Chronic Disease Diagnoses Using Behavioral Data

Di Wang^{∗a}, Yidan Hu^{∗a}, Eng Sing Lee^b, Hui Hwang Teong^c, Ray Tian Rui Lai^c, Wai Han Hoi^d, Chunyan Miao^a

> a Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, $^b National\; Hedtherc Group\; Polyclinics, Singapore,$ </sup> c Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, ^dWoodlands Health, Singapore,

Abstract

Early detection of chronic diseases is beneficial to healthcare by providing a golden opportunity for timely interventions. Although numerous prior studies have successfully used machine learning (ML) models for disease diagnoses, they highly rely on medical data, which are scarce for most patients in the early stage of the chronic diseases. In this paper, we aim to diagnose hyperglycemia (diabetes), hyperlipidemia, and hypertension (collectively known as 3H) using own collected behavioral data, thus, enable the early detection of 3H without using medical data collected in clinical settings. Specifically, we collected daily behavioral data from 629 participants over a 3-month study period, and trained various ML models after data preprocessing. Experimental results show that only using the participants' uploaded behavioral data, we can achieve accurate 3H diagnoses: 80.2%, 71.3%, and 81.2% for diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension, respectively. Furthermore, we conduct Shapley analysis on the trained models to identify the most influential features for each type of diseases. The identified influential features are consistent with those reported in the literature.

1. Introduction

Unhealthy lifestyles lead to high incidences of chronic diseases, which is happening pervasively around the globe. For instance, in Singapore, more

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work

than 25% of people over the age of 40 suffer from at least one type of chronic disease [\(Health Promotion Board, Singapore\)](#page-18-0). Chronic diseases mainly damage essential organs such as the brain, heart, and kidney, and are the leading causes of disability and death. Among many chronic diseases, hyperglycemia (diabetes), hyperlipidemia, and hypertension are probably the three most common ones, which are often referred together as 3H. Globally speaking, the predicted number of adult patients with diabetes will rise from 415 million in 2015 to 642 million by 2040 [Ogurtsova et al. \(2017\)](#page-20-0). The prevalence of hyperlipidemia and hypertension among adults is both high that about 39% suffered from hyperlipidemia back in 2008 [Al-Zahrani et al. \(2021](#page-17-0)) and 31.1% suffered from hypertension back in 2010 [Mills et al. \(2016](#page-19-0)). Early detection of chronic diseases, especially the 3H, is vitally important to improve a vast amount of persons' quality of life and alleviate the economic burden due to high medical costs.

Many prior studies have been conducted to diagnose 3H diseases using medical data. For instance, Machine Learning (ML) classification algorithms were used to predict diabetes [Sisodia and Sisodia \(2018\)](#page-20-1); [Choubey et al.](#page-18-1) [\(2017\)](#page-18-1) on the Pima Indians Diabetes Dataset (PIDD), which comprises blood glucose and blood pressure data collected from hospitals. A predictive model was trained to diagnose hypertension [Ye et al. \(2018](#page-21-0)) using the Maine Health Information Exchange Network dataset, which comprises demographic and medical data. Electronic medical records were leveraged to predict hyperlipidemia using ML models [Liao et al. \(2022\)](#page-19-1).

However, medical data are expensive, thus, often not comprehensively available for majority people. On one hand, medical data are mostly collected in clinical settings by professionally trained persons (e.g., doctors, nurses, occupational healthcare providers, etc.). Such data collection often incurs relatively high monetary and time costs. On the other hand, there is a prevalent stereotype, especially in Asian countries, that people have a negative view towards visiting hospitals. Many will only do so when their health status has deteriorated badly enough that visiting hospitals is unavoidable. As a result of these leading factors, during the early stage of most chronic diseases, especially the 3H, patients are often unaware that they have a great risk of developing or are already suffering from these diseases due to the subtle symptoms.

Because the early detection of chronic diseases is critical, however, challenging in clinical settings, healthcare professionals and ML researchers are working together towards detecting the early symptoms of chronic diseases

using behavioral data. Such choice is well grounded because other than genotype and external factors, most chronic diseases are the long-term outcome of ones' lifestyle. The authors of this paper comprise both ML researchers and medical doctors. Together, we developed a mobile app to collect over 600 users' daily behavioral data for a 3-month study period (see Section [3\)](#page-5-0) and subsequently trained a set of ML algorithms (see Section [4.2\)](#page-11-0) to answer the following research question:

Whether and to what extent the collected daily behavioral data can be used to accurately assess one's 3H status?

Before we attempt to answer such research question by applying ML algorithms onto the behavioral dataset, we have to preprocess the collected data mainly due to the following two reasons. Firstly, akin to most real-world datasets, especially in the field of healthcare, there are always missing values. In this paper, we apply both Mean Imputation (MI) and k-Nearest Neighbor Imputation (KNNI) methods to handle the missing values (see Section [4.1\)](#page-8-0). Secondly, because the behavioral data were uploaded by users without anyone's supervision, we need to carefully examine such self-reported data and remove any detected false data entries (see Section [4.1\)](#page-8-0). Furthermore, because the study spanned across three months that one's lifestyle may already deviate, we purposely extract features to represent such changes in behavior (see Section [4.1\)](#page-8-0). As the Shapley explainability assessments suggest (see Section [5.4\)](#page-14-0), such extracted behavioral changes do significantly contribute to the overall disease diagnoses. Experimental results suggest that it is quite accurate to diagnose 3H diseases using only the collected daily behavioral data. Specifically, the accuracy of diagnosing diabetes and hypertension is both over 0.8 and that of diagnosing hyperlipidemia is over 0.7 (see Section [5.3\)](#page-13-0). Furthermore, it is extremely encouraging to learn that using one's comprehensive daily behavioral data is more accurate in the diagnosis of hypertension than only relying on the traditional way of taking a series of blood pressure measurements (see Fig. [1\)](#page-14-1). In summary, we adequately answer the research question by showing that we can accurately diagnose 3H diseases by using the collected comprehensive daily behavioral data only.

The contributions of this research work are as follows.

- We introduce how we collected daily behavioral data and preprocessed them for subsequent disease diagnoses.
- We conduct extensive experiments on 3H disease diagnoses. Experimental results show that we can rely on the collected behavioral data

for accurate diagnoses of 3H. Notably, in terms of hypertension, our approach outperforms the traditional way of taking a series of blood pressure measurements.

• We investigate feature importance with the aid of Shapley values and find the identified influential features highly consistent with those reported in the literature.

2. Related Work

In this section, we review two lines of prior studies, i.e., ML models used for 3H diagnoses and the related datasets.

2.1. Machine Learning Models Used for 3H Diagnoses

Diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension, collectively known as 3H, are typical chronic diseases that may greatly affect one's quality of life and even reduce life expectancy [Zavoreo et al. \(2012\)](#page-21-1). Early detection and timely intervention are essential to prevent 3H from deterioration or even to reverse the 3H status. Recently, many studies have proposed to predict these chronic diseases using various ML models.

To diagnose diabetes, Kavakiotis et al. [Kavakiotis et al. \(2017](#page-19-2)) studied various ML algorithms in diabetes prediction, diabetic complications, genetic background and environment, and healthcare and management. Sisodia and Sisodia [Sisodia and Sisodia \(2018\)](#page-20-1) diagnosed diabetes with Decision Tree (DT), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Naive Bayes (NB) on the Pima Indians Diabetes Database (PIDD) and evaluated the performance with precision, accuracy, F-measure, and recall. NB achieved the highest accuracy in their study. Zou et al. [Zou et al. \(2018\)](#page-21-2) conducted feature reduction using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and minimum Redundancy Maximum Relevance (mRMR) and utilized Random Forest (RF), J48, and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for binary diabetes prediction. In their study, RF outperformed the others. Tigga and Garg [Tigga and Garg \(2020\)](#page-21-3) collected participants' health, lifestyle, and family background data using online and offline questionnaires and predicted diabetes with logistic regression, K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), SVM, NB, DT and RF. Among these, RF was again found to be the most accurate.

To diagnose hyperlipidemia, Berina et al. [Berina et al. \(2021](#page-18-2)) leveraged ANN to assist doctors in diagnosing familial hyperlipidemia. Zhang et al. [Zhang et al. \(2019\)](#page-21-4) proposed a diagnostic system utilizing data extension and data correction, which can diagnose hyperlipidemia with human physiological parameters comprising blood glucose detection, glycosylated hemoglobin test, routine blood examination, routine urine test, and biochemical detection. Liu et al. [Liu et al. \(2020\)](#page-19-3) proposed an auxiliary diagnosis support algorithm to diagnose hyperlipidemia with text-based medical data based on a deep learning algorithm with attention.

To diagnose hypertension, Zhu et al. [Zhu et al. \(2021\)](#page-21-5) leveraged the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) model and Bayesian fitting method using longterm indoor environmental data. Kim et al. [Kim et al. \(2022\)](#page-19-4) used a deep neural network to study the effect of energy intake adjustment in hypertension. Leha et al. [Leha et al. \(2019\)](#page-19-5) used RF of various types of trees namely classification, regression, lasso penalized logistic regression, and boosted classification trees, and SVM to predict Pulmonary Artery Pressure (PAP) with echocardiographic estimations of PAP obtained within 24 hours. Their results show that the RF of regression trees achieves the best performance in terms of the AUC metric.

These prior studies focus on one type of disease. However, 3H is a well known comorbidity problem, which means there is a high prevalence of having more than one diseases among the three [Petrie et al. \(2018](#page-20-2)). In this paper, we diagnose all three of them and investigate the feature importance regarding different diseases.

2.2. 3H Disease Diagnosis Datasets

Apart from ML algorithms, the input data used are also critical to 3H diagnoses. For the diagnosis of diabetes, the most widely used dataset is PIDD , which comprises 768 instances of female participants over the age of 21. There are eight features in PIDD, namely age, BMI, glucose, insulin level, Blood Pressure (BP), number of pregnancies, skin thickness, and outcome label, out of which most are medical data. The Singapore Epidemiology of Eye Disease (SEED) dataset has also been used for diabetes diagnosis [Tan et al. \(2018\)](#page-20-3). SEED comprises 10,033 patients' records with profile data such as income, education, and retinopathy attributes as enrichment.

For the diagnosis of hypertension, both the Maine Health Information Exchange Network dataset [Ye et al. \(2018](#page-21-0)) and the Henry Ford Health Systems database [Sakr et al. \(2018\)](#page-20-4) have been widely used [Hao et al. \(2015\)](#page-18-3); [Elshawi et al. \(2019](#page-18-4)). They both comprise participants' profile data (e.g.,

age and gender) and comprehensive medical data. Moreover, the Beijing Chinese Han population dataset, which used the epidemiological investigation questionnaire to collect 1,200 patients' records and included environmental and genetic factors, has also been used for hypertension diagnosis [Pei et al.](#page-20-5) [\(2018\)](#page-20-5).

So far, to the best of our knowledge, there is no publicly available dataset designated for the diagnosis of hyperlipidemia (note that [Liao et al. \(2022\)](#page-19-1) reviewed in Section [1](#page-0-0) is not meant for hyperlipidemia diagnosis only). One possible reason is because such disease can be straightforwardly diagnosed using a conventional blood test. There seems to be a lack of promising results on the diagnosis of hyperlipidemia using behavioral data up until now. Nevertheless, the Jackson Heart Study (JHS) dataset [Wyatt et al. \(2008\)](#page-21-6) is a comprehensive collection of 3,340 participants' profile data, lifestyle data, medical measurement data, and other sociocultural attributes, which may be used for the diagnoses of all 3H diseases [Sims et al. \(2011](#page-20-6)); [Thallapureddy et al.](#page-21-7) [\(2003\)](#page-21-7).

Models trained on the reviewed datasets usually perform well, mainly because these datasets are rich in various medical measurements and have (relatively) few missing values. However, as discussed in Section [1,](#page-0-0) medical data are most likely unavailable for the early detection of 3H among a large population. In this paper, we aim to diagnose 3H diseases using only the collected daily behavioral data instead of the comprehensive medical data collected in clinical settings.

3. Daily Behavioral Dataset for 3H Diagnosis

In this section, we introduce the details of our collected Singaporean daily behavioral dataset for 3H Diagnosis (S3D).

As introduced in Section [1,](#page-0-0) for the early detection of 3H diseases, we hypothesize to collect longitudinal behavioral data and use such data for accurate 3H diagnosis instead of using medical data collected in clinical settings. Towards this goal, we developed a mobile app for users to upload their daily behavioral data, including sleep hours, step counts, daily activities they perform (see Table [3\)](#page-9-0) with respective duration, etc. If the recruited users (participants of the study) has their own blood pressure monitor and/or glucometer at home, we encourage them to upload their blood pressure (BP) readings (ideally twice a day, eight hours apart) and/or blood glucose (BG) readings (ideally twice a day, once before meal and the other two hours after

Table 1: 3H status among recruited participants

Disease	Yes		No Pre-disease
Diabetes	244 (38.79%)	$349(55.49\%)$	$36(5.72\%)$
Hyperlipidemia	364 (57.87%)	$265(42.13\%)$	N.A.
Hypertension		$350(55.64\%)$ 279 (44.36\%)	NA.

meal). Although BP and BG readings may not be conventionally considered as behavioral data, because these readings are self-tested at home (not in a clinical environment) by those participants who concern much on their health status, we deem these readings as uploaded daily behavioral data. To ease data collection, we enable the app to link with wearables (e.g., Fitbit or another mobile app) for automated entries of step counts, sleep duration, and activities, and to capture the BP or BG reading autonomously by taking a photo of the meter. Nonetheless, after linking the activity data and/or capturing the meter reading, the participant is allowed to make amendments because the linked and captured data may not always be accurate. Therefore, we still consider all the data uploaded through our app as self-reported by the participants, hence, there is a need to validate the authenticity of such data (see Section [4.1.1\)](#page-8-1).

Our study had obtained the relevant ethics approval. Altogether, we recruited 629 participants (mean age=57.96, std=13.23) from hospitals, clinics, and community centres from end 2021 to mid 2022. All participants were asked to use our app to upload their daily behavioral data for three consecutive months. Among these 629 participants, majority are female (402, 63.91%). The spread of ethnic groups well represents the respective ratio of Singapore that in our study, majority participants are Chinese (493, 78.38%), followed by Malay (67, 10.65%), Indian (54, 8.59%) and others (15, 2.38%). The disease labels (see Table [1\)](#page-6-0) as well as the basic profile data (i.e., BMI, smoking and drinking status, see Table [2\)](#page-7-0) are obtained upon participant recruitment. We will make S3D public once this paper is accepted for publication and the relevant approval is obtained.

4. Using S3D Dataset for 3H Diagnosis

In this section, we present the data processing steps and introduce the ML algorithms used for 3H diagnosis.

Index	Attribute	Descriptions	Range	MV Numbers (ratio)
	Gender	female, male		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{2}$	Age	current age		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{3}$	Ethnic group	Chinese, Malay, Indian, Eurasian, Philippines, Others		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{4}$	BMI	body mass index in $\text{kg}/\text{m}2$	[16.44, 517.82]	$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{5}$	Smoking status	non-smoker, ex-smoker, smoker		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{6}$	Drinking status	non-drinker, ex-drinker, drinker		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{7}$	BG	blood glucose in mmol/L	[4.0, 29.0]	346 (68.79%)
$\overline{8}$	BG_{BM}	blood glucose before meal	[4.0, 13.27]	360 (71.57%)
$\overline{9}$	BG_{AM}	blood glucose two hours after meal	[4.71, 29.0]	394 (78.33%)
$\overline{10}$	BG_C	gap value of blood glucose between BG_{BM} and BG_{AM}	[0.0, 9.77]	$408(81.11\%)$
$\overline{11}$	SBP	systolic blood pressure in mmHg	[95.6, 163.5]	154(30.62%)
12	SBP_F	participants' SBP during the former half of participation time	[96.0, 167.0]	154(30.62%)
$\overline{13}$	SBP_L	participants' SBP during the latter half of participation time	[92.0, 175.5]	192 (38.17%)
14	SBP_C	gap value of blood pressure between $\overline{\text{SBP}}_F$ and SBP_L	[0.0, 38.0]	192 (38.17%)
$\overline{15}$	DBP	diastolic blood pressure in mmHg	[46.0, 111.0]	154(30.62%)
$\overline{16}$	DBP_F	participants' DBP during the former half of participation time	[46.0, 111.0]	154 (30.62%)
$\overline{17}$	DBP_L	participants' DBP during the latter half of participation time	56.78, 99.67	192 (38.17%)
18	DBP_C	gap value of blood pressure between DBP_F and DBP_L	[0.0, 25.0]	192 (38.17%)
19	Step	mean value of all uploaded step numbers	[1.0, 27437.52]	33 (6.56%)
$\overline{20}$	$\overline{\text{Step}_F}$	mean value of all uploaded step numbers during the former half of participation time	[1.0, 27827.38]	33 (6.56%)
$\frac{1}{21}$	$\overline{\text{Step}}_L$	mean value of all uploaded step numbers during the latter half of participation time	1.67, 42608.70	43 (8.55%)
22	$Step_{C}$	gap value of step numbers between $Step_F$ and $Step_I$	[0.0, 36965.22]	43 (8.55%)
$\overline{23}$	Step Count	count of times for uploading steps	[1.0, 117.0]	33 (6.56%)
$\frac{1}{24}$	$Step_F$ Count	count of times for uploading steps during the former half of participation time	[1.0, 58.0]	33 (6.56%)
25	$StepL$ Count	count of times for uploading steps during the latter half of participation time	[1.0, 59.0]	43 (8.55%)
$\frac{1}{26}$	$Step_C$ Count	gap value of step count between $Step_F$ and $Step_L$	[0.0, 1.0]	43 (8.55%)
$rac{18}{27}$	Sleep	mean value of all uploaded sleep minutes	45.0, 604.02	$76(15.11\%)$
28	$\overline{\text{Sleep}}_F$	mean value of all uploaded sleep minutes during the former half of participation time	[1.0, 115.0]	$76(15.11\%)$
29	$Sleep_L$	mean value of all uploaded sleep minutes during the latter half of participation time	[30.0, 731.5]	110 (21.87%)
30	$Sleep_C$	gap value of sleep minutes between $Sleep_F$ and $Sleep_L$	35.83, 660.0	110 (21.87%)
31	Sleep Count	count of times for uploading sleeps minutes	[0.0, 378.0]	$76(15.11\%)$
32	$Sleep_F$ Count	count of times for uploading sleep minutes during the former half of participation time	[1.0, 57.0]	$76(15.11\%)$
33	$Sleep_L$ Count	count of times for uploading sleep minutes during the latter half of participation time	[1.0, 58.0]	110 (21.87%)
34	$Sleep_C$ Count	gap value of sleep count between $Sleep_F$ and $Sleep_L$	[0.0, 1.0]	110(21.87%)
$\overline{35}$	Activity	physical activity level (see (2))	[0.0, 245679.5]	26(5.17%)
36	Diabetes	pre-diabetes (pre-DM), diabetes mellitus (DM), and no DM		$0(0.00\%)$
$\overline{37}$	Hyperlipidemia	yes if diagnosed with hyperlipidemia, otherwise no		$0(0.00\%)$
38	Hypertension	yes if diagnosed with hypertension, otherwise no		$0(0.00\%)$

Table 2: Attributes in S3D dataset

4.1. Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is essential to standardize the dataset for model training. In this subsection, we introduce how we perform data cleaning, augmentation, and imputation.

4.1.1. Data Cleaning

In this study, all the 629 participants enrolled for approximately three months. To ensure high-level data quality to train meaningful models, we first remove a participant's data if he/she uploaded data via our mobile app for less than ten days during the 3-month study (113 such participants), and if his/her profile data have critical missing values (such as age, gender, and BMI, 6 such participants). After such removals, we have the daily behavioral data of 510 participants. Furthermore, although our system is able to auto-load/recognize certain data (such as step counts, sleep hours, measurement readings, etc.), the participants may still choose to self-report/alter these readings. Thus, there is a need to further check whether there are any suspicious self-reported data that may highly likely be intentionally repeatedly entered by a few participants on different days, because doing this may quickly help them to complete the daily task(s) given by our mobile app. Upon the examination of repeated data entries on different days, we find a small group of participants who entered identical sleep hours for more than ten days (i.e., std=0 for all their daily sleep hours). Because the report of sleep hours has a granularity of minutes (e.g., 7 hours and 20 mins), we deem having exactly the same sleep duration for more than ten days as highly unlikely, and thus remove the data of these 7 participants. In the end, we have a dataset comprising 503 participants' daily behavioral data, i.e., 116 participants' data (20.03%) are removed.

4.1.2. Feature Engineering

As shown in Table [2,](#page-7-0) we use a total number of 38 medical, profile, and activity attributes for 3H diagnoses. The last three attributes, i.e., Diabetes, Hyperlipidemia, Hypertension, are the labels of 3H, respectively. Apart from few attributes remaining invariant throughout the 3-month study, namely gender and ethnic group, the others evolved along with new data being uploaded by the participants, especially for medical measurement data (e.g., blood pressure) and activity data (e.g., step count). For those attributes having varying values, we utilize the mean value across the entire study period to represent the overall value. However, only using the mean value will

Activity	#Entries	Intensity code Taylor et al. (1978)
Housework	343	2.5
Walking	315	3.5
Jogging	235	6.0
Aerobic workout	130	8.0
Cycling	94	4.0
Swimming	77	6.0
Elliptical	46	6.0
Gym	29	

Table 3: Popular activities conducted by participants

overlook the dynamic changes during the 3-month study period, which might be critical for revealing the changes in participants' disease status. To effectively capture such dynamic changes while still maintaining simplicity, we conduct data augmentation on these attributes. Specifically, we divide the data uploaded by each participant into two subsets evenly by following their chronological order and compute two mean values, respectively. We further compute the difference between the two mean values as another attribute for the relevant change. We denote the measurement for the former half and the latter half using subscripts F and L , respectively, and denote the change in between using subscript C. For instance, one's systolic blood pressure for the former half period of the study is denoted as SBP_F and the latter half as SBP_L . In addition, the change SBP_C is computed as follows:

$$
SBP_C = |SBP_F - SBP_L|,\t\t(1)
$$

where $|\cdot|$ obtains the absolute value. For blood glucose, we conduct data augmentation by computing the gap between the mean values of blood glucose measurements before and after meals, which is denoted as BG_C (see Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

Physical activity and exercises may reflect one's physical conditions and the general health status. Knapik et al. [Knapik et al. \(2019\)](#page-19-6) showed that people with chronic diseases generally have a lower level of physical fitness than healthy people. We list the most frequently uploaded activities in Table [3.](#page-9-0) As shown, the top three activities are housework, walking, and jogging. This is consistent with the profile of our participants that we have a large number of female elderly. In our study, instead of capturing the intensity

of all activities, we group all the activities performed and uploaded by less than 50 participants during the entire 3-month study period as "Others" (see Elliptical and Gym in Table [3\)](#page-9-0). Then we compute the physical activeness of each individual participant, denoted as A, using the widely adopted intensity formula [Taylor et al. \(1978\)](#page-20-7):

$$
A = \sum I_i \cdot F_i \cdot T_i,\tag{2}
$$

where i denotes the ith activity, I_i denotes the intensity code of activity i which represents the activity's level of energy expenditure, F_i denotes the number of sessions (i.e., days) that the participant performed activity i during the 3-month study, and T_i denotes the average time spent in each session.

4.1.3. Missing Value Imputation

Like most datasets in the healthcare domain, our collected S3D dataset also has missing attribute values. Directly deleting data entries having missing values will significantly reduce the size of the dataset. To address this issue, various missing values imputation methods [Lin and Tsai \(2020\)](#page-19-7); [Donders et al. \(2006\)](#page-18-5) have been proposed, among which Mean Imputation (MI) is a popular method. In addition, Luo et al. [Luo et al. \(2022](#page-19-8)) compared the performance of different missing value imputation methods on the diabetes prediction task and their experimental results showed that the KNN Imputation (KNNI) method outperforms the others. Therefore, for all experiments of this work, we apply both MI (across all participants) and KNNI (only across k nearest neighbors) methods. Among all the 35 data features, only six of them have no missing value, namely age, gender, race, BMI, smoking habit, and drinking habit (see the last column of Table [3\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, we use the first four: age, gender, race, and BMI, as the anchoring features for KNNI, and set k to 200 based on the preliminary results. The details of the two imputation methods are as follows,

- Mean Imputation (MI): This method fills missing values by inserting the mean value of all non-missing values for the corresponding attribute [Donders et al. \(2006](#page-18-5)).
- k-Nearest Neighbor Imputation (KNNI): This method first measures the distance (dissimilarity) among data instances to search k nearest neighbors for each instance with missing value(s). Then, missing values of each attribute are imputed by inserting the average value or the majority class of the identified k nearest neighbors.

4.1.4. One-hot Encoder

Before training ML models, we need to properly convert the categorical variables into a numeric format. In this work, we utilize the one-hot encoder to create a new variable for each categorical feature and map each category value into a vector of 0s and one 1, where 1 represents the corresponding category belongingness.

[thp]

4.2. Machine Learning Algorithms Used for 3H Diagnosis

In this subsection, we introduce the following ML algorithms used for 3H diagnosis:

4.2.1. Random Forest (RF)

RF [Breiman \(2001](#page-18-6)) conducts prediction tasks by constructing a large number of decision trees and then selecting the class based on majority voting.

4.2.2. XGBoost (XGB)

XGBoost [Chen and Guestrin \(2016](#page-18-7)) is a scalable ML model based on tree boosting. Similar to RF, XGBoost also achieves high accuracy by having a set of decision trees, which lowers their model interpretability.

4.2.3. k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

KNN [Peterson \(2009](#page-20-8)) is a distance-based supervised learning algorithm that classifies new data samples by observing the behavior of their k nearest neighbors.

4.2.4. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

SVM [Amari and Wu \(1999](#page-17-1)) aims to find the best hyperplane which makes the margin between different classes the largest.

5. Experiments

In this section, we introduce how we conduct experiments for 3H diagnoses and compare the results obtained using various imputation methods and ML models. Furthermore, we analyze the explainability of the model outputs with the aid of Shapley values.

Disease	ML model	Imputation	Accuracy	F1	Recall	Precision	TPR	TNR
	XGB	KNN	0.802	0.795	0.802	0.794	0.683	0.898
		Mean	0.753	0.743	0.753	0.754	0.561	0.898
	RF	KNN	0.762	0.754	0.762	0.753	0.634	0.864
Diabetes		Mean	0.743	0.730	0.743	0.735	0.561	0.881
	SVM	KNN	0.584	0.431	0.584	0.341	0.000	1.000
		Mean	0.584	0.431	0.584	0.341	0.000	1.000
	KNN	KNN	0.594	0.574	0.594	0.582	0.342	0.780
		Mean	0.574	0.551	0.574	0.551	0.317	0.763
	XGB	KNN	0.673	0.732	0.804	0.672	0.804	0.511
		Mean	0.663	0.717	0.768	0.672	0.768	0.533
	\mathbf{RF}	KNN	0.713	0.782	0.929	0.675	0.929	0.444
		Mean	0.663	0.739	0.857	0.649	0.857	0.422
Hyperlipidemia	SVM KNN	KNN	0.555	0.713	1.000	0.555	1.000	0.000
		Mean	0.525	0.688	0.946	0.541	0.946	0.000
		KNN	0.535	0.624	0.696	0.565	0.696	0.333
		Mean	0.515	0.602	0.661	0.552	0.661	0.333
	XGB	KNN	0.812	0.829	0.868	0.793	0.868	0.750
		Mean	0.713	0.734	0.755	0.714	0.755	0.667
	RF	KNN	0.772	0.800	0.868	0.742	0.868	0.667
Hypertension		Mean	0.753	0.783	0.849	0.726	0.849	0.646
	SVM	KNN	0.525	0.688	1.000	0.525	1.000	0.000
		Mean	0.525	0.688	1.000	0.525	1.000	0.000
	KNN	KNN	0.515	0.588	0.660	0.530	0.660	0.354
		Mean	0.564	0.651	0.774	0.562	0.774	0.333
	Expert Rule $(w/o\ MV)$		0.643	0.704	0.569	0.923	0.569	0.861
	Expert Rule	Mean	0.670	0.614	0.460	0.923	0.460	0.949
		KNN	0.670	0.614	0.460	0.923	0.460	0.949

Table 4: Performance comparison on 3H diagnosis

Note: The term "w/o MV" means data entries with missing values are removed instead of imputed.

 $\frac{1}{3}$

5.1. Experimental Settings

For all experiments in this work, we adopt the standard k -fold crossvalidation approach. Specifically, for each fold of cross-validation, the training dataset comprising $k-1$ folds of training samples is further divided into k smaller sets. Then, a model is trained on $k-1$ sets and validated on the remaining set, and this process is repeated k times that the $k-1$ folds of training samples have been used for validation once. Subsequently, the best hyperparameter values identified from the iterative validations are used to train the final model on all the k-1 folds of training data samples. Finally, we apply the trained final model to assess the corresponding fold of the testing data samples and report the averaged results of the k folds. Besides, we apply stratified k-fold cross-validation to ensure approximately the same class ratios among all data splits. To evaluate and compare the performance of all ML models, we adopt six widely used metrics: Accuracy, F1-score (F1), Precision, Recall, True Positive Rate (TPR), and False Positive Rate (FPR).

5.2. Expert Rule as Baseline

Although many chronic diseases are normally diagnosed by conducting clinical tests (e.g., blood test for diabetes and hyperlipidemia), few of them can be diagnosed in non-clinical settings. For instance, blood pressure (BP) can be measured easily at home, and used to self-diagnose hypertension according the following expert rule:

If at least two readings of the systolic or diastolic blood pressure on two different occasions are more than 140 mmHg or 90 mmHg, respectively, the person can be diagnosed with hypertension.

Therefore, other than comparing the results obtained by the various ML models, we also compare them with the expert rule for diagnosing hypertension (see the last three rows of Table [4\)](#page-12-0).

5.3. Experimental Results

Table [4](#page-12-0) reports the model performance of XGB, RF, SVM, and KNN for 3H diagnoses. We have the following key observations from Table [4:](#page-12-0)

(i) Overall speaking, XGB with KNNI achieves the best performance on diabetes and hypertension predictions. RF with KNNI outperforms the others on hyperlipidemia prediction. XGB and RF perform better than SVM and KNN in general. These findings are consistent with the literature and within expectation that ensemble methods generally perform better than single (shallow) learners in the healthcare domain [Luo et al. \(2022\)](#page-19-8).

Figure 1: Comparison of accuracy for hypertension diagnosis. ER denotes the expert rule (see Section [5.2\)](#page-13-1), and MV denotes missing values.

(ii) As shown in Table [4,](#page-12-0) we also compare the best performance of each model with the expert rule on hypertension diagnosis. The results show that XGB and RF achieve better performance and outperform the expert rule (see Fig. [1\)](#page-14-1). Such finding is extremely encouraging because it demonstrates that certain disease diagnoses using comprehensive daily behavioral data as complements to the relevant measurement data may be more reliable than only using those measurement data.

(iii) Comparing between the two imputation methods, we can see that KNNI outperforms MI. This is consistent with the prior study on diabetes prediction using medical data [Luo et al. \(2022\)](#page-19-8) that for disease predictions, it is more accurate to impute the missing values only according to the most similar peers.

5.4. Shapley Analysis for Explainable 3H Diagnosis

In this work, a total of 35 attributes are used for 3H diagnosis. To further analyze the importance of the individual attributes and provide explanations of the trained models, we leverage the Shapley values [Winter \(2002\)](#page-21-8) to investigate the contribution of each attribute to the diagnosis result.

Fig. [2\(](#page-15-0)a) shows the SHAP summary plot for diabetes diagnosis using the overall best performing ML model XGBoost (XGB). The x-axis represents

Figure 2: SHAP summary plot on hyperlipidemia and hypertension diagnoses using XGB.

the magnitude of the Shapley values, and the top ten most influential attributes are sequentially listed along the y-axis. As shown in Fig. $2(a)$, the most influential attribute is the averaged self-reported blood glucose measurement. This finding is as expected that in clinical settings, glucose readings obtained from blood test results alone are used to diagnose diabetes [Assegie et al. \(2022](#page-17-2)). Nonetheless, similar to hypertension diagnosis (see Section [5.3\)](#page-13-0), if we only use the series of "BG" readings to diagnose diabetes, we end up with inferior results than those reported in Table [4.](#page-12-0) The width of each colored horizontal bar roughly represents the attribute's contribution to the respective diabetes class. For instance, as shown in Fig. $2(a)$, "BG" plays a critical role in both diabetes-free and diabetes cases and has little influence on pre-diabetes [Assegie et al. \(2022](#page-17-2)). Other than the "BG" series, one's physical activeness (i.e., "Activity") is the most influential attribute in diabetes prediction [Knapik et al. \(2019\)](#page-19-6). The top contributing attributes to distinguish pre-diabetes are blood pressure attributes and lifestyle (i.e., sleep hours). All these findings are consistent with the respective medical and commonsense knowledge, demonstrating the correctness of the trained XGBoost model.

Furthermore, we show SHAP summary plots for hyperlipidemia and hypertension diagnoses in Fig. [2.](#page-15-0) As shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-15-0)b), comparing to blood pressure (BP) attributes, blood glucose (BG) measurements are more indicative attributes for hyperlipidemia diagnosis [Petrie et al. \(2018\)](#page-20-2). Moreover, BMI and $Step_C$ have positive impact leading to hyperlipidemia, which means if a person can reduce his/her BMI and walk around more, he/she will highly likely have a lower risk of suffering from hyperlipidemia. Fig. [2\(](#page-15-0)c) illustrates that the series of "BP" attributes and BMI have the highest impact on hypertension diagnosis [Zhu et al. \(2021\)](#page-21-5). From the top three most influential "BP" attributes, namely DBP_C , SBP_F , and DBP_F , we can see that the change in diastolic readings across the study period (i.e., DBP_C) has a large amount of negative influence towards hypertension. In addition, if SBP_F or DBP_F is high, one may have a high possibility of suffering from hypertension, which is nothing more than commonsense. Similar to hyperlipidemia, one may have a higher chance to stay away from hypertension if he/she can reduce his/her BMI and be more active [He et al. \(2020\)](#page-18-8).

In summary, the Shapley analysis reveals the key influential attributes of the 3H diseases and provides explanations of why an ML model produces that output. These findings are highly consistent with both medical and commonsense knowledge, demonstrating the correctness of our approach in using

comprehensive behavioral data (other than the accuracy shown in Table [4\)](#page-12-0).

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce how we diagnose 3H diseases using own collected behavioral data instead of using medical data collected in clinical settings. The accurate diagnosis results are encouraging which suggest that we may be able to detect the 3H diseases in their early stage by merely leveraging the daily behavioral data.

Our own collected S3D dataset also comprises dietary information other than those listed in Table [2](#page-7-0) that many participants uploaded their three meals and snacks taken each day. Nonetheless, to complement the behavioral data with dietary habit, much effort is required on food ingredient decomposition and nutrition analysis. We leave this part as future work to further elevate 3H diagnosis accuracy.

7. Acknowledgement

This research is supported, in part, by the National Research Foundation (NRF), Singapore under its AI Singapore Programme (AISG Award No: AISG-GC-2019-003). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not reflect the views of National Research Foundation, Singapore.

References

- Al-Zahrani, J., Shubair, M.M., Al-Ghamdi, S., Alrasheed, A.A., Alduraywish, A.A., Alreshidi, F.S., Alshahrani, S.M., Alsalamah, M., Al-Khateeb, B.F., Ashathri, A.I., et al., 2021. The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia and associated risk factors in Al-Kharj population, Saudi Arabia: A crosssectional survey. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders 21, 1–8.
- Amari, S., Wu, S., 1999. Improving support vector machine classifiers by modifying kernel functions. Neural Networks 12, 783–789.
- Assegie, T.A., Karpagam, T., Mothukuri, R., Tulasi, R.L., Engidaye, M.F., 2022. Extraction of human understandable insight from machine learning model for diabetes prediction. Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics 11, 1126–1133.
- Berina, Š., Faruk, Š., Rijad, Š., Aiša, Š., Melisa, Š., Ajla, Š., Merima, Š., Badnjević, A., 2021. Using artificial neural networks in diagnostics of familial combined hyperlipidaemia based on levels of certain blood parameters and risk assessment of developing cardiovascular disease, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Medical and Biological Engineering, pp. 224– 229.
- Breiman, L., 2001. Random forests. Machine Learning 45, 5–32.
- Chen, T., Guestrin, C., 2016. XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system, in: Proceedings of ACM International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pp. 785–794.
- Choubey, D.K., Paul, S., Kumar, S., Kumar, S., 2017. Classification of Pima Indian diabetes dataset using naive Bayes with genetic algorithm as an attribute selection, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Communication and Computing System, pp. 451–455.
- Donders, A.R.T., Van Der Heijden, G.J., Stijnen, T., Moons, K.G., 2006. A gentle introduction to imputation of missing values. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 59, 1087–1091.
- Elshawi, R., Al-Mallah, M.H., Sakr, S., 2019. On the interpretability of machine learning-based model for predicting hypertension. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making 19, 1–32.
- Hao, S., Wang, Y., Jin, B., Shin, A.Y., Zhu, C., Huang, M., Zheng, L., Luo, J., Hu, Z., Fu, C., et al., 2015. Development, validation and deployment of a real time 30 day hospital readmission risk assessment tool in the maine healthcare information exchange. PloS One 10, e0140271.
- He, S., Ryan, K.A., Streeten, E.A., McArdle, P.F., Daue, M., Trubiano, D., Rohrer, Y., Donnelly, P., Drolet, M., Newcomer, S., et al., 2020. Prevalence, control, and treatment of diabetes, hypertension, and high cholesterol in the Amish. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care 8, e000912.
- Health Promotion Board, Singapore, . Tips to Prevent and Manage Chronic Diseases in the Workplace. [https://www.hpb.gov.sg/newsroom/article/tips-to-prevent-and-manage-chronic-disea](https://www.hpb.gov.sg/newsroom/article/tips-to-prevent-and-manage-chronic-diseases-in-the-workplace)
- Kavakiotis, I., Tsave, O., Salifoglou, A., Maglaveras, N., Vlahavas, I., Chouvarda, I., 2017. Machine learning and data mining methods in diabetes research. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 15, 104– 116.
- Kim, H., Hwang, S., Lee, S., Kim, Y., 2022. Classification and prediction on hypertension with blood pressure determinants in a deep learning algorithm. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 19, 15301.
- Knapik, A., Brzek, A., Famula-Waz, A., Gallert-Kopyto, W., Szydłak, D., Marcisz, C., Plinta, R., 2019. The relationship between physical fitness and health self-assessment in elderly. Medicine 98.
- Leha, A., Hellenkamp, K., Unsöld, B., Mushemi-Blake, S., Shah, A.M., Hasenfuß, G., Seidler, T., 2019. A machine learning approach for the prediction of pulmonary hypertension. PloS One 14, e0224453.
- Liao, B., Jia, X., Zhang, T., Sun, R., 2022. DHDIP: An interpretable model for hypertension and hyperlipidemia prediction based on EMR data. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 226, 107088.
- Lin, W.C., Tsai, C.F., 2020. Missing value imputation: A review and analysis of the literature (2006–2017). Artificial Intelligence Review 53, 1487–1509.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhao, G., Liu, G., Liu, Z., 2020. Deep learning-based method of diagnosing hyperlipidemia and providing diagnostic markers automatically. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy 13, 679.
- Luo, F., Qian, H., Wang, D., Guo, X., Sun, Y., Lee, E.S., Teong, H.H., Lai, R.T.R., Miao, C., 2022. Missing value imputation for diabetes prediction, in: Proceedings of International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, pp. 1–8.
- Mills, K.T., Bundy, J.D., Kelly, T.N., Reed, J.E., Kearney, P.M., Reynolds, K., Chen, J., He, J., 2016. Global disparities of hypertension prevalence and control: A systematic analysis of population-based studies from 90 countries. Circulation 134, 441–450.
- Ogurtsova, K., da Rocha Fernandes, J., Huang, Y., Linnenkamp, U., Guariguata, L., Cho, N.H., Cavan, D., Shaw, J., Makaroff, L., 2017. IDF diabetes atlas: Global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 128, 40–50.
- Pei, Z., Liu, J., Liu, M., Zhou, W., Yan, P., Wen, S., Chen, Y., 2018. Riskpredicting model for incident of essential hypertension based on environmental and genetic factors with support vector machine. Interdisciplinary Sciences: Computational Life Sciences 10, 126–130.
- Peterson, L.E., 2009. K-nearest neighbor. Scholarpedia 4, 1883.
- Petrie, J.R., Guzik, T.J., Touyz, R.M., 2018. Diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease: Clinical insights and vascular mechanisms. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 34, 575–584.
- Sakr, S., Elshawi, R., Ahmed, A., Qureshi, W.T., Brawner, C., Keteyian, S., Blaha, M.J., Al-Mallah, M.H., 2018. Using machine learning on cardiorespiratory fitness data for predicting hypertension: The Henry Ford ExercIse Testing (FIT) Project. PLoS One 13, e0195344.
- Sims, M., Roux, A.V.D., Boykin, S., Sarpong, D., Gebreab, S.Y., Wyatt, S.B., Hickson, D., Payton, M., Ekunwe, L., Taylor, H.A., 2011. The socioeconomic gradient of diabetes prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control among African Americans in the Jackson Heart Study. Annals of Epidemiology 21, 892–898.
- Sisodia, D., Sisodia, D.S., 2018. Prediction of diabetes using classification algorithms. Procedia Computer Science 132, 1578–1585.
- Tan, G.S., Gan, A., Sabanayagam, C., Tham, Y.C., Neelam, K., Mitchell, P., Wang, J.J., Lamoureux, E.L., Cheng, C.Y., Wong, T.Y., 2018. Ethnic differences in the prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore epidemiology of eye diseases study. Ophthalmology 125, 529– 536.
- Taylor, H.L., Jacobs Jr, D.R., Schucker, B., Knudsen, J., Leon, A.S., Debacker, G., 1978. A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. Journal of Chronic Diseases 31, 741–755.
- Thallapureddy, A., Migdal, S., Crook, E.D., Flack, J.M., Salahudeen, A., Taylor Jr, H.A., Greene, E.L., Tucker, J.K., 2003. Lipid abnormalities and renal disease: Is dyslipidemia a predictor of progression of renal disease? The American Journal of the Medical Sciences 325, 340–348.
- Tigga, N.P., Garg, S., 2020. Prediction of type 2 diabetes using machine learning classification methods. Procedia Computer Science 167, 706–716.
- Winter, E., 2002. The shapley value. Handbook of Game Theory with Economic Applications 3, 2025–2054.
- Wyatt, S.B., Akylbekova, E.L., Wofford, M.R., Coady, S.A., Walker, E.R., Andrew, M.E., Keahey, W.J., Taylor, H.A., Jones, D.W., 2008. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in the Jackson Heart Study. Hypertension 51, 650–656.
- Ye, C., Fu, T., Hao, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, O., Jin, B., Xia, M., Liu, M., Zhou, X., Wu, Q., et al., 2018. Prediction of incident hypertension within the next year: Prospective study using statewide electronic health records and machine learning. Journal of Medical Internet Research 20, e9268.
- Zavoreo, I., Basic-Kes, V., Demarin, V., 2012. Triple H (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia) and stroke. Periodicum Biologorum 114, 269– 275.
- Zhang, Q., Liu, Y., Liu, G., Zhao, G., Qu, Z., Yang, W., 2019. An automatic diagnostic system based on deep learning, to diagnose hyperlipidemia. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy 12, 637.
- Zhu, R., Lv, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, X., 2021. Prediction of the hypertension risk of the elderly in built environments based on the LSTM deep learning and Bayesian fitting method. Sustainability 13, 5724.
- Zou, Q., Qu, K., Luo, Y., Yin, D., Ju, Y., Tang, H., 2018. Predicting diabetes mellitus with machine learning techniques. Frontiers in Genetics 9, 515.