Black Holes and Large Order Quantum Geometry

M in-xin Huang^a, A lbrecht K lem m^{a,b}, M arcos M arino^c and A lireza Tavanfar^{c,d}

^aD epartm ent of P hysics and ^bD epartm ent of M athem atics, University of W isconsin, M adison, W I 53706, USA

> ^cD epartm ent of Physics, CERN G eneva 23, CH-1211 Switzerland

^dInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and M athematics (IPM) P.O.Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran

A bstract

We study ve-dimensional black holes obtained by compactifying M theory on Calabi Yau threefolds. Recent progress in solving topological string theory on compact, oneparameter models allows us to test numerically various conjectures about these black holes. We give convincing evidence that a microscopic description based on G opakum ar{ Vafa invariants accounts correctly for their macroscopic entropy, and we check that highly nontrivial cancellations {which seem necessary to resolve the so-called entropy enigm a in the O SV conjecture{ do in fact occur. We also study analytically small 5d black holes obtained by wrapping M 2 branes in the ber of K 3 brations. By using heterotic/type II duality we obtain exact form ulae for the microscopic degeneracies in various geom etries, and we compute their asymptotic expansion for large charges.

April 2007

minxin@physics.wisc.edu, aklemm@physics.wisc.edu, marcos@mail.cern.ch, alireza.tavanfar@cern.ch

C ontents

1	Introduction	1					
2	M icroscopic and m acroscopic counting for 5d black holes 2.1 M acroscopic description	4 4 6					
3	<pre>0 ne{param eter m odels 3.1 Topological strings on one{param eter m odels</pre>	8 8 9 15					
4	A sym ptotics of the D onald son { T hom as invariants	17					
5	 K 3 brations 5.1 Topological strings on K 3 brations	22 22 25 31					
6	Conclusions	34					
A	G eneral features of the instanton expansion 34						

1 Introduction

String theory can provide in many situations a precise m icroscopic description of supersymmetric black holes which reproduces for large charges the Bekenstein (Hawking entropy [39]. Degeneracies of m icrostates that are highly protected by supersymmetry are often counted by m athem atically well understood quasitopological quantities related to the compactication manifold. For example, the computation of m icrostates of the D1{D5 system is encoded in the elliptic genus of a symmetric product of a hyperK ahler manifold (see [14,11] for a review of these computations).

A very challenging class of black holes in string theory is obtained by compactifying M theory on a Calabi{Yau manifold X with generic SU (3) holonomy. These are ve dimensional black holes, which are characterized by a membrane charge Q 2 H₂(X;Z) and an angular momentum m. It was proposed in [28] that the microscopic entropy of these black holes is accounted for by BPS states associated to M 2 branes wrapping the cycle Q and with left spin m = $2j_L$ in ve dimensions. A coording to the proposal of [28] their degeneracies are encoded in the ve dimensional supersymmetric index

$$I(;) = Tr(1)^{j_{L}+2j_{R}} \exp(j H):$$
(1.1)

The inform ation captured by this index can be extracted from the all-genus expansion of the holom orphic free energy of the topological string, computed at the large radius point of X [21],

$$\lim_{t! \ 1} F(t;t;g_s) = \int_{q=0}^{X^1} g_s^{2g-2} F_g(t):$$
(1.2)

In this identi cation the BPS degeneracies are mapped to the G rom ov {W itten invariants of genus g holom orphic maps. Since the topological string could not be solved on compact Calabi-Y au threefolds at higher genus, progress in understanding the microscopic degeneracies in the general case was very limited. On the boundary of the Kahler cone the problem might reduce e ectively to a counting problem on a two complex dimensional surface, which is mathematically simpler, but the situation is also physically more degenerate. When the compact cation manifold is $X = X_2$ T^2 , where $X_2 = T^4$ or K3, one obtains the versional black hole solutions constructed in [5], and the microscopic degeneracies are encoded in the elliptic genus of symmetric products of X_2 .

In this paper we study the m icroscopic counting proposed in [28] in two di erent situations, by using num erical and analytic m ethods. First of all, we consider 5d black holes obtained by com pactifying M {theory on the one{param eter Calabi{Yau spaces studied in [26]. This explores a generic direction in the Kahler cone and allows to describe generic 5d black holes, which have non-vanishing classical horizon area and can carry spin. In [26] signi cant progress was made in solving the topological string on com pact Calabi-Yau threefolds. By com bining the holom orphic anom aly of [4] with m odularity properties of the topological string partition function $Z = \exp(F)$, e ective action argum ents, and C astelnouvo theory, it was possible to calculate the topological string free energy up to genus 53.

In order to make contact with black hole physics on the (super)gravity side, one has to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the microscopic degeneracies for large charge 0 and 0 m. For xed g the expansion of $F_{q}(t)$ around large radius is convergent and under analytic control by mirror symmetry. In contrast, the genus expansion in (1.2) is expected to be asymptotic, as in noncritical string theories [38] (see [33] for a recent discussion of this issue in the context of topological strings). To obtain a large Q expansion for the degeneracies of the (Q;m) states one needs information at genus Q^2 and is hence facing the issues of the behavior of string theory at large genus. a A lthough we don't have enough control of the degeneracies to obtain analytical results on the large charge expansion, the situation is suited to a num erical study by using the method of Richardson transform s¹. This method merely relies on the know ledge of the form of the series and makes it possible to extract its coe cients from the value of the degeneracies at nitely many points. The analysis is complicated by the fact that the large charge expansion of the degeneracies is an asymptotic expansion, but we nd that the R ichardson transform s converge rapidly to the expected m acroscopic values

¹For sub-sub leading term s we use the Pade approxim ation.

for the asymptotic coe cients. To estimate its accuracy we sample over the thirteen Calabi-Yau, which have a su cently wide variety of topological data. Using this sample we can conclude that, given our present data of the higher genus instanton expansion, the leading coe cient of the asymptotic expansion is correct within 2% and the rst subleading one within 12%. With this information at hand, we give convincing evidence that the topological string accounts correctly for the entropy of 5d spinning black holes, as conjectured in $[28]^2$.

Some aspects of the genus expansion (1.2) are much better understood in terms of D-brane invariants like G opakum ar{Vafa or D onableon{T hom as invariants, rather than G rom ov{W itten invariants. In particular, for a given charge Q 2 H₂(X;Z) and Q \notin 0 one gets the complete genus information from a nite number of GV invariants. We use the results for F_g in [26] to obtain precise information on the D onableon{T hom as invariants of the one-parameter m odels. This allows us to study numerically the scaling exponent k considered in [12] (and de ned below in (4.7) and (4.8)), which governs the grow th of the D onableon{T hom as invariants under rescalings of the charges. O ur numerical study indicates that k = 2. A s argued in [12], this value indicates that highly nontrivial cancellations occur between the contributions to the D onableon{T hom as invariants, which in turn seem necessary to resolve the so called entropy enigm a [12] in the O SV conjecture [37].

The second class of black holes we study has a di erent avor. These are 5d black holes which are obtained when the Calabi $\{$ Yau is a K3 bration and the charge Q is restricted to the K3 ber. Their classical horizon area is zero (i.e. they are small black holes) and have no spin. By using heterotic/type II duality one can obtain analytic form ulae for the F_{α} amplitudes at all g [2, 34, 30, 32, 22], and from them one can extract the exact m icroscopic degeneracies for the corresponding sm all 5d black holes. Of course, as explained for example in [12], the most delicate aspects of 5d spinning black holes, as well as of the 0 SV conjecture, cannot be tested with sm all black holes. This rejects the fact that the G rom ov {W itten theory of K 3 bers (which is closely related to the theory of H ilbert schemes) is much simpler than the G rom ov {W itten theory of generic C alabia Yau manifolds. However, having an exact microscopic counting might be important in understanding som e detailed aspects of the entropy. As in the 4d case considered in [9], the 5d degeneracies are closely related to m odular form s, but one cannot use the R adem acher expansion featured in [15, 9]. We not however an exact asymptotic expansion for the m icroscopic degeneracies in powers of the inverse charge (albeit corrected by term swhich are exponentially suppressed for large charges). The leading term of the asymptotics is in agreem ent with a m acroscopic com putation using the 4d/5d connection of [20] and the 4d attractor equations for a D 6/D 2 system .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we review the macroscopic and microscopic computation of the entropy for 5d spinning black holes. In section 3

²For a recent study of this question by using an approach totally di erent from ours, see [24].

we analyze num erically the asymptotic properties of the degeneracies for the Calabi{Yau manifolds studied in [26]. In section 4 we study the asymptotic properties of D onaldson{ Thom as invariants to address the entropy enigm a of [12]. In section 5 we study small black holes in K3 brations and compute their degeneracies as well as the asymptotic expansion. Finally, in section 6 we list som e conclusions and open problem s.

2 M icroscopic and m acroscopic counting for 5d black holes

2.1 M acroscopic description

Let us start with the macroscopic description of black hole entropy. We will consider 5d black holes obtained by compactifying M theory on a Calabi{Yau threefold X, and characterized by a charge Q 2 H₂(X;Z) and SU(2)_L SO(4) angular momentum m. We will introduce a basis ^A for H₂(X;Z), where A = 1; $_2(X;D)$, as well as a dual basis _A for H²(X). W ith respect to the ^A basis, the charge Q will be given by a set of integers Q_A. The classical entropy of these black holes, denoted as S₀, is one quarter of the horizon area

$$S_0 = 2 \frac{p}{Q^3 m^2};$$
 (2.1)

where Q is the graviphoton charge of the black hole. This charge is related to the m em – brane charge Q by the attractor mechanism in ve dimensions [19], which states that

$$Q^{3=2} = \frac{1}{6} C_{ABC} y^{A} y^{B} y^{C}; \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}C_{ABC}y^{B}y^{C} = Q_{A}; \qquad (2.3)$$

and

$$C_{ABC} = \underset{X}{A^{\circ}}_{B} \stackrel{\circ}{}_{C} \qquad (2.4)$$

are the triple intersection num bers of X. For one-param eterm odels, the mem brane charge will be identied with the degree d of the holom orphic map in topological string computations, and we will denote the single intersection num ber by $C_{ABC} = .$ From the above equations it follows that

Ζ

$$Q = \frac{2}{9} d:$$
 (2.5)

There is a correction to the black hole entropy from the R 2 term of the supergravity e ective action, which we denote as S_1 for convenience. The R 2 term correction to the

black hole entropy scales like $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the large charge lim it, and was computed in [23] by using W ald's form ula [41] for the R² in 5d. The result reads,

$$S_1 = \frac{p}{24} \frac{p}{Q^3} \frac{m^2}{m^2} c_2 \qquad Y \frac{3}{Q} + \frac{m^2}{Q^4}$$
 (2.6)

where

$$Y^{A} = \frac{1}{Q^{1=2}} Y^{A}; \qquad (2.7)$$

and

$$c_{2A} = c_2(X)^{A}$$
 (2.8)

For m = 0 this form ula has been rederived in [8,1] by using the full 5d SUGRA action. In the one-parameter case, this correction reads

Ζ

$$S_{1} = \frac{C_{2}}{8} - \frac{6}{3} \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{Q^{3}} \frac{m^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{m^{2}}{3Q^{4}}$$
(2.9)

Besides the corrections that we have considered, there are well known correction terms in the low energy elective action of the form F 2g $^{2}R^{2}$, g 2, where F is the graviphoton eld strength. The leading contribution comes from a classical term, which is the contribution of the constant m ap from a genus g world-sheet to the Calabi-Yau manifold. It is of the form,

where is the Euler number of the Calabi-Yau 3-fold and

$$d_{g} = \frac{(1)^{g} B_{2g}B_{2g-2}j}{4g(2g-2)(2g-2)!}$$
(2.11)

W e denote the correction to black hole entropy due to the F 2g $^{2}R^{2}$ term as S_g. W e can roughly estimate the correction for non-spinning black holes m = 0 as follows.

The graviphoton charge is the integral of its eld strength over the horizon of black hole,

Since the area of the horizon scales like A $Q^{\frac{3}{2}}$, the graviphoton eld strength goes like

F Q
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (2.13)

The R² term contributes a factor Q¹ in W ald's form ula for the black hole entropy, and taking into account also the factor of horizon area A $Q^{\frac{3}{2}}$, we not the scaling behavior of the F^{2g 2}R² term correction to black hole entropy to be

$$S_{g} = Q^{\frac{3}{2} - g}$$
 (2.14)

where we have included the Euler num ber from (2.10). The constant of proportionality in (2.14) is now universal, and independent of speci c C alabi-Y au geometries and the black hole charge. W e will be able to make a rough test of (2.14) for the genus 2 case, which is the sub-sub-leading correction in the large Q limit.

There are other worldsheet instanton corrections to the low energy e ective action that can be computed also by topological strings. However, these terms are exponentially small in large charge Q limit where the supergravity description is valid, and are much suppressed compared to the Q⁻¹ power corrections in (2.14). In this paper we will not need to consider these world-sheet instanton corrections in the macroscopic description of the black hole entropy. Interestingly these world-sheet instanton corrections are closely related to the BPS states that we will count in the microscopic description of the black hole entropy.

2.2 M icroscopic description

M icroscopically, a 5d black hole with m em brane charge Q 2 H₂(X ;Z) is engineered by wrapping M 2 branes around the two{cycle Q. This leads to a supersymmetric spectrum of BPS states in 5d which are labeled by Q and by their spin content (j_L; j_R). As argued in [21], in order to obtain an index one has to trace over j_R (with an insertion of (1^{2j_R}). The resulting spectrum for a mem brane charge Q can be represented as

$$R_{Q} = \prod_{r=0}^{X^{g}} n_{Q}^{r} I_{r+1}$$
(2.15)

where

$$I_{\prime} = 2(0) + \frac{1}{2}$$
 (2.16)

encodes the spin content j_L , and n_Q^r are the G opakum ar{Vafa invariants [21]. Notice that in (2.15), the sum over r is nite and the highest spin g appearing in the sum depends on the mem brane charge Q. In other words, for any given Q there are only nitely many g for which the n_Q^r are nonzero.

W e can now write down a generating function for the supersymmetric degeneracies of BPS states with membrane charge Q, keeping track of their left spin j_ , by computing

X (Q;m) = X
$$tr_{R_Q} (1)^{2j_L} y^{j_L}$$
: (2.17)

U sing the decom position (2.15) one nds

$$(Q;m) = \begin{cases} X & 2r+2 \\ m+r+1 & n_Q^r \end{cases}$$
(2.18)

where $m = 2j_L$. In [28] it was proposed that this quantity gives the m icroscopic degeneracies for a spinning 5d black hole of charge Q and spin J = m. The computation of these degeneracies reduces then to the computation of the G opakum ar{Vafa invariants n_Q^r . The most elective way to determ ine these is by computing the genus r amplitudes F_r of topological string theory on X. As shown in [21], the total free energy

$$F(t;g_s) = \int_{r=0}^{X^1} F_r(t)g_s^{2r-2}$$
(2.19)

can be written in terms of the G opakum ar{Vafa invariants as

$$F(t;g_s) = \sum_{r=0}^{X^l} \sum_{\substack{Q \ 2H_2(X) \ k=1}}^{X^l} n_Q^r \frac{1}{k} - 2\sin\frac{kg_s}{2} = e^{kQ} + (2.20)$$

This means, in particular, that one can obtain the n_Q^r for all Q by knowing F_0 ; r.; F The black hole entropy is given by logarithm of the number of microstates

$$S(Q;m) = log((Q;m)):$$
 (2.21)

This should agree with the macroscopic result in the large charge limit Q 1 and Q m.

As explained in [28], this proposal for the m icroscopic counting of states of 5d black holes can be regarded as a generalization of the elliptic genus, which computes BPS degeneracies of the D1{D5 system. Indeed, if one considers M theory compacti ed on X = K3 T², the generic M 2 brane charge in this compacti cation is

$$Q = [C] + n[T2]; \quad n \ge Z; \qquad (2.22)$$

and C is a curve in K3. By standard dualities this system can be related to type IIB on K3 \vec{S} with D {brane charge [C] and M units of momentum around S¹, which is a close cousin of the D1{D5 system. As it is well known (see for example [14]), the BPS degeneracies of this system can be computed from the elliptic genus of the symmetric product of K3. Let v

$$(K 3;q;y) = \int_{m ;'}^{X} c(m ;')q^{m} y'$$
(2.23)

be the elliptic genus of K 3. The generating function of elliptic genera of the symmetric product $S\,^k K\,3$

$$(S_{p}K \exists;q;y) = \begin{cases} X^{p} \\ (S^{k}K \exists;q;y)p^{k} = \\ k \neq 0 \end{cases} C(k;n;m)p^{k}q^{n}y^{m}$$
(2.24)

can be computed from the coe cients in (2.23) in terms of an in nite product [16]

$$(S_{p}K 3;q;y) = (1 p^{N} q^{M} y') c^{(N M i')}:$$
(2.25)

СҮ		C2		CY	r I	2C !	
X ₅ (1 ⁵)	-200	50	5	X ₆ (1 ⁴ ;2)	-204	42	3
X ₈ (1 ⁴ ;4)	-296	44	2	X ₁₀ (1 ³ ;2;5)	-288	34	1
X _{3;3} (1 ⁶)	-144	54	9	X 4;2(1 ⁶)	-176	56	8
X _{3;2;2} (1 ⁷)	-144	60	12	X _{2;2;2;2} (1 ⁸)	-128	64	16
X _{4;3} (1 ⁵ ;2)	-156	48	6	$X_{4;4}(1^4;2^2)$	-144	40	4
X _{6;2} (1 ⁵ ;3)	-256	52	4	X _{6;4} (1 ³ ;2 ² ;3)	-156	32	2
$X_{6,6}(1^2; 2^2; 3^2)$	-120	32	1				

Table 3.1: The sam ple of 13 one-param eter com plete intersection CYs in weighted projective spaces. A CICY of degree d_1 ;:::; d_k in weighted projective space $P^{1-1}(w_1$;:::; w_1) is denoted X d_1 ;:::; w_1), i.e. weights w with repetition m are abbreviated by w^m . = $_X c_3$ is the Euler number, is the triple intersection number, and c_2 is denoted in (2.8).

The supersymmetric degeneracies of BPS states for this system are then given by the $\cos i$ coecients of the expansion in (2.24),

$$(Q;m) = c \frac{1}{2}C^{2} + 1;n;m;$$
 (2.26)

where Q is of the form (2.22). One can show that, for large charges [17, 14],

log (Q;m) 2
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\frac{n}{2}$ C² m²: (2.27)

It is easy to check that this is precisely the macroscopic entropy (2.1) computed for K3 T^2 . O fcourse, the degeneracies (2.18) are in generalm uch more di cult to compute, since they correspond to black holes with only N = 1 supersymmetry in 5d.

3 One{param eter m odels

3.1 Topological strings on one{param eter m odels

In [26] the topological B model was integrated on thirteen one-parameter Calabi-Yau spaces which can be realized as hypersurfaces or complete intersections in (weighted) projective spaces. We have listed these spaces and some of their topological data in table 3.1. These data are the intersection numbers $C_{ABC} = -$, the second Chern classes c_2 , and the Euler numbers C_{ABC} . They are needed for computations of the macroscopic entropy.

The complex moduli space of these threefolds is $M = P^1 n f1$;1;0g, and the three special points are the large radius degeneration point, a conifold point and a further point either of nite (G epner point) or in nite branching order. The modular group $_X$ 2 SP (4;Z) can hence be generated e.g. by the large radius and the conifold monodrom ies.

The conceptual obstacle in integrating the B-m odel holom orphic anomaly [4] is the holom orphic am biguity which arises in each integration step. Invariance of the topological string am plitudes under $_{\rm X}$ and elective action arguments, which govern the behaviour of the genus g am plitudes at special points, restrict the am biguity to 3g 3 unknowns [8]. By using a rened elective action analysis, which gives rise to the \gap condition" at the conifold, regularity at the orbifold, and C astelnouvo's bound for the G rom ow {W itten invariants at large radius, it is possible to \times the unknow s, and one can calculate the free energy of the topological string to arbitrary degree and up to genus 12 53.

Instead of using the generic solution of holom orphic anomaly equation suggested by the world-sheet degenerations [4] we use the constraints of $_{\rm X}$ on the topological string am plitudes directly when integrating the holom orphic anomaly equations genus by genus [42][22]. This results in an alogarithm, which constructs the genus g am plitudes as weight 3g 3 polynom ials over a ring of three an-holom orphic- and one holom orphic modular objects of weight (1;2;3;1). As a consequence the number of terms in the F_g grows polynom ial with g and not exponentially as in the approach of [4]³.

The approach of [26] views the topological string partition function as a wave function over H 3 (X ;R). Choices of polarization are necessary in order to expand the elective action at dimensional points in the moduli space M , in appropriate localholom orphic coordinates. M ost of the black hole issues that we will discuss involve the degeneracies extracted from the topological string at the large radius limit. Therefore we will discard for now most of the global information and focus only the holom orphic limit of the topological string partition function at this limit, where it encodes the degeneracy of bound states of a single D 6 brane and arbitrary D 2-D 0 branes.

3.2 Static black holes

We rst consider the case of non-spinning black hole J m = 0 and denote $N_d = (d;0)$. The entropy form ula including the rst few orders (2.1), (2.9), (2.14) is in this case

$$S = b_0 d^{\frac{3}{2}} + b_1 d^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{b_2}{d^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 0 \quad \frac{1}{d^{\frac{3}{2}}} ; \qquad (3.1)$$

where the rst two coe cients are

$$b_0 = \frac{4}{3\frac{p}{2}}; \quad b_1 = \frac{p_2}{4\frac{p}{2}};$$
 (3.2)

and we have used the graviphoton charge relation (2.5). The coe cient b_1 of the subleading term is consistent with the results in [40,8,1], where it was observed that the b_1 can be produced by a shift of the 2-brane charge

$$d! d + \frac{c_2}{8}$$
 (3.3)

³N evertheless the lim iting factor in advancing to higher g is presently not the am biguity but the com – puting time. The reason is that the num erators in the coe cents of the polynom ials grow exponentially.

in the leading term .

To compare with the microscopic counting we de ne the following function

$$f(d) = \frac{\log(N_d)}{d^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
 (3.4)

The macroscopic black hole entropy predicts that the large order behavior of f (d) is

$$f(d) = b_0 + \frac{b_1}{d} + \frac{b_2}{d^2} +$$
(3.5)

Since we have available only the values of f(d) for positive integer d up to a nite degree, it is appropriate to use well-known num erical methods to extrapolate the asymptotic value b_0 . From the form of the sub-leading corrections in (3.5), it is appropriate to use the R ichardson extrapolation method (see for example [3]).

The basic idea of this num ericalm ethod is simple. To cancel the sub-leading corrections in (3.5) up to order $1\text{=}d^{\mathbb{N}}$, one de nes

$$A (d; N) = \frac{X^{N}}{k=0} \frac{f (d+k)(d+k)^{N} (1)^{k+N}}{k!(N k)!};$$
(3.6)

O ne can show that if the perturbation series (3.5) truncates at order $1=d^N$, the expression (3.6) will give exactly the asymptotic value b_0 . Ideally, the larger N and d are, the closer A (d;N) is to the asymptotic value. But due to the limitation of our data, the sum d + N m ust not exceed the maximal degree d_{max} of the topological string computations.

Fig. 1 shows the convergence of the leading terms in f(d) and of the Richardson transform s A(d; N), N = 2;3;4 for the quintic and the bi-cubic. It is obvious from the two examples in Fig. 1 that the Richardson m ethod in proves in pressively the convergence of the series, i.e. it provides a model independent and consistent scheme to supress the subleading corrections. U sing N = 2 4 is good enough for our purpose of estimating the asymptotic value. We conduct the analysis for all 13 models using N = 3 and the maximal degree available. The results are summarized in Table 3.2, and are in very good agreem ents with the expected asymptotic values b_0 in (3.2). More detailed results on all the analysis carried out in this paper can be found in a script and in a data base at [43].

W e can further extract the sub-leading coe cient b $_{\rm 1}$ from the data. D e ne

$$f_{1}(d) = (f(d) \ b)d;$$

$$A_{1}(d;N) = \sum_{k=0}^{X^{N}} \frac{f_{1}(d+k)(d+k)^{N}(1)^{k+N}}{k!(N-k)!};$$
(3.7)

and the asymptotic value of $f_1(d)$ should be $b_1 \cdot W$ e apply the same R ichardson extrapolation method to $f_1(d)$ and we compare it with the macroscopic black hole predictions.



Figure 1: M icroscopic data for f(d) (), and the R ichardson transform s A (d;2) (4), A (d;3) (), and A (d;4) (?). The straight line corresponds to the m acroscopic prediction $b_0 = \frac{4}{3^2 2}$. For the quintic this value is b_0 1:359 and for the available degree 14 the R ichardson transform s lie 1:8, 2:1, 1:2% from the m acroscopic prediction. For the bicubic b_0 0:967, the available degree is higher, 18, and the m icroscopic counting is within 9,1:2, :3% from the m acroscopic prediction. As an example we give BPS num bers used for the analysis at degree 18 of the bi-cubic in table (A.1).

Calabi-Yau	$d_{m ax}$	A (d _{m ax} 3;3) $b_{p} = \frac{4}{3^{p} 2}$	error
X ₅ (1 ⁵)	14	1.35306	1.32461	2.15 %
X ₆ (1 ⁴ ;2)	10	1.75559	1.71007	2.66 %
X ₈ (1 ⁴ ;4)	7	2.11454	2.0944	0.96 %
X ₁₀ (1 ³ ;2;5)	5	2,99211	2.96192	1.02 %
X _{3;3} (1 ⁶)	17	1.00204	0.987307	1.49 %
X 4;2(1 ⁶)	15	1.07031	1.0472	2.21 %
X _{3;2;2} (1 ⁷)	10	0.821169	0.855033	-3.96 %
X _{2;2;2;2} (1 ⁸)	13	0.722466	0.74048	-2.43 %
X _{4;3} (1 ⁵ ;2)	11	1.21626	1.2092	0.58 %
X _{6;2} (1 ⁵ ;3)	11	1,52785	1.48096	3.17 %
$X_{4;4}$ (1 ⁴ ;2 ²)	7	1.42401	1.48096	-3.85 %
X _{6;4} (1 ³ ;2 ² ;3)	5	2.06899	2.0944	-1.21 %
$X_{6;6}(1^2;2^2;3^2)$	4	2,95082	2.96192	-0.37 %

Table 3.2: C om paring the extrapolated value of b_0 with the macroscopic prediction.



Figure 2: M icroscopic data for f(d) (), and the R ichardson transform s A(d;4) (4), A(d;5) (), and A(d;6) (?). The straight line corresponds to the m acroscopic prediction $b_1 = \frac{pQ_2}{4^{p-2}}$. For the degree X₆₂ complete intesection this value is b_1 14:44 and for the available degree 12 the R ichardson transform s lie 11:7, 10:4, 9:77 % below the m acroscopic prediction. For the bi-cubic b_1 9:994, the available degree is 18 and the m icroscopic counting is 7:15, 6:88, 6:63 % below the m acroscopic prediction.

Calabi-Yau	$d_{m ax}$	A ₁ (d _{max} 3;3)	$b = \frac{p^{C_2}}{4^{p} 2}$	error	estimated b_2
X ₅ (1 ⁵)	14	11,2668	12.4182	-9.27 %	-11.9503
X ₆ (1 ⁴ ;2)	10	11.9237	13.4668	-11.5 %	-12.1848
X ₈ (1 ⁴ ;4)	7	14.0537	17.2788	-18.7 %	-14.9973
X ₁₀ (1 ³ ;2;5)	5	15.2509	18.8823	-19.2 %	-14.9817
X _{3,3} (1 ⁶)	17	9.29062	9.99649	-7.06 %	-9.63958
X _{4;2} (1 ⁶)	15	10.0226	10.9956	-8.85 %	-10.7834
X _{3;2;2} (1 ⁷)	10	8.45163	9.61912	-12.1 %	-9.3828
X _{2;2;2;2} (1 ⁸)	13	7.84595	8.88577	-11.7 %	-8.88773
X _{4,3} (1 ⁵ ;2)	11	9.5981	10.8828	-11.8 %	-9.96404
X _{6;2} (1 ⁵ ;3)	11	12,5614	14.4394	-13.0 %	-14.2582
$X_{4;4}(1^4;2^2)$	7	9.70091	11.1072	-12.7 %	-9.41295
$X_{6;4}(1^3;2^2;3)$	5	11.1008	12.5664	-11.7 %	-10.0821
$X_{6,6}(1^{1};2^{2};3^{3})$	4	11.1378	12.2179	-8.84 %	-8.15739

Table 3.3: C om paring the extrapolated value with the macroscopic prediction of b_1 .

Two typical examples for the behaviour of the Richardson transforms are plotted in Fig. 2. The results for all models are summarized in Table 3.3.

D espite our rather successful veri cations of the num erical coe cients b_0 and b_1 , we should note that the expansion in inverse powers of the charge (3.5) is actually an asym p-totic series. The asymptotic character of the large charge expansions of m icroscopic degeneracies is manifest in the explicit computations for small black holes in [9] and also in the examples we will discuss in section 5. In our case, we can relate the asymptotic expansion of (3.5) to a large genus behavior in a string series, since the coe cients in (3.5) are proportional to the constant m ap contribution

$$b_{g} \quad d_{g};$$
 (3.8)

where d_q is given in (2.11). This coe cient grows at large g as

$$d_g (2)^{4g} (1)^{9} (2g)!;$$
 (3.9)

which is the typical behavior found in string perturbation theory [38]. It then follows that the series expansion (3.5) for f (d) has zero radius of convergence for any value of d and it is rather an asymptotic expansion. Indeed, the d_g are the coe cients of the asymptotic expansion of the M adM ahon function (see [9], A ppendix E, for a detailed derivation). For these kinds of expansions, the best approximation to their true value (which in this case is the function f (d) computed from topological strings) is obtained by truncating the sum at the order N which minimizes the error. For an asymptotic series of the form

$$f(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{i}} b_{k} w^{k}; \quad b_{k} \quad A^{k}(k)! \quad (3.10)$$

the optim al truncation occurs generically at

N
$$\frac{1}{y_{j}} \frac{A}{y_{j}}^{\perp}$$
: (3.11)

In our case = 2 and we can estimate N as follows. A coording to the connection between 4D/5D black holes [20], the attractor value for the topological string coupling constant is $g_s = 4$ [23]. This should be roughly the num erical constant that relates the graviphoton eld strength to the charge Q in (2.13), and it contributes to the coe cients b_g an extra factor g_s^{2g-2} , so that we can re ne (3.8) to

$$b_{g} (4 j^{g} d_{g};$$
 (3.12)

and the constant in (3.10) is $A = 2^{2}$. Therefore, the optim altruncation is at

N
$$-\frac{1}{2}d^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (3.13)

For the sm all values of d that we are considering we should therefore expect an optimal truncation around N 5 10.

These considerations have in plications for our num erical analysis. The R ichardson m ethod (3.6) is designed in principle for convergent expansions. For asymptotic expansions, we should expect it to give increasing precision and convergence to the true coe – cients as long as the order of the transformation N in (3.6) is lower than the truncation order N. This is the underlying reason that prevents us from improving the precision of the leading coe cients by simply increasing the truncation order N in the R ichardson m ethod, and we indeed nd an oscillating behavior around the expected true value for the R ichardson transforms with N > 5.

We try to go one step further and give a rough estimation of the coe cient b_2 in (3.1), which has not been studied in the literature from the supergravity point of view. It turns out that the naive method we use for computing the sub-leading coe cient b_1 gives too big an estimate, which might be a result that the optimal truncation scheme is no longer a good approximation at this order. In order to improve this, we use the Pade approximation which is well-known for summing divergent series. Given an asymptotic series

$$f(z) = \int_{i=0}^{X^{1}} b_{i} z^{i}; \qquad (3.14)$$

one can evaluate the asymptotic value by de ning the following Pade approximation

$$P_{M}^{N}(z) = \frac{P_{M}^{N}A_{i}z^{i}}{1 + P_{i=1}^{M}B_{i}z^{i}}$$
(3.15)

where the coe cients A $_i$ and B $_i$ are xed by Taylor expanding the above equation (3.15) around z = 0 and m atch to the rst M + N + 1 term s of the original series (3.14).

We take the theoretical values of b_0 and b_1 from (3.2), and use the M onte C arb m ethod to random by generate the sub-leading coe cients b_2 , b_3 etc, then use the Pade approximation to evaluate the asymptotic series (3.14) for $z = \frac{1}{d}$, where d = 1; $z; m_{ax}; d$ W e pick the sub-leading coe cients b_1 (i 2) that m inimize the difference of the Pade evaluation with the expected value f (d) from topological strings, i.e. we m inimize

$$\sum_{d=1}^{M} \left(\frac{P_{M}^{N}(\frac{1}{d})}{f(d)} - 1 \right)^{2}$$
(3.16)

We nd dierent values of N, M in the Pade approximation give qualitatively similar results. In the last column in Table 3.3, we give the estimated values of sub-sub-leading coe cient b_2 using the scheme N = 2; M = 1.

A ssum ing the constant m ap contribution is the most signi cant contribution at this order in Q , the coe cient b $_2$ should behave like

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{6}$$
: (3.17)



Figure 3: The plot of $\frac{1}{6}$ vs. $\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$ for 13 Calabi-Yau models.

We can verify the relation (3.17) by plotting b_2 against the Euler num ber $\frac{1}{6}$ for the 13 Calabi-Yau models we studied. We not as the best toole cient

$$b_2 = 0.047 \frac{1}{6};$$
 (3.18)

see the plot in Figure 3, which is reasonably consistent with the expectation (3.17).

From the second row of the Table 3.8, we can not the numerical values of the genus two constant map contribution $b_2 = 0.00017 q_1^2 = \frac{1}{6}$. Taking into account that $g_s = 0$ (10), this is the same order of magnitude as our estimate value of 0.047 from microscopic topological string computation.

3.3 Spinning black holes

W e can try to extract the spin dependence of the black hole entropy from (2.1). A ssum ing Q J, and expanding in J=Q, we not the following macroscopic prediction for the topological string data,

$$g_{m}$$
 (d) $\frac{d^{\frac{3}{2}}}{m^{2}} \log \frac{(d;0)}{(d;m)} = p_{0} + O \frac{1}{d}$ (3.19)

where

$$p_0 = 3 - \frac{1}{2}$$
: (3.20)

d	g1 (d)	A1(d;1)	g ₂ (d)	A ₂ (d;1)	g₃ (d)	A ₃ (d;1)
1	0.693147	3.22789	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	1,96052	6.85432	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	3.59178	10.9389	9.03347	12.2117	NA	NA
4	5.42856	14.4696	9.82804	13.0403	12.1257	6.55334
5	7,23677	16.4156	10.4705	12.8183	11.0112	10.2148
6	8.76658	16.1819	10.8618	11.6135	10.8785	9.98996
7	9.82591	13.9173	10.9692	9.71239	10.7516	8.81357
8	10.3373	10.4832	10.8121	7.53259	10.5093	7.27017
9	10.3535	7.02869	10.4477	5.51774	10.1494	5.73628
10	10.021	4.41912	9.9547	3.9872	9.70809	4.46946
11	9.51178	2.9195	9.4122	3.04128	9.23185	3.58335
12	8,96242	NA	8.88129	NA	8.76114	NA

Table 3.4: The R ichardson m ethod for the quintic with spin m = 1;2;3.

For a xed value m we use again the Richardson extrapolation method to nd the asymptotic value of g_m (d) for large d. We list the values of g_m (d) and its rst Richardson extrapolation A_m (d;1) for spin m = 1;2;3, using the quintic as an example.

W e note that the contribution to entropy from angular momentum is proportional to d³⁼², as compared to the leading static contribution (3.1) of order d³⁼². A lthough the prediction (3.19) should be the leading spinning contribution, there could be some small statistical uctuation of topological string data which is random for the di erent spins, and which m ight become comparable to the spinning contribution in (3.19) and result in the deviation for large degree d. This can be seen in the quintic example in Table 3.4. W e nd that the R ichardson series does not converge to an asym ptotic value, instead the series approach a maxim al value before deviating again for large degree d. In order to m inim ize the e ect of statistical uctuation of topological string data, we propose to use the extrem al values in the R ichardson series A_m (d;1) to estimate the asym ptotic value of p_0 . This is indeed a relatively good estimate for the quintic case where $p_0 = 14.9019$. O ther C alabi-Y au manifolds are analyzed in [43].

We analyze the 13 Calabi-Yau models using the above approach. Let us de ne the extrem al value of the rst R ichardson extrapolation over the degree d as

$$g_{\rm m} = A_{\rm m} (d; 1) j_{\rm max};$$
 (3.21)

For various C alabi-Y au m odels and spin m = 1;2;3, we compare the value of g_m with the expected coe cient p_0 given in (3.20). The results are summarized in Table 3.5. We see that for larger angular momentum m the deviations become bigger, as expected.

Calabi-Yau	$p_0 = 3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	\mathfrak{G}_1	g ₁ error	g_2	g ₂ error	g ₃	g₃ error
X ₅ (1)	14.9019	16.4156	10.2%	13.0403	-12.5%	10,2148	-31.5%
X ₆ (1 ⁴ ;2)	11.5429	12.1492	5.25%	10.1828	-11.8%	8.21085	-28.9%
X ₈ (1 ⁴ ;4)	9.42478	10.4854	11.3%	8.1382	-13.7%	5.3473	-43.3%
X ₁₀ (1 ³ ;2;5)	6.66432	6.77436	1.65%	5.89201	-11.6%	3.62439	-45.6%
X _{3;3} (1 ⁶)	19.993	22.1786	10.9%	17.7804	-11.1%	14.8114	-25.9%
X 4;2 (1 ⁶)	18.8496	21.0741	11.8%	16.569	-12.1%	12.9935	-31.1%
X _{3;2;2} (1 ⁷)	23.0859	25.9065	12.2%	20.4996	-11.2%	16.5636	-28.3%
X _{2;2;2;2} (1 ⁸)	26.6573	30.1999	13.3%	23.6923	-11.1%	19,2311	-27.9%
X _{4;3} (1 ⁵ ;2)	16.3242	17.7685	8.85%	14.4772	-11.3%	12,2514	-24.9%
X _{6;2} (1 ⁵ ;3)	13.3286	15,2332	14.3%	11,2819	-15.4%	8.06844	-39.5%
X _{4;4} (1 ⁴ ;2 ²)	13.3286	13,9081	4.35%	11.618	-12.8%	10.6901	-19.8%
$X_{6;4}(1^3;2^2;3)$	9.42478	9.02611	-4.23%	7.87731	-16.4%	7.56862	-19.7%
$X_{6;6}(1^2;2^2;3^2)$	6.66432	5.42333	-18.6%	4.91355	-26.3%	4.5984	-31.0%

4 A sym ptotics of the D onald son { T hom as invariants

As we already mentioned, the total free energy of the topological string (2.19) can be reorganized in terms of G opakum ar{Vafa invariants as in (2.20). A remarkable property of (2.20) is that for a given class Q 2 H₂(X;Z), the expression is exact in the string coupling. This is because C astelnuovo's theorem for the ambient space yields $n_d^g = 0$ for $d > \frac{p}{g}$ for certain .

For example, for the quintic the maximal genus $g_{m\,ax}$ such that $n_Q^{g_{m\,ax}}~ \in~0$ fulls a bound

$$g_{max} = \frac{1}{10} (10 + 5d + d^2)$$
 (4.1)

with a decreasing relative deviation in the large d lim it. The bound is saturated for curves of total degree 5m which are complete intersections of degree (1;5;m) in P⁴, which are smooth curves in the quintic. For 5 > m > 1 we can describe the moduli space of the D 2 brane as follows. The linear constraint has as a parameter space P⁴ and allows to elim inate one variable from the degree m constraint, which has as m any hom ogeneous parameters as monom ials in four variables, i.e. as m any as there are integer solutions to $P_{i=1}^{4} n_i = m$ namely m_{m}^{m+4-1} . The moduli spaces of the curves are therefore brations of $P_{m}^{(m+4-1)-1}$ over P⁴. Using the results of [28] we get for the GV invariant

$$n_{5m}^{g_{max}} = (1)^{\binom{m+4-1}{m}} 5 \frac{m+4}{m} :$$
(4.2)

If the bound (4.1) is not saturated for smalld the relative deviation can become somewhat larger as seen in the Figure 4.



Figure 4: Castelnuovo's bound for higher genus curves on the quintic. The dots represent $n_d^{g_m ax}$ and the curve is (4.1).

Let us denote by F $^{0}(;t)$ the total free energy without the contribution (2.10). A fter exponentiation one nds [30]

where

$$q = e^{i} \tag{4.4}$$

and we have assumed that there is only one K ahler parameter, so that Q is labeled by a single integer d. On the other hand, the conjecture of [35] relating the D onaldson {T hom as invariants D_{dn} to G rom ov {W itten invariants leads to

$$Z_{DT}(q;t) = \sum_{d,n}^{X} D_{d,n} q^{n} e^{-dt} = Z_{GV}^{0} (q;t) M (q)^{(X)}; \qquad (4.5)$$

where

M (q) =
$$\frac{\Psi}{\prod_{n=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1 - q_1^2)^n}}$$
 (4.6)

is the M adM ahon function. This term reinstalls the constant m ap contribution. We list for reference a few D onaldson (T hom as invariants D_{dp} on the quintic in Table 4.1.

A fter an extensive discussion of possible tests of the 0 SV conjecture [37], the authors of [12] isolate as a crucial question for the validity of the latter the growth behaviour of

d/n	Υ	-2	-1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	2875	569250
1	0	0	0	0	609250	124762875
2	0	0	0	609250	439056375	76438831000
3	0	8625	2294250	4004590375	1010473893000	123236265797125

Table 4.1: Donaldson-Thom as invariants.

the D onaldson-T hom as invariants. This behaviour is encoded in the scaling exponent ${\bf k}$, de ned as

$$\log(D_{2d;3n})^{k}$$
: (4.7)

The question is relevant in the range $d^3 = r^2 > 0$ for which stable black hole congurations exist.

Because of C astelnouvo's bound, and since our data are up to genus 31, we can calculate the D onaldson {T hom as invariants exactly in the range 0 d 15 and for arbitrary high n for the quintic. We are interested in the lim it

$$k = \lim_{! 1} \frac{\log \log \hat{p}_{2d; 3_n} j}{\log} :$$
 (4.8)

In order to evaluate it for given values (d;n) we chose so that $d + l = {}^{2}d$ for $d;l \ge N$ and use the fact that $\log \mathcal{D}_{d+l,n}$ j for xed d;k scales in good approximation linearly with n to calculate the interpolated value of the $D_{d+l,n^{0}}$ at $n^{0} = {}^{3}(d;l)n$, with $(d;l) = \frac{d+l}{d}$. For (d;0) the latter interpolation is of course completely irrelevant and for charges for which the n^{0} values become large it is not very relevant.

The leading correction to (4.8) is of order $1=(\log())$. It makes therefore sense to eliminate this leading correction by logarithm ic Richardson {Thom as transform s. We de ne

$$k_{1}^{(0)} = \frac{\log \log \hat{D}_{(d;1)^{2}d; (d;1)^{3}n} j}{\log (d;1)};$$
(4.9)

and the m th logarithm ic R ichardson-T hom as transform as

$$k_{1}^{(m)} = \frac{k_{1+1}^{(m-1)}\log(1+1)}{\log(1+1)} \cdot \frac{k_{1}^{(m-1)}\log(1)}{\log(1+1)} \cdot (4.10)$$

W ith our know ledge of the topological string up to g = 31 for the quintic we can evaluate the D onaldson-T hom as invariants up to degree 15. We plot in the rst two graphs (5) the data for the $k_1^{(0)}$ and its rst two logarithm ic R ichardson-T hom as transform s. The graphs clearly indicate that the convergence is improved by the transform. So even if there are subleading terms of other form s, we certainly m anaged to supress the leading correction and speed up the convergence. The data further show that there is an universal



Figure 5: Scaling data $k^{(0)}$ () and the transform s $k^{(1)}$ (4), $k^{(2)}$ (3) for the Donaldson-Thom as invariants on the quintic in P⁴ starting for (d;0) states.

behaviour independent of d and that the value of k is within the 2% range close to 2. The higher logarithm ic R ichardson-T hom as transform s are consistent with this value but do not determ ine it better as we also have to take into account values with smaller 1 hence smaller . We next test the universality of these results for other charges (d;n) in Fig.6. If $n \in 0$ we need the interpolation for the n^0 values. This introduces some random subleading errors, which are of the order of the improvement by the second logarithm ic Richardson-Thom as transform. However as in the gure for (2;0) we see that higher d seems to lower the coe cient of the sub-sub-leading correction and m akes already the second R ichardson transform to converge reasonably well {well enough at least to conclude that the k is considerably lower then 3 and very well compatible with the value k = 2 0.03 found for the previous charges. We solved the bicubic in P⁵ up to genus 29, which yields com plete inform ations about the D onaldson-T hom as invariants up to degree 18. A sim ilar analysis as above con m s the analysis for the quintic. The corresponding plots are in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. Again a detailed summary of the data for more models can be found at [43]. We note a slight noise in the transform $k^{(1)}$ in Fig. 8, which is presumably due to the interpolation in the n value of D_{dn} described above. The results for the other models are sim ilar, but som ew hat less precise due to sm aller values of d that are currently available.

To sum marize: our analysis indicates that the value of k is indeed universal and close to k = 2. This strongly suggests that the m ysterious cancellations" [12] that eventually make possible to extend the the OSV conjecture to small coupling, actually take place.



Figure 6: Scaling data $k^{(0)}$ () and the rst transform $k^{(1)}$ (4) for the D onaldson-T hom as invariants on the quintic in P⁴ for the (2;1) and (3;1) states.



Figure 7: Scaling data $k^{(0)}$ () and the transform s $k^{(1)}$ (4), $k^{(2)}$ (3) for the Donaldson-Thom as invariants on the bic-cubic complete intersection in P⁵ starting for (d;0) states.



Figure 8: Scaling data $k^{(0)}$ () and the rst transform $k^{(1)}$ (4) for the D onaldson-T hom as invariants on the bic-cubic complete intersection in P⁵ for the (3;1) and (3;2) states.

5 K3 brations

5.1 Topological strings on K3 brations

W e will now consider Calabi{Yau manifolds X that have the structure of a K 3 bration, i.e. there is a bration of the form

$$:X ! P^{1};$$
 (5.1)

where the bers are K 3 surfaces. When the bration is regular the homology of X can be written as

$$H_{2}(X;Z) = h[P^{1}]i Pic(K3);$$
 (5.2)

where Pic(K 3) is the Picard lattice of the K 3 ber. The rank of this lattice will be denoted by , and ^a, a = 1; ; will denote a basis for this lattice. Let ! be the com plexied K ahler form on X. The com plexied K ahler parameters of X are given by

$$S = \underset{p^1}{!}; t^a = \underset{a}{!}; a = 1; ; : (5.3)$$

We will denote by $_{s}$, $_{a}$ the two{form swhich are dual to P¹, a .

It turns out that type IIA string theory com pacti ed on these manifolds is very often dual to heterotic string theory compacti ed on K3 T^2 [27, 31]. Under this duality, S becomes the axidilaton of the heterotic string. It follows that in the regime S ! 1 one can map computations in the type IIA theory to perturbative computations in the heterotic string. In particular, the F_g couplings of topological string theory (which are graviphoton couplings in type IIA theory) can be computed exactly at one{loop in the heterotic string, provided the K ahler parameters are restricted to the K3 ber [27, 31, 25, 2]. We will now review here some of these results.

The topological string am plitudes $F_{\rm g}\,({\rm S}\,;t)$ on these brations have the following structure,

$$F_{0}(S;t) = \frac{1}{6}C_{abc}t^{a}t^{b}t^{c} + \frac{1}{2}C_{ab}St^{a}t^{b} + \frac{(3)}{2}(X) + F_{0}(t) + O(e^{S});$$

$$F_{1}(S;t) = \frac{1}{24}(c_{S}S + c_{a}t^{a}) + F_{1}(t) + O(e^{S});$$

$$F_{g}(S;t) = d_{g}(X) + F_{g}(t) + O(e^{S}); \quad g \quad 2:$$
(5.4)

In these form ulae, C_{abc} and C_{ab} are triple intersection numbers in the ber and in the mixed ber/base direction, respectively. Notice that

$$C_{abc} = \underset{X}{a^{\circ} b^{\circ} c}; \quad C_{ab} = \underset{X}{s^{\circ} a^{\circ} b}; \quad (5.5)$$

7

W e also have

$$c_a = \sum_{X}^{2} c_2(X)^{a} ; a = 1; ; ;_S = c c_2(X)^{s} ; (5.6)$$

For K3 brations with trivial fundam entalgroup one has $c_s = 24$ [36], but for the Enriques Calabi{Yau (which we will also analyze), $c_s = 12$. The coe cient d_g is the contribution of constant m aps written down in (2.11). In (5.4), $F_g(t)$ denotes the contribution of worldsheet instantons in the K3 ber. It follows from [2,25,29,34,30] that the $F_g(t)$ can be completely determined in terms of a single modular form that we will denote $f_x(q)$. In order to write down an explicit form ula for $F_g(t)$, we have to introduce the quasim odular form s $P_g(q)$ which are denote by

$$\frac{2}{\#_{1}(j)}^{3} = \sum_{g=0}^{X^{1}} (2)^{2g} P_{g}(q):$$
 (5.7)

The quantities $P_g(q)$ can be explicitly written in terms of generalized Eisenstein series [34], and one has for example

$$P_{1}(q) = \frac{1}{12} E_{2}(q); \quad P_{2}(q) = \frac{1}{1440} (5E_{2}^{2} + E_{4}):$$
(5.8)

W e now introduce the coe cients $c_g(n)$ through

$$P_{g}(q)f_{X}(q) = \int_{n}^{X} c_{g}^{X}(n)q^{n}:$$
(5.9)

O ne then has the following expression for the heterotic F $_{g}(t)$:

$$F_{g}(t) = \sum_{\substack{Q \ 2P \ ic(K \ 3)}}^{X} (Q^{2}=2) L_{g} (e^{Q}); \qquad (5.10)$$

where Lin is the polylogarithm of index n

$$Li_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{k}} \frac{x^{k}}{k^{n}} :$$
 (5.11)

In (5.10) we have also denoted

$$Q \quad t = {}_{a}t^{a}; \qquad Q^{2} = C^{ab}n_{a}n_{b}; \qquad (5.12)$$

where $C^{ab} = C_{ab}^{1}$ is the intersection form of the Picard lattice Pic(K 3).

W e will particularly interested in three special K 3 brations: the STU m odel, the ST m odel, and the Enriques Calabi{Yau. Let us give som e extra details for these cases:

The STU model has = 2 and it can be realized by a complete intersection in a weighted projective space which is frequently denoted by $X_{24}(1;1;2;8;12)$. It has Euler characteristic = 480. The classical prepotential can be obtained from the nonvanishing intersection numbers,

$$C_{111} = 8; C_{112} = 2; C_{11} = 2; C_{12} = 1;$$
 (5.13)

while the classical part of $F_1(S;t)$ is encoded by

$$c_1 = 92; \quad c_2 = c_s = 24:$$
 (5.14)

The modular form encoding the information about topological string amplitudes in the beris given by [34]

$$f_{STU}(q) = \frac{2E_4 E_6}{24}(q):$$
(5.15)

It is som etim as useful to param etrize the K ahler cone in terms of the variables

$$T = t_1 + t_2; \quad U = t_1; \quad (5.16)$$

In this basis one has $Q^2=2 = m n$.

The ST model has = 1 and is realized in type IIA by the CY $X_2(1;1;2;2;6)$. It has = 252 and the classical intersection numbers

$$C_{111} = 4; \quad C_{11} = 2; \quad (5.17)$$

aswellas

$$c_1 = 52; \quad c_s = 24:$$
 (5.18)

The Kahler parameter along the ber is usually denoted as

$$T = t_1$$
: (5.19)

The relevant modular form is [29, 30]

$$f_{ST}(q) = \frac{2 E_4 F_6}{24}(q); \qquad (5.20)$$

where

$$(q) = \bigvee_{\substack{n \ge 2 \\ n \ge 2}} q^{\frac{n^2}{4}} = \#_3(=2);$$

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{16} \#_2^4(=2);$$

$$F_6 = E_6 \quad 2F_2(=4 \quad 2F_2)(=4 \quad 16F_2):$$

(5.21)

Notice that $Q^2=2 = n^2=4$.

The Enriques Calabi{Yau is given by the free quotient (K 3 ^{2}T)=Z₂, and was introduced in the context of type II/heterotic duality in [18]. It is an elliptic bration with = 10. It has C_{abc} = 0, while C_{ab} is given by the intersection num bers of the Enriques surface E. The P icard lattice is

$$Pic(K3) = {}^{1;1} E_8(1);$$
 (5.22)

$$c_a = 0; \quad c_s = 12:$$
 (5.23)

The topological string am plitudes in the berwere obtained in [32] (see also [22]). They are also controlled by a single modular form

$$f_{E}(q) = \frac{2}{12(q^{2})}; \qquad (5.24)$$

but their form is slightly dierent from (5.10)

$$F_{g}(t) = \sum_{\substack{Q \ 2P \ ic(K \ 3)}}^{X} c_{g}^{E}(Q^{2}) \ 2^{3} \ {}^{2g}L_{i_{3} \ 2g}(e^{Q}) L_{j_{2} \ 2g}(e^{Q}) L_{j_{2} \ 2g}(e^{Q}) L_{j_{2} \ 2g}(e^{Q})$$
(5.25)

where c_q^{E} (n) are de ned again by (5.9).

5.2 M icroscopic degeneracies and their asymptotic expansion

We have seen that, at least in the case of topological strings on K3 brations, and for classes Q restricted to the K3 ber, one can obtain closed form ula for the topological string am plitudes at all genera. It should be therefore possible to extract a closed form ula for the generating functional of G opakum ar{Vafa invariants. In fact, by using the product form ula

$$\#_{1}(j) = 2\frac{1}{2} \sin(q) (1 - q^{2})(1 - 2\cos(2))q + q^{2n})$$
(5.26)

one nds from the expression (5.10) and the structure (2.20)

$$X \qquad X^{l} \qquad n_{Q}^{r} z^{2r} p^{Q^{2}=2} = f_{X} (p)^{2} (z);$$
 (5.27)
Q 2 P ic(K 3) r= 0

where (z) is the function that appears in helicity supertraces,

$$(z) = \frac{Y^{i}}{(1 - p^{i})^{2}} \frac{(1 - p^{i})^{2}}{(1 - p^{i})^{2} + z^{2}p^{i}} = \frac{Y^{i}}{(1 - p^{i}y)(1 - p^{i}y)^{2}};$$
(5.28)

where we have set $z = i(y^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

We can now obtain a closed form ula for the m icroscopic degeneracies. In order to have a description which incorporates as well the elliptic genus, we will count the m icrostates as in (2.18) but with r! r 1. W ith this de nition, the lh.s. of (5.27), expanded in q;y, is precisely the generating function of m icroscopic degeneracies (Q;m), sum m ed over all m;Q. We then arrive to the expression

X X⁴
(Q;m)
$$y^m p^{Q^2=2} = f_X (p)^2 (;);$$
 (5.29)
Q2Pic(K3)m = 1

and

where we have written

$$y = e^{2i}$$
; $p = e^{2i}$: (5.30)

Notice that if we consider X = K 3 T^2 and restrict to classes Q in the ber, the counting of m icrostates given by the elliptic genus is

$$(S_{p}K 3;q;y)_{q^{0}} = \frac{Y}{(1 - p^{N})^{20}(1 - p^{N}y)^{2}(1 - p^{N}y)^{2}} = \frac{p}{2^{24}(p)} (y):$$
(5.31)

This has the same form than (5.29) with

$$f_{K_{3}}(p) = \frac{1}{2^{2}(p)}; \qquad (5.32)$$

therefore we can consider the $\mbox{sm all"}$ D1{D5 system as a particular case of our analysis.

The expression (5.29) tells us that the m icroscopic degeneracies we are looking for are simply the Fourier coe cients of the object in the r.h.s. We can then invert it to write

$$(N;m) = \begin{array}{cccc} Z & \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} & Z \\ d & d & e^{2} i(N + m) & (;); & N = Q^{2} = 2; \\ \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} & 0 & (5.33) \end{array}$$

where we de ned

$$(;) = f_x (p)^2 (;):$$
 (5.34)

and we have assumed that N is a non-negative integer (this can be guaranteed by rescaling $p ! p^k$ for some appropriate k). The contour in (5.33) has been chosen to avoid the poles in the integrand.

We will now evalute the asymptotic expansion of (N) (N;0) in inverse powers of N. Nonzero values of the spin m = 0 can be analyzed in a similar way. The expression we will not is exact up to corrections which are exponentially suppressed in the large charge limit N ! 1. Notice that in our situation we can not appeal to the Radem acher expansion which was used in [15,9], since (5.34) is not a Jacobi form (it can be regarded as a Jacobi form with negative index). It is likely that an analog of the Radem acher expansion exists, but we will perform a direct evaluation of the integral (5.33) in the spirit of the counting of states with spin in Appendix C of [9] and in [10].

First of all, we reexpress the integrand (5.34) in term s of $\#_1(j)$ as,

$$(;) = 4 \sin^2()^6(p) \frac{f_X(p)}{\#_1^2(j)};$$
 (5.35)

Using the modular behavior of $\#_1(j)$ under the S transformation $! \sim = 1 = we$ get,

$$\#_{1}(;) = \frac{2i}{p - \frac{1}{i}} e^{i (2 + \frac{1}{4})} \sin - \frac{1}{1 + 0} (e^{\frac{2i}{1}})^{0}:$$
(5.36)

It is easy to see that the saddle point evaluation of (5.33) is governed by

$$= \frac{p}{\frac{1}{N}} + O \frac{1}{N} : \qquad (5.37)$$

Therefore, the corrections to (5.36) will be exponentially suppressed. U sing them odularity of (p), and taking the part of the sin in the denom inator which is not exponentially suppressed, we obtain,

(;)
$$4^{2}e^{2i(2)}\sin^{2}(0)f_{x}(p)$$
: (5.38)

Therefore, in order to compute the asymptotics of (5.33) we just need

(N)
$$4 = \frac{z_{\frac{1}{2} + i0^{+}}}{\frac{1}{2} + i0^{+}} d e^{2iN} \frac{f_{X}(p)}{2} \int_{0}^{2} d e^{2i(2^{-})} \sin^{2}(0) d e^{2i(2$$

The integral over is easily worked out in terms of the error function Erf(x), as follows,

Due to (5.37) we can use the asymptotic expansion of the Erf function,

Erf(x)
$$1 \stackrel{e}{\xrightarrow{r^2}}_{r=0}^{x^2} (1) \frac{(2r \ 1)!!}{2^r} x^{(2r+1)}; jxj! 1; jarg(x)j < : (5.41)$$

Ignoring term swhich are exponentially suppressed at large N , we $% \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, we have \mathcal{A} , we have \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a suppressed at large \mathcal{A} , we have \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a suppressed at large \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large {A} are a supersed at large \mathcal{A} are a supersed at large {A} are

$$\sum_{0}^{2} d e^{2\frac{i}{2}(2^{2})} \sin^{2}(0) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{\dot{r}^{1+3r}}{1+r} (2r - 1)! G_{r}(0); \quad (5.42)$$

with,

$$G_{r}() = {}^{r+1} 2 + \frac{1}{(1)^{r+2r}} \frac{1}{(r+1)^{1+2r}} : (5.43)$$

Again, due to (5.37), we can expand it around = 0,

$$G_{r}() = \begin{array}{c} X^{1} & 2(1+s+r) \\ 2 & & \\ s=0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3+2s+r \\ 2r \end{array}$$
(5.44)

Putting all together, we obtain,

(N)
$$2 \sum_{r=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(2r \ 1)!!^{X^{1}}}{(i \)^{r+1}} \sum_{s=0}^{2(1+s+r)} \frac{2 \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+}}{2r} d e^{2iN} f_{X}(p)^{1+2s+r}$$
: (5.45)

We now work out the integral,

$$A_{s,r}(N) = \begin{pmatrix} Z & \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} \\ \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} \end{pmatrix} d e^{2 iN} f_{X}(p)^{1+2s+r} : (5.46)$$

We assume that $f_X(p)$ has modular weight w, so that $f_X(p) = {}^w f_X(p)$, where $p = e^{\frac{2-i}{4}}$. For the modular forms that we consider here, $f_X(p) = cp + dp$, and the integral above gives a modiled Bessel function

$$A_{sr}(N) = cl^{+2s+r} \hat{I}_{2s+r+2} (4 N):$$
 (5.47)

W e end up then with the following result for the exact asymptotics of the microscopic black hole degeneracy,

(N)
$$2c_{r=0}^{W} \frac{(2r 1)!!}{r+1} = (1)^{r+1} (1)^{r+1$$

U sing now the form u la for the asymptotic expansion of \hat{I} functions (see for example App. A of [9]), we nd for the entropy S (N) = log (N) the following expansion

S
$$4 \frac{p}{N} = \frac{5}{4} \log(N) + \log \frac{p}{2t} \frac{2w}{4} c}{32} + \frac{177 + 16w}{32} \frac{4w^2}{p} \frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-1})$$

(5.49)

The expansion in powers of $1=N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.48), which is obtained by using the asymptotics of m odi ed Bessel functions, is the expansion of the original integral around the saddle point (5.37). This can be veried by an explicit computation of the rst few orders of the saddlepoint expansion.

Let us now evaluate (5.49) in some examples. For K3 T^2 we have (w; ;c) = (12;1;1), and the entropy reads

S
$$4^{p}\overline{N}$$
 $\frac{29}{4}\log(N) + \log \frac{p}{2}$ $\frac{591}{32}\frac{p}{N}$ + O (N^{-1}) : (5.50)

For the STU model, with the values (w; ;c) = (2;1; 2), we nd

S
$$4 \frac{P}{N} = \frac{9}{4} \log(N) + \log \frac{P}{8} + \frac{129}{32} \frac{P}{N} + O(N^{-1})$$
: (5.51)

The ST model is slightly di erent, since in f_{ST} (p) both integer and rational powers of p appear. A sm entioned above, we should rede nep ! p^4 and write down the generating functional for the degeneracies as

X X⁴
(Q;m)
$$y^m p^{2Q^2} = f_{ST} (p^4)^2 (;4);$$
 (5.52)
Q2Pic(K3)m = 1

where we recall that M $2Q^2 = n^2$ is an integer. The asymptotics is given by the integral

ST (M)
$$\frac{Z_{\frac{1}{2}+i0^{+}}}{\frac{1}{2}+i0^{+}} d e^{2iM} \frac{f_{ST}(p^{4})}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \sin^{2}(x) e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^{2})}$$
; (5.53)

The integral over is given by (5.40) upon replacing ! 4 . Since,

$$f_{ST}(p^{4}) = 2\frac{E_{4}(p^{4})F_{6}(p^{4})}{{}^{24}(p^{4})} \#_{3}(2) \qquad 16\overline{2i} \, \frac{3}{2}e^{\frac{i}{2}}; \qquad (5.54)$$

one nds in the end,

ST (M)
$$p = \frac{X^{4}}{2} \frac{(2r 1)!!}{r+1} \sum_{s=0}^{N^{4}} (1)^{s} \frac{2(1+s+r)}{2r} \hat{1}_{\frac{7}{2}+2s+r} (2)^{s} \frac{p}{M};$$
 (5.55)

and from here one can read the entropy,

$$S(Q) = 4 \frac{1}{2}Q^2 + (5.56)$$

Finally we turn to the case of Enriques CY manifold. It follows from (5.25) that one has to distinguish two types of hom ology classes: the classes Q whose entries contain at least an odd integer (which were called odd classes in [32]), and the classes Q for which all entries are even (called even classes). A simple calculation shows that the generating function of G opakum ar{Vafa invariants for the odd classes is given by

$$X^{\text{L}} X = n_{Q}^{\text{r}} p^{Q^{2}} z^{\text{r}-1} = \frac{f_{\text{E}}(q)}{4 \sin^{2} \frac{1}{2}} = (-2;p)^{2} (-2$$

while for the even classes is given by

$$X^{\text{L}} X = n_{Q}^{r} p^{Q^{2}} z^{r-1} = \frac{f_{\text{E}}(q)}{4 \sin^{2} \frac{1}{2}} \quad {}^{2}(=2;p) \quad {}^{2}(=2;p) \qquad (5.58)$$

$$f_{\text{E}}(q^{4}) \quad {}^{2}(;p^{4}) \quad {}^{2}(;p^{4}) :$$

Notice that for even classes $Q^2 = 0 \mod 4$, while for odd classes one only has $Q^2 = 0 \mod 2$. In contrast to the previous K3 brations, in the above generating function we have p^{Q^2} , instead of $p^{Q^2=2}$, and this will lead to a di erent leading term as compared for example to the STU m odel.

The com putation of the asymptotics of the microstates is similar to the one that we just perform ed. Let us begin with odd classes. Using the identity,

²(; p) =
$$4\sin^2($$
) $\frac{6(2)\#_3^2(2)}{\#_1^2(\frac{1}{2})\#_3^2(\frac{1}{2})}$; (5.59)

and proceeding as in the previous case, we nd,

$$_{odd}$$
 (N) = $_{1}$ (N) + $_{2}$ (N); N = Q²=2; (5.60)

where,

A s before, we evaluate the integrals over in term s of the Erf function and its asymptotic expansion. We then use the modularity properties of the dimension functions involved here to obtain,

$$\frac{1}{16} \sum_{r=0}^{N} \frac{(2r \ 1)!!}{r+1} \sum_{s=0}^{N} (1)^{s} \frac{2(1+s+r)}{2r} (1)^{s} \frac{4^{(1+r+s)}}{2s} \hat{1}_{8+2s+r} (\frac{p}{8N});$$
(5.62)

Let us now consider the even classes, (5.58). Com paring (5.58) with (5.57), we see that,

$$even (N) = odd (N) e(N)$$
(5.63)

where,

$$\sim(N) = \begin{array}{cccc} Z & \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} & Z \\ & d & d & e^{4i N} & 4\sin^{2}()f_{E}(p^{4}) & ^{2}(;p^{4}) & ^{2}(;p^{4}) & (5.64) \\ & \frac{1}{2} + i0^{+} & 0 \end{array}$$

A computation similar to the one we performed shows that e(N) is exponentially suppressed with respect to exp(N), since it leads to term spithat go like exp(N) and exp(N). Therefore, as an asymptotic expansion in 1 = N, even(N) = even(N), and the asymptotics does not distinguish between the even and the odd classes. We nally obtain, for the small Enriques black hole,

$$S_{E}(Q) = 2^{p} \frac{17}{Q^{2}} \frac{17}{2} \log^{p} \frac{17}{Q^{2}} + :$$
 (5.65)

The main conclusion of our analysis is that, in all cases, the leading term of the microscopic entropy for these black holes is given by

$$S(Q) = 2 \frac{c_s}{12}Q^2;$$
 (5.66)

since $c_s = 24$ for K 3 T^2 , the STU and the ST m odels, but $c_s = 12$ for the Enriques CY. Of course, our analysis has also given precise form ulae for the subleading term s.

The leading behavior (5.66) can be also veried by a numerical analysis similar to the one performed in sections 3 and 4. For example, for the STU model we have computed the quantity f(N) = S(N) = N for 1 N < 50, where $S(N) = \log (N)$. In order to subtract the logarithmic term in the asymptotic expansion (5.51) we consider the transform,

$$A(N) = \frac{(N+1)S(N+2)}{(N+1)^{P}} \frac{(2N+1)S(N+1) + NS(N)}{(N+1)^{P}} = (2N+1)S(N+1) + NS(N) + (5.67)$$

In Fig. 9 we plot f(N) (bottom) and A(N) (top). The horizontal line is the expected asymptotic value 4 for both quantities as N ! 1. As before, the transform A(N) improves rapidly the convergence.



Figure 9: M icroscopic data for f(N) = S(N) = N (bottom) and its transform A(N) (top), de ned in (5.67), for the STU model, and for 1 N < 50. The horizontal line is the expected asymptotic value 4.

5.3 M acroscopic entropy for sm all black holes

The 5d black holes obtained by wrapping the M 2 branes along cycles in the K 3 ber have actually vanishing classical entropy and are therefore small black holes. Indeed, as we have seen, the leading asymptotic degeneracy scales like Q, and not like $Q^{3=2}$. This is also what is found for small 4d black holes [9].

Let us brie y show that the classical area of these black holes is zero for any set of intersection numbers C_{abc} , C_{ab} . In order to do this, we can use the 5d attractorm echanism described in section 2. Equivalently, by using the 4d/5d connection of [20], we can map the 5d black hole to a 4d black hole with D 6 charge $p^0 = 1$ and D 2 charges Q_A . At the level of the leading m acroscopic entropy, the 4d com putation gives the same result as the

5d com putation [20]. In the 4d language, we start with the tree level SUGRA prepotential

$$F = \frac{1}{2}C_{ab}\frac{X^{S}X^{a}X^{b}}{X^{0}} - \frac{1}{6}C_{abc}\frac{X^{a}X^{b}X^{c}}{X^{0}}:$$
(5.68)

W e will do the computation for a generic D6-D2 charge, i.e. we will start with generic charges p^0 , Q_a , Q_s , and then take the charge Q_s ! 0 at the end of the computation (as well as setting $p^0 = 1$). This will guarantee that we obtain generic solutions to the attractor mechanism.

Let us rst assume that $C_{abc} = 0$, as it happens in K3 T^2 and the Enriques Calabi{ Yau. In this case, the attractor equations are easily solved as,

$$(X^{0}; X^{s}; X^{a}) = p^{0}; i \frac{p^{0}Q^{2}}{2Q_{s}}; i \frac{2Q_{s}p^{0}}{Q^{2}}Q^{a}; \qquad (5.69)$$

where

$$Q^{2} = C^{ab}Q_{a}Q_{b}; \qquad Q^{a} = C^{ab}Q_{b}:$$
 (5.70)

The entropy is given by

$$S = \frac{p}{2p^{0}Q_{S}Q^{2}}; \qquad (5.71)$$

and it vanishes in the lim it Q_s ! 0. This is as expected.

If we now consider a general prepotential with nonvanishing $C_{\rm abc}$, the attractor equations are now solved at

$$(X^{0}; X^{s}; X^{a}) = p^{0}; i \frac{p^{0}}{2Q_{s}} s^{s}; i \frac{p^{0}}{2p^{0}Q_{s}} s^{a}; \qquad (5.72)$$

where the A are solutions to,

Notice that, in these variables, the model with $C_{abc} = 0$ corresponds to the sm ooth values,

$$({}^{s};{}^{a}) = {}^{p}\overline{Q^{2}}; {}^{Q^{a}}_{\overline{Q^{2}}} :$$
 (5.74)

We can already see that, in the lim it Q_s ! 0, the perturbation by C_{abc} in (5.73) vanishes, therefore in the lim it of zero charge in the base the presence of nontrivial intersection numbers in the ber should be unimportant. More form ally, it is easy to see that one can construct a consistent solution of (5.73) of the form,

$${}^{A} = {}^{A} + {}^{X^{A}}_{n=1} c_{n}^{A} Q_{S}^{n}; \qquad (5.75)$$

where the coe cients c_n^A depend on C_{abc} and can be calculated order by order. In terms of the A the macroscopic entropy is

$$S = \frac{p}{2p^{0}Q_{S}} C_{ab}^{a \ b \ S} + \frac{2}{3}Q_{S}C_{abc}^{a \ b \ c} ; \qquad (5.76)$$

and, in the limit Q_S ! 0, it will vanish irrespectively of the value of C_{abc} . Therefore, 5d black holes whose mem brane charge is restricted to the K3 ber of a K3 bration are always small. This can be checked as well by detailed computations in di erent models (like the STU and ST models considered above).

Since the leading contribution to the entropy vanishes we should now look at the subleading terms in the macroscopic entropy. As we explained in section 2, it was shown in [23, 1, 8] that these terms are obtained by performing the shift

$$Q_{A} ! Q_{A} = Q_{A} + Q_{A}; = \frac{1}{8}:$$
 (5.77)

The leading term in the entropy for the small 5d black hole is given (for large charge Q) by performing this shift in (5.71)

$$s = 2 \frac{g}{2}Q^2$$
: (5.78)

This can be derived in detail by solving the attractor equations with shifted charges (5.77) as a power series in 1=Q, and then taking the lim it Q_S ! 0. Notice that the entropy (5.78) only depends on C_{ab} and c_S . A loo, in this regime, the solutions of the attractor equations occur at values of the K ahler parameters which are of the order of the string size, and the SUGRA calculation m ight be problematic. Indeed, it is easy to see that (5.78) does not agree with the leading term of the asymptotics that we obtained in the previous subsection. By comparing (5.66) with (5.78) we not that the form ula agree if we set instead = 1=6. This is the value of that is predicted by the 4d/5d connection of [20].

In [23,8] it was noticed that the subleading correction (5.77) obtained in a m acroscopic 5d com putation was not in accord with the subleading correction predicted by [20] and the 4d attractor m echanism. We now nd that, for big 5d black holes, the subleading correction for the m icroscopic entropy is in rough agreement with (5.77), while for sm all 5d black holes the leading asymptotics is in accord with a 4d computation for a sm all D 6/D 2 system with $p^0 = 1$. As we already mentioned, in the case of sm all black holes, the SUGRA computations with which we are comparing our results should receive large corrections, but in other situations they still lead to results which are in agreement with the microscopic counting, as in [9,13]. In our case we obtain a result in disagreement with the 5d computation but in agreement with the 4d computation. It would be interesting to resolve this puzzle.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the m icroscopic counting of 5d black hole states by using topological string theory. In the case of big black holes, we have given convincing numerical evidence that the BPS invariants encoded in the topological string am plitudes account correctly for the m acroscopic entropy of spinning black holes. Moreover, we have also shown that the data favour the \m ysterious cancellation" of [12] that makes possible to extend the validity of the OSV conjecture, and we were able to explore new aspects of black hole entropy which have not been studied before using supergravity. Clearly, it would be very desirable to im prove our num erical results with more data. U sing the interplay between modularity and an-holom ophicity in topological string theory [42, 26, 22], analytic results on the asym totics might be not out of reach⁴.

We also gave exact form use for m icroscopic degeneracies of a class of sm all 5d black holes, which are obtained by wrapping M 2 branes in the ber of a K 3 bration, and we computed the asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of the charge. As expected, the calculation shows that for sm all black holes the leading term in the entropy scales like S ! S when the charges are scaled with . We found however that the coe cient of the leading term does not agree with the shift of charges obtained in [23, 1, 8] in a 5d SUGRA computation. In principle there is no reason why these two computations should agree, since sm all N = 1 black holes are generically beyond the SUGRA approximation. On the other hand, the m icroscopic results are well reproduced by the 4d/5d connection of [20] and a 4d attractor computation. We should emphasize however that for big black holes the 5d shift (5.77) ts our data better than the 4d shift with = 1=6. It would be very interesting to understand this better.

A cknow ledgm ents

It is a pleasure to thank D avide G aiotto, T hom as G rim m, A ki H ashim oto, Sheldon K atz, W ei Li, B oris P ioline, N ick W amer and X i Y in for helpful discussions, and Frederik D enef for a very useful correspondence. W e would like to thank as well G regory M oore and C um run Vafa for their com m ents on the m anuscript. M any thanks also to M ax K reuzer for generously granting us com puter time. This work is partially supported by the DOE grant DE-F 602-95ER 40896. AT is supported by a M arie C urie fellow ship.

A General features of the instanton expansion

The asymptotic behaviour at the conifold, Castelnouvo's theory, and the calculation via degenerate Jacobians, suggest som e general features of the G opakum ar{Vafa expansion.

⁴R ecently beautiful analytic proofs of the asym ptotic of the Fourier coe cents of M ock-T heta functions have been obtained using a som ew hat sim ilar interplay [6].

O ur data for the 13 one-param eterm odels suggest further universal features. The purpose of this appendix is to describe som e of these general features. Typical data for high degree look as is table A .1

The last nonzero entry is from the smooth genus 28 complete intersection curve⁵ (1;2;3;3) of degree 18. By Castelhouvo's theory g = 28 is the largest possible genus for degree 18. The degree one constraint parametrizes an P⁵. The moduli space M $_{18}^{28}$ is a bration of this P⁵ over a projectivization of the 15 parameters in the quadratic constraint. I.e. M $_{18}^{28}$ is the total space of P⁵! P¹⁴, with Euler number (M $_{d=18}^{g=28}$) = 5 15 = 90 and $n_{18}^{28} = (1)^{5+14}90 = 90$.

As it can further be seen in table A.1, the numbers grow from genus g = 0 to g = 3 and fall thereafter. This feature m ight be related to the binom ials in the description of the moduli of space as a singular bration of the Jacobian Jac₂₈ of the g = 28 curve over M $_{18}^{28}$. In this description the contribution of a g = g curve comes from degenerating the genus 28 curve with nodes. As explained in [28] the contribution of the degenerate Jacobians can be expressed by the Euler numbers of relative H ilbert schemes C⁽ⁿ⁾ as

$$n_{d}^{g} = (1)^{dim (M)+} \sum_{p=0}^{X} b(g p; p) (C);$$
 (A.1)

with $b(g;k) = \frac{2g}{k}^2$. A simple Gauss approximation of binomials to the behaviour of the n_d^g for large d relatively well. We show this in Fig. 10 for the bi-cubic at degree 27. The numbers n_d^g are exact and in contrast to (A.1) they count correctly all contribution from colliding nodes, all contributions from reducible curves as well as contributions from sm ooth curves in the class d with genus $\tilde{g} < g$.

Very in portant for the cancellations in the asym ptotic behaviour of the D onaldson { Thom as invariants is the occurrence of negative numbers. W hile it is clear that such contributions can arise if the dimensions of the D-brane moduli space is odd, we do not understand a priori the remarkable pattern with which these signs occur. The rst occurrence of negative signs at $g_{neg}(d)$ is graphed for the quintic and the bi-cubic in Fig. 11. The data suggest that $g_{neg}(d)$ follows a parabola similar to the C astelnouvo bound. From the rst occurrence of the negative sign the n_d^g are alternating in sign for g g. For g g the behaviour becomes more erratic. The G auss approximation for the absolute values of the n_d^g and the sign pattern is very characteristic of the degeneracies of microstates of a large black hole. In contrast the absolute value of the n_d^g is falling and the signs are alternating with (1) starting at g = 0 for small black holes as shown for example for the ST-m odel.

⁵A complete intersection curve (1;n;3;3) with degree 9n has in general genus $g = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 3n)(2 + 3n)$.

genus	degræ= 18
0	144519433563613558831955702896560953425168536
1	491072999366775380563679351560645501635639768
2	826174252151264912119312534610591771196950790
3	866926806132431852753964702674971915498281822
4	615435297199681525899637421881792737142210818
5	306990865721034647278623907242165669760227036
6	109595627988957833331561270319881002336580306
7	28194037369451582477359532618813777554049181
8	5218039400008253051676616144507889426439522
9	688420182008315508949294448691625391986722
10	63643238054805218781380099115461663133366
11	4014173958414661941560901089814730394394
12	166042973567223836846220100958626775040
13	4251016225583560366557404369102516880
14	61866623134961248577174813332459314
15	451921104578426954609500841974284
16	1376282769657332936819380514604
17	1186440856873180536456549027
18	2671678502308714457564208
19	-59940727111744696730418
20	1071660810859451933436
21	-13279442359884883893
22	101088966935254518,
23	-372702765685392
24	338860808028
25	23305068
26	-120186
27	-5220
28	-90
29	0

Table A 1: G opakum ar{Vafa invariants n_d^g in the class d = 18 for the com plete intersection X $_{3\beta}(1^6)$.



Figure 10: The binom ials dom inate the behaviour of large dG opakum ar-Vafa invariants. For the degree 27 class on the bi-cubic we nd n_{27}^g $e^{167:747}e^{-0:0985(g-9:108)^2}$

g	d= 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	2496	223752	38637504	9100224984	2557481027520	805628041231176	:::
1	0	492	1465984	1042943520	595277880960	316194812546140	:::
2	0	6	7488	50181180	72485905344	70378651228338	:::
3	0	0	0	902328	5359699200	10869145571844	:::
4	0	0	0	1164	228623232	1208179411278	:::
5	0	0	0	12	4527744	94913775180	:::
6	0	0	0	0	17472	4964693862	:::
7	0	0	0	0	0	152682820	:::
8	0	0	0	0	0	2051118	:::
9	0	0	0	0	0	2124	:::
10	0	0	0	0	0	22	605915136
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9419904
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	32448

A further remarkable fact is the very universal scaling for the maximal value M (d) for n^g_d for given d. This value behaves like

$$M (d) = \exp (a + bd)^{4=3}$$
 (A.2)

with very similar values for a and b for dierent one-parameter models, as shown for the quintic and the bi-cubic in Fig. 12.



Figure 11: The rst occurrence of negative n_d^g for the quintic (on the right) and the bicubic (on the left). The tism (d) = $a + bd + cd^2 w$ ith a = 4.6, b = .94 and c = .019 as well as a = 5.2, b = 1.0 and c = .017 for these two, respectively.



Figure 12: $m(d) = \log(M(d))^{3-4}$ for the quintic on the right and the bi-cubic on the left. a = 5:164 and b = 1:511 as well as a = 5:202 and b = 1:509 for the cases plotted.

R eferences

- [1] M.Alishahiha, $0 n R^2$ corrections for 5D black holes," arX iv hep-th/0703099.
- [2] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, N=2 type II heterotic duality and higher derivative F terms," Nucl. Phys. B 455, 109 (1995) [arX iv hep-th/9507115].
- [3] C. Bender and S. Orszag, Advanced m athem atical m ethods for scientists and engineers, M cG raw H ill, New York, 1978.
- [4] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. O oguriand C. Vafa, \K odaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string am plitudes," Commun. M ath. Phys. 165, 311 (1994) [arX iv:hep-th/9309140].
- [5] J.C.Breckenridge, R.C.Myers, A.W. Peet and C.Vafa, \D-branes and spinning black holes," Phys.Lett.B 391, 93 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9602065].
- [6] K.Bringm ann and K.Ono, \The f (q) m ock theta function conjecture and partition ranks," Invent.m ath. 165, 243 (2006).
- [7] G.L.Cardoso, B.de W it and T.Mohaupt, \Corrections to macroscopic supersym metric black-hole entropy," Phys. Lett. B 451, 309 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9812082].
- [8] A. Castro, J. L. Davis, P. K raus and F. Larsen, \5D attractors with higher derivatives," arX iv hep-th/0702072; \5D black holes and strings with higher derivatives," arX iv hep-th/0703087.
- [9] A.Dabholkar, F.Denef, G.W.Moore and B.Pioline, \Precision counting of sm all black holes," arX iv:hep-th/0507014.
- [10] A.Dabholkar, N. Iizuka, A. Iqubaland M. Shigem ori, \Precision m icrostate counting of sm all black rings," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 071601 (2006) [arX iv:hep-th/0511120].
- [11] J.R. David, G. Mandaland S.R. Wadia, \M icroscopic formulation of black holes in string theory," Phys. Rept. 369, 549 (2002) [arX iv:hep-th/0203048].
- [12] F. Denef and G. W. Moore, \Split states, entropy enigmas, holes and halos," arX iv hep-th/0702146.
- [13] D.E.Diaconescu and B.Florea, \Black hole entropy and Fourier-Mukai transform," arX iv hep-th/0610068.
- [14] R. Dijkgraaf, \Strings, matrices, and black holes," in Classical and quantum black holes, P. Fre et al. (eds.), IO P, 1999, p. 77{135.

- [15] R.Dijkgraaf, J.M.Maklacena, G.W.Moore and E.P.Verlinde, \A black hole farey tail," arX iv:hep-th/0005003.
- [16] R. Dikgraaf, G. W. Moore, E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, \Elliptic genera of symmetric products and second quantized strings," Commun. M ath. Phys. 185, 197 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9608096].
- [17] R. Dijkgraaf, E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, \Counting dyons in N = 4 string theory," Nucl. Phys. B 484, 543 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9607026].
- [18] S. Ferrara, J. A. Harvey, A. Strom inger and C. Vafa, \Second quantized m irror symmetry," Phys. Lett. B 361, 59 (1995) [arX iv:hep-th/9505162].
- [19] S.Ferrara and R.Kallosh, \Supersymmetry and Attractors," Phys. Rev. D 54, 1514 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9602136].
- [20] D.Gaiotto, A.Strom inger and X.Yin, New connections between 4D and 5D black holes," JHEP 0602,024 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0503217].
- [21] R. Gopakum ar and C. Vafa, \M-theory and topological strings. I& II," [arX iv:hep-th/9809187] and [arX iv:hep-th/9812127].
- [22] T.W.Grimm, A.Klemm, M.Marino and M.Weiss, \Direct integration of the topological string," arX iv hep-th/0702187.
- [23] M.Guica, L.Huang, W.Liand A.Strominger, \R**2 corrections for 5D black holes and rings," JHEP 0610,036 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0505188].
- [24] M.Guica and A.Strominger, \W rapped M 2/M 5 duality," arX iv hep-th/0701011.
- [25] J.A.Harvey and G.W.Moore, \Algebras, BPS States, and Strings," Nucl. Phys. B 463, 315 (1996) [arX iv hep-th/9510182].
- [26] M.x.Huang, A.K lemm and S.Quackenbush, \Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: Modularity and boundary conditions," arX iv hep-th/0612125.
- [27] S.Kachru and C.Vafa, \Exact results for N = 2 com pactic cations of heterotic strings," Nucl. Phys. B 450, 69 (1995) [arX iv hep-th/9505105].
- [28] S.Katz, A.K lemm and C.Vafa, \M -theory, topological strings and spinning black holes," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 3, 1445 (1999) [arX iv:hep-th/9910181].
- [29] T. Kawai, \String duality and modular forms," Phys. Lett. B 397, 51 (1997) [arX iv:hep-th/9607078].

- [30] A.Klemm, M.Kreuzer, E.Riegler and E.Scheidegger, \Topological string am plitudes, com plete intersection Calabi-Yau spaces and threshold corrections," JHEP 0505,023 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410018].
- [31] A.Klemm, W.Lerche and P.Mayr, \K3 Fibrations and heterotic type II string duality," Phys.Lett.B 357, 313 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9506112].
- [32] A.Klemm and M.Marino, \Counting BPS states on the Enriques Calabi-Yau," arX iv hep-th/0512227.
- [33] M. Marino, \O pen string am plitudes and large order behavior in topological string theory," arX iv hep-th/0612127.
- [34] M. Marino and G. W. Moore, \Counting higher genus curves in a Calabi-Yau manifold," Nucl. Phys. B 543, 592 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9808131].
- [35] D.Maulik, N.Nekrasov, A.Okounkov and R.Pandharipande, \Grom ov-W itten theory and Donaldson-Thom as theory I," arX iv m ath AG /0312059.
- [36] K.Oguiso, \On algebraic ber space structures on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold," Internat.J. Math.4,439 (1993).
- [37] H. Ooguri, A. Strom inger and C. Vafa, \B lack hole attractors and the topological string," Phys. Rev. D 70, 106007 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0405146].
- [38] S. H. Shenker, \The strength of nonperturbative e ects in string theory," in O. A lvarez, E. M arinari and P. W indey (eds.), R andom surfaces and quantum gravity, Plenum, New York, 1992.
- [39] A .Strom inger and C .Vafa, \M icroscopic O rigin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy," Phys.Lett.B 379,99 (1996) [arX iv:hep-th/9601029].
- [40] C. Vafa, \Black holes and Calabi-Yau threefolds," Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2, 207 (1998) [arX iv:hep-th/9711067].
- [41] R.M.Wald, \Black hole entropy in the Noether charge," Phys. Rev. D 48, 3427 (1993) [arX iv gr-qc/9307038].
- [42] S.Yam aguchiand S.T.Yau, \Topological string partition functions as polynom ials," JHEP 0407,047 (2004) [arX iv:hep-th/0406078].
- [43] http://uw.physics.wisc.edu/ strings/aklemm/blackholedata/