M ultield D B I In
ation and N on-G aussianities

M in-xin H uang

D epartm ent of Physics, CERN - Theory D ivision, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland

G ary Shiu and Bret U nderwood

D epartm ent of Physics, U niversity of W isconsin, M adison, W I 53706, U SA

W e analyze the trajectories for multi eld D B I in ation, which can arise in brane in ation models, and show that the trajectories are the same as in typical slow roll in ation. We calculate the power spectrum and nd that the higher derivative term s of the D B I action lead to a suppression of the contribution from the isocurvature perturbations. W e also calculate the bispectrum generated by the isocurvature perturbation,and nd that it leads to distinctive features.

IN T R O D U C T IO N

Scalar eld theories with non-canonical kinetic term s provide novel realizations of the in
ationary paradigm [\[1\]](#page-5-0). O ne interesting class of such m odels w hich have been studied extensively in recent years is D BI in
ation $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$, characterized by kinetic term sw hich arise from the D irac-Born-Infeld (D BI) action. A particularly appealing phenom enological feature of DBI in ation is that it can lead to strong and unique non-G aussian signatures in the C osm ic M icrowave Background (CM B) $[3,4]$ $[3,4]$. The D B I action is ubiquitous in string theory, e.g., as an eective theory for worldvolum edegrees of freedom on branes. W hen embedded in brane in ation [\[5\]](#page-6-0), the in aton eld in D B I m odels can be given a natural geom etrical interpretation as the position of a D -brane in extra dim ensions. D B I in ation arises when the D-brane m oves in a highly warped region of the internal space where the speed lim it is sm all, and reduces to the usual slow roll brane in
ation (w ith canonicalkinetic term) w hen the brane is m oving non-relativisitically w ith respect to the localwarp factor. Since the position of the brane in each com pact direction is described by a scalar e k, brane in ation is naturally a multi eld in ationary model.

M ulti eld m odels are characterized by their trajectories in eld space and can in general be decom posed into an adiabatic eld, w hich param eterizes m otion along the trajectory, and isocurvature elds, which describe the directions perpendicular to the trajectory. Features in the trajectory, such as a sharp turn, can convert isocurvature perturbations into adiabatic/curvature perturbations (even on superhorizon scales) and can give rise to interesting features in the prim ordialpower spectrum and non-G aussianity.

In this paper we study the eects of multiple elds in D B I in ation. In particular, we study the multield D B I trajectories and show that they are identical to the usual slow-roll multield case in which the trajectory is dom inated by the eld with the largest slope of the potential. We calculate the power spectrum formultiple DBI elds in the lim it the trajectory m akes a sharp turn and show that the contribution of the isocurvature perturbations

to the power spectrum is suppressed by the sound speed. Finally, we calculate the non-G aussianity in the sharp turn lim it and nd that the non-G aussianity is dom inated at leading order in the sound speed by the usual single eld D B I contribution, but has new multield features at sub-leading order. We conclude by commenting on the impact of our results for D B I model building.

M U LT IF IE LD E Q U A T IO N S O F M O T IO N

C onsider a 10-dim ensionalwarped throat background w ith the m etric

$$
ds^{2} = f^{r^{1=2}} (y)g dx dx + f^{1=2} (y)g_{mn} dy^{m} dy^{n}
$$
 (1)

com m on to type IIB string com pacti cations [\[6,7\]](#page-6-0), where $f'(y)$ is called the warp factor of the throat which can in principle depend on all of the coordinates of the internal space y.

A D 3-brane in this background is described by (to low est order in string coupling and to all orders in $\,^0$),

$$
S_{D \text{ B I}} = \begin{pmatrix} Z & 1 \\ d^{4}x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + f(i) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ f(i) & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where the warp factor is rescaled $f(\iota) = T_D^{-1} f'(y(\iota))$, and the real canonical scalar elds associated with the m otion of the brane are given by

$$
i \t T_{D \ 3}^{1=2} Y_i \t (3)
$$

where $i = 1$;:::6. The potential V ($_{i}$) can arise, for exam ple, from interactions w ith \overline{D} 3-branes, D 7-branes, or from the breaking of the local isom etries of the compact space, and we will leave it to be unspecied for the mom ent.

We will de ne the sound speed during in ation to be the inverse of the \Lorentz factor" of the D B I action for spatially hom ogeneous elds,

$$
c_{s} = \begin{array}{c|cc} S & X & Z \\ \hline 1 & f(1) & \frac{2}{1} \\ & & \end{array}
$$
 (4)

We will be interested in the small sound speed lim it 1 where the non-G aussianity is observable. In the $C_{\rm S}$ following we will use the convention that the y_i m easure the distance from IR \tip" of the throat and that the D3 brane m oves towards the tip, so \rightarrow < 0.

Consider a FRW universe with four-dimensionalmetric,

$$
ds^{2} = d\hat{t} + a(t)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} dx_{i}^{2}
$$
 (5)

The Friedm an equation and equations of m otion for the ebts $\frac{1}{2}$ are (with $H = \frac{a}{2}$)

$$
3M_p^2H^2 = \frac{1}{f(\mathbf{i})} \frac{1}{c_s} \quad 1 + V(\mathbf{i}); \qquad (6)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{a(t)^{3}} \frac{d}{dt} (a(t)^{3} \frac{1}{C_{s}}) =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{a}{\frac{a}{a} \cdot i} \quad \text{V} \left(\frac{1}{i} \right) + f \left(\frac{1}{i} \right)^{1} \left(C_{s} \quad 1 \right) \tag{7}
$$

D istributing the time derivative, the equation of motion (7) can also be written

$$
i + 3H \to \frac{C_s}{C_s} + c_s \theta_i \quad V + \frac{(C_s - 1)}{f} = 0: (8)
$$

C learly the equation of m otion for a hom ogeneous scalar eld with a canonical kinetic term is obtained from (7) , (8) in the lim it c_s ! 1.

We de ne the multiebl DBI in ationary parameters as $[4]$

$$
\frac{H}{H^2}
$$
 (9)

$$
i j \qquad M_p^2 C_s \frac{\theta_i \theta_j V}{V} : \qquad (10)
$$

W hen these parameters are much smaller than one, the equations of m otion (8) take a form similar to that of a slow ly rolling eld

$$
3H \rightarrow 1 \quad \frac{P}{j \tan ij} \frac{1}{j}
$$

+ $\theta_i V(j) + f^{-1}(C_s - 1)$
 $3H \rightarrow + C_s \theta_i V(j) + f^{-1}(C_s - 1) = 0$; (11)

where tan $_{ij}$ $Q, V = Q, V$ to leading order in the DBI in ationary parameters $(9-10)$.

As a specic example, for the \standard case" of an AdS warp factor that depends only on one of the elds

FIG.1: The trajectory of a multiple eld in ationary system can be decomposed into an \adiabatic" eld with components along the trajectory and an \entropy" eld s orthogonal to the tra jectory.

 $f(1) = p$ = $\frac{4}{9}$ and a separable potential of m ass term s $V = \frac{1}{2}$ $\int_{0}^{2} m_1^2 \frac{2}{i}$, it can be shown that for small sound speed c_s 1 and a large m ass hierarchy (m $\frac{1}{1}$ $m + f$ or som e i; j) the multi eld DBI in ationary parameters are of order $0()$.

TRAJECTORIES IN MULTIFIELD BRANE IN FLATION

To simplify our analysis we will restrict ourselves to a two eld model ($_1$; $_2$), but it is straightforward to generalize our analysis to any number of elds.

We will parameterize the classical trajectory by an \adiabatic eld" that represents the component of the eld m otion along the trajectory [8] (see Figure 1),

$$
= (\cos)_{-1} + (\sin)_{-2} : \tag{12}
$$

The angle param eterizes the angle the classical trajectory makes with one of the eld directions (here chosen to be $_1$) and should not be confused with the angular position of the D 3 brane in the compact space, which is param eterized by the elds $_i$. The \entropy eld" s transverse to the classical trajectory gives rise to isocurvature uctuations which are given by,

$$
s = (sin)_1 + (cos)_2: (13)
$$

By denition, the entropy eld is constant, $s = 0$.

U sing this param eterization, we can rew rite the exact equations of m otion (8) as (in particular, the multi eld DBI param eters are not necessarily assumed small for this expression),

$$
\frac{3H}{C_s} + \frac{3H}{C_s} - \frac{C_s}{C_s^2} + \frac{1}{2} (V + f^{-1} (C_s - 1)) = 0 \quad (14)
$$
\n
$$
- = \frac{1}{2} (V + f^{-1} (C_s - 1)) \sin \frac{1}{2} (V + f^{-1} (C_s - 1)) \cos \frac{1}{2} \quad (15)
$$

It is clear from (15) that the angle of the trajectory has $a \setminus x$ ed point" trajectory in eld space $-$ 0 de ned by

$$
\tan = \frac{\theta_{2}(V + f^{1}(c_{s} - 1))}{\theta_{1}(V + f^{1}(c_{s} - 1))};
$$
 (16)

Furtherm ore this xed point is stable to leading order when the slope of the potential is positive and dom inates over the slope of the warp factor since sm all variations

are driven to zero (notice that \angle < 0). This xed point can also be seen from the equations of m otion [\(11](#page-1-0)) in the \D B I slow roll regime" (e.g. when the multield D B I param eters [\(9-10\)](#page-1-0) are sm all),

$$
\tan = \frac{-2}{-1} \quad \frac{\theta_2 (V + f^{-1} (c_s - 1))}{\theta_1 (V + f^{-1} (c_s - 1))}; \qquad (17)
$$

We see then that being in the DBI slow roll regime is equivalent to being at the stable \ xed point" of the trajectory, thus D B I slow roll is an attractor solution.

Let us exam ine (16) in m ore detail. First, we note that for an in ationary solution we require that the potential energy dom inates over the kinetic energy, e.g. V (i) $1=(c_s f)$, so that for sm all c_s , V (i) $c_s=f$ is autom atically true. The trajectories (16) are now the sam eas in standard multi eld in ation, in particular, the angle of the trajectory is controlled by the ratio of the curvatures of the potential: the trajectory follow s the direction with the largest curvature. W hen the eld with the largest curvature reaches its m in im um the trajectory m akes a sharp turn in eld space, w ith the sharpness of the turn given by the ratio of the curvatures.

If we express the warped geom etry as a cone over an angular base space X 5 ,

$$
g_{m n} dy^{m} dy^{n} = dr^{2} + ds_{X}^{2} \tag{18}
$$

we can identify one of the elds $_1$ as the radial coordinate and the other $e^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as one of the angular coordinates on the base X^5 of the D-brane. In this case we can roughly classify the trajectories based on which eld dom inates at early times, as shown in Figure 2: a radially dom inated trajectory is when the slope of the potential in the angular direction $_2$ is much sm aller than the radialdirection $_1$ and so the trajectory is dominated at early tim es by m otion in the radialdirection;a diagonal trajectory is when the slopes of potentials of the two elds are approximately the same and so the trajectory is approximately a diagonal line composed of a linear com bination of the radial and angular directions;

FIG. 2: Multield models have a number of dierent trajectories, depending on the curvature of the potential for the elds. The sharpness of the turns is controlled by the ratio of the curvatures.

and a angularly dom inated trajectory is when the slope of the potential in the radial direction $_1$ is the sm allest so the trajectory is dom inated at early tim es by m otion in the angular $_2$ direction. C learly the diagonal trajectory does not have a signi cant turn in eld space, and so will not contribute to a generation of curvature perturbations as discussed above. In fact, the diagonal-type trajectory is just a linear combination of the elds and hence can be completely described by a single eld, and so we will not consider this possibility.

THE POW ER SPECTRUM OF MULTIFIELD DBI IN F LA T IO N

The equation of motion for the curvature perturbation R in a multield modelw ith elds $_I$ can be solved</sub> exactly using the N form alism $[9,10]$, w hich states that the curvature perturbation is equal to the dierence between the num ber of e -folds of the classical trajectory N_e and the perturbation to the classical trajectory N $_{\rm e}$,

$$
= dN_e = N_e \tN_e = \begin{cases} X & N_{i1}(-i) & ; \t(19) \\ i & \end{cases}
$$

where N_{i} $\frac{\mathcal{C} \mathbb{N} \mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{C}}$ is the derivative of the num ber of efoldings w ith respect to the eld evaluated w hen the m ode exits the horizon μ . For two elds, the power spectrum is then given by,

$$
42P = h i = (Ni1)2h1 i + 2(Ni1) (Ni2)h1 i + (Ni2)2h2 2 i; (20)
$$

w here we have allowed for cross correlation between the e ds-for a canonical kinetic term, the cross coupling is zero. We see, then, that the power spectrum receives extra contributions in multi eld in ation, both from cross couplings and from the extra two point correlation functions of the additional elds.

We would like to analyze these extra contributions in m ore detail. U sing the approxim ation that the D B Imulti eld param eters (10) are sm all and that the potential is separable V ($_1$; $_2$) = V₁($_1$) + V₂($_2$) and dom inates the energy density, the number of e-folds from the time of horizon crossing to the end of in ation is [10]

$$
N_e = \frac{1}{M_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{q}{2}} e^{-\frac{V_1}{C_s \theta_1 V_1} d_1}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{M_{\frac{p}{p}}}^{\frac{q}{2}} e^{-\frac{V_2}{C_s \theta_2 V_2} d_2}
$$
 (21)

A s in [10], we can write the curvature perturbation (19) in a much simpler way by using a dierent set of multiebl D B I param eters,

$$
i = \frac{c_s M_p^2}{2} \frac{V_i^0}{V}
$$
 (22)

where a prim e denotes a derivative with respect to the argum ent. Note that = $H=H^2 = 1 + 2$. The curvature perturbation can now be written,

$$
= \frac{1}{M_P \frac{P}{2_1}} \frac{V_1 + Z^e}{V} \quad (1)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{M_P \frac{P}{2_2}} \frac{V_2}{V} \frac{Z^e}{V} \quad (2)
$$
 (23)

w ith

$$
Z^e \quad \frac{V_2^e}{e} \frac{1}{e} \quad \frac{V_1^e}{e} \tag{24}
$$

where the superscript 'e' denotes evaluation at the end of in ation. In the lim it that the trajectory is in the radial or angular direction as shown above then at the end of in ation the one of the eld has settled into its m inima (say, $_2$ for concreteness) so $Z^e = V_2^e = const.$ Form assterm dom inated potentials (or equivalently when the vacuum energy from $_2$ is small V_2^e V_2) then we can take $Z^e = 0$ and the expression for the curvature perturbation sim pli es to include only the values of the potential and the slow roll param eters evaluated at horizon crossing,

$$
= \frac{1}{M_P} \frac{V_1}{2_1} \quad (1)
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{M_P} \frac{V_2}{2_2} \quad (2)
$$
 (25)

This simple expression for the curvature perturbation will be useful later in evaluating the power spectrum.

The in ationary perturbation

In order to calculate the power spectrum we need to evaluate the two point correlation functions for the perturbations of the scalar elds in (20). In order to have analytic controlover our expressions we will assume that the trajectory is highly radial, e.g.

$$
\tan \quad = \frac{-2}{-1} = \frac{V_2^0}{V_1^0} \qquad C_s \, : \tag{26}
$$

Under this assumption, the adiabatic perturbation is simply the perturbation in the $_1$ direction and perturbations in the $_2$ direction are just isocurvature perturbations,

$$
=
$$
 1; $s =$ 2: (27)

Expanding the kinetic part of the Lagrangian in (2) to quadratic order in and s, we nd

$$
L_2 = \frac{a^3}{2c_s^3}[-2 \quad a^2 c_s^2 (r \quad \hat{y})
$$

+
$$
\frac{a^3}{2c_s}[-\hat{z} \quad a^2 (r \quad s\hat{y})]
$$
(28)

Note that the isocurvature uctuations (e.g. the uctuations in the angular direction) scale di erently with the sound speed; we will see soon that this has important consequences for the two point functions.

The quantization of the perturbations proceeds as usualwith

$$
(x) = \frac{1}{(2)^3} \, d^3k
$$

u(x)k)a(k) + u(x)k)d(k) e^{ikx};
where u(x)k) = $\frac{H}{2k^3}(1 + ikc_s)$ e^{ikc_s} (29)

for the adiabatic perturbation and

 \overline{V}

$$
s(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(2)^3} \int d^3k
$$

\n
$$
v(\mathbf{y})k)k + v(\mathbf{y})k \int k \int e^{ikx} \mathbf{y}
$$

\nwhere
$$
v(\mathbf{y}) = H \frac{c_s}{2k^3} (1 + ik) e^{ik}
$$
 (30)

for the isocurvature modes. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the usual commutation relation $[a(k);a^{y}(k^{0})] = [b(k);b^{y}(k^{0})] = (2)^{3/3}(k)$ k^0 , $u($; k) and $v($;k) are the solutions of the quadratic Lagrangian whose norm alizations are xed by the W ronskian conditions¹, and $=$ $\frac{1}{aH}$ is the conform altime.

It is now straightforward to calculate the two point functions,

h (k₁) (k₂)
$$
i = (2)^{3} (k_1 + k_2) \frac{H^2}{2k_1^3}
$$
; (31)

h s(k₁) s(k₂)
$$
\textbf{i} = (2)^{3} (k_1 + k_2) \frac{c_s H^2}{2k_1^3}
$$
: (32)

 1 This can be checked by computing the commutator $[(x_1); p (x_2)] = i^3(x_1, x_2),$ where $p = \frac{e_{L_2}}{a}$ is the canonicalm om entum.

H ere $(k) =$ ((k) $j_{!0}$, $s(k) = s(jk)$ $j_{!0}$, and the Hubble param eter and sound speed are evaluated at the time of horizon crossing. Here we see that the isocurvature uctuations generated by s are suppressed by a $factor of c_s$ 1 compared to the adiabatic perturbations generated by . This suppression can be traced back to the dierent c_s dependence found in the quadratic Lagrangian (28). We note that the exact same calculation follow s through if the trajectory is dom inated by the anquantiful quantity of the replacement $\frac{1}{1}$ \$ α . Finally, notice also that in the lim it of a straight-line trajectory there is no cross correlation between the elds, so the cross term in the power spectrum (20) vanishes. Unfortunately, non-straight line tra jectories are beyond our analytic control so it is not clear if the cross coupling will be signi cant, although we expect that in the diagonal lim it the two point function should reduce to that of a simple single eld model where the results are well known.

U sing the two point functions $(31,32)$ and the expression for the curvature perturbation (25), the power spectrum (20) becomes,

$$
P = \frac{H^2}{4^2 M_P^2} \frac{1}{2_1} \frac{V_1}{V}^2 + \frac{C_s}{2_2} \frac{V_2}{V}^2
$$

$$
\frac{H^2}{4^2 M_P^2} \frac{1}{2_1} \frac{V_1}{V}^2
$$
 (33)

where in the last line we assume that the second term is an all compared to the rst term in the an all c_s lim it.

Since the contribution of the angular modes to the power spectrum is highly suppressed by the sound speed we nd thatmultield DBI reduces essentially to the single eld case, in contrast to multiple eld slow roll in ation where additional elds m ay become important when the trajectory m akes sharp turns in eld space [10].

Multi eld N on-G aussianity

In the following we study the non-Gaussianities in m ore details, and as it turns out there are some potentially observable di erences from the single eld DBI ination. To compute the non-G aussianities, we expand the DBI Lagrangian to higher order. The leading order and subleading order cubic term s are

$$
L_3 = \frac{a^3}{2c_s^5}[-\frac{3}{2}a^2c_s^2 - (r \frac{3}{2})a^2c_s^2 - (r \frac{3}{2})a^2c_s^2 - (r \frac{3}{2})a^2a^2 - (r \frac{3}{2})a^2a^2a^2 - (r \frac{3}{2})a^
$$

The leading contribution to the non-G aussianity comes from the rst two terms, and their size is well known

$$
f_{N L} \quad \frac{1}{c_s^2} \tag{35}
$$

Since the angularm ode s is suppressed by a factor of $\overline{c_s}$ comparing to the radialm ode, we see that the third term in (34) contributes a non-G aussianity of order

$$
f_{N L} \quad \frac{1}{c_{s}} \tag{36}
$$

A lthough it is sub-leading comparing to the rst two term s, it is still potentially observable by future experim ents if the sound speed c_s is sm all enough. In particular, this e ect can be larger than the sub-leading e ect of order $\frac{1}{c^2}$ com puted in [4] when the sound speed satis es $C_{\rm s}$ >

The calculation of the three-point function is standard, see e.g. [4] for details,

here \cyclic." means two other term s by cyclically permuting k_1 ; k_2 ; k_3 . We can see the non-G aussianity vanishes in the squeezed lim it when one of the m om entum k_1 ! 0, since $\frac{du + k_3}{d}$ k_1^2 and there is factor of k_1 k_2 . This is the same as in single eld DBI in ation.

G oing away from the squeezed lim it, we can compute the above three point function assuming k_1 , k_2 , k_3 are of the same order of magnitude. The non-G aussianity has a very interesting shape as the following

$$
A (k_1 ; k_2 ; k_3) = \frac{k_3^2 (k_1^2 + 3k_1k_2 + k_2^2)(k_1 + k_2)}{(k_1 + k_2)^3}
$$
 (38)
+ cyclic:

We plot the non-G aussianity as A $(k_1; k_2; 1) = (k_1 k_2)$ follow ing the convention of $[4]$ in Figure 3.

We can see from Figure 4 that the non-G aussianity has a very interesting new feature, namely, the sign of non-G aussianity is dierent in the middle of the \folded triangle" lim it where $k_3 = k_1 + k_2$ from m ost of the other region of the con guration space. For example, one can directly check for the con quration of a folded triangle $k_1 = k_2 = \frac{k_3}{2}$, the shape is negative A $(k_1; k_2; k_3) =$ $\frac{1088}{k^3}$. This feature is not present in any other known in ationary models, so it can be used as a distinctive signature of multield DBI in ation. The sign of non-G aussianity determ ines the sense of skew ness in the CM B tan perature and m atter density, and thus this change in sign can lead to potentially interesting observational effects². However, we should caution that since this is a

 2 T he convention for the sign of $f_{N,L}$ (which characterizes the level

FIG. 3: The shape of non-G aussianity in multi eld DBI ination is shown through a plot of A $(k_1; k_2; 1) = (k_1 k_2)$ in [\(38\)](#page-4-0). N otice that in the folded triangle lim it $k_1 = k_2 = k_3=2$ the bispectrum isnegative,and constitutesa distinctive signature of multiebl D B I in ation in the sm all c_s lim it. The presence of opposite signs of the non-G aussianity m ay give rise to interesting observationale ects.

FIG . 4: The negative part of the non-gaussianity in the folded triangle lim it is show n.

sub-leading e ect, it m ight be dicult to disentangle the signature from experim entaldata. It would be interesting to extend this analysis to m ore than two eks , which m ay lead to additionalenhancem ents or suppressions of the multield non-G aussianities [\[12\]](#page-6-0).

D iscussion

W ehave show n that the two point function of the extra \angular" scalar eld direction during in
ation is suppressed by a factor of c_s com pared to the usual single eld contribution, thus the multi eld D B I observables sim ply reduce to the single eld case (in the lim it w here one of the elds dom inates the trajectory). There have been a bt of recent attem pts in building single eld D B I in ation m odels consistent w ith all known compactication constraints and precision cosm ological observations [\[13\]](#page-6-0). In particular, these works nd that com bining observational constraints of the am plitudes and tilt of the scalar and tensor perturbations and the prim ordial nongaussianity together w ith lim itations on the eld range com ing from com pacti cation puts severe constraints on the viable param eter space of single eld D B I m odels, ruling out the m ost simple m odels.

In general, since we see that the sm all sound speed in multield DBI in ation suppresses the multield e ects, m ultield D BI in
ationary observables can be wellapproxim ated by their single eld values. Note that this also im plies that the dram atic 0 (1) e ects expected at the end of multi eld D B I in ation due to the inhom ogenoussurfaceoftachyon condensation exam ined in [\[14\]](#page-6-0)are now instead suppressed by $O(G_s)$ and are subdom inant.

Variations of the basic D B I m odel, such as its IR version [\[15, 16](#page-6-0)], m odels involving w rapped branes [\[17\]](#page-6-0) or dierent warped geom etries, m ay be able to evade the strong constraints of [\[13](#page-6-0)]; since we have not m ade explicit use of any particular m odel we expect our results to hold in the sm all sound speed lim it of these m odels as well.

N ote: A s this m anuscript was being prepared, a preprint[\[18](#page-6-0)]appeared which contains som e overlap with this work.

A cknow ledgm ents:

We would like to thank Thorsten Battefeld, D am ien Easson,LouisLeblond,Liam M cA llister,Sarah Shandera and G ianm assim o Tasinato for helpful discussions and com m ents. The work of M H , G S and BU was supported in part by NSF CAREER Award No. PHY-0348093, DO E grant D E-FG-02-95ER 40896, a R esearch Innovation A ward and a C ottrellScholarA ward from R esearch C orporation.

of non-G aussianity) has been a source of confusion in the litera-ture. See [\[11\]](#page-6-0) for a discussion.

^[1] J.G arriga and V.F.M ukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 458, 219 (1999) [arX iv: hep-th/9904176]; C. A rm endariz-Picon, T . D am our and V . F. M ukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 458, 209 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9904075].

^[2] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D 70, 103505 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0310221].

- [3] M .A lishahiha,E.Silverstein and D .Tong,Phys.R ev.D 70,123505 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0404084].
- [4] X.Chen, M.x.Huang, S.K achru and G.Shiu, JCAP 0701, 002 (2007) [arX iv:hep-th/0605045]; M . x. Huang and G. Shiu, Phys. Rev. D 74, 121301 (2006) [arX iv:hepth/0610235].
- [5] G . R . D vali and S. H . H . Tye, Phys. Lett. B 450, 72 (1999) [arX iv:hep-ph/9812483].
- [6] S.B .G iddings,S.K achru and J.Polchinski,Phys.R ev. D 66,106006 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0105097].
- [7] I.R .K lebanov,M .J.Strassler,JH EP 0008,052 (2000) [arX iv:hep-th/0007191].
- [8] C.G ordon, D.W ands, B.A. Bassett and R.M aartens, Phys. Rev. D 63, 023506 (2000), [arX iv: astroph/0009131].
- [9] M . Sasaki and E. D . Stewart, Prog. T heor. Phys. 95, 71 (1996) [arX iv:astro-ph/9507001]; A . A . Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 42, 152 (1985) Pis'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. z. 42,124 (1985)]D .W ands,K .A .M alik,D .H .Lyth,A . R. Liddle, Phys.R ev. D 62 (2000) 043527 [arX iv:astroph/0003278]; D . Seery and J. E. Lidsey, JC A P 0509, 011 (2005) [arX iv:astro-ph/0506056].
- [10] F. Vemizzi, D. W ands, JCAP 0605 (2006) 019 [astroph/0603799].
- [11] E.K om atsu, talk at \setminus C osm ology and Strings" W orkshop,

IC TP, Trieste, July 2007.

- [12] T. B attefeld and R. Easther, JCAP 0703, 020 (2007) [arX iv:astro-ph/0610296];D.B attefeld and T.B attefeld, JC A P 0705, 012 (2007) [arX iv: hep-th/0703012].
- [13] S.K ecskem eti, J.M aiden, G.Shiu and B.Underwood, JH EP 0609,076 (2006) [arX iv:hep-th/0605189];G.Shiu and B .U nderwood,Phys.R ev.Lett.98,051301 (2007) $[axX \text{ is the } -\text{th}/0610151]$; D. B aum ann and L. M cA llister, arX iv:hep-th/0610285; $J.E.Lidsey$ and $I.H$ uston, arX iv:0705.0240 [hep-th]; R . B ean, S. E. Shandera, S. H. H. Tye, J. Xu, JCAP 05 (2007) 004, arX iv hep $th/0702107v1$; $H. V. Peiris, D. B$ aum ann, $B. Friedm$ an, A .C ooray,arX iv:0706.1240v1 [astro-ph];
- [14] L.Leblond and S.Shandera, arX iv:hep-th/0610321.
- $[15] X$. Chen, JHEP 0508, 045 (2005) [arX iv:hepth/0501184]; X .C hen,Phys.R ev.D 72,123518 (2005) [arX iv:astro-ph/0507053].
- $[16]$ S. Thom as and J.W ard, arX iv:hep-th/0702229.
- [17] T. K obayashi, S. M ukohyam a and S. K inoshita, arX iv:0708.4285 [hep-th]; M . B ecker, L. Leblond and S.E.Shandera,arX iv:0709.1170 [hep-th].
- [18] D.A.Easson, R.G regory, D.F.M ota, G.Tasinato and I.Zavala,arX iv:0709.2666 [hep-th].