$3M_{p}^{2}H^{2} = \frac{1}{f(_{i})} \frac{1}{c_{s}} + V(_{i}); \qquad (6)$$

$$\frac{1}{a(t)^{3}} \frac{d}{dt} (a(t)^{3} \frac{-i}{c_{s}}) = \frac{\theta}{\theta_{i}} V(_{i}) + f(_{i})^{1} (c_{s} - 1)$$
(7)

D istributing the tim e derivative, the equation of m otion (7) can also be written

$$_{i} + 3H -_{i} = \frac{c_{s}}{c_{s}} -_{i} + c_{s} \theta_{i} = V + \frac{(c_{s} - 1)}{f} = 0$$
: (8)

C learly the equation of motion for a homogeneous scalar eld with a canonical kinetic term is obtained from  $(7)_{\prime}(8)$  in the lim it  $c_s$  ! 1.

We de ne the multield DBI in ationary parameters as [4]

$$\frac{H}{H^2}$$
 (9)

$$_{ij} \qquad M_p^2 c_s \frac{\varrho_i \varrho_j V}{V} : \qquad (10)$$

W hen these parameters are much smaller than one, the equations of motion (8) take a form similar to that of a slowly rolling eld

$$\begin{array}{r} P \\ 3H -1 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ + 0 \\ i & V (i) + f^{1} (c_{s} 1) \\ 3H -i + c_{s} 0 \\ i & V (i) + f^{1} (c_{s} 1) \\ \end{array}$$

where tan  $_{ij}$   $Q_{j}V=Q_{i}V$  to leading order in the DBI in ationary parameters (9-10).

As a specic example, for the \standard case" of an AdS warp factor that depends only on one of the edds



FIG.1: The trajectory of a multiple eld in ationary system can be decomposed into an \adiabatic" eld with components along the trajectory and an \entropy" eld s orthogonal to the trajectory.

f(1) =  $_{\rm p}$  =  $^4$  and a separable potential of m ass terms V =  $\frac{1}{2}^{\rm p}$  m  $_{\rm i}^2 \,_{\rm i}^2$ , it can be shown that for small sound speed c<sub>s</sub> 1 and a large mass hierarchy (m  $_{\rm i}$  m  $_{\rm j}$  for some i; j) the multi eld DBI in ationary parameters are of order O ().

# TRAJECTOR IES IN MULTIFIELD BRANE IN FLATION

To simplify our analysis we will restrict ourselves to a two eld model ( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ), but it is straightforward to generalize our analysis to any number of elds.

We will parameterize the classical trajectory by an \adiabatic edd" that represents the component of the edd motion along the trajectory [8] (see Figure 1),

$$= (\cos)_{-1} + (\sin)_{-2} :$$
 (12)

The angle param eterizes the angle the classical trajectory m akes with one of the eld directions (here chosen to be  $_1$ ) and should not be confused with the angular position of the D3 brane in the com pact space, which is param eterized by the elds  $_i$ . The \entropy eld" s transverse to the classical trajectory gives rise to isocurvature uctuations which are given by,

$$s = (sin)_1 + (cos)_2$$
: (13)

By de nition, the entropy eld is constant,  $\underline{s} = 0$ .

U sing this param eterization, we can rewrite the exact equations of motion (8) as (in particular, the multi eld DBI param eters are not necessarily assumed small for

this expression),

$$\frac{1}{c_{s}} + \frac{3H}{c_{s}} - \frac{c_{s}}{c_{s}^{2}} + (0 (V + f^{-1} (c_{s} - 1)) = 0 (14))$$
$$- = (0_{-1} (V + f^{-1} (c_{s} - 1)) \sin 0)$$
$$(0_{-2} (V + f^{-1} (c_{s} - 1)) \cos s) = (15)$$

It is clear from (15) that the angle of the trajectory has a  $\$  xed point" trajectory in eld space - 0 de ned by

$$\tan = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{2}(V + f^{1}(C_{s} - 1))}{\mathcal{Q}_{1}(V + f^{1}(C_{s} - 1))}; \quad (16)$$

Furtherm one this xed point is stable to leading order when the slope of the potential is positive and dom inates over the slope of the warp factor since sm all variations

are driven to zero (notice that  $\_ < 0$ ). This xed point can also be seen from the equations of motion (11) in the \DBI slow roll regime" (e.g. when the multi eld DBI param eters (9-10) are small),

$$\tan = \frac{-2}{-1} \quad \frac{\theta_{2}(V + f^{1}(c_{s} - 1))}{\theta_{1}(V + f^{1}(c_{s} - 1))}: \quad (17)$$

We see then that being in the DBI slow roll regime is equivalent to being at the stable  $\ xed$  point" of the trajectory, thus DBI slow roll is an attractor solution.

Let us exam ine (16) in more detail. First, we note that for an in ationary solution we require that the potential energy dom inates over the kinetic energy, e.g. V( $_i$ ) 1=( $c_s f$ ), so that for small  $c_s$ , V( $_i$ )  $c_s = f$  is autom atically true. The trajectories (16) are now the same as in standard multi eld in ation, in particular, the angle of the trajectory is controlled by the ratio of the curvatures of the potential: the trajectory follows the direction with the largest curvature. W hen the eld with the largest curvature reaches its minimum the trajectory makes a sharp turn in eld space, with the sharpness of the turn given by the ratio of the curvatures.

If we express the warped geom etry as a cone over an angular base space X  $^{\rm 5}$  ,

$$g_{m n} dy^{m} dy^{n} = dr^{2} + ds_{x 5}^{2}$$
 (18)

we can identify one of the elds  $_1$  as the radial coordinate and the other eld  $_2$  as one of the angular coordinates on the base X  $^5$  of the D-brane. In this case we can roughly classify the trajectories based on which eld dom inates at early times, as shown in Figure 2: a radially dom inated trajectory is when the slope of the potential in the angular direction  $_2$  is much smaller than the radial direction  $_1$  and so the trajectory is dom inated at early times by motion in the radial direction; a diagonal trajectory is when the slopes of potentials of the two elds are approximately the same and so the trajectory is approximately a diagonal line composed of a linear combination of the radial and angular directions;



FIG. 2: Multi eld models have a number of di erent trajectories, depending on the curvature of the potential for the elds. The sharpness of the turns is controlled by the ratio of the curvatures.

and a angularly dom inated trajectory is when the slope of the potential in the radial direction  $_1$  is the smallest so the trajectory is dom inated at early times by motion in the angular  $_2$  direction. Clearly the diagonal trajectory does not have a significant turn in led space, and so will not contribute to a generation of curvature perturbations as discussed above. In fact, the diagonal-type trajectory is just a linear combination of the leds and hence can be completely described by a single led, and so we will not consider this possibility.

## THE POW ER SPECTRUM OF MULTIFIELD DBI INFLATION

The equation of motion for the curvature perturbation R in a multi eld model with elds  $_{\rm I}$  can be solved exactly using the N formalism [9,10], which states that the curvature perturbation is equal to the di erence between the num ber of e-folds of the classical trajectory N  $_{\rm e}$ ,

$$= dN_e = N_e \qquad N_e = \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ N_{;i}(i) \\ i \end{array} ; \qquad (19)$$

where N<sub>ji</sub>  $\frac{\partial N_{e}}{\partial i}$  is the derivative of the number of efoldings with respect to the eld evaluated when the mode exits the horizon i. For two elds, the power spectrum is then given by,

$$4^{2}P = h \quad i = (N_{i1})^{2}h_{1} \quad _{1}i \\ + 2(N_{i1})(N_{i2})h_{1} \quad _{2}i + (N_{i2})^{2}h_{2} \quad _{2}i;(20)$$

where we have allowed for cross correlation between the elds - for a canonical kinetic term, the cross coupling is zero. We see, then, that the power spectrum receives extra contributions in multi eld in ation, both from cross couplings and from the extra two point correlation functions of the additional elds.

W e would like to analyze these extra contributions in m ore detail. U sing the approximation that the DBImulti eld parameters (10) are small and that the potential is separable V ( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ) = V<sub>1</sub>( $_1$ ) + V<sub>2</sub>( $_2$ ) and dominates the energy density, the number of e-folds from the time of horizon crossing to the end of in ation is [10]

$$N_{e} = \frac{1}{M_{p}^{2}} \int_{e}^{Z_{e}} \frac{V_{1}}{c_{s} \theta_{1} V_{1}} d_{1}$$
$$\frac{1}{M_{p}^{2}} \int_{e}^{e} \frac{V_{2}}{c_{s} \theta_{2} V_{2}} d_{2}: \qquad (21)$$

A s in [10], we can write the curvature perturbation (19) in a much simpler way by using a di erent set of multi eld D B I param eters,

$$_{i} = \frac{c_{s}M_{p}^{2}}{2} \frac{V_{i}^{0}}{V}$$
(22)

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to the argument. Note that  $= H_{-}H^2 = _1 + _2$ . The curvature perturbation can now be written,

$$= \frac{1}{M_{p}^{P} \overline{2}_{1}} \frac{V_{1} + Z^{e}}{V} ( _{1}) \\ + \frac{1}{M_{p}^{P} \overline{2}_{2}} \frac{V_{2} Z^{e}}{V} ( _{2}) ; (23)$$

w ith

$$Z^{e} = \frac{V_{2}^{e} e^{e} V_{1}^{e} e^{e}}{e}$$
 (24)

where the superscript 'e' denotes evaluation at the end of in ation. In the lim it that the trajectory is in the radial or angular direction as shown above then at the end of in ation the one of the eld has settled into its minima (say,  $_2$  for concreteness) so  $Z^e = V_2^e = \text{const. Form}$  assterm dom inated potentials (or equivalently when the vacuum energy from  $_2$  is small  $V_2^e = V_2$ ) then we can take  $Z^e = 0$  and the expression for the curvature perturbation sim pli es to include only the values of the potential and the slow roll parameters evaluated at horizon crossing,

$$= \frac{1}{M_{p}} \frac{V_{1}}{Z_{1}} (1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{M_{p}} \frac{V_{2}}{Z_{2}} \frac{V_{2}}{V} (1) (25)$$

T his simple expression for the curvature perturbation will be useful later in evaluating the power spectrum .

#### The in ationary perturbation

In order to calculate the power spectrum we need to evaluate the two point correlation functions for the perturbations of the scalar elds in (20). In order to have analytic controlover our expressions we will assume that the trajectory is highly radial, e.g.

$$\tan = \frac{-2}{-1} = \frac{V_2^0}{V_1^0} \quad c_s:$$
(26)

U nder this assumption, the adiabatic perturbation is  $\sin - p$  by the perturbation in the  $_1$  direction and perturbations in the  $_2$  direction are just isocurvature perturbations,

$$= _{1}; s = _{2}:$$
 (27)

Expanding the kinetic part of the Lagrangian in (2) to quadratic order in and s, we nd

$$L_{2} = \frac{a^{3}}{2c_{s}^{3}} \begin{bmatrix} -^{2} & a^{2} c_{s}^{2} (r )^{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{a^{3}}{2c_{s}} \begin{bmatrix} -s^{2} & a^{2} (r s)^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

Note that the isocurvature uctuations (e.g. the uctuations in the angular direction) scale di erently with the sound speed; we will see soon that this has important consequences for the two point functions.

The quantization of the perturbations proceeds as usual with

$$(;x) = \frac{1}{(2)^{3}} d^{3}k$$

$$u(;k)a(k) + u(; k)a'(k)e^{ikx};$$
where
$$u(;k) = \frac{H}{2k^{3}}(1 + ikc_{s})e^{ikc_{s}} (29)$$

for the adiabatic perturbation and

٦٨

$$s( ;x) = \frac{1}{(2 )^{3}} d^{3}k$$

$$v( ;k)b(k) + v( ; k)b(k) e^{ik x};$$
where
$$v( ;k) = H \frac{c_{s}}{2k^{3}}(1 + ik) e^{ik} (30)$$

for the isocurvature modes. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the usual commutation relation  $[a(k);a^{y}(k^{0})] = [b(k);b^{y}(k^{0})] = (2)^{3}(k k^{0}), u(;k)$  and v(;k) are the solutions of the quadratic Lagrangian whose normalizations are xed by the W ronskian conditions<sup>1</sup>, and  $= \frac{1}{aH}$  is the conformal time.

It is now straightforward to calculate the two point functions,

h (k<sub>1</sub>) (k<sub>2</sub>)i = (2)<sup>3 3</sup> (k<sub>1</sub> + k<sub>2</sub>)
$$\frac{H^2}{2k_1^3}$$
; (31)

h s(k<sub>1</sub>) s(k<sub>2</sub>)i = (2)<sup>3</sup> (k<sub>1</sub> + k<sub>2</sub>) 
$$\frac{c_s H^2}{2k_1^3}$$
: (32)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This can be checked by computing the commutator [ (;x<sub>1</sub>);p (;x<sub>2</sub>)] = i<sup>3</sup>(x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>2</sub>), where  $p = \frac{\ell L_2}{\ell}$  is the canonical momentum.

Here (k) =  $(;k)_{j_{0}}, s(k) = s(;k)_{j_{0}}, and$ the Hubble param eter and sound speed are evaluated at the tim e of horizon crossing. Here we see that the isocurvature uctuations generated by s are suppressed by a 1 com pared to the adiabatic perturbations factorofcs generated by . This suppression can be traced back to the dierent c<sub>s</sub> dependence found in the quadratic Lagrangian (28). We note that the exact same calculation follows through if the trajectory is dom inated by the angular direction after the replacement  $_1$  \$ 2. Finally, notice also that in the lim it of a straight-line trajectory there is no cross correlation between the elds, so the cross term in the power spectrum (20) vanishes. Unfortunately, non-straight line trajectories are beyond our analytic control so it is not clear if the cross coupling will be signi cant, although we expect that in the diagonal lim it the two point function should reduce to that of a simple single eld model where the results are well known.

U sing the two point functions (31,32) and the expression for the curvature perturbation (25), the power spectrum (20) becomes,

$$P = \frac{H^{2}}{4 \ ^{2}M_{p}^{2}} \frac{1}{2_{1}} \frac{V_{1}}{V}^{2} + \frac{c_{s}}{2_{2}} \frac{V_{2}}{V}^{2^{\#}}$$
$$\frac{H^{2}}{4 \ ^{2}M_{p}^{2}} \frac{1}{2_{1}} \frac{V_{1}}{V}^{2} \qquad (33)$$

where in the last line we assume that the second term is small compared to the rst term in the small  $c_s$  limit.

Since the contribution of the angular modes to the power spectrum is highly suppressed by the sound speed we nd that multi ed DBI reduces essentially to the single ed case, in contrast to multiple edd slow roll in ation where additional edds may become im portant when the trajectory makes sharp turns in edd space [10].

#### M ulti eld N on-G aussianity

In the following we study the non-Gaussianities in more details, and as it turns out there are some potentially observable di erences from the single eld DBI ination. To compute the non-Gaussianities, we expand the DBI Lagrangian to higher order. The leading order and subleading order cubic terms are

$$L_{3} = \frac{a^{3}}{2c_{s}^{5}} \begin{bmatrix} -^{3} & a^{2}c_{s}^{2} - (r - f) \\ a^{2}c_{s}^{2} - (r - sf) \end{bmatrix}$$
(34)

The leading contribution to the non-Gaussianity comes from the rst two terms, and their size is well known

$$f_{\rm N \ L} \quad \frac{1}{c_{\rm s}^2} \tag{35}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Since the angularm ode $s$ is suppressed by a factor of $\frac{1}{C_s}$ comparing to the radialm ode $$, we see that the third term in (34) contributes a non-G aussianity of order $$$ 

$$f_{\rm N L} = \frac{1}{c_{\rm s}}$$
(36)

A lthough it is sub-leading comparing to the rst two terms, it is still potentially observable by future experiments if the sound speed  $c_{\rm s}$  is small enough. In particular, this e ect can be larger than the sub-leading e ect of order  $\frac{1}{c_{\rm s}^2}$  computed in [4] when the sound speed satis es  $c_{\rm s}>$ .

The calculation of the three-point function is standard, see e.g. [4] for details,

h (k<sub>1</sub>) (k<sub>2</sub>) (k<sub>3</sub>)i = i(2 
$$\frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{3} \frac{x}{k_1}$$
  
v(0;k<sub>1</sub>)v(0;k<sub>2</sub>)u(0;k<sub>3</sub>)  $\frac{@N}{@_1} \frac{@N}{@_2}^2$   
 $\frac{1}{c_s^3 - 1}^{Z_0}$  ad  $\frac{du(;k_3)}{d}$  [( k<sub>1</sub> k)v(;k<sub>1</sub>)v(;k<sub>2</sub>)]  
+ c.c.+ cyclic: (37)

here \cyclic." m eans two other terms by cyclically permuting  $k_1; k_2; k_3$ . We can see the non-Gaussianity vanishes in the squeezed limit when one of the momentum  $k_i ! 0$ , since  $\frac{du(k_3)}{d} = k_3^2$  and there is factor of  $k_1 \neq k$ . This is the same as in single eld DBI in ation.

G oing away from the squeezed limit, we can compute the above three point function assuming  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  are of the same order of magnitude. The non-G aussianity has a very interesting shape as the following

A 
$$(k_1; k_2; k_3) = \frac{k_3^2 (k_1^2 + 3k_1k_2 + k_2^2)(k_1 - k_3)}{(k_1 + k_2)^3}$$
 (38)  
+ cyclic:

W e plot the non-G aussianity as A  $(k_1;k_2;1)=(k_1k_2)$  following the convention of [4] in Figure 3.

We can see from Figure 4 that the non-Gaussianity has a very interesting new feature, namely, the sign of non-Gaussianity is di erent in the middle of the \folded triangle" lim it where  $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$  from most of the other region of the con guration space. For example, one can directly check for the con guration of a folded triangle  $k_1 = k_2 = \frac{k_3}{2}$ , the shape is negative A  $(k_1; k_2; k_3) = \frac{1.088}{k_3^3}$ . This feature is not present in any other known in ationary models, so it can be used as a distinctive signature of multi eld DBI in ation. The sign of non-Gaussianity determ ines the sense of skew ness in the CMB tem perature and matter density, and thus this change in sign can lead to potentially interesting observational effects<sup>2</sup>. However, we should caution that since this is a

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>$  T he convention for the sign of  $f_{\rm N~L}\,$  (which characterizes the level



FIG. 3: The shape of non-G aussianity in multi eld DBI ination is shown through a plot of A  $(k_1;k_2;1)=(k_1k_2)$  in (38). Notice that in the folded triangle lim it  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3=2$  the bispectrum is negative, and constitutes a distinctive signature of multi eld DBI in ation in the small  $c_s$  lim it. The presence of opposite signs of the non-G aussianity may give rise to interesting observational e ects.



FIG .4: The negative part of the non-gaussianity in the folded triangle lim it is show n .

sub-leading e ect, it m ight be di cult to disentangle the signature from experim ental data. It would be interesting to extend this analysis to m ore than two elds, which m ay lead to additional enhancem ents or suppressions of the m ulti eld non-G aussianities [12].

## D iscussion

W e have show n that the two point function of the extra \angular" scalar eld direction during in ation is suppressed by a factor of  $c_s$  compared to the usual single eld contribution, thus the multi eld DBI observables simply reduce to the single eld case (in the lim it where one of the elds dom inates the trajectory). There have been a lot of recent attem pts in building single eld DBI in ation models consistent with all known compactication constraints and precision cosm ological observations [13]. In particular, these works nd that combining observational constraints of the amplitudes and tilt of the scalar and tensor perturbations and the prim ordial nongaussianity together with limitations on the eld range com ing from com pacti cation puts severe constraints on the viable parameter space of single eld DBIm odels, ruling out the most sim ple models.

In general, since we see that the small sound speed in multi eld DBI in ation suppresses the multi eld e ects, multi eld DBI in ationary observables can be well approximated by their single eld values. Note that this also implies that the dramatic O (1) e ects expected at the end of multi eld DBI in ation due to the inhom ogenous surface of tachyon condensation exam ined in [14] are now instead suppressed by O ( $c_s$ ) and are subdom inant.

Variations of the basic DBIm odel, such as its IR version [15, 16], models involving wrapped branes [17] or di erent warped geom etries, may be able to evade the strong constraints of [13]; since we have not made explicit use of any particular model we expect our results to hold in the small sound speed lim it of these models as well.

Note: As this manuscript was being prepared, a preprint [18] appeared which contains som e overlap with this work.

### A cknow ledgm ents:

W e would like to thank Thorsten Battefeld, Dam ien Easson, Louis Leblond, Liam M cA llister, Sarah Shandera and G ianm assim o Tasinato for helpful discussions and comments. The work of M H, GS and BU was supported in part by NSF CAREER Award No. PHY-0348093, DOE grant DE-FG-02-95ER 40896, a Research Innovation Award and a Cottrell Scholar Award from Research Corporation.

of non-G aussianity) has been a source of confusion in the literature. See [11] for a discussion.

 <sup>[1]</sup> J.Garriga and V.F.Mukhanov, Phys.Lett. B 458, 219 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9904176]; C. Arm endariz-Picon, T. Dam our and V.F.Mukhanov, Phys.Lett. B 458, 209 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9904075].

<sup>[2]</sup> E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70, 103505 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0310221].

- [3] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70, 123505 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0404084].
- [4] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, JCAP 0701,002 (2007) [arXiv hep-th/0605045]; M. x. Huang and G. Shiu, Phys. Rev. D 74,121301 (2006) [arXiv hepth/0610235].
- [5] G. R. D vali and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B 450, 72 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9812483].
- [6] S.B.G iddings, S.K achru and J.Polchinski, Phys. Rev.
   D 66, 106006 (2002) [arX iv hep-th/0105097].
- [7] I.R.K lebanov, M.J.Strassler, JHEP 0008, 052 (2000)
   [arX iv hep-th/0007191].
- [8] C.Gordon, D.W ands, B.A.Bassett and R.M aartens, Phys. Rev. D 63, 023506 (2000), [arXiv:astroph/0009131].
- [9] M. Sasaki and E. D. Stewart, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95, 71 (1996) [arX iv astro-ph/9507001]; A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 42, 152 (1985) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. z. 42, 124 (1985)] D. W ands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth, A. R. Liddle, PhysRev. D 62 (2000) 043527 [arX iv astroph/0003278]; D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, JCAP 0509, 011 (2005) [arX iv astro-ph/0506056].
- [10] F. Vemizzi, D. W ands, JCAP 0605 (2006) 019 [astroph/0603799].
- [11] E.K om atsu, talk at \C osm ology and Strings" W orkshop,

ICTP, Trieste, July 2007.

- [12] T. Battefeld and R. Easther, JCAP 0703, 020 (2007) [arX iv astro-ph/0610296]; D. Battefeld and T. Battefeld, JCAP 0705, 012 (2007) [arX iv hep-th/0703012].
- [13] S. Kecskem eti, J. M aiden, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, JHEP 0609,076 (2006) [arX iv hep-th/0605189]; G. Shiu and B. Underwood, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98,051301 (2007) [arX iv hep-th/0610151]; D. Baum ann and L. M cA llister, arX iv hep-th/0610285; J. E. Lidsey and I. Huston, arX iv 0705.0240 [hep-th]; R. Bean, S. E. Shandera, S. H. H. Tye, J. Xu, JCAP 05 (2007) 004, arX iv hepth/0702107v1; H. V. Peiris, D. Baum ann, B. Friedm an, A. Cooray, arX iv 0706.1240v1 [astro-ph];
- [14] L.Leblond and S.Shandera, arX iv hep-th/0610321.
- [15] X. Chen, JHEP 0508, 045 (2005) [arX iv hepth/0501184]; X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 72, 123518 (2005) [arX iv astro-ph/0507053].
- [16] S.Thom as and J.W ard, arX iv hep-th/0702229.
- [17] T. Kobayashi, S. Mukohyama and S. Kinoshita, arXiv:0708.4285 [hep-th]; M. Becker, L. Leblond and S.E. Shandera, arXiv:0709.1170 [hep-th].
- [18] D.A.Easson, R.Gregory, D.F.Mota, G.Tasinato and I.Zavala, arX iv:0709.2666 [hep-th].