The Heterotic Road to the MSSM with R parity

O leg Lebedev¹, H ans Peter N illes², Stuart R aby³, Saul R am os-Sanchez², M ichael R atz⁴, Patrick K . S. V audrevange², A k n W ingerter³

¹ CERN, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

² Physikalisches Institut der Universitat Bonn, Nussallæ 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

³ Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, 191 W.Woodru Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA

⁴ Physik Department T 30, Technische Universitat Munchen, James-Franck-Strasse, 85748 Garching, Germany

A bstract

In a previous paper, referred to as a M iniLandscape" search, we explored a fertile patch" of the heterotic landscape based on a \mathbb{Z}_6 -II orbifold with SO (10) and E_6 localGUT structures. In the present paper we extend this analysis. We nd m any m odels with the m inim al supersymm etric standard m odel spectra and an exact R parity. In all of these m odels, the vector-like exotics decouple along D at directions. We present two henchm ark" m odels which satisfy m any of the constraints of a realistic supersymm etric m odel, including non-trivialY ukawa m atrices for 3 fam illes of quarks and leptons and M a jorana neutrinos. In an appendix we comment on the in portant issue of string selection rules and in particular the so-called gamma - rule".

1 Introduction

The string landscape denotes the space of string vacua $[1{3}]$. It is believed that there are on the order of 10^{500} or m ore possible vacuum con gurations. How ever, at the m om ent, only a paltry few such vacua have properties looking anything like our own, i.e. with 3 large space dimensions, the standard m odel gauge interactions and m atter degrees of freedom, and a vanishingly sm all (in string units) cosm ological constant. M uch e ort has gone into exploring the landscape in search of regions satisfying the latter requisite feature, while only a few groups have attempted to nd the rest. If the string is to make contact with experiment, this situation m ust be inverted.

C an string theory with 10^{500} vacua make any predictions relevant for the LHC? It has been suggested that by exploring the entire string landscape one might obtain statistical data which could lead to probabilistic experimental statements [4,5]. Yet the clearest statement to-date is that standard-like models are exceedingly rare. This has been demonstrated in the context of orientifolds of Gepnermodels [6,7] and in the context of intersecting D (branes in an orientifold background [8(11]. Nevertheless they may be more prevalent in the heterotic string because of the simple fact that GUT groups, such as SO (10) containing spinor representations, appear naturally. For explorations of the string landscape in the context of the free fermionic construction of the heterotic string, see [12(17]. However, even within the context of the heterotic string, standard modellike structure is not guaranteed. For example, it appears to be very di cult [18,19], if not in possible [20,21], to not standard model-like structure in the heterotic string com pacti ed on a Z₃ orbifold. To sum marize, standard-like models are very rare; quite possibly a negligibly sm all set in the entire landscape. If so, what might we conclude from this statistic?

We suggest the follow ing alternate strategy for obtaining low energy predictions from string theory. One should introduce some priors into ones statistical analysis. The rst prior is that the theory has only 3 large space dimensions. The second is that the string vacuum includes the standard model. Perhaps within this subset one may nd some statistical correlations which can be useful. Indeed, it is also in portant to verify that the standard model actually sits in the string landscape. Of course, in order to make this analysis tractable, one may need to include additional priors. In particular, one may require that the theory is supersymmetric at the string scale and that below the string scale the spectrum is that of the M SSM. Such theories typically have of order 100 m oduli (geometric or others). The Yukaw a and gauge couplings of the theory, one would hope to be able to tune them oduli in order to obtain acceptable low energy physics. O fecurse, the problem of stabilizing moduli and supersymmetry breaking must be addressed. How ever, it is clear that if one cannot and m SSM -like model with this caveat, then this class

of theories can be ignored.¹ F inally, the cosm obgical constant problem would still need to be addressed. But perhaps the only role of the 10^{500} vacua is to resolve this problem.

In a previous paper, M iniLandscape" [M L] [40] we advocated this landscape philosophy. The present paper extends the previous search and also addresses some in portant $phenom enological issues. We base our model scan on the heterotic <math>E_8 = E_8$ string [41,42] compactied on an orbifold [43(49]. Our study is motivated by recent work on an orbifold GUT interpretation of heterotic string models [50(52]. We focus on the \mathbb{Z}_6 -II = $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold, which is described in detail in [50,52,53]. The search strategy is based on the concept of $\log GUT$ s" [53(57] which inherits certain features of standard grand unication [58(61]. Local GUT s are specific to certain points in the compact space, while the 4D gauge symmetry is that of the SM. If matter elds are localized at such points, they form a complete GUT representation. This applies, in particular, to a 16 (plet of a local SO (10), which comprises one generation of the SM matter plus a right(handed neutrino [60,61],

 $16 = (3;2)_{1=6} + (\overline{3};1)_{2=3} + (\overline{3};1)_{1=3} + (1;2)_{1=2} + (1;1)_{1} + (1;1)_{0}; \quad (1.1)$

where representations with respect to SU $(3)_{C}$ SU $(2)_{L}$ are shown in parentheses and the subscript denotes hypercharge (with electric charge given by Q = T_{3L} + Y). On the other hand, bulk elds are partially projected out and form incomplete GUT multiplets. This o ers an intuitive explanation for the observed multiplet structure of the SM [53{56}]. This fram ework is consistent with MSSM gauge coupling unication as long as the SM gauge group is embedded in a simple local GUT G_{local} SU (5), which leads to the standard hypercharge norm alization.²

We nd that the above search strategy, as opposed to a random scan, is successful and a considerable fraction of the models with SO (10) and E_6 local GUT structures pass our criteria. Out of about 3 10^4 inequivalent models which involve 2 W ilson lines, O (200) are phenom enologically attractive and can serve as an ultraviolet com pletion of the M SSM. In the present paper we extend our previous analysis in several ways.

In M L [40], at the last step in our analysis of a theory, we evaluated the e ective m ass operators, for the vector-like exotics, up to order 8 in elds. If all the exotics obtained m ass, the m odel was retained. W hen calculating the rank of the m ass m atrices, we assumed that requiring the singlet con guration to respect supersym – m etry would not change the result.

¹N ote, a handful of heterotic string m odels with M SSM -like structure have been discussed in the literature [22{39].

²N ote even if one relaxes this constraint as a prior it was shown that 90% of the M SSM -like m odels satisfying $\sin^2_W = 3=8$ at the string scale necessarily satisfy this constraint [62]. A lso, the discrepancy between the string scale, O (10^{17} G eV), and the 4D G U T scale, O (10^{16} G eV), can in principle be resolved by threshold corrections due to states near the string scale.

In this paper we explicitly demonstrate that the decoupling of the exotics is consistent with supersymmetry. We rst nd the D = 0 at directions. If the exotics decouple along these directions, then in particular models we check for F = 0. Then complexied gauge transformations allow us to satisfy F = 0 and D = 0 simultaneously.

In M L [40], we presented a model allowing for R parity. However, we did not perform a systematic search for R parity invariant vacua. Dangerous R parity violating dimension four operators can be forbidden by family relation symmetry (FRS) [or matter parity] [63], i.e. a discrete Z_2 subgroup of U (1)_{B L} (baryon minus lepton number). For other approaches see [21,64].

In this paper we evaluate B L, searching for a \suitable" de nition which has the accepted value on all standard m odel particles and gives m ost standard m odel singlets a value satisfying 3(B L) = 0 m od 2. This condition preserves a Z_2^M subgroup of B L under which chiral m atter super elds are odd and H iggs super elds are even. Singlets with 3(B L) = 0 m od 2 can obtain vacuum expectation values (VEVs) for decoupling exotics, as well as giving e ective quark and lepton Yukawa couplings. Som e of these singlets give M a prana m asses to righthanded neutrinos [65] (for earlier work see [19]), preserving R-parity. N ote, if singlets with 3(B L) = 1 m od 2 obtain VEVs, R-parity is broken and dimension 4 baryon/lepton num ber violating operators are typically generated. In an Appendix, we also consider a possible Z_N generalization of FRS.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the search strategy de ned in M L [40]. In Section 3 we present our results solely on the issue of decoupling of vector-like exotics along D { at directions. At this point we can compare our results to other M SSM searches in di erent regions of the string landscape. We show that we are extrem ely successful in nding models which have the characteristics of the M SSM. In the following sections we consider many of the phenom enological issues one must face on the road to the M SSM. In particular, in Section 4.1 we discuss the problem of obtaining one pair of light Higgs doublets, a heavy top and then the additional constraint for a conserved R-parity/family rejection symmetry. In Section 5 we discuss two models which satisfy the aforem entioned constraints in detail. In particular we consider the elix which study the See-Saw mechanism in these examples. Finally, in Section 6 we summarize our results and discuss some remaining issues.

2 \M ini-Landscape" search strategy [40]: LocalGUTs

O ur model search is carried out in the \mathbb{Z}_6 -II orbifold compactication of the heterotic E_8 E_8 string with the twist vector

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{6}(1;2; 3) \tag{2.1}$$

acting on an G_2 SU(3) SO(4) torus (see Fig. 1; for details see [52,53]).



Figure 1:G₂ SU (3) SO (4) torus lattice of a \mathbb{Z}_6 -II orbifold. Possible W ilson lines are denoted by W₃, W₂ and W₂⁰. The xed points in the gure are those of the T₁ twisted sector.

It is well known that with a suitable choice of W ilson lines it is not di cult to obtain the SM gauge group up to U(1) factors. The real challenge is to get the correct m atter spectrum and the GUT hypercharge norm alization. To this end, we base our strategy on the concept of local GUTs. An orbifold m odel is de ned by the orbifold tw ist, the torus lattice and the gauge embedding of the orbifold action, i.e. the gauge shift V and the W ilson lines W_n. We consider only the gauge shifts V which allow for a local SO (10) or E_6 structure, i.e. including 16 or 27 plets in the T₁ tw isted sector. For the tw ist (2.1), the SO (10) shifts are given by [66]

while the E₆ shifts read

$$V^{E_{6};1} = \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{6}; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0 \quad (0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0); ; 0)$$

$$V^{E_{6};2} = \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0 \quad \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{6}; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0 \quad (2.3)$$

These gauge shifts are such that the $left\{m \text{ oving } m \text{ om enta } P \text{ (we use the standard notation, for details see e.g. [51{53}) satisfying$

 $P = V = 0 \mod 1$; $P^2 = 2$; P = 2; P = 2;

are roots of SO (10) or E_6 (up to extra group factors). In fact, this de nes the \local" gauge sym m etry in the T_1 sector, for states residing at the origin in the G_2 and SU (3) tori

and at the two xed points in the SO (4) torus which are una ected by the W $_2$ W ilson line along the e_6 direction (see Fig. 1).³ The massless states of the rst twisted sector are guaranteed to contain 16 {plets of SO (10) at the xed points with SO (10) sym m etry or 27 {plets of E $_6$ at the xed points with E $_6$ sym m etry. This is established by considering the m ass operator for left-m overs,

$$\frac{1}{2} (P + V)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} \dot{y}_{i} \dot{j} (1 + \dot{y}_{i}) = 0; \qquad (2.5)$$

with the shift vectors V (Eqns. (2.2) and (2.3)) and the twist vector \mathbf{v} (Eq. (2.1)). For example, in the case of the two SO (10) shifts, the massless SO (10) spinor is given by

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1)(0^8)$$
(2.6)

with an even number of minus signs.

Since these massless states are automatically invariant under the orbifold action, they all survive in 4D and appear as complete GUT multiplets. In the case of SO (10), that gives two complete SM generations, while in the case of E_6 we have two 27s with 27 = 16 + 10 + 1 under SO (10). It is thus necessary to decouple all (or part) of the 10s from the low energy theory. The third generation has to come from other twisted or untwisted sectors. The localized 16{ and 27{plets are true GUT multiplets, whereas the third or \bulk" generation only has the SM quantum numbers of an additional16{plet.

The W ilson lines are chosen such that the standard m odel gauge group is embedded into the local GUT as

$$G_{SM}$$
 SU (5) SO (10) or E_6 : (2.7)

M oreover, hypercharge is that of standard G U T s and thus consistent with gauge coupling uni cation. The spectrum has certain features of traditional 4D G U T s, e.g. m atter elds form complete G U T representations, yet there are important di erences. In particular, interactions generally break G U T relations since di erent local G U T s are supported at di erent xed points. A lso, gauge coupling uni cation is due to the fact that the 10D (not 4D) theory is described by a single coupling.

Let us now recall the search strategy and results from the M in i-Landscape" search [40]. Consider, for example, models with the SO (10) local structure. For each of the SO (10) shifts of Eq. (2.2), we follow the steps:

- 1 G enerate W ilson lines W $_3$ and W $_2.$
- 2 Identify \inequivalent" m odels.
- ③ Select models with G_{SM} SU(5) SO(10).

 $^{^{3}}$ W e assume that there are only two W ilson lines, W $_{3}$ in the SU (3) torus and W $_{2}$ in the SO (4) torus.

- ④ Select models with three net (3;2).
- (5) Select m odels with non (anom alous U (1) $_{\rm Y}$ SU (5).
- 6 Select m odels with net 3 SM fam ilies + H iggses + vector{like.

The results are presented in table 1. The models with the chiral MSSM matter content are listed in [67].

criterion	V ^{SO (10);1}	V ^{SO (10)} ;2	V ^E 6 ; 1	V ^E ₆ ;2
@ inequivalent m odels with 2 W ilson lines	22 ; 000	7 ; 800	680	1 ; 700
$③$ SM gauge group SU (5) SO (10) (or E $_6$)	3563	1163	27	63
④ 3 net (3;2)	1170	492	3	32
(5) non{anom alous U (1) _Y SU (5)	528	234	3	22
© spectrum = 3 generations + vector-like	128	90	3	2

Table 1: Statistics of \mathbb{Z}_6 -II orbifolds based on the shifts $V^{SO(10);1}$; $V^{SO(10);2}$; $V^{E_6;1}$; $V^{E_6;2}$ with two W ilson lines.

To show that the decoupling of exotics is consistent with string selection rules is a technically involved and time consuming issue. We must select models in which the mass matrices for the exotics have a maximal rank such that no exotic states appear at low energies. We consider superpotential couplings up to order 6 in SM singlets. In our previous analysis, ML, we allow ed any SM singlet to obtain a non-vanishing VEV. In the following section we re ne our search and dem and that all singlet VEVs be along D { at directions. This requires solving the non-trivial D { atness conditions. In this analysis we focus on the two SO (10) shifts. Note, there are 218 models in this sector after step @. In the following section we consider the decoupling of exotics. We do this in two steps. In the rst step we construct the elective mass operators for the exotics and check to see if the exotics decouple allowing arbitrary singlet VEVs. In the second step we only consider singlet VEVs along D { at directions.

 $^{^4}$ In a recent paper [62], the two constraints, (3) SM gauge group SU (5) SO (10) (or E_6) and (5) non (anom abus U (1)_Y SU (5) were removed. This search has lead to about 10 times more models. However the additional constraint that \sin^2 $_{\rm W}$ = 3=8 reduced this number by 90% so that there were only a handful of additional models. It suggests that in order to nd the M SSM , one may need to require local G UT s.

3 Decoupling exotics

We evaluate all elective mass operators for the exotics x_i, \overline{x}_j up to order 6 in SM singlet elds e_i ,

$$W \quad x_1 \overline{x}_1 h \mathbf{e}_1 \quad N \text{ is:} \tag{3.1}$$

In general, **e** transform non-trivially under the extra U (1)s and hidden sector gauge groups. To construct the mass operators (3.1), we madeled all monomials of the above form consistent with string selection rules. These rules have been discussed previously in the literature. They include space group and R-charge selection rules, in addition to the standard eld theoretic requirement of gauge invariance. C om plete details of these string selection rules are given in Appendix A. We should emphasize here that in previous analyses a selection rule has also been enforced [52,68,69]. We disagree with this additional rule and in Appendix A we give a general argument why this rule is not a selection rule.⁵

We consider the 218 m odels remaining after step (6) from the two SO (10) shifts (128 from V ^{SO (10);1} and 90 from V ^{SO (10);2}), see Table 1. If in a particular m odel all exotics decouple to order 6 in the product of **e** elds, assuming arbitrary **e** VEVs ⁶, we retain the m odel. The number of m odels satisfying decoupling at this step is 191 (106 from V ^{SO (10);1} and 85 from V ^{SO (10);2}). We now determ ine D { at directions for all **e** elds. O ur procedure for determ ining D { at directions is described in Appendix B.We then retain the subset of the 191 m odels for which the exotics decouple along D { at directions to order 6 in the **e** elds. We not determ aining. Clearly, D { at directions not im pose an important constraint. Thus we are successful in 190 3 1th or 0.6% of the cases.

The results of our search may now be compared to many other searches in the literature. We have 218 models with the SM gauge group, 3 families and only vector-like exotics from our two SO (10) shifts. Out of these we nd 190 for which all exotics decouple along D { at directions. In certain types of intersecting D { brane models, it was found that the probability of obtaining the SM gauge group and three generations of quarks and leptons, while allow ing for chiral exotics, is less than 10 ⁹ [8,9]. The criterion which comes closest to the requirements in posed in [8,9] is O. We nd that within our sample the corresponding probability is 6%. In [6,7], orientifolds of G epner models were scanned for chiral MSSM matter spectra, and it was found that the fraction of such models is 4 10 ¹⁴. These constructions contain the MSSM matter spectrum plus, in general, vector-like exotics. This is most sim ilar to step O in our analysis where we nd 218 models out of a total of 3 10⁴ or 0.7%. In comparison, approxim ately 0.6% of our

⁵ In fact, we have shown that all exotics decouple in model A 1 in R ef. [52] if one eliminates the rule. ⁶N ote that giving VEVs to the \tilde{s} elds can often be interpreted as blowing up the orbifold singularities (for recent developments in this direction see [70{73}).

m odels have the M SSM spectrum at low energies with all vector-like exotics decoupling (with exotic mass terms evaluated to order \mathbf{s}^6) along D { at directions. Note also that, in all of our models, hypercharge is normalized as in standard G U T s and thus consistent with gauge coupling unication.

4 Road to the M SSM

In this section we consider other phenom enological hurdles which must be overcom e in order to reach the M SSM. These hurdles include nding supersymmetric minima with proton stability, an exactly conserved R-parity, a term for the light Higgs doublets of order the weak scale, a top quark Yukawa coupling of order 1, gauge coupling unication, and more.

4.1 Constraints

R -parity conservation

O ne of the most form idable obstacles in string constructions is obtaining a conserved R-parity. In this paper we propose one possible route, i.e. obtaining a \fam ily re ection symmetry" or \matter parity". In this regard, we evaluate B L, searching for a \suitable" de nition which has the accepted value on all standard model particles, is vector-like on all exotics and produces a number of SM singlets with even and zero $3(B \ L)$ charge.

G iving such singlets VEVs preserves a Z_2^M subgroup of B L, denoted family relation symmetry ormatter parity, under which chiral matter super elds are odd and Higgs super elds are even. We nd that the exotics can be decoupled and the right{handed neutrinos can be given M a jorana masses consistent with this symmetry. In Appendix C, we show that it is possible to allow any e eld to obtain a VEV as long as it has B L eigenvalue f = 0; 2=(2Z + 1). This will leave invariant Z_2^M .

To apply the above strategy, we must rst give a \suitable" de nition of B L.A possible algorithm to identify the corresponding generators is discussed in Appendix D. Upon de ning B L, we must verify D { atness for the subset of SM singlets with B L charges f = 0; 2=(2Z + 1) and check that all exotics decouple. This is a tedious task, requiring much computer time. In order to minimize the amount of time, we focus our attention on a subset of the 190 m odels which have renorm alizable top quark Yukawa couplings.

Light Higgs doublets

The Higgs doublets of the MSSM are vector-like and generically in our analysis all the Higgs doublets decouple. Retaining one pair of light Higgs doublets in the MSSM is the

problem , and we must now face this issue. We look for vacuum con gurations in which the term vanishes to a certain order in the e elds. At the same time we require that all the exotics decouple. Of course, it would be nice to have a sym metry argument for a small .

Order one top quark Yukawa coupling

The top quark Yukawa coupling is necessarily of order 1. Hence it is natural (although perhaps not absolutely necessary) to require that for the top quark we have a renorm alizable O (1) Yukawa coupling $(3;2)_{1=6}$ $(3;1)_{2=3}$ $(1;2)_{1=2}$, i.e. one of the following types

where U and T denote generic untwisted and twisted eds, respectively. The U U U coupling is given by the gauge coupling, U T T is a local coupling and thus is unsuppressed, while the T T T coupling is signi cant only when the twisted elds are localized at the same xed point. We discard models in which the above couplings are absent or suppressed. In M L we required that the top quark have a Yukawa coupling at tree level, i.e. cubic order in the elds, in addition to decoupling of all exotics, albeit assum ing arbitrary VEVs for the SM singlets. Of the 190 m odels which decouple along D { at directions we have 105 (85) com ing from the rst (second) SO (10) shift. Out of these there are 55 (32) with \heavy top" and 50 (53) with \ho heavy top." We thus nd 87 m odels which decouple along D { at directions and have a \heavy top." Note, this is just one less than discussed in M L at step (8.

R-parity invariant m odels with cubic top Y ukawa coupling

We nd a \suitable" de nition of B L for 34 of the 55 (5 of the 32) models of the rst (second) SO (10) shifts. Note how ever that for each case there are several possible inequivalent choices. This is because of two am biguities which need to be resolved.

- 1. In many cases there are vector-like exotics with SM gauge charges identical to those of quarks, leptons and Higgs doublets. Thus there are dierent ways to choose which of these states have standard B L charges. Each choice can lead to a dierent de nition of B L.
- 2. For each choice of SM particles above, there m ay be m ore than one B L de nition. In some cases there are continuous fam ilies of solutions.

Including all of these possibilities we nd 3447 (144) suitable B L generators from the rst (second) SO (10) shifts, which also lead to the presence of SM singlets with charges B L = 0; 2; 2=3; 2=5;:::; 6=7. W e nd, how ever, that these lead to 85 (8) inequivalent m odels. R equiring the absence of extra unbroken U (1)s reduces this set to 42 (0) acceptable m odels. Finally, dem anding that all exotics decouple along D { at directions leads to 15 (0) acceptable solutions with an exact low energy R -parity. This result is specific to our (B L)-based strategy and we expect, in general, m ore acceptable m odels to exist.

4.2 Approaching the M SSM

Further issues to be addressed are as follow s.

- 1. We must check that quarks and leptons obtain non-trivial masses. For neutrinos, this includes an analysis of M a jorana masses and the See-Saw mechanism.
- 2. W e must also consider dimension 5 baryon and lepton number violating operators [63,74,75]. These operators are not forbidden by R-parity and are typically generated. Their coe cients must necessarily be suppressed in order to be consistent with proton decay experiments [76,77].
- 3. Precision gauge coupling uni cation should be addressed [20,52,78]. This includes a calculation of the string threshold corrections [80{82].
- 4. Finally, F = 0 has to be veried. This constraint guarantees that our vacua are indeed supersymmetric. In general, F = 0 solutions exist. Some of them can be found numerically by truncating the superpotential and solving polynomial equations. Once they are found, F = 0 and D = 0 can be satistical simultaneously using complexied gauge transformations [83] (for a detailed discussion see [53]).

A llof these checks are clearly time consuming and we have not performed an inclusive analysis.We have how ever found many vacua with R-parity. In the next section we discuss our results for two particular examples. In these examples we have demanded that:

all exotics are massive,

there is one pair of m assless H iggses,

the mass matrix for the right-handed neutrinos has full rank,

no extra U (1) factors rem ain,

hidden sector gaugino condensation is possible,

R-parity is unbroken.

5 Two \Benchmark" models with R-parity

W e now discuss two particular \benchm ark" m odels. In M odel 1 we also consider two different vacuum con gurations and show how the phenom enology depends on the di erent choices of vacua.

5.1 M odel1

The model is de ned by the shifts and W ilson lines given in Appendix E. The gauge group after compactication is

$$G_{\text{orbifold}} = SU(3) SU(2) [SU(4) SU(2)^{0}] U(1)^{9}$$
: (5.1)

The resulting massless spectrum includes three SM generations plus vector-like exotics with respect to the SM gauge group.

The model allows us to de ne a \suitable" B L generator,

$$t_{\rm B \ L} = 0;0;0;0;0;;\frac{2}{3};\frac{2}{3};\frac{2}{3};\frac{2}{3} \quad (0;0;0;0;0;2;0;0): \qquad (5.2)$$

with two essential properties (cf. Table 2):

the spectrum includes 3 generations of quarks and leptons plus vector-like exotics with respect to G $_{\rm SM}~$ U (1) $_{\rm B}~_{\rm L}$; and

```
there are SM singlets with B L charge 2.
```

In the following discussion we consider two di erent vacuum con gurations to illustrate the dependence on the particular vacuum class, i.e. the set of SM singlets with non-zero supersymmetric VEVs.

5.1.1 M odel1, vacuum con guration A

Consider a vacuum con guration where the elds

$$f\mathbf{e}_{i}g = f_{1}; 2; 3; 4; h_{1}; h_{2}; h_{3}; h_{4}; h_{5}; h_{6}; h_{9}; h_{10}; s_{1}^{0}; s_{2}^{0}; s_{5}^{0}; s_{6}^{0}; s_{9}^{0}; s_{11}^{0}; s_{13}^{0}; s_{15}^{0}; s_{16}^{0}; s_{17}^{0}; s_{18}^{0}; s_{20}^{0}; s_{21}^{0}; s_{22}^{0}; s_{23}^{0}; s_{25}^{0}; s_{26}^{0}; s_{27}^{0}; s_{30}^{0}; s_{31}^{0}g$$
(5.3)

develop a VEV while the expectation values of all other elds vanish. In this vacuum con guration we set 14 of the original 46 SM and hidden SU (4) singlets to zero. The emerging elective theory has the following properties:

1. the unbroken gauge sym m etries are

$$G_{SM}$$
 G_{hid} ; (5.4)

where $G_{hid} = SU(4)$.

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	(3;2;1;1) _(1=6;1=3)	qi	3	3;1;1;1 (2=3; 1=3)	ui
3	(1;1;1;1) _(1;1)	ei	8	(1;2;1;1) _(0;)	m _i
4	3;1;1;1 _(1=3; 1=3)	di	1	(3;1;1;1) _(1=3;1=3)	di
4	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;1)	'i	1	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;1)	'i
1	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;0)	i	1	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;0)	i
6	3;1;1;1 _(1=3;2=3)	i	6	(3;1;1;1) _(1=3;2=3)	i
14	(1;1;1;1) _(1=2;)	s _i +	14	(1;1;1;1) _(1=2;)	s _i
16	(1;1;1;1) _(0;1)	ni	13	(1;1;1;1) _(0; 1)	n _i
5	(1;1;1;2) _(0;1)	i	5	(1;1;1;2) _(0; 1)	i
10	(1;1;1;2) _(0;0)	hi	2	(1;2;1;2) _(0;0)	Yi
6	(1;1;4;1) _(0;)	fi	6	1;1; 4 ;1 _(0;)	fi
2	(1;1;4;1) _(1=2;1)	fi	2	1;1;4;1 (1=2;1)	f_i^+
4	(1;1;1;1) _(0; 2)	i	32	(1;1;1;1) _(0;0)	s_i^0
2	3;1;1;1 (1=6;2=3)	Vi	2	(3;1;1;1) _(1=6; 2=3)	Vi

Table 2:Spectrum .The quantum num bers under SU (3) SU (2) [SU (4) SU (2)⁰] are shown in boldface; hypercharge and B L charge appear as subscripts. Note that the states s_i , f_i , f_i and m_i have di erent B L charges for di erent i, which we do not explicitly list.

- 2. since B L is broken by two units, there is an elective matter parity Z_2^M .
- 3. there is only one pair of H iggs candidates, 1 and 1; the -term

$$= \frac{\theta^2 W}{\theta_1 \theta_1}$$
(5.5)

vanishes up to order e^6 , at which we work. That is, there is one pair of massless Higgs doublets.

- 4. we check that the solution satis es F = 0 for all elds (cf. F atness below) and in addition, switching on fe_{ig} -elds allow s us to cancel the FI term without inducing D -term s (cf. A ppendix B).
- 5. all vector-like exotics decouple (cf. A ppendix E.2).
- 6. neutrino m asses are suppressed via the see-saw m echanism .

That is, we have obtained a supersymmetric vacuum with the precise matter content of the MSSM with an exact R parity. This has to be contrasted to [53] where R parity was approximate, and to [33] where R parity exists only at the classical level, and where Y_e and Y_d vanish at the same level. Our model also does not su er from the problem encountered in [37], where it was found that you can either decouple all exotics or have R-parity but never both. Charged ferm ion Yukawa matrices

The charged ferm ion Yukawa matrices are⁷

$$Y_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 & & 0 & & 1 & & 0 & & 1 \\ \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & & & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{5} & 0 & & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{6} \\ B & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{i} & Y_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} B & \mathbf{e}^{5} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 1 & & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 0 & & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 1 & & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 0 & & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.6)

Here, \mathbf{e}^n for n a non-negative integer, represents the the sm allest value of n for which this term appears in the matrix; thus giving the dom inant contribution. Each term is in fact a sum of m onom ials containing several di erent SM and hidden SU (4) singlets. The uptype quark Yukawa matrix is given directly in terms of the coupling of the up-type H iggs to the three q and u elds. The down-type quark and charged lepton Yukawa matrices are obtained by integrating out a pair of vector-like d- and d-quarks and '- and '- elds, respectively. We nd that the up and charged lepton Yukawa matrices have rank 3, while the down quark Yukawa matrix has only rank 2, at this order in \mathbf{s} singlets. How ever, we have checked that at order 8 in \mathbf{e} elds Y_d has rank 3.

N eutrino m atrices

In our vacua SU (2)⁰ is broken such that the SU (2)⁰ doublets $_i$ and $_i$ correspond to SM singlets with $q_{B_L} = 1$,

		!			
-	_	n ₁₇	•••• - =	n ₂₅	(57a)
Ţ		n ₁₈	/··· 5	n ₂₆	(0.70)

and

The dimensions of the \right-handed" neutrino mass matrices are

М	nn	=	23	23 ;	
М	nn	=	23	26 ;	
М	nn	=	26	26 ;	(5.8)

with the complete mass matrix given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{nn} & M_{nn} \\ M_{nn}^{T} & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix};$$
(5.9)

⁷Q uark and lepton doublets multiply the Yukawa matrices on the left.

W e have checked that it indeed has full rank. For m ore details, see W ebpage [67].

The Dirac neutrino Yukawa couplings have dimensions

$$Y_n = 4 \ 23;$$

 $Y_n = 4 \ 26:$ (5.10)

The e ective light neutrino m ass operator em erges from

$$= Y M {}^{1}Y^{T}; \qquad (5.11)$$

where $Y = (Y_n; Y_n)$, by integrating out the pair of heavy leptons '₁ and '⁰ where '⁰ is a linear combination of the '_i. We have checked that the light neutrinos all obtain a sm all m ass. The large dimension of the matrices e ectively reduces the See-Saw scale [65]. A lso, neutrino phenom enology works di erently in the presence of m any 'right-handed' neutrinos [84,85].

D im ension 5 baryon and lepton num ber violating operators

W e further analyzed the question of dimension 5 proton decay operators. We do nd that both qqq' and uude appear at order e^6 . They are also generated by integrating out the heavy exotics. For example, the following couplings exist

$$q_1 '_1 4; q_1 '_1 5; q_2 '_2 4; q_2 '_2 5; q_1 q_1 4; q_1 q_1 5; q_2 q_2 4; q_2 q_2 5:$$
 (5.12)

Hence integrating out the states $_{i}$; $_{i}$ produces dangerous dimension 5 operators. These must be su ciently suppressed to be consistent with present bounds on proton decay [76,77]. We have veried that, for some particular e VEVs, it is possible to suppress the qqq 'operators induced by the trilinear couplings (5.12). How ever, higher order couplings also introduce baryon and lepton number violating operators. We have not been able to identify a suppression mechanism for such operators yet.

-term and M inkow ski space

Since our singlet con guration satis es F = D = 0, the vacuum energy is zero in the global SUSY limit. In supergravity, one should include non {perturbative moduli potentials which would be responsible for spontaneous SUSY breaking. In fact, in the context of gaugino condensation [86{89}, the SU (4) subgroup of the second E_8 gives rise to TeV soft masses, which is a common feature of our \fertile" patch of the landscape [95]. A speci c realization of SUSY breaking via gaugino condensation in heterotic string compactications is given by K ahler stabilization [90{92}. Requiring a M inkow ski vacuum puts a constraint on the total superpotential which includes contributions from charged matter and m oduli.

An interesting feature of our singlet con guration is that the charged matter superpotential vanishes at order 6 in singlet elds,

hW(e)i = 0: (5.13)

In fact the superpotential is a polynom ial in **e** elds and in this vacuum con guration each monom ial term in W vanishes independently. Therefore, the total superpotential is given solely by its non{perturbative part. This is expected to be very sm all and thus a sm all gravitino m ass and a sm all cosm ological constant can in principle be achieved.

In this model we also nd an intriguing correlation between the {term and W (e). Since the Higgs doublets are untwisted and the combination $_1$ has vacuum quantum num bers, requiring that each monom ial appearing in vanishes also implies HW (e)i = 0.8 This means that the -term is of the order of the expectation value of W, i.e. the gravitino mass.

F - atness

W e analyze the F-term s in the con guration de ned by (5.3). The only non-vanishing F-term s are

$$F_{i} = \frac{\varrho_{W}}{\varrho_{s_{i}^{0}}} \quad \text{where } s_{i}^{0} \ 2 \ fs_{3}^{0} \ \textbf{;} s_{7}^{0} \ \textbf{;} s_{10}^{0} \ \textbf{;} s_{14}^{0} \ \textbf{;} s_{19}^{0} \ \textbf{g} :$$
(5.14)

They read

$$F_{3} = {}_{27} s_{26}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{28} s_{15}^{0} (s_{26}^{0})^{2} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{29} s_{16}^{0} (s_{26}^{0})^{2} s_{4}^{0} ;$$

$$F_{7} = {}_{41} h_{1} h_{10} s_{30}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{44} h_{2} h_{9} s_{30}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{46} s_{17}^{0} s_{25}^{0} s_{30}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{47} s_{1}^{0} s_{18}^{0} s_{26}^{0} s_{30}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{50} s_{18}^{0} s_{27}^{0} s_{30}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{55} s_{15}^{0} (s_{30}^{0})^{2} s_{4}^{0}$$

$$+ {}_{56} s_{16}^{0} (s_{30}^{0})^{2} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{57} s_{20}^{0} s_{30}^{0} s_{31}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + {}_{58} s_{21}^{0} s_{30}^{0} s_{31}^{0} s_{4}^{0} ;$$

$$(5.15b)$$

 $F_{10} = {}_{1}h_{1}h_{10}s_{13}^{0}s_{30}^{0} + {}_{4}h_{2}h_{9}s_{13}^{0}s_{30}^{0} + {}_{12}s_{13}^{0}s_{17}^{0}s_{25}^{0}s_{30}^{0} + {}_{13}s_{1}^{0}s_{13}^{0}s_{18}^{0}s_{26}^{0}s_{30}^{0} + {}_{16}s_{13}^{0}s_{18}^{0}s_{27}^{0}s_{30}^{0} + {}_{16}s_{13}^{0}s_{18}^{0}s_{27}^{0}s_{30}^{0}$

$$+ \ _{21} \ s_{13}^{0} \ s_{15}^{0} \ (s_{30}^{0})^{2} + \ _{22} \ s_{13}^{0} \ s_{16}^{0} \ (s_{30}^{0})^{2} + \ _{23} \ s_{13}^{0} \ s_{20}^{0} \ s_{30}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{24} \ s_{13}^{0} \ s_{21}^{0} \ s_{30}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{81} \ s_{26}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{81} \ s_{26}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{81} \ s_{31}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{81}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} + \ _{81}^{0} \ s_{31}^{0} \ s_{31}$$

+
$$_{82} s_{15}^0 (s_{26}^0)^2 s_9^0 + _{83} s_{16}^0 (s_{26}^0)^2 s_9^0$$
; (5.15c)

$$F_{14} = {}_{89} h_1 h_{10} s_{30}^0 s_9^0 + {}_{92} h_2 h_9 s_{30}^0 s_9^0 + {}_{94} s_{17}^0 s_{25}^0 s_{30}^0 s_9^0 + {}_{95} s_1^0 s_{18}^0 s_{26}^0 s_{30}^0 s_9^0 + {}_{98} s_{18}^0 s_{27}^0 s_{30}^0 s_9^0$$

$$+ {}_{103} \, {}_{51}^0 \, ({}_{30}^0 \,)^2 \, {}_{9}^0 + {}_{104} \, {}_{16}^0 \, ({}_{30}^0 \,)^2 \, {}_{9}^0 + {}_{105} \, {}_{20}^0 \, {}_{30}^0 \, {}_{31}^0 \, {}_{9}^0 + {}_{106} \, {}_{21}^0 \, {}_{30}^0 \, {}_{31}^0 \, {}_{9}^0 \, ;$$

$$(5.15d)$$

$$F_{19} = {}_{35}h_1h_2s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 + {}_{36}s_{17}^0s_{18}^0s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 + {}_{37}s_{20}^0s_{22}^0s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 + {}_{38}s_{21}^0s_{22}^0s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 + {}_{39}s_{20}^0s_{23}^0s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 + {}_{40}s_{21}^0s_{23}^0s_{30}^0s_5^0s_6^0 :$$

$$(5.15e)$$

Here $_{i}$ denote superpotential coe cients. The F-term equations, F $_{i} = 0$, have trivial and non-trivial solutions. For instance, (5.15a) has the trivial solutions

 $s_{26}^0 = 0$ or $s_4^0 = 0$;

⁸ T his applies to the untwisted H iggs pairs in m any m odels of our M iniLandscape, for instance also to the m odel presented in [53,55].

as well as the non-trivial solution relating various VEVs,

$$s_{16}^{0} = \frac{27 \quad 28 \quad s_{15}^{0} \quad s_{26}^{0}}{29 \quad s_{26}^{0}} :$$
(5.16)

The strategy is now to take the non-trivial solution and insert it into the other equations. By doing so, one can trade the F-term constraints for relations between the VEVs.We nd that this strategy is successful and we can satisfy all equations with non-trivial **e** VEVs.

5.1.2 M odel1, vacuum con guration B

Now consider the 'vacuum ' con guration where the elds

$$f\mathbf{e}_{i}g = f_{1}; 2; 3; 4;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3};h_{4};h_{5};h_{6};h_{7};h_{8};h_{9};h_{10};s_{1}^{0};s_{2}^{0};s_{3}^{0};s_{4}^{0};$$

$$s_{5}^{0};s_{6}^{0};s_{7}^{0};s_{8}^{0};s_{9}^{0};s_{10}^{0};s_{11}^{0};s_{12}^{0};s_{13}^{0};s_{14}^{0};s_{15}^{0};s_{16}^{0};s_{17}^{0};s_{18}^{0};s_{20}^{0};$$

$$s_{21}^{0};s_{22}^{0};s_{23}^{0};s_{24}^{0};s_{25}^{0};s_{26}^{0};s_{27}^{0};s_{28}^{0};s_{29}^{0};s_{30}^{0};s_{31}^{0};s_{32}^{0}g$$
(5.17)

develop a VEV while the expectation values of all other elds vanish. Hence, in this vacuum con guration only one SM singlet VEV is set to zero, i.e. $hs_{19}^0 i = 0$. The emerging elective theory has most properties identical to those in M odel 1A:

1. the unbroken gauge sym m etries are

$$G_{SM} = G_{hid};$$
 (5.18)

where $G_{hid} = SU(4)$.

- 2. since B $\,$ L $\,$ is broken by two units, there is an elective matter parity Z $_2^{\,\rm M}$.
- 3. there is only one pair of H iggs candidates, 1 and 1; the -term

$$= \frac{e^2 W}{e_1 e_1}$$
(5.19)

vanishes up to order e^6 , at which we work. That is, there is one pair of massless Higgs doublets.

- 4. we check that the solution satis as F = 0 for all eds and in addition, switching on fe_{ig} -eds allows us to cancel the FI term without inducing D -term s.
- 5. all vector-like exotics decouple (cf. A ppendix E .3).
- 6. neutrino m asses are suppressed via the see-saw m echanism .

However, the detailed form of the Yukawa and exotic mass matrices has changed.

Charged ferm ion Yukawa matrices

The charged ferm ion Yukawa matrices are

$$Y_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{6} \\ Y_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} B & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{e}^{6} & \mathbf{0} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.20)$$

The up-type quark Yukawa matrix is given directly in terms of the coupling of the uptype Higgs to the three q and u elds. The dow n-type quark and charged lepton Yukawa matrices are obtained by integrating out a pair of vector-like d- and d-quarks and 'and '- elds, respectively. We nd that (just as in M odel 1A) the up and charged lepton Yukawa matrices have rank 3, while the down quark Yukawa matrix has only rank 2, at this order in s singlets. In fact, to this order in SM singlet elds, the superpotential does not couple two right-handed down quarks, $d_{3,4}$, to the quark doublets. This is because $d_{3,4}$ are in the T₄ twisted sector. However, we have veriled that some of the zeros in Y_d get lled in at higher orders and at order 8 Y_d has rank 3. Note that in this vacuum con guration the Yukawa matrices retain a form consistent with the underlying D₄ fam ily symmetry.⁹

N eutrino m atrices

W e have checked that all right-handed neutrinos obtain m ass in this vacuum con guration. Thus the See-Saw m echanism works exactly as in M odel 1A, although the detailed form s of the m atrices di er. For m ore details, see W ebpage [67].

D im ension 5 baryon and lepton num ber violating operators

W e further analyzed the question of dimension 5 proton decay operators. We do nd that both qqq' and uude appear at order e^6 . They are also generated by integrating out the heavy exotics. For example, the following couplings exist

$$q_1 \, '_1 \, 4_1 \, q_1 \, '_1 \, 5_1 \, q_2 \, '_2 \, 4_1 \, q_2 \, '_2 \, 5_1 \, q_1 \, 4_1 \, q_1 \, q_1 \, 5_1 \, q_2 \, q_2 \, 4_1 \, q_2 \, q_2 \, 5_1$$
 (5.21)

Hence integrating out the states $_{i}$; $_{i}$ produces dangerous dimension 5 operators. These must be su ciently suppressed to be consistent with present bounds on proton decay [76,77]. We have veried that, for some particular eVEVs, it is possible to suppress the qqq 'operators induced by the trilinear couplings (5.21). How ever, higher order couplings

 $^{{}^{9}}$ T he D $_{4}$ fam ily sym m etry is a consequence of the space group selection rules and the geom etry of the SO (4) torus (F ig. 1) [52,93]. States sitting at the two vertical xed points on the SO (4) torus transform as doublets under D $_{4}$.

also introduce baryon and lepton num ber violating operators. We have not been able to identify a suppression mechanism for such operators yet.

-term and M inkow ski space

As in M odel 1A, requiring a M inkowski vacuum puts a constraint on the total superpotential which includes contributions from charged matter and m oduli. An interesting feature of the present vacuum con guration is that the SM matter singlet superpotential to order \mathbf{s}^6 is of the form ,

$$W(\mathbf{e}) = \bigvee_{i}^{X} P_{i}(\mathcal{B}) \tilde{P}_{i}(\mathbf{e}); \qquad (5.22)$$

where P_i are polynom ials in SM singlet elds (the index i labels a particular polynom ial) which are either D₄ doublets, which we now re-label as P, or SM and D₄ singlets, e. In particular, the D₄ doublets which enter W (e) are

The polynom ial in D_4 doublets is, to this order, quadratic in doublets and is given by the trivial D_4 singlet scalar product, for example,

$$\mathbb{B}_{1} \ \mathbb{B}_{2} = (s_{3}^{0} s_{4}^{0} + s_{9}^{0} s_{10}^{0}) :$$
(5.24)

We then nd (up to calculable dimensionful coe cients in units of the string scale)

$$W = \mathfrak{B}_{1} \mathfrak{B}_{2} \quad s_{26}^{0} + s_{29}^{0} + (s_{26}^{0} s_{26}^{0} + s_{26}^{0} s_{29}^{0} + s_{29}^{0} s_{29}^{0})(s_{15}^{0} + s_{16}^{0}) + \mathfrak{B}_{1} \mathfrak{B}_{6} + \mathfrak{B}_{2} \mathfrak{B}_{5} \quad s_{30}^{0} (s_{15}^{0} + s_{16}^{0}) + s_{17}^{0}(s_{25}^{0} + s_{28}^{0}) + s_{18}^{0}(s_{24}^{0} + s_{27}^{0}) + s_{31}^{0}(s_{20}^{0} + s_{21}^{0}) + s_{32}^{0}(s_{22}^{0} + s_{23}^{0}) + (s_{19}^{0} + s_{1}^{0} s_{18}^{0} + s_{2}^{0} s_{17}^{0})(s_{26}^{0} + s_{29}^{0}) + h_{1}(h_{8} + h_{10}) + h_{2}(h_{7} + h_{9}) + \mathfrak{B}_{3} \mathfrak{B}_{4} \quad s_{19}^{0} s_{30}^{0} \quad s_{17}^{0} s_{18}^{0} + h_{1}h_{2} + (s_{20}^{0} + s_{21}^{0})(s_{22}^{0} + s_{23}^{0}) : (5.25)$$

Thus, to order 6 in SM and hidden SU (4) singlets, the polynom ials $P_i(\mathcal{B})$ are completely determ ined by the D₄ sym m etry, while the polynom ials $P_i(\mathbf{e})$ are non-trivial for all i. One particular F = D = 0 solution is given by the roots of $hP_i(\mathcal{B})i = hP_i(\mathbf{e})i = 0$ for all polynom ials i. Hence, once again,

$$HW (e)i = 0:$$
 (5.26)

Therefore, the total superpotential is given solely by its non{perturbative part. This is expected to be very small and thus a small gravitino mass and a small cosm ological constant can in principle be achieved.

In addition, just as in M odel 1A, the -term contains all term s present in W (e) and to order 6 in SM singlets we have = 0, when W = 0. This means that the -term is of the order of the expectation value of W, i.e. the gravitino mass.

5.2 M odel 2

The model is dened by the shift and W ilson lines given in Appendix F. It was already included as an example in M L [40] and [65].

The gauge group after com pacti cation is

$$G = [SU(3) SU(2)] [SO(8) SU(2)] U(1)^8 : (5.27)$$

As before, we are able to de ne a suitable B L generator,

$$t_{\rm B L} = 1;1;0;0;0; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2};0; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2};0;0;0$$
(5.28)

with two important properties (cf. Table 3):

the spectrum includes 3 generations of quarks and leptons plus vector-like exotics with respect to G $_{\rm SM}$ $\,$ U (1) $_{B~L}$; and

there are SM singlets with B L charge 2.

Consider a 'vacuum ' con guration where the elds

$$f\mathbf{e}_{i}g = f_{1}; \ _{2};\mathbf{s}_{3}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{5}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{8}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{9}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{12}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{15}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{16}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{22}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{24}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{35}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{41}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{43}^{0};\mathbf{s}_{46}^{0};$$

$$h_{2};h_{3};h_{5};h_{9};h_{13};h_{14};h_{20};h_{21};h_{22}g \qquad (5.29)$$

develop a VEV while the expectation values of all other elds vanish. The emerging elds theory has the following properties:

1. the unbroken gauge sym m etries are

$$G_{SM}$$
 G_{hid} ; (5.30)

where $G_{hid} = SO(8)$.

2. since B $\,$ L $\,$ is broken by two units, there is an elective matter parity $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\,M}\,$.

#	irrep	label	#	irrep	label
3	(3;2;1;1) _(1=6;1=3)	qi	3	3;1;1;1;1 (2=3; 1=3)	ui
3	(1;1;1;1) _(1;1)	ei	4	(1;2;1;1) _(0;)	m _i
4	3;1;1;1 (1=3; 1=3)	di	1	(3;1;1;1) _(1=3;1=3)	di
4	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;1)	'i	1	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;1)	'i
4	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;0)	i	4	(1;2;1;1) _(1=2;0)	i
3	3;1;1;1;1 _(1=3;2=3)	i	3	(3;1;1;1) _(1=3;2=3)	i
20	(1;1;1;1) _(1=2;)	s _i +	20	(1;1;1;1) _(1=2;)	s _i
15	(1;1;1;1) _(0;1)	ni	12	(1;1;1;1) _(0; 1)	ni
3	(1;1;1;2) _(0;1)	i	3	(1;1;1;2) _(0; 1)	i
20	(1;1;1;2) _(0;0)	hi	2	(1;2;1;2) _(0;0)	Уi
2	(1;1;1;2) _(1=2;1)	x _i +	2	(1;1;1;2) _(1=2;1)	x _i
2	(1;1;1;1) _(0; 2)	i	18	(1;1;1;1) _(0;0)	s ⁰
4	3;1;1;1 (1=6;)	Vi	4	(3;1;1;1) _(1=6;)	Vi
2	(1;1;8;1) _(0; 1=2)	fi	2	(1;1;8;1) _(0;1=2)	fi
5	(1;1;8;1) _(0;0)	Wi			

Table 3:Spectrum .The quantum num bers under SU (3) SU (2) [SO (8) SU (2)⁰] are shown in boldface; hypercharge and B L charge appear as subscript. Note that the states s_i , m_i and v_i have di erent B-L charges for di erent i, which we do not explicitly list.

3. the Higgs mass term s are

$${}_{i}(M)_{ij j}; \text{ where } M = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{e}^{4} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e}^{3} & \mathbf{e}^{3} & \mathbf{e}^{6} \\ \mathbf{e} & \mathbf{e}^{5} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{3} \\ \mathbf{e} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{e}^{3} \end{cases}$$
(5.31)

The up-type H iggs h_u is a linear combination of $_1$, $_3$ and $_4$,

$$h_u = e^2_{1} + _{3} + e^4_{4}$$
; (5.32)

while the down-type H iggs is composed out of $_2$ and $_3$,

$$h_d = 2 + 3$$
: (5.33)

The vacuum con guration is chosen such that the $\ -\text{term}$, being de ned as the smallest eigenvalue of M $\$,

$$= \frac{\varrho^2 W}{\varrho h_d \varrho h_u} \Big|_{h_u = h_d = 0}$$
(5.34)

vanishes up to order e^6 , at which we work.

- we check that switching on feig-elds allows us to cancel the FI term without inducing D -term s (cf. Appendix B).
- 5. all exotics decouple (cf. A ppendix F.2).
- 6. neutrino m asses are suppressed via the see-saw m echanism .

Thus, again we have obtained a supersymmetric vacuum with the precise matter content of the MSSM and R parity.

Charged ferm ion Yukawa matrices

The up-Higgs Yukawa couplings decompose into

$$W_{Yukawa} = \begin{pmatrix} X^4 \\ (Y_u)_{ij}^{(k)} q_i u_{j k}; \\ k=1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.35)

where

$$Y_{u}^{(1)} = {B \atop 0} 0 0 {\bf e}^{6} {\bf A}^{2}; Y_{u}^{(2)} = {B \atop 0} 0 0 {\bf O}^{C} {\bf A}^{2};$$
(5.36a)

$${\bf y}_{u}^{(1)} = {B \atop 0} 0 0 {\bf e}^{6} {\bf A}^{2}; Y_{u}^{(2)} = {B \atop 0} 0 0 {\bf O}^{C} {\bf A}^{2};$$
(5.36a)

$${\bf e}^{3} {\bf e}^{3} {\bf 1} {\bf 0} {\bf 0} {\bf e}^{6} {\bf 0} {\bf 0} {\bf 0}^{2} {\bf A}^{2}; Y_{u}^{(4)} = {B \atop 0} 0 {\bf 0} {\bf 0}^{C} {\bf A}^{2};$$
(5.36a)

$$Y_{u}^{(3)} = {B \atop 0} 0 {\bf 0} {\bf O}^{C} {\bf A}^{2}; Y_{u}^{(4)} = {B \atop 0} 0 {\bf 0} {\bf 0}^{C} {\bf A}^{2}:$$

$$0 {\bf 0} {\bf e}^{6} {\bf 0} {\bf 0} {\bf 0}^{C} {\bf A}^{2};$$

Thus, the physical 3 up-Higgs Yukawa matrix is

Note that due to the Higgs mixing the top quark Yukawa coupling for this vacuum con guration is given by e^2 . Thus the corresponding e VEVs are required to be quite large.

The down-Higgs Yukawa couplings decompose into

$$W_{Yukawa} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{4} \\ (Y_{d})_{ij}^{(k)} q_{i} d_{j k}; \\ k = 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.38)

where

$$Y_{d}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 & & 0 & & & 1 \\ e^{4} & e^{4} & e^{5} & e^{5} & & & 1 & e^{4} & 0 & 0 \\ B & e^{4} & e^{4} & e^{5} & e^{5} & A^{2} ; Y_{d}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} B & e^{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 & A^{2} \\ e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{6} & e^{6} & & e^{6} & e^{6$$

$$Y_{d}^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & e^{4} & 0 & 0 \\ e^{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 & A^{C}; \\ e^{2} & e^{4} & 1 & 0 & 0 & A^{C}; \\ e^{2} & e^{2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad :$$

The physical 3 3 dow n-H iggs Yukawa matrix emerges by integrating out a pair of vector-like d and d quarks,

$$Y_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & e^{3} & 0 \\ B & 1 & e^{3} & 0 \\ e & e^{4} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.40)

W e note that both the up and down quarks are massless at order 6 in SM singlets. However, we have checked that the up quark becomes massive at order 7 and the down quark gets a mass at order 8.

The charged lepton Yukawa couplings decompose into

$$W_{Yukawa} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{4} \\ (Y_{e})_{ij}^{(k)} & _{i}e_{j k}; \\ k=1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.41)

where

$$Y_{e}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & e^{4} & e^{4} & e^{5} \\ B & e^{4} & e^{4} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A \\ \end{array}; Y_{e}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} 1 & e^{4} & e \\ B & e^{4} & 1 & e \\ 0 & 0 & e^{6} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & e^{4} & e \\ 0 & 0 & e^{6} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & e^{4} & e \\ 0 & 0 & e^{6} \\ \end{cases}$$
(5.42a)
$$Y_{e}^{(3)} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{6} \\ \end{cases}$$
:

The physical 3 matrix emerges by integrating out a pair of vector-like ' and ' leptons,

$$Y_{e} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & e \\ e & e & e^{2} & C \\ 0 & 0 & e^{6} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.43)

N eutrino m asses

We consider vacua where SU $(2)^0$ is broken. This means that the $_i$ and $_i$ give rise to further SM singlets with $q_{B L} = 1$,

The dimensions of the \right-handed" neutrino mass matrices are

$$M_{nn} = 18 \ 18;$$
 (5.45)

$$M_{nn} = 18 \ 21;$$
 (5.46)

$$M_{nn} = 21 \ 21;$$
 (5.47)

with the neutrino mass matrix given by

$$M = \frac{M_{nn} M_{nn}^{T}}{M_{nn} M_{nn}} :$$
(5.48)

W e have checked that it has full rank.

The neutrino Yukawa couplings decom pose into

$$W_{Yukawa} = \begin{pmatrix} X^4 \\ (Y_n)_{ij}^{(k)} \cdot_i n_{j-k} + (Y_n)_{ij}^{(k)} \cdot_i n_{j-k}; \\ k=1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.49)

where $Y_n^{(1)}$; $Y_n^{(2)}$ are non-vanishing 4 18 m atrices, $Y_n^{(k>2)} = 0$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$; $Y_n^{(2)}$; $Y_n^{(3)}$; $Y_n^{(4)}$ are non-vanishing 4 21 m atrices. The e ective neutrino m ass m atrix obtained as

$$= Y M {}^{1}Y^{T}; \qquad (5.50)$$

where $Y = (Y_n; Y_n)$ and has non-zero determ inant. See W ebpage [67] for details.

D im ension 5 baryon and lepton num ber violating operators

W e have looked for e ective dimension 5 baryon and lepton number violating operators in this model. We nd that to order s^6 no such operators exist. However, these operators can be generated once the exotics $_i$; $_i$ are integrated out. Fortunately, a clever choice of VEVs for the elds fe_ig can guarantee su cient suppression of all induced qqq ' operators, consistent with current bounds on proton decay [76,77].

-term and M inkow ski space

Unlike in the previous model, there is no relation between the {term and W (s). This is because the Higgs doublets do not come entirely from the untwisted sector. Requiring spontaneous SUSY breaking in a M inkow ski vacuum puts a constraint on the moduli VEVs. Fine{tuning is likely to be necessary to obtain a realistic gravitino m ass as well as a sm all cosm ological constant.

6 Conclusions and Discussion

In this paper we have described the construction of heterotic M SSM swith R parity.O ur setup is based on a particular \mathbb{Z}_6 -II orbifold with an SO (10) local GUT structure. In the rst part of the paper we have obtained 218 m odels with the M SSM gauge group structure, 3 light families and vector-like exotics.We show that all the vector-like exotics can decouple along D { at directions for 190 of these m odels.¹⁰ The total number of inequivalent m odels with SO (10) shifts and 2 W ilson lines is 3 10. Hence 0.6% of our totalm odel set are M SSM candidates.This can be compared with D brane constructions where the probability of getting M SSM -like m odels is much less than 10 ⁹ or G epner orientifold constructions where this probability is 10 ¹⁴.

In the second part of the paper we go further down the road towards the M SSM. We de ne a successful strategy for obtaining m odels with an exact R parity. We nd 87 m odels which have a renorm alizable top Yukawa coupling. We identify 15 m odels with an exact R parity, no light exotics or U(1) gauge bosons and an order one top quark Yukawa coupling.¹¹

W e present two explicit \benchm ark" exam ples satisfying the following criteria:

M SSM spectrum below the string scale – all exotics decouple; one pair of light H iggs doublets; top quark Y ukawa coupling of order 1; non-trivial Y ukawa m atrices for charged ferm ions; See-Saw m echanism for neutrinos;

an exact R parity.

The two examples have di erent phenom enological properties such as di erent structures of the Yukawa coupling matrices and dimension 5 operators. In particular, the Yukawa matrices Y_d and Y_e have more non-vanishing entries in Model 1B than in Model 1A. In both Models 1A/B the lightest down type quark is massless at order 6 in SM singlets and becomes massive at order 8. The top Yukawa coupling is order one in Models 1A/B, while it is order e^2 in Model 2. This is due to Higgs doublet mixing in the

 $^{^{10}}$ In this analysis, we have taken into account superpotential term s up to order 6 in SM singlets. At higher orders, we expect m ore m odels to be retained.

¹¹The number 15 is a lower bound, since our search is based on a speci c strategy related to B \perp sym m etry. Furtherm ore, m ore m odels are retained if we do not insist on having a renormalizable top Y ukaw a coupling. A loo one can drop the strict constraint that exotics be vector-like with respect to B \perp . For example, two exotics x; x with B \perp charge -1 can get m ass from a SM singlet VEV with charge + 2.

latter. In M odel 2, both the up and down quarks are m assless at order 6 in SM singlets. However, the up quark becomes m assive at order 7 and the down quark gets a m ass at order 8.

An interesting feature of M odel 1 is that there is a correlation between the term and the expectation value of the superpotential. In fact the pair of H iggs elds are the only vector-like elds whose m ass is correlated with the expectation value of the superpotential, while all exotics can consistently get m ass with W = 0. This provides a novel, stringy solution to the M SSM problem. Indeed, in M odels 1A/B the vacuum expectation value of the superpotential and both vanish at order 6 in SM singlets. Thus, neglecting non-perturbative elds, thism odel leads to a supersymmetric M inkow skivacuum with = 0.0 ne expects that when non-perturbative elds (hidden sector gaugino condensation) are taken into account, supersymmetry is broken at a hierarchically sm all scale and, because of the correlation between and HW i, is of order the gravitino m ass. In M odel 2, on the other hand, the superpotential does not vanish in this lim it and inclusion of non-perturbative contributions to the superpotential is necessary.

D in ension 5 baryon and lepton number violating operators come from two sources. They are generated in the superpotential to some order in SM singlets. They may also be generated when integrating out heavy exotics. In M odels 1A/B the direct dimension 5 operators appear at order e^6 , while in M odel 2 they do not appear at this order. In addition, in M odels 1A/B and M odel 2 dimension 5 operators appear when integrating out heavy exotics. In M odels 1 when integrating out heavy exotics. In M odel 2 dimension 5 operators appear when integrating out heavy exotics. In M odel 2 dimension 5 operators appear when integrating out heavy exotics. In M odel 2 these can be su ciently suppressed with some ne-tuning.

There are some phenom enological issues that we have not addressed in this paper. In particular, we have not studied precision gauge coupling unit cation. A lthough hypercharge is normalized as in 4D GUTs thus allowing gauge coupling unit cation in the rst approximation, there are various corrections that can be important. First, a detailed analysis would require the calculation of string threshold corrections in the presence of discrete W ilson lines. However in speci c cases these corrections are known to be small [94]. Second, there are corrections from the vector{like exotic states. It is possible that precision gauge coupling unit cation may require anisotropic compacti cations, leading to an elective orbifold GUT [20,52,78,79].

A nother issue concerns proton stability. The examples we studied are challenged by the presence of dimension 5 proton decay operators. Their suppression may require additional (discrete) symmetries. There are also dimension 6 operators, generated by GUT gauge boson exchange, which we have not discussed.

Finally, there are the usual questions of moduli stabilization and supersymmetry breakdown in a M inkowski vacuum. Some of them we discussed previously in [95]. We have not addressed all of these issues here. On the other hand, it is clear that if given the freedom of arbitrarily tuning moduli VEVs we are not able to nd the MSSM, the whole approach would be futile. However, with a number of MSSM candidates in this fertile

patch of the landscape, it is now in perative to tackle the hard problem s just mentioned.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank K S. Choi and J. G ray and T. K obayashi for discussions. O L., SR., SR-S., P.V. and A W . would like to thank TUM for hospitality and support. SR. and A W . also thank Bonn University for hospitality and support. M R. would like to thank the Summer Institute 2007 (held at Fuji-Yoshida) and the Aspen Center for Physics for hospitality and support. This research was supported by the DFG cluster of excellence O rigin and Structure of the Universe, the European Union 6th fram ework program MRTN-CT-2004-503069 "Quest for uni cation", MRTN-CT-2004-005104 "Force-sUniverse", MRTN-CT-2006-035863 "Universe" et " and SFB-Transregios 27 "N eutrinos and Beyond" and 33 "The Dark Universe" by Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (DFG). SR. and A W . received partial support from DOE grant DOE/ER/01545-874.

A Physical states and string selection rules

In this appendix, we discuss how to build consistent physical states. Furtherm ore, we list the string selection rules used in this work. Finally, we comment on an additional selection rule present in the literature: the rule. We not that our construction of physical states is useful in order to apply the rule correctly. It turns out that, in contrast to previous statements, the rule does not further constrain allowed couplings.

A.1 Physical states

An element of the space group $g = (k; n \in) 2 \text{ S}$, where is the twist and e are the lattice basis vectors, corresponds to a boundary condition of a closed string [43,44]. For k = 0 ($k \in 0$), the string is named untwisted string (twisted string). Focusing on its bosonic degrees of freedom in the six extra dimensions, the boundary condition reads

$$X(; +2) = gX(;);$$
 (A.1)

where g is called the constructing element of the closed string. For each constructing element g, there exists a corresponding H ilbert space H_g of physical states. U sing a mode expansion for X (;), the general solutions of the string equation of motion with boundary condition Eq. (A.1) can be written down. From these solutions one nds that twisted strings are localized at the xed{point $f_g 2 \ R^6$ corresponding to g (i.e. ${}^k f_g + n \ e = f_g$). Furtherm ore, their quantization leads to the mass equation for left{m overs (and them ass equation for right{m overs is derived analogously}). Focusing on them assless

case, the solutions are denoted by 12

$$\dot{p}_{sh}\dot{l}_{R}$$
 $\mathcal{P}_{sh}\dot{l}_{L}$; (A.2)

with shifted momenta $q_{sh} = q + v_g$ and $P_{sh} = P + V_g$, where q and P lie in the SO (8) weight lattice and E₈ = E₈ most lattice, respectively. The local twist and shift corresponding to the space group element g = (k; n e) are dened by $v_g = kv$ and $V_g = kV + nW$, respectively. Since the string is completely specified by its constructing element g and its left- and right (moving shifted momenta P_{sh} and q_{sh} , we write down a restansatz for a physical state:

$$\dot{p}_{sh}\dot{i}_{R}$$
 $\dot{p}_{sh}\dot{i}_{L}$ \dot{p}_{i} (A.3)

Up to now it is not guaranteed that a physical state is actually compatible with the orbifold. To ensure this compatibility, invariance of physi under the action of all elements of the orbifold group O S G must be imposed (G is the embedding of S into the gauge degrees of freedom and is called the gauge twisting group). To do so, Eq. (A.1) is multiplied by an arbitrary element h = (1; m e) 2 S:

$$hX(; +2) = hgX(;)$$
 (A.4)

,
$$hX(; +2) = hgh^{\perp}hX(;)$$
 (A.5)

Furtherm ore, the transform ation properties of left- and right{m overs under h are:

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{sh}\,\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}$$
 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{sh}\,\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{sh}\,\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{sh}\,\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}}$; (A.6)

where¹³

$$e^{2 i[q_{sh} N P_{sh} N]}$$
(A.7)

Now, we can distinguish two cases:

h

Commuting elements: [h;g] = 0

First, let us consider the transform ation property of physi with respect to a commuting element h. In this case, Eq. (A.5) yields

$$hX(; +2) = ghX(;);$$
 (A.8)

i.e., the constructing element g is invariant under the action of h,

$$\dot{g}i \stackrel{h}{!} \eta gh^{1}i = \dot{g}i:$$
 (A.9)

¹² In this discussion we disregard oscillator states. Their inclusion is straightforward and does not change our conclusions.

¹³Here, we set vac = 1 as discussed in [96].

hX closes under the same constructing element g as X. Thus, both give rise to the same H ilbert space H_g $\stackrel{h}{!}$ H_{hgh} $_{1}$ = H_g. Furtherm ore, on the orbifold space R ⁶=S the string coordinates hX and X are identified. Thus, hX and X describe the same physical state.

In sum m ary, provided a constructing element g, we have shown that for commuting elements h, h X and X give rise to the same physical state from the same H ilbert space. Since h has to act as the identity on jphysi, the following condition follows using Eqs. (A.3), (A.6) and (A.9):

$$q_{sh} \quad \mathbb{M} \quad \mathbb{P}_{sh} \quad \mathbb{M} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \text{mod 1}: \tag{A.10}$$

N on {com m uting elem ents: [h;g] & 0

Ъ

Next, considering a non {com m uting elem ent h in Eq. (A .5) yields

$$hX(; +2) = hgh^{\perp} hX(;);$$
 (A.11)

i.e., the constructing element g is not invariant under the action of h,

$$\dot{g}i$$
 \dot{f} $\dot{f}gh$ $\dot{f}if$ $\dot{g}i$: (A.12)

In the upstairs picture, i.e. in the covering space \mathbb{R}^6 of the orbifold $\mathbb{R}^6=S$, one has dierent Hilbert spaces for the states with boundary conditions g and hgh⁻¹. In this picture, Eq. (A.12) says that h m aps states from a given Hilbert space H_g onto a dierent Hilbert space H_{hgh⁻¹}. Subsequent application of h then leads to the sequence ¹⁴

The crucial point is now that on the orbifold hX and X are identied. This means that, on the orbifold, the di erent H ilbert spaces H $_{h^n gh^{-n}}$ of the upstairs picture are to be combined into a single orbifold H ilbert space. Invariant states are then linear combinations of states from all H $_{h^n gh^{-n}}$. Such linear combinations do, in general, involve relative phase factors (often called gam ma{phase}). So, the new ansatz for a physical state reads:

jphysi
$$e^{2 \text{ in }} j_{sh} i_R \mathcal{P}_{sh} i_L j_n^n \text{gh}^n i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}n & & & \\& & & \\\\& & & & \\\\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & \\& & & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & \\& & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\& & & & & \\&$$

where = integer=N, N being the order of the orbifold. The geometrical part of the linear combination transform s non{trivially under h

$$X = 2^{in} p^n gh^{n} i!^{h} e^{2^{i}} e^{2^{in}} p^n gh^{n} i:$$
(A.15)

 $^{^{14}}N$ ote that in all H $_{h^n\,gh^{-n}}$ the left{m oving m om enta P $_{sh}$ of equivalent states are identical. The same holds for q_{sh} .

Since h has to act as the identity on physi, the following condition follows using Eqs. (A.6), (A.14) and (A.15) for non {commuting elements:

$$q_{sh} \neq P_{sh} \neq = 0 \mod 1$$
: (A.16)

Notice that depends on h. Thus we can always choose (h) such that this condition is satis ed^{15} . In principle, these steps have to be repeated for all non {com muting elements in order to ensure invariance of the physical state under the action of the whole orbifold group 0 S G. The result for physic reads

$$\dot{p}hysi = \dot{p}_{sh}i_R \quad \mathcal{P}_{sh}i_L \quad \overset{O}{\underset{h=1 \text{ or } [h, r] \neq 0}{}} e^{2i(h)} \text{ hgh}^{-1}i^A; \quad (A.17)$$

where the sum mation over h is such that each term $jhgh^{-1}i$ appers only once. Note that the sum mation over h can be understood as a sum mation over all elements of the conjugacy class of g.

Example

To illustrate the construction of physical states, let us consider an example in the rst twisted sector of the Z_6 {II orbifold. In the SU(3) lattice spanned by e_3 and e_4 , there are three inequivalent xed points associated to the constructing elements $g_1 = (;0)$, $g_2 = (;e_3)$ and $g_3 = (;e_3 + e_4)$, or analogously $g_i = (;a_ie_3 + b_ie_4)$ for i = 1;2;3 with $a_i = (0;1;1)$ and $b_i = (0;0;1)$. Then, restricting to the SU(3) lattice, the geometrical part of a physical state can be written as

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ e^{2 i(n+m)} & (;(n+m+a_i)e_3+(2m n+b_i)e_4): \\ nm \end{array}$$
 (A.18)

Since the action of in the SU (3) lattice has order 3, the only possible {eigenvalues of Eq. (A .18) have = 0; $\frac{1}{3}$. In the case of = 0, Eq. (A .18) is invariant under all rotations and translations for all three g_i . How ever, if = $\frac{1}{3}$, the eigenvalue of Eq. (A .18) depends on g_i : for the xed point at the origin associated to g_1 , Eq. (A .18) is invariant under , but has an eigenvalue $e^{2 i} (k+1)$ under (1; $ke_3 + le_4$). Sim ilarly, for the xed points away from the origin, corresponding to g_i (i \in 1), Eq. (A .18) picks up a phase $e^{2 i} (a_i + b_i)$ under (see Fig.2). It can be shown that for physical states \in 0 is only possible in the presence of a W ilson line in the e_3 and e_4 directions.

A .2 String selection rules

Consider the n {point correlation function of two ferm ions and n 2 bosons [97,98]

hFFB:::Bi:

(A.19)

¹⁵ In this sense, building linear combinations and computing the phase is not a projection condition. Note that (h) is well(de ned: if $h_1gh_1^{-1} = h_2gh_2^{-1}$ then (h₁) = (h₂).



Figure 2: Illustration of the {factor. The xed point associated with the space group element $g_2 = (; e_3)$ is invariant under $(; e_3)$, but transform s into equivalent xed points outside the fundam entaldom ain under h = (; 0). To form an eigenstate of (; 0), one needs to build linear com – binations of the equivalent xed points. The corresponding eigenvalues can be 1; $e^{2} = 3$.

The corresponding physical states shall be denoted by i, i = 1; :::; n. Then, in the eld theory limit, a non {vanishing correlation function induces the following term in the superpotential

$$W_{1 2 3} ::: n :$$
 (A.20)

A complete evaluation of Eq. (A.19) has only been performed for 3{point couplings and yields a moduli dependent coupling strength [97,98,68,99].

On the other hand, symmetries of Eq. (A.19) give rise to the so{called string selection rules. These rules determ ine whether a given coupling vanishes or not. We use the following notation: the constructing elements of $_{i}$ are denoted by g_{i} 2 S and their left-and right{m oving shifted m om enta, by $P_{sh,i}$ and $q_{sh,i}$, respectively. Then, the string selection rules read:

1. G auge invariance

The sum over all left{m oving shifted m om enta $P_{sh;i}$ m ust vanish:

$$P_{sh;i} = 0 \tag{A 21}$$

This translates to the eld theoretic requirem ent of gauge invariance for allowed terms in the superpotential.

2. Conservation of R {charge

R {charge is de ned by

$$R_{i}^{a} = q_{shi}^{a} N_{i}^{a} + N_{i}^{a}$$
 for $a = 0; ...; 3;$ (A.22)

where N $_{i}^{a}$ and N $_{i}^{a}$ are integer oscillator num bers, counting the num ber of excitations with oscillators \sim^{a} and $\sim^{\overline{a}}$, respectively. Then the conditions [52]

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ R_{i}^{a} = 0 \mod N^{a} \quad \text{for } a = 1;2;3 \end{array}$$
 (A.23)

have to be imposed, where N ^a denotes the order of the twist component v^a in the a{th complex plane, i.e. N ^av^a 2 Z (no summation). Here, two of the R_i come from ferm ions and the rest from bosons. For computational purposes, it is more convenient to use the purely bosonic notation, where Eq. (A.23) becomes $_{i}^{P}R_{i}^{a} = 1 \mod N^{a}$.

This condition can be understood as a remnant of 10 dimensional Lorentz invariance.

3. Space group selection rule

The product of constructing elements g_i must be the identity:

$$g_i = (1;0):$$
 (A.24)

In terms of conjugate elements $h_i g_i h_i^{1}$ of g_i , this condition can be reformulated as $p_i^{1} h_i g_i h_i^{1} = (1; v)$ with $v = p_i^{2} (1 - k_i)$ [100].

This selection rule can be visualized as the geometrical ability of twisted strings to join.

A.3 On the need for a selection rule

In the literature, there exists an additional selection rule, here referred to as the rule. In our notation, it reads [52,68]

$$X_{i} = 0 \mod 1$$
; (A.25)

where i denotes the gam m a{phase of i. In this section, we argue that, in contrast to previous statem ents, a fully consistent approach yields to autom atic full lm ent of the rule.

The correlation function corresponding to the coupling

should be invariant under the action of the full space group. Let us assume st that the states $_{i}$ corresponded to linear combinations of equivalent xed points within the fundam ental dom ain of the torus (see e.g. [52,68,101]). For example, in the case of the Z_{6} (II orbifold only xed points in the G $_{2}$ lattice could form linear combinations. Under

this assumption, di erent states $_{i}$ would be eigenstates with respect to di erent space group elements. So one could not transform the coupling Eq. (A 26) with a given h = (1 ;m e). Thus the fully consistent approach for building invariant linear combinations, as presented in Appendix A 1, is necessary. In this case, we can compute the gam m a{ phase for all states $_{i}$ from Eq. (A 16), i.e. $_{i} = _{i}$ (h) for arbitrary h = (1 ;m e). But since allowed couplings already full the selection rules Eqs. (A 21) and (A 23), the rule is satis ed trivially¹⁶:

$$_{i}(h) = P_{sh,i} \quad Y \quad q_{sh,i} \quad Y; \qquad (A.27)$$

Thus, the rule in the fully consistent approach is not a selection rule. It is a consequence of other selection rules and invariance of the states. We therefore conclude that the coupling must only satisfy gauge invariance, R {charge conservation and the space group selection rule.

This has important consequences. For example, in the model A1 of [52], there is no mass term for the exotics q_2q_2 up to order 9 in singlets. However, we nd that the coupling $q_2q_2S_9S_{15}S_{22}S_{33}$ is allowed by the selection rules of A ppendix A 2. Further, using the prescription of A ppendix A 1, the gam ma{phases of the corresponding physical states are $_i = (\frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; \frac{5}{6}; \frac{2}{3}; 0)$ for h = (; 0), which sum up to 2. This is in contrast to [52], where $_i = (0; 0; 0; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{2}{3}; 0)$ and linear combinations were built dierently.

B D { atness

In this appendix, a simple m ethod is reviewed that allows to analyze D { atness. It also provides a simple test whether it is possible to cancel the FI term with a given set of elds.

Let us start by brie y reviewing the issue of D – atness and cancellation of the FI term [102{106]. In supersymmetric theories, there is the so-called D -term potential. In the case of a U (1) gauge theory it is given by

$$V_{D} / q_{i}j_{i}j_{i}f :$$
(B.1)

Consider as a rst example a U(1) gauge theory with two elds carrying the charges 1. Clearly, as long as $j_+ j = j_- j_- V_D$ vanishes. That is, one has a D - at direction, parametrized by $x = j_+ j = j_- j_-$.

¹⁶A lso in the presence of oscillators, the rule is satis ed autom atically.

Consider now a theory with one eld $(_1)$ with charge 2 and two elds $(_2;_3)$ with charges $1.^{17}$ Then we have many at directions, described by the roots of the equation $2j_1 f_1^2 j_2 f_2^2 j_3 f_3^2 = 0$. It is convenient to associate these directions to the (holom orphic) monom ials

$$1 \frac{2}{2}; 1 \frac{2}{3}; 1 2 3;$$

respectively. That is, a monom ial $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ k represents a lat direction, de ned by the relation

$$\frac{j_1j}{p_{n_1}} = \frac{j_2j}{p_{n_2}} = \dots = \frac{j_kj}{p_{n_k}} \text{ and } j_j = 0 \text{ for } n_j = 0:$$

The crucial feature of such m onom ials is that they are (obviously) gauge invariant. M ore precisely, every holom orphic gauge invariant m onom ial represents a D - at direction [102].

It is, how ever, clear that there is only a nite num ber of linearly independent D – at directions. In the previous example, the third direction is not independent of the other two. In other words, the requirem ent $V_D = 0$ poses only one constraint on the three real variables $(j_i j^2)$ entering (B.1). The space of absolute values $j_i j$ is 2-dimensional. The power of using the monom ials is that checking whether certain monom ials are linearly independent or not is fairly simple: identify with each monom ial the vector of exponents, $v = (n_1; n_2:::)$. The directions are independent if and only if the vectors are linearly independent. In the previous example one would get the vectors (1;2;0), (1;0;2), and (1;1;1), out of which only two are linearly independent.

It is also clear how to obtain these vectors: all of them are orthogonal to the vector of charges $q = (q_1; q_2:::)$. That is, the problem of nding the above monom ials (and thus the D - at directions) is reduced to the problem of nding vectors v with the following properties:

- 1.q v= 0,
- 2. $v_i \ge N_0$.

The property that the v_i be integer-valued does not pose a constraint in our models: since the charges are rational, one can rescale any v having the rst property such as to have integer entries. However, the requirement that the entries be non-negative, which re ects that the monomials ought to be holom orphic, is a constraint.

The discussion so far can easily be extended to $U\left(1\right)^n$ theories. Here the D-term potential is

$$V_{D} / \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & X^{n} & X \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\$$

¹⁷For the m om ent, we ignore anom alies.

where $q_1^{(j)}$ is the charge of the eld $_i$ under the jth U (1) factor. Now a D - at direction has to satisfy the above constraints for each U (1) factor separately. A gain, it is advantageous to represent D - at directions by holom orphic gauge invariant monom ials (dubbed 'H IM s' in the literature [106]). Then the vector v of exponents has to be orthogonal to every charge vector $q^{(j)} = (q_1^{(j)}; q_2^{(j)}; :::)$. In other words, v has to be in the kernel of the charge matrix Q,

$$Q \quad v = 0; \quad \text{with} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q_1^{(1)} & q_2^{(1)} & \dots & 1 \\ B & q_1^{(2)} & q_2^{(2)} & \dots & C \\ B & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & A \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & A \\ q_1^{(n)} & q_2^{(n)} & \dots & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.3)

Hence, the problem of nding the D – at directions of a U (1)ⁿ gauge theory is reduced to the task of calculating the kernel of the charge matrix Q, and to form ing linear combinations of elements of this kernel in such a way that the entries are non-negative integers. The maxim allinear independent set of such linear combinations is in one-to-one correspondence with the independent D – at directions.

N ext, let us com m ent on w hat happens if there are non-A belian gauge factors. Then the D -term potential is to be am ended by

$$V_{D}^{\text{non Abelian}} / \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ X & X & & \\ & & & \\ & & & i \\ & & & a & i \end{array}$$
(B.4)

with T_a denoting the group generators. It is straightforward to see that the results obtained so far generalize to the non-Abelian case [102]: the D – at directions are again in correspondence with holom orphic gauge invariant m onom ials. That is, one can am end the m onom ials discussed so far such as to include elds transform ing non-trivially under non-Abelian gauge factors, as long as these elds are contracted in such a way that the m onom ials are gauge invariant.

Finally, let us review the issue of cancelling the FI term . For an 'anom alous' U(1), the D-term potential (B.1) gets m odi ed to

$$V_{D}^{\text{anom}} / q_{i}^{\text{anom}} j_{i} j^{2} + ; \qquad (B.5)$$

where in our convention > 0. To cancel the FI term one thus has to nd a holom orphic m onom ial,

$$I = \frac{n_1 \quad n_2}{1 \quad 2} :::$$
(B.6)

with net negative charge under U $(1)_{\text{anom}}$, i.e.

$$n_{i}q_{i}^{anom} < 0:$$
(B.7)

To sum marize, the D - at directions are in one-to-one correspondence with holom orphic gauge invariant monom ials. In the Abelian case, such monom ials can be identified with elements of the kernel of the charge matrix Q with non-negative integer entries. Cancelation of the FI term requires the existence of a holom orphic monom ial with net negative charge under U $(1)_{anom}$, which is gauge invariant with respect to all other group factors.

C Fam ily re ection sym metry and M atter Parity, Z_2^M

W e would like to de nean e ective low energy theory which preserves R parity. This has the advantage of greatly reducing the number of arbitrary parameters in the superpotential, forbidding dimension 3 and 4 baryon or lepton number violating operators, and preserving a viable dark matter candidate, i.e. the LSP. Our strategy for accomplishing this is, in principle, quite simple. W e make use of \fam ily relation symmetry" or \mathbf{m} atter parity" de ned as a discrete subgroup of U (1)_{B L}. This is a global Z_2^{M} symmetry (commuting with supersymmetry) which is even on the Higgs doublets and odd on all SM quark and lepton elds. It forbids the following dangerous baryon or lepton number violating operators,

$$\overline{u}$$
dd; qd'; ' \overline{e} and ' h_u : (C.1)

On the other hand, it allows quark and lepton Yukawa couplings as well as the M a jorana neutrino mass operator $\$.

Consider the elective operators

$$0 hs_1 ::: s_n i w ith 0 in (C.1);$$
 (C.2)

$$^{--}h_{1}^{0}:::s_{n}^{0}i$$
 : (C.3)

W e want to forbid the dangerous proton decay operators (C.2), while allowing for M ajorana neutrino m asses (C.3). This puts a constraint on the B L charges of the SM singlets which get non {zero VEVs. In particular, it requires

$$1 + q_i \in 0$$
 (C.4)

for any set of singlets with non {zero VEVs, where qi are the B L charges. In addition,

$$2 + \int_{i}^{X} q_{i}^{0} = 0$$
 (C.5)

must be satisfied for at least one singlet conguration with B \perp charges q_i^0 . In our theory, the singlets come in pairs with opposite B \perp charges and these charges are rational. Then the relevant solution to the above equations is

$$q_i = \frac{2k_i}{2l_i + 1}$$
 (C.6)

	quarks	leptons	hu	h _d	udd	qd '	"e	' h _u
B-L	1/3	ᅴ	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1
Ŕ	e ^{i =3}	e ⁱ	1	1	e ⁱ	e ⁱ	e ⁱ	e ⁱ

Table 4: B L and \mathbb{R} charges for SM particles, with opposite B L charges for anti-particles, and for baryon and lepton number violating operators with dimension 4.

for integer k_i and l_i , with the additional condition that adding/subtracting the num erators of q_i can yield 2, i.e.

$$X_{k_{1}N_{1}} = 1;$$
 (C.7)

for som $e N_i 2 \mathbb{Z}$. For example, the num erators can di er by 2. If there are only two elds with charges q_a , the corresponding constraint is $q_a = 2=(21+1)$.

The above singlet VEVs break U (1) $_{\rm B}$ $_{\rm L}$ to a discrete subgroup . Consider an element of U (1) $_{\rm B}$ $_{\rm L}$ de ned by

$$\mathfrak{R}() = e^{i t_{\mathfrak{B} L}} : \qquad (C.8)$$

The B L and \mathbb{R} () charges of SM particles are given in Table 4. The choice = 3 corresponds to family rejection symmetry (FRS). If only the singlets satisfying

$$q_{\rm B} \, _{\rm L}(\mathbf{e}) = \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{Z}$$
 (C.9)

obtain VEVs and there is at least one singlet for which $Z \notin 0$, then $U(1)_{B_L}$ is broken to $\mathbb{R}(3)$ FRS.C learly, products of these singlets can contribute to Yukawa couplings for quarks and leptons. Further, products of singlets with B L charge 2=3 (or, m ore generally, those with B L charge 2=3 and 4=3, etc.) can generate M a prana neutrino m asses. On the other hand, the proton decay operators are forbidden.

It is possible to generalize FRS to a Z_N group. In general as long as $\notin 2Z, \mathbb{R}()$ will forbid the dangerous operators, Eq. (C.1), and allow all Yukawa couplings. Consider a eld for with $q_B_L() = f$. Such a eld breaks $U(1)_B_L$ to the subgroup $\mathbb{R}()$ with = 2=f. The elective M ajorana neutrino m ass operator ⁿ is allowed for [2 + nf]=f = Z or 2=f = Z. Hence, for odd , we can both forbid the dangerous operators, Eq. (C.1) and obtain non{zero M ajorana neutrino m ass. The corresponding constraint on f is then f = 2=(2Z + 1), as expected. For example,

1. f = 2; = 1 gives $\Re = e^{i q_B L} 2 Z_6$, 2. f = 2=3; = 3 gives $\Re = e^{3i q_B L} 2 Z_2$, 3. f = 2=5; = 5 gives $\mathbb{R}^2 = e^{5i} q_{\mathbb{B}} + 2 Z_6$, 4. f = 2=7; = 7 gives $\mathbb{R}^2 = e^{7i} q_{\mathbb{B}} + 2 Z_6$, 5. f = 2=9; = 9 gives $\mathbb{R}^2 = e^{9i} q_{\mathbb{B}} + 2 Z_2$.

This is easily generalized to con gurations with m any dimension singlets getting VEVs (with the constraints given in (C.6) and (C.7)). These conserve matter parity, Z_2^M .

D Search for B L and R parity

Our search for U $(1)_{B}$ L is based on the m ethods developed in ref. [62] (for an earlier discussion of U $(1)_{B}$ L and its applications see [53] and [40]). In Tab. 5, we list the standard m odel particle content with their hypercharge and B L charges.

q	(3;2) _{1=6;1=3}	1	(1;2) _{-1=2;-1}	hu	(1;2) _{1=2;0}
u	(3;1) _{-2=3;-1=3}	е	(1;1) _{1;1}	h _d	(1;2) _{-1=2;0}
d	(3;1) _{1=3;-1=3}		(1;1) _{0;1}		

Table 5: Matter content of the standard model, where the subscripts denote hypercharge and B $\,$ L , respectively. In our conventions, Q = $T_{\rm 3L}$ + Y .

The choice for U $(1)_{B-L}$ depends on the choice of hypercharge in the rst place. In this publication, we do not take the most general approach, but assume that hypercharge is given by SO (10) SU (5) SU (3) SU (2) U $(1)_{Y}$. Furthermore, we dem and that the rst and second families come from 16-plets localized in the rst twisted sector, whereas the multiplets of the third family may come from any sector of the theory.

To nd a suitable U $(1)_{B}$ $_{L}$, we proceed as follows. In general, the shift and W ilson lines break the gauge group in 10 dimensions

$$E_8 = E_8^0$$
! non-Abelian U(1)ⁿ: (D.1)

The U (1) generators are n linearly independent directions t_i in the root lattice of $E_8 = E_8^0$ that are orthogonal to the simple roots of the unbroken non-abelian gauge group. For the B = L direction, we make the general ansatz

 $t_B L = x_1 t_1 + x_2 t_2 + \dots + x_n t_n$ (D.2)

The B L charge of a particular representation is given by the scalar product of its highest weight and B L.W e denote the highest weights of the left-handed quark doublets and of the right-handed quark singlets by i, i = 1; ...; 9. Note that the rst two fam ilies are

xed, and we loop over all representations which have the right quantum num bers to be the quarks of the third generation. For each such choice, we have

$$i \not\equiv L = x_1 \quad i \quad t + x_2 \quad i \quad t + \dots \quad i \quad t;$$
 (D.3)

which is a system of 9 linear equations. A lthough one may think that these 9 equations severely constrain the values of x_i , this is not true. In general, the system will be underdeterm ined, since the quarks may dier by localization, but not necessarily by the highest weights of their gauge representations. In order to account for the B L charges of the leptons and H iggses, and for the absence of chiral exotics, we set up necessary, but in general not su cient, linear constraints:

For readability, we use q_{B-L} in the above equations as a shorthand for $_{k-E-L}$, where $_{k-L}$ runs over the highest weights of the representations in the sum. Note that the sum over e.g. the (3;1) and ($\overline{3}$;1) representations reduces to that over the right-handed quarks u and d alone, since we assume pairs of exotic particles to carry B -L charge assignments that are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign. A nother linear constraint comes from the requirement that U (1)_{B-L} be non-anom alous. If the model has an anom alous U (1) direction that we will denote by t_{anom} , we demend that it be orthogonal to B -L:

$$(x_1 t_1 + x_2 t_2 + \dots + x_n t_n) = 0$$
: (D.5)

In principle, we could write down m any more linear conditions, e.g. we could dem and that the sum of the B L charges of u-type quarks like $(\overline{3};1)_{-2=3;-1=3}$ and $(3;1)_{2=3;1=3}$ alone gives -1, which is more constraining than Eq. (D.4). However, our experience shows that it is more practical to drop these conditions, since the non-linear equations to be introduced below are constraining enough.

The linear equations by no means exhaust the constraints we may require to be fulled by a vector-like spectrum. In particular, there are the cubic,

$$X (q_{B L})^{3} = \frac{1}{9}; X (q_{B L})^{3} = \frac{2}{9};$$

$$(3;2);(\overline{3};2) (q_{B L})^{3} = 3; (0.6)$$

$$(1;2) (1;2) (1;1); Y \in 0$$

and the quintic,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & X \\ (q_{B \ L})^{5} = \frac{1}{81}; & & X \\ (3;2); \overline{(3;2)} & & (3;1); \overline{(3;1)} \end{array}$$

constraints. A s before, the sum over all (1;1) representations with non-vanishing hypercharge reduces to that of the right-handed electrons that carry B \perp charge + 1, since the exotic particles com e in vector-like pairs so that their contribution to the sum vanishes.

This leaves us with a set of highly non-linear equations to be solved. To this end, we used the computer algebra system Singular [107]. The following cases need to be distinguished. (i) The number of solutions is nite. (ii) The solutions are given by continuous parameters, and the relations intertwining these parameters are linear. (iii) The solutions are given by continuous parameters, but this time, the relations intertwining the parameters are non-linear. B L directions which lead to irrational charges for the exotics are discarded in all three cases.

In the rst case, we calculate the spectra for the B L generators and check that they are really vector-like. A given m odel is particularly interesting if its spectrum contains standard m odel singlets with B L charges 2n = (2m + 1) for n; m 2 N whose VEV s break the continuous U $(1)_{B L}$ sym m etry to a discrete subgroup which m ay play the role of a generalized R parity. In the second case, we use the param etric freedom we have at hand to assign B L charges of 2n = (2m + 1) for m; n = 0;1;2;3 in all possible com binations to standard m odel singlets and thus generate a list of B L directions. In the third case, we specialize to a num erical value and check whether the spectrum satis es our criteria. W e do not perform a complete search, because these cases are rare and the analysism ore complicated, thus not very rewarding.

E Details of M odel 1

The model is de ned by the shifts and W ilson lines

$$V = \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0 = \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2};$$

$$W_2 = 0; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0 = 4; 3; \frac{7}{2}; 4; 3; \frac{7}{2}; \frac{9}{2}; \frac{7}{2}; ; (E.1b)$$

$$W_{3} = \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{3}; 0; 0; \frac{2}{3}; 0; \frac{5}{3}; 2; 0 :$$
(E.1c)

A possible second order 2 W ilson line is set to zero. The gauge group after com pacti – cation is

$$G_{\text{orbifold}} = SU(3) SU(2) [SU(4) SU(2)^0] U(1)^9$$
: (E.2)

The U (1) generators can be chosen as

$$t_1 = t_Y = 0;0;0;\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{3}:(0;0;0;0;0;0;0);;0); (E.3a)$$

$$t_{2} = (1;0;0;0;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0); (E.3b)$$

$$t_{3} = (0;1;0;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0); (E.3c)$$

$$t_{4} = (0;0;1;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;0;0;0); (E.3d)$$

$$t_5 = (0;0;0;1;1;1;1;1) (0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0);$$
(E.3e)

$$t_6 = (0;0;0;0;0;0;0) (0;1;0;0;0;0;0);$$
 (E.3f)

$$t_7 = (0;0;0;0;0;0;0)(1;0;0;1;0;0;0);$$
(E.3g)

$$t_8 = (0;0;0;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;1;0;0;0) ;$$
 (E.3h)

$$t_9 = (0;0;0;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;1;0;0) : (E.3i)$$

The 'anom alous' U (1) is generated by

$$t_{anom} = \begin{cases} X^9 \\ i t_i; where f_{ig} = 0; \frac{2}{3}; 0; \frac{5}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 2; \frac{1}{3}: \end{cases}$$
(E.4)

The sum of anom alous charges is

$$trt_{anom} = \frac{296}{3} > 0$$
: (E.5)

E.1 Spectrum

Table 6: The spectrum of model 1 in terms of left-chiral states. The U(1) charges refer to the basis of generators (E 3). R $_{\rm i}$ denote R-charges.Note, the symbol * means the state is not localized in the corresponding directions.

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R ₁]	R ₂ R	3	irrep	qy	q_2	q_3	q ₄	q_5	q ₆	q 7	q_8	q9	q _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
n ₃	0				() 1	0	Ø	(1;1;1;1;1) ($\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 2	0	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{3}$
e3	0				() 1	0	Ø	(1;1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	1	<u>2</u> 3
f_1	0				() 1	0	þ	1;1;4;1	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	<u>5</u> 3
f_1	0				() 1	0	þ	(1;1;4;1) () () () ($\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	<u>2</u> 3
u ₃	0				() 1	0	Ø	3;1;1;1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3
s_{1}^{0}	0				(0 (1	Ø	(1;1;1;1;1) () () () () 1	. ()	1 (0	$\frac{7}{3}$
s_{2}^{0}	0				(0	1	Ø	(1;1;1;1;1) () () () () [_ ((0	<u>5</u> 3
q_3	0				(0 0	1	Ø	(3;2;1;1	$)\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>4</u> 3
1	0				() ()	0		1 (1;2;1;1) $\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
1	0				() ()	0		1 (1;2;1;1	$)\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
n ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
e ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{7}{18}$
′ 2	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>3</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{5}{18}$
u2	1	0	0	0	0	16	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{7}{18}$
																		C	pontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q ₂	q_3	q ₄	q ₅	q ₆	q ₇	q ₈	q	¶ _{B L}	q _{anom}
d ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>3</u> 2	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{5}{18}$
q_2	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{7}{18}$
s_{3}^{0}	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
s_4^0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>13</u> 18
s_{5}^{0}	1	0	0	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>25</u> 18
s_6^0	1	0	0	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2	0	1 3	0	0	0	0	5 18
s_{7}^{0}	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
s_{8}^{0}	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{18}$
n_1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
e_1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	1	<u>1</u> 6	0	0	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{7}{18}$
' 1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>3</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1	$\frac{5}{18}$
u_1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{7}{18}$
d_1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	0	0	<u>3</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{5}{18}$
q_1	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(3;2;1;1)	1 6	<u>1</u> 6	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{7}{18}$
s_9^0	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
s_{10}^{0}	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{18}$
s_{11}^{0}	1	0	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>25</u> 18
s_{12}^{0}	1	0	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{5}{18}$
s_{13}^{0}	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
s_{14}^0	1	0	0	1	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{18}$
m ₁	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;2;1;1)	0	1 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 6	1	1 2	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
m ₂	1	0	1	0	0	6	1 3	2	(1;2;1;1)	0	3	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	0	29 18
У1	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1/2	(1;2;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	<u> </u> 6	0	1	0	0	<u>13</u> 18
m ₃	1	0	1	1	0	1 6	1 3	1 2	(1;2;1;1)	0	<u> </u> 3	0	0	0	<u> </u> 6	1	1 2	0	0	$\frac{17}{18}$
m 4	1	0	1	1	0	1 6	1 3	1 2	(1;2;1;1)	0	3	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	0	29 18
У2	1	0	1	1	0	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;2;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	0	1 6	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>13</u> 18
n_1	1	1	0	0	0	1 6	1 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 6	0	3	5 6	3	3	0	3	1	19 18
n ₄	1	1	0	0	0	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 6	0	3	56	⊥ 3	3	0	3	1	19 18
1	1	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	3	5 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>19</u> 18
n ₂	1	1	0	1	0	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	23	56	23	2 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
n ₅	1	1	0	1	0	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u> </u> 6	0	2 3	565	<u>1</u> 3	2 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
2	1	1	0	1	0	1 6	<u>1</u> 3	1/2	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	3	5 6	3	3	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	<u>19</u> 18
s_1	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	16	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 6	1 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	2 3	1	$\frac{17}{18}$
s_1^+	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	1 2	2 3	0	1 3	23	1 6	1 3	1 2	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{19}{18}$
s_2^+	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	<u>1</u> 3	0	37 18
m ₅	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 6	5	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
v_1	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{18}$
																		C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R ₁	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q ₂	q_3	q_4	q ₅	q ₆	q 7	q_8	q	q _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
s ₂	1	1	1	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>25</u> 18
s ₃	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 6	1 2	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2 3	1	<u>17</u> 18
s_3^+	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{19}{18}$
s_4^+	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>37</u> 18
m ₆	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;2;1;1)	0	1 6	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 6	5 6	1 6	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	1 3	1	<u>19</u> 18
v ₂	1	1	1	1	0	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{18}$
s ₄	1	1	1	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	1	25 18
f ₂	1	2	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 3	1 2	1;1;4;1	0	1 6	0	1 3	56	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	37 18
f ₂	1	2	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;1;4;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	1 3	56	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 6	0	$\frac{1}{18}$
n ₆	1	2	0	0	0	5 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 6	0	1 3	56	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	18
n ₃	1	2	0	0	0	5 6	1 3	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 6	0	1 3	56	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	2 3	1	<u>19</u> 18
1	1	2	0	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	1 2	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	56	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>19</u> 18
f3	1	2	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 3	1 2	1;1; 4 ;1	0	1 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	56	1 6	1 3	1 2	1 6	0	37 18
f ₃	1	2	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;4;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	56	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{18}$
n ₇	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	56	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{7}{18}$
n4	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
2	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>19</u> 18
s_5	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>31</u> 18
s ₆	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{11}{18}$
s_5^+	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{17}{18}$
m 7	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>19</u> 18
v_1	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	3 ;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	1 3	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>25</u> 18
s ₆ +	1	2	1	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>13</u> 18
s ₇	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	2 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	23	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	31 18
s ₈	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{11}{18}$
s_7^+	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{17}{18}$
m ₈	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{19}{18}$
v_2	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3 ;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>25</u> 18
s ₈ +	1	2	1	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{13}{18}$
s ₁₅	2	0			0	23	23	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	29
s ⁰ ₁₆	2	0			1	23	2 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
s ⁰ ₁₇	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	23	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	<u>13</u> 9
s ⁰ ₁₈	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	23	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	2	0	0	0	1 1	0	1	0	0	<u>23</u>
h_1	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
h ₂	2	0			1 2	$\frac{1}{3}$	23	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	80
1	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2	2	0	<u>3;1;1;</u> 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	7
1	2	0			1	1	2	0	(3;1;1;1)	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1
					Ζ.	3	3			3	3				3			C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R ₁	R_2	R ₃	irrep	\mathbf{q}_{Y}	q_2	q_3	q ₄	q_5	q 6	q ₇	q_8	q	q _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
s ₁₉	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
n ₅	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s ₂₀	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>8</u> 9
3	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
2	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₆	2	1			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s_{21}^{0}	2	1			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>8</u> 9
4	2	1			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
3	2	1			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₈	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
f4	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;4;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>8</u> 9
d_1	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>8</u> 9
s ₂₂	2	2			0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	23	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	5 M	13	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 9
f_5	2	2			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;4;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{7}{9}$
′ 3	2	2			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
2	2	2			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₇	2	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s_{23}^{0}	2	2			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 9
f ₆	2	2			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;4;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	5	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{7}{9}$
' 4	2	2			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
3	2	2			1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₈	2	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	2 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₉	2	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>5</u> 9
n ₁₀	2	2			<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{14}{9}$
5	2	2			1 2	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₁	2	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
1	3		0	0	1 3	<u>1</u> 2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
2	3		0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
h3	3		0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
h4	3		0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
3	З		0	1	13	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
4	3		0	1	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$
h_5	3		0	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
h ₆	3		0	1	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
f_1^+	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	1;1;4;1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
f_1	3		1	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;4;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
s ₉	3		1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s_9^+	3		1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
																		C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q_2	q_3	q 4	q ₅	q 6	q ₇	q ₈	q9	¶ _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
s ₁₀	3		1	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₀	3		1	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s_{11}	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₁	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
f_2^+	3		1	1	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1;1; 4 ;1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
f_2	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;4;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
s ₁₂	3		1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₂	3		1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₃	3		1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₃	3		1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s_{14}	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₁₄	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 6
s ₂₄	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	1	0	0	<u>23</u> 9
s_{25}^{0}	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	0	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
h7	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	0	0	<u>8</u> 9
h ₈	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
4	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
4	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>7</u> 9
s_{26}^{0}	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
s ₂₇	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	0	0	<u>23</u> 9
s ₂₈	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	0	0	<u>13</u> 9
h9	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	0	0	<u>8</u> 9
h ₁₀	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
5	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
5	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>7</u> 9
s_{29}^{0}	4	0			1	2 3	2 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	2 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
s ₃₀	4	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 9
n ₁₂	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
f4	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	1;1;4;1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>8</u> 9
d ₃	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>8</u> 9
n ₁₃	4	1			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
f_5	4	1			1	2 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	1;1;4;1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>8</u> 9
d ₄	4	1			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>8</u> 9
s ₃₁	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>5</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>8</u> 9
n ₉	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	2 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	5 6	2 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
3	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
6	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₀	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>14</u> 9
																		C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q ₂	q_3	q ₄	q_5	q 6	q ₇	q_8	q	¶_B ⊥	q _{anom}
n ₁₁	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>5</u> 9
4	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₂	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₃	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{14}{9}$
n ₁₄	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	<u>5</u> 9
5	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₅	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s ⁰ ₃₂	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 9
f_6	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1;1; 4 ;1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{7}{9}$
' 1	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
6	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{9}$
n ₁₆	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$

E.2 Mass matrices for exotics in Model 1A

Here we show that that all exotics can be made massive. The exotic's mass term s are

$$x_{i} (M_{xx})_{ij} x_{j}$$
: (E.6)

In the following, we list the structure of the corresponding mass matrices.

М ,,	=		0 (e 0	6 6	б	;								(E . 7a)
M _{dd}	=	0	0 (0 e	6 6	6	;				1				(E.7b)
		Р	0	8	0	e	0	0	0	0	T C					
		BBB	8	0	e	0	0	0	0	0	č					
		BBB	0	e	0	8	0	0	0	0	Ĉ					
м	_	1 BBB	e 6	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	č				(F 70	`
™ mm	_	BBB	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	Ĉ	'			(E./C	,
		BBB	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	g					
		B	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	0	Č A					
			0	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	0						

M s ⁺ s	=		0 0 e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 e ⁵ e ⁶ 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 6 ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 9 9 9 6 6 6 8 5 8 6 0 0 0 0 0 0	e ⁶ e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e ⁵ e ⁶ 0	e ⁶ e ⁵ e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e ⁵ e ⁶ 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{s}^{2} \\ \mathbf{s}^{6} \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{s}^{6} \\ \mathbf{s}^{6}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{s}^{2} \\ \mathbf{s}^{6} \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{s}^{6} \\ \mathbf{s}^{6}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} \\ e^$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} \\ e^$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0$	(⊊.7d)
М	=		0 0 e ⁶ e ⁶	0 e ⁵ 0 0 e ⁶	0 e ⁵ 0 0 e ⁶	e ⁶ 0 e ⁶ e ⁶ 0	e ⁶ 0 e ⁶ e ⁶ 0	0 e ⁶ 0 0									(E.7e)
M _{yy}	=		e e	e e	;												(E.7f)
M _{vv}	=	0	e e ⁶	e ⁶	;				1								(E.7g)
M _{ff}	=		0 0 0 0 0	0 e ⁵ 0 0 0	0 e ⁵ 0 0 0	0 e ⁵ e ⁶ e ⁶ e ⁶ e ⁶	0 e ⁵ e ⁶ e ⁶ e ⁶	e ⁶ e ⁶									(E .7h)
M _f f	=		e ₆	e ₆	:												(E .7i)

E.3 Massmatrices for exotics in Model 1B

Here we show that that all exotics can be made massive. The exotic's mass term s are

$$x_i (M_{xx})_{ij} x_j$$
: (E.8)

In the following, we list the structure of the corresponding mass matrices.

М ,,	=		0	о в	³ e	3	;										(E.9a)
M _{dd}	=	0	0	о е	3 e	3	;				1						(E.9b)
M _{mm}	=		0 e e ⁶ 0 0 0	e 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 e ⁶ 0 0 0 0	e ⁶ 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 e ⁵ e ⁵	0 0 0 0 0 6 ⁵ 6 ⁵	0 0 0 8 ⁵ 8 ⁵ 0	0 0 0 e ⁵ e ⁵ 0	- CUUUUUUUUUUUUU						(E .9c)
M _{s*s}	=		0 0 0 e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{e}^{5} \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} \\ \mathbf{e}^{6} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	0 0 0 e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{5} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	e ⁶ e ⁵ e ⁶ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e ⁵ e ⁶ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e ⁶ e ⁵ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	e ⁵ e ⁶ 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{6} \\ e^{2} \\ e^{6} $	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} $	(Ę.9d)
М	=	MAMMAMMA M	e ^o 0 e ³ e ³ 0	0 e ⁴ 0 0 e ³	0 e ⁴ 0 0 e ³	e ³ 0 0 e ⁴ e ⁴ 0	e ³ 0 0 e ⁴ e ⁴ 0	0 e ³ e ³ 0 0 0	;								(E.9e)
M _{yy}	=		e e ⁶	e ⁶	· ;												(E.9f)
M _{vv}	=		e e ⁶	e e	;												(E.9g)

F Details of M odel 2

The model is de ned by the shift and W ilson lines $\left[40\right]$

V	=	$\frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{2}$;	$\frac{1}{2}$; C	;0;	0;0;0	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{6};$	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2}$;		(F . 1a)
W 2	=	$\frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}$;	$\frac{1}{4};$	$\frac{1}{4}$;	$\frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4};$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1;	1;	$\frac{5}{2};$	$\frac{3}{2};$	$\frac{1}{2}$;	$\frac{5}{2}; \frac{3}{2};$	$\frac{3}{2}$;	(F.1b)
W ₃	=	$\frac{1}{2}$;	$\frac{1}{2};$	$\frac{1}{6};\frac{1}{6}$; $\frac{1}{6}$;	$\frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{10}{3}$;0;	6 ;	$\frac{7}{3};$	$\frac{4}{3}$;	5;	3;3 :			(F.1c)

W e use the U (1) generators

t ₁	=	$t_{Y} = 0;0;0;\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; (0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0); 0;0);$	(F.2a)
t ₂	=	(1;0;0;0;0;0;0) (0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0);	(F.2b)
t3	=	(0;1;0;0;0;0;0)(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0);	(F.2c)
t4	=	(0;0;1;0;0;0;0)(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0);	(F.2d)
t5	=	(0;0;0;1;1;1;1;1)(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0);	(F.2e)
t ₆	=	(0;0;0;0;0;0;0) $(1;0;0;0;0;0;0;0)$;	(F.2f)
t7	=	(0;0;0;0;0;0;0)(0;1;0;0;0;0;0));	(F.2g)
t ₈	=	(0;0;0;0;0;0;0)(0;0;0;1;1;0;0;0):	(F.2h)

The anom alous U (1) generator can be expressed through a linear combination of all U (1) generators,

$$t_{anom} = C_i t_i; \text{ where } c_i = 0; \frac{7}{3}; 1; \frac{5}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}: (F.3)$$

The sum of anom alous charges is

$$trt_{anom} = \frac{416}{3} > 0:$$
 (F.4)

F.1 Spectrum

Table 7: The spectrum of model 2 in terms of left-chiral states. The U(1) charges refer to the basis of generators (F.2). R $_{\rm i}$ denote R-charges. Note, the symbol * means the state is not localized in the corresponding directions.

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R ₁ I	R ₂ R ₃	irrep	\mathbf{q}_{Y}	q_2	q_3	q ₄	q ₅	q ₆	q ₇	q ₈	q _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
q_3	0				() 1	0	0 (3;2;1;1	$)\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3
1	0				() 1	0	<pre>0 (1;1;1;1;1)</pre>) ()	1	1 0	0	() () () :	$2 \frac{4}{3}$
2	0				() 1	0	0 (1;1;1;1;1) ($\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 2	0	0	0	2	<u>2</u> 3
f_1	0				(1	0	0 1;1; 8 ;1	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>4</u> 3
e3	0				(0	1	<pre>0 (1;1;1;1;1)</pre>) 1	- <u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	<u>8</u> 3
u ₃	0				(0	1	0 3;1;1;1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>8</u> 3
s_{3}^{0}	0				(0	1	0 (1;1;1;1;1) ($\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 2	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3
1	0				(0	0	1 (1;2;1;1) $\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
1	0				(0	0	1 (1;2;1;1	$)\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
e ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	$\frac{7}{9}$
1 2	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>3</u> 2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
u ₂	1	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	2 3	<u>1</u> 6	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>7</u> 9
d ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	1 3	1 6	0	0	3 2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 9
q ₂	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(3;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 6	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{7}{9}$
n ₁	1	0	0	0	0	1 6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 6	0	0	<u>5</u> 2	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	<u>13</u> 9
s_5^0	1	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	<u>17</u> 9
n ₂	1	0	0	0	0		$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	1	$\frac{7}{9}$
n ₁	1	0	0	0	0	5	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	3	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	3	0	1	<u>19</u> 9
s ₈ 0	1	0	0	0	0	5 6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	3	2	1 2	0	0	1 3	0	0	<u>13</u> 9
s_9^0	1	0	0	0	0	<u>11</u> 6 11	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	1 3 1	1	1 2	0	0	1 1	0	0	9
n ₃	1	0	0	0	0	6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	2	2	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	1	<u>9</u> 7
e ₁	1	0	0	1	0	1 1	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	1	1 1	0	0	2 3	0	1 <u>1</u>	0	1	9 1
1	1	0	0	1	0	6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	2	1 1	0	0	2	0	3 1	0	1	9 7
u ₁	1	0	0	1	0	6	3 2	3;1;1;1	3	6 1	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	9
d ₁		0	0	1	0	6	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	3	1 1	0	0	2 1	0	3	0	1 1	9 7
q1		U	U			6 1	<u>-</u>	(3;2;⊥;⊥)	6	6 1	U	U	2	U	3	0	3	9 13
n ₄		U	U			6 1	$\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 1	(⊥;⊥;⊥;⊥)	0	1 1	U 1	U 1	2	U	3 1	0	Ţ	9 17
s ₁₂		U				6 1	3 2 2 1	(⊥;⊥;⊥;⊥)	0	3 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 1	0	U	3 1	0	U	9 7
n ₅		U				6 5	3 2 1 1	$(\perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j} \perp \boldsymbol{j}$	0	3	2	2	0	U	3 1	0	1	9 19
¹¹ 2		0	0	1	0	6 5	3 2 1 1	$\left \begin{pmatrix} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $	0	3 2	2	2	0	0	3 1	0	L L	9 13
S_{15}		0		1	0	6 11	3 2 1 1	$\left \begin{pmatrix} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \perp \mathbf{j} \\ (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \end{pmatrix} \right $	0	3 1	2	2 1	0	0	3 1	0	0	9 17
5 ₁₆		0	0	⊥ 1	0	6 11	3 2 1 1	$(1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1)$	0	3 1	2 1	2 1	0	0	3 1	0	1	9 7
116	1	U	U		0	6	3 2	_/ _/ _/_/	0	3	2	2	0	0	3		 nntin	<u>9</u> 1121

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R ₁	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q_2	q_3	q_4	q_5	q 6	q 7	q_8	¶_B ⊥	q _{anom}
s ₁	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	2	$\frac{7}{9}$
s ₂	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s_1^+	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 6	0	1	<u>5</u> 9
m ₁	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	1	<u>13</u> 9
v_1	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>19</u> 9
s_2^+	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₃	1	0	1	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
s_3^+	1	0	1	0	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₄	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	2	<u>7</u> 9
s_5	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
s_4^+	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 6	0	1	<u>5</u> 9
m ₂	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	1	$\frac{13}{9}$
v_2	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{19}{9}$
s_5^+	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₆	1	0	1	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₆ +	1	0	1	1	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	<u>5</u> 9
n ₃	1	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{13}{9}$
n4	1	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
h_1	1	1	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	23	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>13</u> 9
n ₅	1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>13</u> 9
n ₆	1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	1 3	<u>2</u> 3	2 3	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
h ₂	1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	2 3	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>13</u> 9
\mathbf{x}_1	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{9}$
x_1^+	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1	<u>11</u> 9
У1	1	1	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;2)	0	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>13</u> 9
x ₂	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1	<u>1</u> 9
x ₂ ⁺	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{11}{9}$
У2	1	1	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;2)	0	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
W ₁	1	2	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;8;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{7}{9}$
s ₂₂	1	2	0	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
n ₇	1	2	0	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{13}{9}$
h3	1	2	0	0	0	5 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
W 2	1	2	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;8;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{7}{9}$
s ₂₄	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
n ₈	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	2 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>13</u> 9
h4	1	2	0	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{13}{9}$
s ₇	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	1	$\frac{11}{9}$
s ₇ +	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>25</u> 9
																	C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	$q_{\rm Y}$	q_2	q_3	q_4	q ₅	q ₆	q ₇	q_8	¶∃ L	q _{anom}
s ₈ +	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	2	$\frac{11}{9}$
m ₃	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{7}{9}$
v_1	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{7}{9}$
s ₈	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{9}$
s_9^+	1	2	1	0	0	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{17}{12}$	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₉	1	2	1	0	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	16	$\frac{1}{6}$	23	0	$\frac{1}{9}$
s ₁₀	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>4</u> 3	1	$\frac{11}{9}$
s ₁₀	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>25</u> 9
s ₁₁	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	2	$\frac{11}{9}$
m ₄	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;2;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{12}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>7</u> 9
v_2	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	1 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	2 3	<u>1</u> 3	7 9
s_{11}	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{9}$
s ₁₂	1	2	1	1	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{17}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>5</u> 9
s ₁₂	1	2	1	1	0	$\frac{11}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{9}$
2	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	1	0	2 3	0	0	<u>8</u> 9
1	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{14}{9}$
s ₂₆	2	0			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	1	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	<u>26</u> 9
n ₉	2	0			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	0	0	0	2 3	0	1	<u>20</u> 9
s ₂₈	2	0			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	1	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	<u>26</u> 9
n ₁₀	2	0			1	1 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	1	<u>20</u> 9
h5	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	<u>22</u> 9
1	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	$\frac{10}{9}$
f ₃	2	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	23	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16}{9}$
d ₃	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{14}{9}$
d_4	2	1			1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	3;1;1;1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{14}{9}$
' 1	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 6	1 2	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 6	1 3	1 3	2	1	<u>2</u> 9
n ₁₁	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 2	5	5 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>20</u> 9
h7	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	1 3	0	$\frac{4}{9}$
h ₈	2	1			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	1 2	6	5 6	3	$\frac{1}{3}$	1 3	0	$\frac{16}{9}$
n ₁₂	2	1			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	1 2	56	56	1 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	3	1	<u>20</u> 9
h9	2	1			1	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	1 2	1 6	56	3	3	1 3	0	4 9
h ₁₀	2	1			1	1 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>1</u> 6	2	16	5 6	3	1 3	3	0	<u>16</u> 9
s ₃₂	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	5 6	1 2	<u>1</u> 6	56	1 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	3	0	<u>26</u> 9
W3	2	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 6	56	<u>1</u> 6	6	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>10</u> 9
3	2	2			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	1 3	23	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	2 3	0	<u>8</u> 9
2	2	2			0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	3 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1	3	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 2	1 6	<u>1</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	3	3	4 9
4	2	2			1	1 3	23	0	(1;2;1;1)	2	3	0	3	23	3	1 3	3	0	4 9
3	2	2			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	3 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	3	<u>4</u> 9
																	C	pontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n ₂	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	\mathbf{q}_{Y}	q_2	q_3	q ₄	q_5	q ₆	q ₇	q_8	Q _{B L}	q _{anom}
n ₇	2	2			0	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{14}{9}$
n ₈	2	2			1	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{14}{9}$
s_{35}^{0}	2	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	5 3	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{14}{9}$
1	2	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
h ₁₂	2	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>4</u> 9
n ₉	3		0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	0	1	1
n ₁₃	3		0	0	1 3	1 2	0	1 2	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	0	1	1
h ₁₃	3		0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	1
h ₁₄	3		0	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	1
n ₁₀	3		0	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	0	1	1
n ₁₄	3		0	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	0	1	1
h ₁₅	3		0	1	1 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	1
h ₁₆	3		0	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;2)	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	1
s ₁₃	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1
s ₁₃	3		1	0	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1
v_3	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	3;1;1;1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1
v_3	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1
s_{14}	3		1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	1 2	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s_{14}^{+}	3		1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s_{15}	3		1	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	<u>1</u> 2	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s_{15}^{+}	3		1	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	1 2	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 2	1 2	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₆	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₆	3		1	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₇	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1
s ₁₇	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1
v_4	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	3;1;1;1	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	1 2	1 2	0	<u>2</u> 3	1
v_4	3		1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	1
s ₁₈	3		1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	<u>1</u> 2	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₈	3		1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₉	3		1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₁₉	3		1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₂₀	3		1	1	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>3</u> 4	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
s ₂₀	3		1	1	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 2	(1;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 2	0	1	<u>5</u> 3
2	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	1 2	1 3	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	8
1	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(3;1;1;1)	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{14}{9}$
3	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	<u>8</u> 9
2	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	1	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{14}{9}$
																	C	ontin	ued

	k	n ₃	n_2	n_2^0	q	R_1	R_2	R ₃	irrep	qy	q_2	q_3	q ₄	q_5	q ₆	q ₇	q 8	q _{₿ L}	q _{anom}
2	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	1	$\frac{10}{9}$
h ₁₈	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	<u>22</u> 9
f_1	4	0			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16}{9}$
3	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	$\frac{10}{9}$
h ₂₀	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	1	0	<u>22</u> 9
f_2	4	0			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16}{9}$
n ₁₁	4	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	1	<u>20</u> 9
s ₄₁	4	0			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	1	0	0	<u>2</u> 3	0	0	<u>26</u> 9
′ 3	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>2</u> 9
4	4	1			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>2</u> 9
d_1	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(3;1;1;1)	1 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	1 3	1 3	2 3	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{14}{9}$
s ₄₂	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>26</u> 9
W 4	4	1			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{10}{9}$
s_{43}^{0}	4	1			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	1 2	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	1 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	<u>26</u> 9
W 5	4	1			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;8;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{10}{9}$
n ₁₂	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 2	<u>5</u> 6	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	<u>20</u> 9
h ₂₁	4	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{16}{9}$
h ₂₂	4	1			<u>1</u> 2	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{4}{9}$
4	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;2;1;1)	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{4}{9}$
3	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(3;1;1;1)	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{4}{9}$
s_{45}^{0}	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{14}{9}$
h ₂₃	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1 2	<u>1</u> 6	56	1 3	<u>2</u> 3	1 3	0	$\frac{4}{9}$
2	4	2			0	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
s_{46}^{0}	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>1</u> 3	0	<u>2</u> 3	<u>5</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	<u>2</u> 3	0	$\frac{14}{9}$
h ₂₅	4	2			1	2 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	56	1 3	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	$\frac{4}{9}$
3	4	2			1	<u>2</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	(1;1;1;2)	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{4}{9}$
n ₁₅	4	2			$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3	0	(1;1;1;1)	0	<u>5</u> 6	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	<u>5</u> 6	<u>1</u> 3	$\frac{1}{3}$	<u>2</u> 3	1	$\frac{14}{9}$

F.2 Massmatrices

Here we show that that all exotics can be made massive. The exotic's mass terms are

$$x_i (M_{xx})_{ij} x_j$$
: (F.5)

In the following, we list the structure of the corresponding mass matrices.

 $M_{,,} = e^2 e^2 e^3 e^3 ;$ (F.6a)

$$M_{dd} = e^{6} e^{6} e^{3} e^{3} ;$$
 (F.6b)

$$M_{mm} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{5} & e^{6} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{6} & e^{6} \\ e^{6} & e^{6} & 0 & e^{6} \\ e^{6} & e^{6} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{3} & e^{3} & e^{3} \\ 0 & e^{3} & e^{3} & e^{3} \\ 0 & e^{3} & e^{3} & e^{3} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{6} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{3} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{6} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{6} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} & e^{6} \\ 0 & e^{5} & e^{5} \\ 0 & e^{5}$$

	0	0	Ω	\cap	0	\cap	Ο	\cap	\cap	\cap	0	\cap	\cap	0	6 6	6 6	6 6	\cap	6 6	6 6	6 6	1		
	B	0 0 ⁶	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 06	0 06	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	Ç		
	BB	B	0	a	a 6	0	a	0	0	0	0	6	a	a a	0	0	0	а а	0	0	0	ğ		
	BB	e °	0	0	e °	0	0	0	0	0	0	e,	0	e°	0	0	0	e °	0	0	0	č		
	BBB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ₆	e 6	e ₆	0	e	e 6	eº	č		
	BB	\mathbf{e}_{e}	0	e	e	0	e	0	0	e 6	0	0	0	e	0	0	0	e ⁶	0	0	0	Ĕ		
	BBB	e	0	0	e	0	0	0	e 6	0	0	0	0	e	0	0	0	e ₆	0	0	0	Ê		
		0	6	0	0	e ⁵	0	8	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e 6	e 6	e ⁵	0	e	e	e ⁵	g		
	BBB	e ⁵	0	e	e^5	0	e ₆	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Č		
	Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц	e	0	e 6	e ₆	0	e	0	e ⁶	0	0	0	0	e ₆	0	0	0	e 6	0	0	0	Ĉ		
	BB	0	e ⁵	0	0	8	0	e ⁵	0	0	6	0	0	0	e ⁶	e ⁶	e ⁵	0	e	e ⁶	e ⁵	Č		
=	BBB	e ⁵	0	e	e ⁵	0	e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	:	(Ĕ .6J)
	BB	e	0	e	e 6	0	e 6	0	0	0	0	e	0	e	0	0	0	e 6	0	0	0	č		
	BBB	0	0	e	0	0	e 6	0	e 6	0	0	e	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	Ê		
	BBB	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	Ê		
	BBp	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	ğ		
		0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁶	0	0	e ⁶	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	g		
	BB	0	0	e6	0	0	e ⁶	0	e ⁶	0	0	e ⁶	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	Ĉ		
	Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц Ц	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	Ĉ		
	₩ B @	0	0	0	0	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	e ⁵	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	č A		
		0	0	0	0	0	0	e ₆	0	0	e ₆	0	0	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵	0	e ⁵	e ⁵	e ⁵			

R eferences

M sts

- [1] L.Susskind, (2003), hep-th/0302219.
- [2] A.N.Schellekens, (2006), physics/0604134.
- [3] D. Lust, arX iv:0707.2305 [hep-th].
- [4] M.R.Douglas, JHEP 05 (2003), 046, [hep-th/0303194].
- [5] M.R.Douglas, (2004), hep-th/0405279.
- [6] T.P.T.Dikstra, L.R.Huiszoon, and A.N.Schellekens, Nucl. Phys. B 710 (2005), 3{57, [hep-th/0411129].
- [7] P. Anastasopoulos, T. P. T. Dijkstra, E. Kiritsis, and A. N. Schellekens, (2006), hep-th/0605226.
- [8] F.Gmeiner, R.Blumenhagen, G.Honecker, D.Lust, and T.Weigand, JHEP 01 (2006), 004, [hep-th/0510170].
- [9] M.R.Douglas and W.Taylor, (2006), hep-th/0606109.

- [10] M.R.Douglas, (2006), hep-th/0602266.
- [11] F.Gmeiner, D.Lust, and M.Stein, JHEP 05 (2007), 018, [hep-th/0703011].
- [12] A.E.Faraggi, C.Kounnas, S.E.M. Nooij, and J.Rizos, Nucl. Phys. B 695 (2004), 41{72, [hep-th/0403058].
- [13] K.R.Dienes, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006), 106010, [hep-th/0602286].
- [14] A. E. Faraggi, C. Kounnas, and J. Rizos, Phys. Lett. B 648 (2007), 84(89, [hep-th/0606144].
- [15] K.R.Dienes and M.Lennek, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007), 026008, [hep-th/0610319].
- [16] K.R.Dienes, M.Lennek, D.Senechal, and V.Wasnik, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007), 126005, [arXiv:0704.1320 [hep-th]].
- [17] C. Coriano, A. E. Faraggi and M. Guzzi, arX iv:0704.1256 [hep-ph].
- [18] J.G iedt, Ann. Phys. 289 (2001), 251, [hep-th/0009104].
- [19] J. Giedt, G. L. Kane, P. Langacker, and B. D. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005), 115013, [hep-th/0502032].
- [20] L.E. Ibanez and D. Lust, Nucl. Phys. B 382 (1992), 305{364, [hep-th/9202046].
- [21] T.Araki, K.-S.Choi, T.Kobayashi, J.Kubo, and H.Ohki, (2007), arX iv:0705.3075 [hep-ph].
- [22] S. Chaudhuri, G. Hockney, and J. D. Lykken, Nucl. Phys. B 469 (1996), 357{386, [hep-th/9510241].
- [23] W .Pokorskiand G.G.Ross, Nucl. Phys. B 551 (1999), 515 [548, [hep-ph/9809537].
- [24] G.B.Cleaver, A.E.Faraggi, and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 455 (1999), 135{146, [hep-ph/9811427].
- [25] G.B.Cleaver, A.E.Faraggi, and D.V.Nanopoulos, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 16 (2001), 425{482, [hep-ph/9904301].
- [26] G.B.Cleaver, A.E.Faraggi, D.V.Nanopoulos, and J.W.Walker, Nucl. Phys. B 593 (2001), 471 [504, [hep-ph/9910230].
- [27] R. Donagi, B. A. Ovrut, T. Pantev, and D. W aldram, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 5 (2002), 93{137, [hep-th/9912208].
- [28] R. Donagi, Y.-H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and R. Reinbacher, Phys. Lett. B 618 (2005), 259{264, [hep-th/0409291].

- [29] R. Donagi, Y. H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and R. Reinbacher, JHEP 06 (2005), 070, [hep-th/0411156].
- [30] V.Bouchard and R.Donagi, Phys.Lett. B 633 (2006), 783 [791, [hep-th/0512149].
- [31] V. Braun, Y.H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and T. Pantev, JHEP 05 (2006), 043, [hep-th/0512177].
- [32] R.Blum enhagen, S.M oster, and T.W eigand, Nucl. Phys. B 751 (2006), 186{221, [hep-th/0603015].
- [33] V. Bouchard, M. Cvetic, and R. Donagi, Nucl. Phys. B 745 (2006), 62{83, [hep-th/0602096].
- [34] J.E.K im and B.K yae, (2006), hep-th/0608085.
- [35] I.-W. Kim, J. E. Kim, and B. Kyae, Phys. Lett. B 647 (2007), 275{281, [hep-ph/0612365].
- [36] R. Blum enhagen, S. Moster, R. Reinbacher, and T. Weigand, JHEP 05 (2007), 041, [hep-th/0612039].
- [37] J.E.Kim, J.H.Kim, and B.Kyae, (2007), hep-ph/0702278.
- [38] G.B.Cleaver, (2007), hep-ph/0703027.
- [39] C.Munoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22, 989 (2007) [arX iv:0704.0987 [hep-ph]].
- [40] O. Lebedev, H. P. Nilles, S. Raby, S. Ram os-Sanchez, M. Ratz, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, and A. W ingerter, Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007), 88, [hep-th/0611095].
- [41] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec, and R. Rohm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985), 502{505.
- [42] D.J.Gross, J.A. Harvey, E.J.Martinec, and R.Rohm, Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985), 253.
- [43] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 261 (1985), 678{686.
- [44] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986), 285{314.
- [45] L.E. Ibanez, H.P.N illes, and F.Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B 187 (1987), 25{32.
- [46] L.E. Ibanez, H.P.N illes, and F.Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B 192 (1987), 332.
- [47] L.E. Ibanez, J.E.Kim, H.P.Nilles, and F.Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B 191 (1987), 282{286.

- [48] J.A.Casas and C.Munoz, Phys. Lett. B 214 (1988), 63.
- [49] J.A.Casas, E.K.Katehou, and C.Munoz, Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989), 171.
- [50] T. Kobayashi, S. Raby, and R.-J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 593 (2004), 262{270, [hep-ph/0403065].
- [51] S.Forste, H.P.Nilles, P.K.S.Vaudrevange, and A.W ingerter, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004), 106008, [hep-th/0406208].
- [52] T. Kobayashi, S. Raby, and R.-J. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B 704 (2005), 3{55, [hep-ph/0409098].
- [53] W .Buchmuller, K.Hamaguchi, O.Lebedev, and M.Ratz, (2006), hep-th/0606187, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [54] W. Buchmuller, K. Ham aguchi, O. Lebedev, and M. Ratz, Nucl. Phys. B 712 (2005), 139{156, [hep-ph/0412318].
- [55] W. Buchmuller, K. Hamaguchi, O. Lebedev, and M. Ratz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006), 121602, [hep-ph/0511035].
- [56] W .Buchmuller, K.Hamaguchi, O.Lebedev, and M.Ratz, (2005), hep-ph/0512326.
- [57] W. Buchmuller, C. Ludeling, and J. Schmidt, (2007), arX iv:0707.1651 [hep-ph].
- [58] J.C. Patiand A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974), 275{289.
- [59] H.Georgiand S.L.G lashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974), 438{441.
- [60] H.Fritzsch and P.M inkowski, Ann. Phys. 93 (1975), 193{266.
- [61] H.Georgi, in: Particles and Fields 1974, ed.C.E.Carlson (AIP, NY, 1975) p. 575.
- [62] S.R aby and A.W ingerter, (2007), arX iv:0706.0217 [hep-th].
- [63] S.D im opoulos, S.R aby, and F.W ilczek, Phys. Lett. B 112 (1982), 133.
- [64] M.K.Gaillard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005), 141601, [hep-th/0412079].
- [65] W .Buchmuller, K.Hamaguchi, O.Lebedev, S.Ramos-Sanchez, and M.Ratz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007), 021601, [hep-ph/0703078].
- [66] Y.Katsukiet al., DPKU-8904.
- [67] O. Lebedev, H. P. Nilles, S. Raby, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, and A. Wingerter, Minilandscape tables, 2006, www.th.physik.uni-bonn.de/nilles/Z6IIorbifold/.

- [68] J.A.Casas, F.Gomez, and C.Munoz, Int. J.Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993), 455{506, [hep-th/9110060].
- [69] T.Kobayashiand C.S.Lin, Phys.Lett. B 343 (1995), 122 {127, [hep-th/9410023].
- [70] D.Lust, S.Re ert, E.Scheidegger and S.Stieberger, arX iv hep-th/0609014.
- [71] G.Honecker and M. Trapletti, JHEP 01 (2007), 051, [hep-th/0612030].
- [72] S.G.Nibbelink, M. Trapletti, and M. Walter, (2007), hep-th/0701227.
- [73] S.G. Nibbelink, T.-W. Ha, and M. Trapletti, (2007), arX iv:0707.1597 [hep-th].
- [74] S.W einberg, Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982), 287.
- [75] N. Sakai and T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. B 197 (1982), 533.
- [76] I. Hinchli e and T. Kaeding, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993), 279{284.
- [77] R. Denm sek, A. Ma, and S. Raby, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001), 035001, [hep-ph/0007213].
- [78] A. Hebecker and M. Trapletti, Nucl. Phys. B 713 (2005), 173{203, [hep-th/0411131].
- [79] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 471, 135 (1996) [arX iv hep-th/9602070].
- [80] L.J.D ixon, V.K aplunovsky, and J.Louis, Nucl. Phys. B 355 (1991), 649 (688.
- [81] P.M ayr and S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B 407 (1993), 725 [748, [hep-th/9303017].
- [82] S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B 541 (1999), 109{144, [hep-th/9807124].
- [83] B.A.Ovrut and J.W ess, Phys.Rev.D 25 (1982), 409.
- [84] M.-T. Eisele, (2007), arX iv:0706.0200 [hep-ph].
- [85] J.R. Ellis and O. Lebedev, (2007), arX iv:0707.3419 [hep-ph].
- [86] S.Ferrara, L.G irardello, and H.P.N illes, Phys. Lett. B 125 (1983), 457.
- [87] H.P.Nilles, Phys. Lett. B 115 (1982), 193.
- [88] J.P.Derendinger, L.E.Ibanez, and H.P.Nilles, Phys. Lett. B 155 (1985), 65.
- [89] M. Dine, R. Rohm, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 156 (1985), 55.
- [90] P. Binetruy, M. K. Gaillard, and Y.-Y. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 481 (1996), 109{128, [hep-th/9605170].
- [91] J.A.Casas, Phys.Lett.B 384 (1996), 103{110, [hep-th/9605180].

- [92] M.K.Gaillard and B.D.Nelson, (2007), hep-th/0703227.
- [93] T.Kobayashi, H.P.Nilles, F.Ploger, S.Raby, and M.Ratz, Nucl. Phys. B 768 (2007), 135{156, [hep-ph/0611020].
- [94] P. Mayr, H. P. Nilles, and S. Stieberger, Phys. Lett. B 317 (1993), 53{59, [hep-th/9307171].
- [95] O.Lebedev et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007), 181602, [hep-th/0611203].
- [96] F.P loger, S.R am os-Sanchez, M.R atz, and P.K.S.Vaudrevange, JHEP 04 (2007), 063, [hep-th/0702176].
- [97] L. J. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 282 (1987), 13{73.
- [98] S.Ham idiand C.Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 279 (1987), 465.
- [99] J. Erler, D. Jungnickel, M. Spalinski, and S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993), 379{416, [hep-th/9207049].
- [100] T.Kobayashiand N.Ohtsubo, Phys. Lett. B 245 (1990), 441 {446.
- [101] T.Kobayashi and N.Ohtsubo, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 9 (1994), 87{126.
- [102] F. Buccella, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, and C. A. Savoy, Phys. Lett. B 115 (1982), 375.
- [103] R.Gatto and G.Sartori, Commun.Math.Phys.109 (1987), 327.
- [104] A.Font, L.E.Ibanez, H.P.N illes, and F.Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988), 109, Erratum ibid. B 310.
- [105] M.Dine, N.Seiberg, and E.Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 289 (1987), 589.
- [106] G.C kaver, M. Cvetic, J.R. Espinosa, L.L. Everett, and P. Langacker, Nucl. Phys. B 525 (1998), 3{26, [hep-th/9711178].
- [107] G.M. Greuel, G. P ster, and H. Schonemann, (2005), http://www.singular.uni-kl.de.