

A SHORT COMMUNICATION ON THE CONSTANTS OF THE MULTILINEAR HARDY–LITTLEWOOD INEQUALITY

DANIEL PELLEGRINO

ABSTRACT. It was recently proved that for $p > 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$ the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for m -linear forms on ℓ_p -spaces are less than or equal to the best known estimates of respective constants of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality. In this note we obtain upper bounds for opposite side, i.e., the constants when $2m \leq p \leq 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$. For these values of p our result improves previous estimates from 2014 of Araujo *et al.* for all $m \geq 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be the real scalar field \mathbb{R} or the complex scalar field \mathbb{C} . For any integer $m \geq 2$, the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [1, 7, 8, 12]) for m -linear forms asserts that for $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant $C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \geq 1$ such that, for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|.$$

Moreover, the exponents $\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}$ are optimal. The case $p = \infty$ recovers the famous Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [6]):

Theorem (Bohnenblust–Hille inequality). There exists a constant $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \geq 1$ such that for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$(2) \quad \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|.$$

These inequalities are, in some sense, predecessors of the multilinear theory of absolutely summing operators (for details and recent results on multilinear summing operators we refer to [5, 9, 11, 13] and the references therein).

The achievement of the optimal values of $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ and/or $C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}}$ is a quite challenging problem and seems to be far from a definitive answer. From [4] we know that $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ are dominated by constants with sublinear growth, or more specifically,

$$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{0.36482},$$

$$B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}} < m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} < m^{0.21139},$$

where γ from now on denotes the Euler–Mascheroni constant. On the other hand, the best known upper bounds for the constants in (1) were, until very recently, $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ (see [1, 7]). In 2014, the upper estimate $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ was improved in the papers [2, 3]:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11Y60, 47H60.

Key words and phrases. Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

The author is supported by CNPq.

- (Araujo *et al.* [3]) For all integers $m \geq 2$ and $p \in [2m, \infty)$ we have

$$(3) \quad C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} < \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(1.3 \cdot m^{0.36482}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}},$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} < \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(m^{0.21139}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

- (Araujo *et al.* [2]) For all integers $m \geq 2$ and $p \in (2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m, \infty)$ we have

$$(4) \quad C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{0.36482}$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} < m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} < m^{0.21139}.$$

A close look at (3) and (4) shows a surprising lack of continuity when $p = 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$, i.e., by making $p \rightarrow 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$ in (4) we do not recover the estimate (3) for $p = 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$. In this note we provide better estimates for the case $2m \leq p \leq 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$, improving (3) whenever $m \geq 3$ (when $m = 2$ our argument would provide the same constants from [2]). Moreover, our estimates are continuous when compared with (4). More precisely, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \geq 3$ be a positive integer. For $2m \leq p \leq 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$ there is a constant $C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \geq 1$ such that, for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,*

$$\left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|,$$

and

$$(5) \quad C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} < \left(1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}}\right)^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2 p-2mp}\right) \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{mp(m-2)}(p-2m-mp+6m^2-6m^3+2m^4)},$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} < \left(m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}\right)^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2 p-2mp}\right) \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{mp(m-2)}(p-2m-mp+6m^2-6m^3+2m^4)},$$

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

Remark 1.2. When $p = 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$ we easily note that the estimates (5) coincide with (4). It is also not difficult to verify that the estimates of (5) are better than (3).

2. THE PROOF

The proof follows the lines of the proof of [3] with a technical change in the interpolation procedure. Let

$$s = \frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}$$

and note that $\frac{2m}{m+1} \leq s \leq 2$. Let also

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2s}{ms+s-2m+2}$$

and note that

$$\lambda_0 < s \leq 2.$$

Since

$$\frac{m-1}{s} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} = \frac{m+1}{2},$$

from the generalized Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [1, Theorem 1.1]) we know that there is a constant $C_m \geq 1$ such that for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ we have, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and all positive integers n ,

$$(6) \quad \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}} \leq C_m \|T\|.$$

Above, as usual, $\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n$ means that we are summing over all j_k for all $k \neq i$.

Now we need a good estimate for the constant C_m in (6). For this task, note that the multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0, s, s, \dots, s)$$

is the result of the interpolation (in the sense of [1, Section 2]) of the multiple exponents

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 = \left(\frac{2m-2}{m}, \dots, \frac{2m-2}{m}, 2 \right) \\ E_2 = \left(\frac{2m-2}{m}, \frac{2m-2}{m}, \dots, 2, \frac{2m-2}{m} \right) \\ \quad \vdots \\ E_{m-1} = \left(\frac{2m-2}{m}, 2, \frac{2m-2}{m}, \dots, \frac{2m-2}{m} \right) \\ E_m = (1, 2, \dots, 2) \end{array} \right.$$

with, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 = \cdots = \theta_{m-1} &= \frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2p-2mp} \\ \theta_m &= 1 - (m-1) \left(\frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2p-2mp} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Above note that we have used the hypothesis $2m \leq p \leq 2m^3 - 4m^2 + 2m$. From [2, 4] we know that for the multiple exponents E_1, \dots, E_{m-1} the inequality (6) is valid with constants $1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}}$ for real scalars and $m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}$ for complex scalars. On the other hand, it is well known that the constant associated with the multiple exponent $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ is $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ for real scalars (see [10]) and less than or equal to $\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{m-1}$ for complex scalars. Therefore, the optimal constant associated to the multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0, s, s, \dots, s)$$

is less than or equal to

$$\left(1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}} \right)^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2p-2mp} \right) \left(\sqrt{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{mp(m-2)}(p-2m-mp+6m^2-6m^3+2m^4)}$$

for real scalars and

$$\left(m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \right)^{(m-1)} \left(\frac{2m-p+mp-2m^2}{m^2p-2mp} \right) \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{1}{mp(m-2)}(p-2m-mp+6m^2-6m^3+2m^4)}$$

for complex scalars.

Let

$$\lambda_j = \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 j}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Note that

$$\lambda_m = s$$

and that the conjugate number of $\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_j}\right)$ is $\frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_j}$, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_j}\right)^* = \frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_j}$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Now the proof follows straightforwardly the lines of [3].




FIGURE 1. Exponent of $\sqrt{2}$ in (5)

3. FINAL REMARK: A MORE GENERAL APPROACH

The Hardy–Littlewood inequality for multilinear forms has the following version for multiple exponents:

Theorem (Generalized Hardy–Littlewood inequality, ([1], 2014)). *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \left[\frac{p}{p-m}, 2\right]^m$ be such that*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{mp + p - 2m}{2p}.$$

Then there exists a constant $C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{K}} \geq 1$ such that

$$(8) \quad \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^n \left(\sum_{j_2=1}^n \left(\dots \left(\sum_{j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{q_m} \right)^{\frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}} \dots \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_3}} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \leq C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|$$

for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n .

In [2] the following result is proved:

Theorem 3.1. ([2]) *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $2m < p \leq \infty$. Let also $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \left[\frac{p}{p-m}, 2\right]^m$ be such that $\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{mp+p-2m}{2p}$. If $\max q_i < \frac{2m^2-4m+2}{m^2-m-1}$, then*

$$C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{\frac{2-\log 2-\gamma}{2}} < 1.3 \cdot m^{0.36482},$$

$$C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{C}} < m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} < m^{0.21139}.$$

Using the same ideas of the previous section and following the lines of [2] we can prove the following result (the proof is long, although the arguments are similar to the previous, and we left the details for the interested reader):

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $2m \leq p \leq \infty$. Let also $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \left[\frac{p}{p-m}, 2\right]^m$ be such that*

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{mp + p - 2m}{2p}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \max q_i \geq \frac{2m^2 - 4m + 2}{m^2 - m - 1}.$$

For all m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n , we have

$$\left(\sum_{j_1=1}^n \left(\sum_{j_2=1}^n \left(\dots \left(\sum_{j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{q_m} \right)^{\frac{q_{m-1}}{q_m}} \dots \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_3}} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \leq C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|,$$

with

$$C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{(m-1)\theta_1} (\eta_{\mathbb{C},m})^{\theta_2},$$

$$C_{m,p,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2} \right)^{(m-1)\theta_1} (\eta_{\mathbb{R},m})^{\theta_2},$$

where $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (1, 0)$ if $m = 2$ and

$$(10) \quad \theta_1 = 1 - \frac{(m+1)(2 - \max q_i)(m-1)^2}{(m^2 - m - 2) \max q_i} \text{ and } \theta_2 = \frac{(m+1)(2 - \max q_i)(m-1)^2}{(m^2 - m - 2) \max q_i}$$

for $m \geq 3$.

Note that now we have continuity between the estimates of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.1 since

$$\frac{(m+1)(2 - \max q_i)(m-1)^2}{(m^2 - m - 2) \max q_i} = 1$$

when $\max q_i = \frac{2m^2 - 4m + 2}{m^2 - m - 1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Sharp generalizations of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), 3726–3740.
- [2] G. Araújo and D. Pellegrino, On the constants of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and Hardy–Littlewood inequalities, arXiv:1407.7120.
- [3] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino and D. Diniz P. Silva e Silva, On the upper bounds for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality. *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), no. 6, 1878–1888.
- [4] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, The Bohr radius of the n -dimensional polydisc is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$, *Advances in Mathematics* (New York, 1965), **229** (2012), 1235–1265.
- [5] G. Botelho and J. Santos, A Pietsch domination theorem for (l_p^s, l_p) -summing operators, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **104** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [6] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille, On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series, *Ann. of Math.* **32** (1931), 600–622.
- [7] V. Dimant and P. Sevilla-Peris, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, arXiv:1309.6063v1 [math.FA], to appear in *Publ. Math.*
- [8] G. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p, q]$, *Quart. J. Math.* **5** (1934), 241–254.
- [9] M.C. Matos, Fully absolutely summing and Hilbert–Schmidt multilinear mappings, *Collect. Math.* **54** (2003), no. 2, 111–136.
- [10] D. Pellegrino, The optimal constants of the mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) -Littlewood inequality, to appear in the *Journal of Number Theory*.
- [11] D. Popa, Multiple summing operators on l_p spaces. *Studia Math.* **225** (2014), no. 1, 9–28.
- [12] T. Praciano-Pereira, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **81** (1981), 561–568.

- [13] P. Rueda and E.A. Sánchez-Pérez, Factorization of p -dominated polynomials through L_p -spaces, Michigan Math. J. 63 (2014), no. 2, 345–353.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58.051-900 - JOÃO PESSOA, BRAZIL.
E-mail address: `pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br` and `dmpellegrino@gmail.com`