

Contemporary Model Compression on Large Language Models Inference

Dong Liu

dliu328@wisc.edu

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Abstract

Model compression is a technique that can reduce the size and computational demands of machine learning models while maintaining their performance. As Large Language Models (LLMs) become popular, the need for efficient design for ML models on LLMs grows. We are amazed by the excellent output by the LLMs, yet we are still troubled with slow inference speed and large memory consumption of contemporary LLMs. Model compression, as a technology to compress large LLMs into smaller ones, has risen in popularity in the current machine learning field. This paper will focus on modern model compression technology and will illustrate it from three perspectives: quantization, knowledge distillation, and pruning. These methodologies optimize model compression methods from different perspectives to save computational resources, making LLMs easier, cheaper, and more accessible.

1 Introduction

Despite the fast development of model compression, some believe that model compression is unnecessary and that storage development of hardware will overwhelm the need for model compression, as NVIDIA GPUs are growing significantly each year. Gordon (2020) suggests that model compression is not necessary for contemporary machine learning, and instead, hardware improvements and distributed computing might be more effective. However, Gordon misses the “tiny ML model” need for scalable and accessible LLM deployment. While hardware advancements are beneficial, they do not address the need for efficient and scalable deployment of LLMs. Studies show that model compression techniques (such as quantization-aware training) can reduce model size while maintaining performance (Lin et al., 2024). As current LLMs have billions of parameters, deployment of LLMs will consume large amounts of memory and GPU resources. Even with advanced hardware, it will still consume up to 32GB RAM and 17GB swap for deployment on a mobile phone and take 2 minutes for a question to be inferred (Amable, 2021). Thus, model compression is im-

portant for fast and memory-efficient deployment of LLMs on a wide range of devices, including those with limited memory and GPUs such as smartphones.

2 Model Compression Techniques

There are three main perspectives for model compression in LLMs, each offering unique optimizations: quantization, knowledge distillation, and pruning.

2.1 Quantization

Quantization is one popular way for model compression. It selectively reduces the precision of model parameters in its 2-digit representation, thereby reducing the model’s storage needs. To simplify, quantization acts as a merchant, where the merchandise is model parameters. Large language models have billions of parameters, leading to immense storage requirements and slow inference speed. The ”quantization” merchant will buy the valuable weights at a normal price (original precision) and the less important ones at a lower price (lower precision). By using this strategy, the merchant gains a small yet efficient model with less computational resources, which can be comparable in performance to the original model.

One famous quantization method is AWQ, developed by Lin et al. (2024). In this work, the authors proposed an activation-aware quantization method. They found that the generation stage (output generation) is much slower than context (input context analysis) in on-device applications of LLMs. Further studies showed that the generation stage is bounded by memory, and memory usage can be greatly reduced by using activation compression. Lin et al. (2024) also noted that skipping a small fraction of important weights (salient weights) while quantizing others (non-salient weights) can greatly reduce quantization loss. They found that selecting salient weights based on activation magnitude can improve quantization performance. The core technique of AWQ is to calculate a parameter called the channel scaling factor for the tradeoff between salient and non-salient channels. This makes the quantization process ”activation aware” because the parameter is learnable, and it is computationally friendly as AWQ does not require regression or backpropagation during the quantization phase, thus needing fewer data and computational resources.

Another popular quantization method is the integer-arithmetic-only inference developed by Jacob et al. (2018). Instead of using a low-digit floating point number for storage, they developed a new quantization scheme that only uses integers for computation during the inference stage, greatly reducing computational resources. Jacob also developed integer-only-arithmetic multiplication methods to achieve fast inference. Empirical results show that integer-only-arithmetic inference with 8-bit quantization is comparable to floating-point inference on different hardware, proving the effectiveness of integer-only quantization.

2.2 Knowledge Distillation

Beyond quantization, another hot direction for efficient model compression is knowledge distillation, which provides a neural network perspective for model compression in LLMs. Knowledge distillation is a method to transfer knowledge from a large model (teacher) to a small model (student) with the aim that the student can learn from the teacher. In knowledge distillation, the student model tries to mimic the teacher model’s output. Since the student cannot learn everything the teacher knows, it needs to focus on a specific domain. Knowledge distillation sets up a loss function between the student’s output and the teacher’s output, using a neural network to optimize this loss function so as to supervise the student in mimicking the teacher’s output more closely.

One famous contemporary application of knowledge distillation in LLMs is MiniLLM, developed by Microsoft (Gu et al., 2024). Traditional knowledge distillation (Ba and Caruana, 2014) uses a loss where teacher and student generate their response to the input prompt independently and compute the forward loss. The neural network for the forward loss adjusts the student’s output based on each loss backpropagation. However, Gu et al. (2024) noticed that forward KD does not fit the original data distribution as it always overestimates in low-probability regions and underestimates in high-probability regions. To solve this problem, Gu developed a method called reverse KD, where the student model does not mimic the teacher model but instead provides a distribution preferred by the teacher. In this "reversed" mimic process, students provide answers, and the teacher gives scores to those answers—a student’s response distribution close to the teacher’s is preferred and given a higher score, while biased distributions are discarded.

In addition to MiniLLM, another famous work is Google’s research on the JFK dataset (Hinton et al., 2015). The JFK dataset contains 100 million images with 15 labels, and training an ensemble model on this dataset would take several years. To achieve faster training, Hinton et al. (2015) first trained an initial general model (a teacher model pretrained on the whole dataset). By applying knowledge distillation on different labels, they obtained multiple specialist models by mimicking the teacher model from different perspectives. After the specialist models were set up, they were trained independently on different clusters. Empirical results showed that it took only a few days for the JFK specialists to be trained, and these distilled models even slightly surpassed the original teacher models. This experiment validates that knowledge distillation is a computational resource-saving solution for large-scale machine learning scenarios, achieving better results than the original ensemble models.

2.3 Pruning

In addition to quantization and knowledge distillation, pruning is another efficient way to save computational resources in LLMs. While quantization focuses on hardware and knowledge distillation on knowledge transfer in neural networks, pruning concentrates on the model structure itself. Pruning in ma-

chine learning involves cutting off unimportant neuron connections in the neural network while retaining the important ones, making the model more memory-efficient and faster.

Ma et al. (2023) show that model compression by task-specific knowledge distillation is still time-consuming in some cases (3.5 days, 4 GPUs for 20GB corpus), and pruning can significantly compress model size on a structural level (3 hours, 1 GPU for 50MB corpus after pruning). One famous method of pruning in LLMs is LLMPrunner (Ma et al., 2023). Initially, a dependency tree/graph is established based on structural dependency in LLMs, linking neurons based on dependency relationships. After building the dependency graph, the Pruner analyzes the importance of each link in the graph and trims those links with less importance to save computational resources and accelerate inference. LLMPrunner uses multiple methods (element-wise, vector-wise, group) to evaluate the importance of coupled structures in the dependency graph, erasing less important structures. It also offers reliable recovery methods such as Low-rank Approximation to recover links discarded by mistake. Empirical results show that LLMPrunner can achieve 20% parameter reduction while retaining 90% of the original performance, proving the effectiveness of pruning in maintaining model efficiency while reducing computational resources by removing redundant elements in the network.

2.4 Systematic Design in Model Compression

While quantization, knowledge distillation, and pruning all provide model-level optimization for reducing computational resources, there is currently a trend toward systematic design in model compression. System design of LLMs is a branch of computer system architecture that focuses on the storage implementation of basic memory elements, such as bytes. All electronic devices' memory storage is represented by units like GB or TB, and all files must be decoded to fit into a sequential byte format for storage.

In computer systems, KV cache is a widely used technique for acceleration, storing key-value pairs such as student ID (key) and their basic information (value) in a sequential cache for acceleration. However, traditional sequential storage methods have drawbacks in LLM applications. According to Kwon et al. (2023), for the deployment of a 13B LLM on an NVIDIA V100 GPU, KV cache will consume more than 30% of GPU storage, but these computational resources are greatly wasted due to reserved, internal fragmentation, and external fragmentation. To solve this problem, the authors developed PagedAttention, which allows continuous KV cache to be stored in non-contiguous memory space. PagedAttention introduces block table translation into storage, storing LLM requests as continuous space in "virtual memory." After block table translation, these requests are translated into pre-computed non-contiguous space in LLMs for memory efficiency. PagedAttention functions like a "docker scheduler" for memory, placing KV-cache "containers" in available spaces rather than in sequential order. Empirical results show that PagedAttention can save up to 9.79% of memory usage through parallel sampling and up to 55.16% through

beam search, proving its efficiency in reducing computational resources.

In addition to PagedAttention, another notable work in model compression system design is StreamingLLM (Xiao et al., 2024). Traditional KV storage methods such as dense attention and window attention lead to excessive memory usage and increasing latency in the decoding stage, degrading model performance as the sequence grows. To address this, Xiao et al. (2024) developed an advanced cache eviction method called StreamingLLM. They revealed a phenomenon of "attention sink," where initial k-v tokens significantly impact language modeling tasks. Based on this finding, StreamingLLM stabilizes the attention sink tokens during computation while rolling the KV cache to retrieve the most recent tokens. As a result, it greatly reduces computational memory usage for LLMs from a magnitude level ($O(TL^2) \rightarrow O(TL)$) and accelerates inference by 22.2x per token, proving its efficiency in reducing computational resources and even accelerating inference speed.

3 Conclusion

Model compression on LLMs can create "tiny" LLMs that require small computational resources. Quantization provides a hardware perspective to compress the model into a lower-digit representation, knowledge distillation offers a knowledge transfer perspective where student models can mimic the output of teacher models, and pruning offers a neural network perspective to trim excessive neural connections to reduce parameter storage. System-level optimization provides a computer architecture perspective to compact KV cache storage and improve KV cache eviction for reducing computational resources needed in LLMs.

References

- Amable (2021). Memory use of gpt-j 6b.
- Ba, L. J. and Caruana, R. (2014). Do deep nets really need to be deep? In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2*, pages 2654–2662. MIT Press.
- Gordon, M. (2020). Do we really need model compression?
- Gu, Y., Dong, L., Wei, F., and Huang, M. (2024). Minillm: Knowledge distillation of large language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Hinton, G., Vinyals, O., and Dean, J. (2015). Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*.
- Jacob, B., Kligys, S., Chen, B., Zhu, M., Tang, M., Howard, A., and ... Adam, H. (2018). Quantization and training of neural networks for efficient integer-arithmetic-only inference. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2704–2713.

- Kwon, W., Li, Z., Zhuang, S., Sheng, Y., Zheng, L., Yu, C. H., Gonzalez, J., Zhang, H., and Stoica, I. (2023). Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. In *SOSP '23: Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, pages 611–626.
- Lin, J., Tang, J., Tang, H., Yang, S., Chen, W.-M., Wang, W.-C., Xiao, G., Dang, X., Gan, C., and Han, S. (2024). Awq: Activation-aware weight quantization for on-device llm compression and acceleration. *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, 6:87–100.
- Ma, X., Fang, G., and Wang, X. (2023). Llm-pruner: On the structural pruning of large language models. In Oh, A., Naumann, T., Globerson, A., Saenko, K., Hardt, M., and Levine, S., editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pages 21702–21720.
- Xiao, G., Tian, Y., Chen, B., Han, S., and Lewis, M. (2024). Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.