

A Proof of Theorem 1

Here we conduct the proof of Theorem 1 stating that by conducting reduction of a DPRN \mathcal{G} w.r.t. an initial state x and goal g_\top , all transitions of all minimal traces are preserved by the means of compatible partial transitions in $\tau_x(\mathcal{B})$.

We first show that an existence of an objective O that covers a transition $t = (v_k \rightarrow v_q, \omega)$ of a realisable trace, formally $O = v_{k-a \cdot s(t)} \rightsquigarrow v_{q+b \cdot s(t)}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in \mathcal{B} is enough to guarantee existence of a compatible partial transition and thus preservation of the transition.

Lemma 1. *Let a realisable trace π of an DPRN \mathcal{G} reach goal g_\top from initial state x and let \mathcal{B} be the objective set constructed by Definition 12 for the given goal and initial state. Then for any $\pi_i = (v_k \rightarrow v_q, \omega)$ covered by an objective $O \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a partial transition $\delta = (v_k \rightarrow v_q, \aleph) \in \tau_x(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\omega \in \aleph$.*

Proof. Let $\aleph \in \mathcal{A}_{v_k \rightarrow v_q}$ be arbitrary such that $\omega \in \aleph$. We know at least one such \aleph exists by definition of regulation cover set (Definition 10).

Then, by Definition 11, the corresponding partial transition $\delta = (v_k \rightarrow v_q, \aleph) \in \tau(O) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{B})$. Finally, since π itself is a witness of the validity of objectives for all regulators required by δ , $\delta \in \tau_x(O) \subseteq \tau_x(\mathcal{B})$.

We now show that if a transition of a realisable trace π is not covered by an objective in \mathcal{B} , the trace is not minimal.

Let thus π_i with $V(\pi_i) = v$ be such a transition, and let $t = \pi_h$ be the last transition covered by an objective in \mathcal{B} such that $h < i$ and $V(t) = v$, if it exists. Finally, let $t' = \pi_j$ be the first transition such that $i < j$, $V(t') = v$ and $\bullet\pi^j \cdot_v = \pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v$ (respectively, $\bullet\pi^j \cdot_v = x_v$ if t does not exist), if it exists.

We now construct a trace π' by removing all transitions in $\pi^{h+1:j-1}$ which change the value of v from π , where $h = 0$ if t does not exist and $j = |\pi|$ if t' does not exist. Since the removed transitions form a loop on the value of v , respectively, have no causal successors modifying the value of v if t' does not exist, the evolution of v along π' remains valid.

Moreover, if any transition π_κ covered by $O \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $h < \kappa < j$ and $V(\pi_\kappa) = v$ exists, then there exists another transition $\pi_\iota \in \pi^{i:h}$ with the same value change as π_κ .

Let thus $v_\alpha \rightsquigarrow v_q \in \mathcal{B}$ cover at least one transition modifying the value of v in $\pi^{h+1:j-1}$. For such an objective to be included there must exist a covered transition requiring value q of component v somewhere along the trace and thus, by point (2) of Definition 12, $x_v \rightsquigarrow q \in \mathcal{B}$. The transition t therefore has to exist, meaning an objective $\beta \rightsquigarrow \pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v \in \mathcal{B}$ also exists. By point (3) of Definition 12, we get $\pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v \rightsquigarrow q, q \rightsquigarrow \pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, since π_i is not covered, we have $s(\beta \rightsquigarrow \pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v) = s(v_\alpha \rightsquigarrow v_q)$ and moreover α is an intermediate value in the objective $\beta \rightsquigarrow \pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v$. Thus, the same value change as the covered transition in $\pi^{h+1:j-1}$ had to occur in $\pi^{i:h}$ in order to reach $\pi^{i \bullet} \cdot_v$.

Since $x_q \rightsquigarrow \top \in \mathcal{B}$ by point (1) of Definition 12, π' surely reaches the goal from the initial state. Furthermore, thanks to properties of the parametrisation

set semantics and since π is realisable, also $\Psi(\tilde{\pi}') \neq \emptyset$. If π' is valid (regulator state of each transition matches the source state), π is not minimal and we are done. Let us therefore assume there exists a transition π_k with $V(\pi_k) \neq v$ which causally depends on one of the removed transitions. We first show such π_k cannot be covered by an objective in \mathcal{B} by contradiction.

Let thus $O \in \mathcal{B}$ cover π_k and let q be the value of v π_k depends on. Surely $q \neq \pi^{:h \bullet}_v$, as otherwise the removal of $\pi^{h+1:j-1}$ has no effect on π_k . As such, since $x_v \rightsquigarrow q \in \mathcal{B}$, t must exist. Then also objective $\alpha \rightsquigarrow \pi^{:h \bullet}_v \in \mathcal{B}$ and by point (3) of Definition 12 $\pi^{:h \bullet}_v \rightsquigarrow q \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore the first transition reaching the value q of v in $\pi^{h:k}$ is covered, which is a contradiction with π_h being the last covered transition.

We can thus repeat the reasoning for the uncovered π_k and remove the respective loop or unused tail of evolution of component $V(\pi_k)$. Since π is finite, all invalid transitions are ultimately removed while retaining realisability and reachability of the goal. π is thus not minimal.