

FUNCTIONAL REPRESENTATION OF SUBSTITUTION ALGEBRAS

NORMAN FELDMAN

ABSTRACT. We show that the class of representable substitution algebras is characterized by a set of universal first order sentences. In addition, it is shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for a substitution algebra to be representable is that it is embeddable in a substitution algebra in which elements are distinguished. Furthermore, conditions in terms of neat embeddings are shown to be equivalent to representability.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], the problem of axiomatizing polynomial substitution algebras was considered. It was shown that a set of first order sentences and a non-first order condition of local finiteness characterizes the class of isomorphs of these algebras. From Theorem 3.1 in [1], it follows that every locally finite substitution algebra is isomorphic to a function substitution algebra and hence, is representable.

In this section we recall some of the definitions from [1].

Let α be an ordinal and let $F_\alpha(U)$ be the set of functions from U^α , the set of α -sequences of members of U , to U , a non-empty set.

Definition 1.1. For $s \in U^\alpha$, let $s\langle\kappa, x\rangle \in U^\alpha$ be defined by $s\langle\kappa, x\rangle_\lambda = s_\lambda$ if $\lambda \neq \kappa$ and $s\langle\kappa, x\rangle_\lambda = x$ if $\lambda = \kappa$.

Definition 1.2. For $\kappa < \alpha$, define a binary operation on $F_\alpha(U)$ by

$$(f *_\kappa g)(s) = g(s\langle\kappa, f(s)\rangle)$$

for $f, g \in F_\alpha(U)$.

Let $V_\kappa \in F_\alpha(U)$ be defined by $V_\kappa(s) = s_\kappa$ for $s \in U^\alpha$.

Definition 1.3. An algebra of the form $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_\kappa, V_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ where $A \subseteq F_\alpha(U)$, A is closed under $*_\kappa$, and $V_\kappa \in A$ for $\kappa < \alpha$, is a function substitution algebra of dimension α (FSA_α). If $A = F_\alpha(U)$, then \mathfrak{A} is said to be the full function substitution algebra of dimension α with base U .

Definition 1.4. An algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_\kappa, v_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ satisfying the following first order axiom schema is called a substitution algebra of dimension α (SA_α):

- (1) For all x , $x *_\kappa v_\kappa = x$.
- (2) For all x , $x *_\kappa v_\lambda = v_\lambda$ for $\kappa \neq \lambda$.
- (3) For all x , $v_\kappa *_\kappa x = x$.
- (4) For all x and z , if $w *_\lambda x = x$, for all w , then $x *_\lambda (v_\lambda *_\kappa z) = x *_\lambda (x *_\kappa z)$.
- (5) For all x , y , and z , $(x *_\kappa y) *_\kappa z = x *_\kappa (y *_\kappa z)$.
- (6) For all x , y , and z , if $w *_\lambda x = x$, for all w , then

$$x *_\kappa (y *_\lambda z) = (x *_\kappa y) *_\lambda (x *_\kappa z), \text{ for } \kappa \neq \lambda.$$

It is easily shown that every FSA_α is an SA_α .

In [1] it was shown that the class of SA_α 's can be axiomatized by a set of universal sentences and hence, a subalgebra of a SA_α is an SA_α .

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_\kappa, v_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ be an SA_α . For $x \in A$, let

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}x = \{\kappa : a *_\kappa x \neq x, \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

$\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}x$ is called the dimension set of x .

We usually drop the subscript and write Δx for $\Delta_{\mathfrak{A}}x$ when there is no ambiguity.

Definition 1.6. A substitution algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_\kappa, v_\kappa \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ is locally finite if Δx is finite for all $x \in A$.

For an arbitrary algebra \mathfrak{B} , the polynomial substitution algebra over \mathfrak{B} of dimension α is a locally finite SA_α . It is, in fact, an FSA_α . In [1], it was shown that every locally finite SA_α is isomorphic to some polynomial substitution algebra. The question arises: Is every SA_α isomorphic to an FSA_α ? Call such an algebra a representable substitution algebra (RSA_α).

In section 3, we show that the class of RSA_α is at least characterized by a set of first order universal sentences; that is, the class RSA_α is a UC_Δ .

Definition 1.7. Elements are distinguished in an SA_α if for all $\kappa < \alpha$, $x \neq y$ implies $c *_\kappa x \neq c *_\kappa y$ for some c with $\Delta c = 0$.

In section 4, we show that an SA_α is an RSA_α iff it is embeddable in an SA_α in which elements are distinguished. At this time, we do not know if there is an SA_α which is not an RSA_α .

Unless otherwise stated, the universe of a substitution algebra will be denoted by the Roman letter corresponding to the Gothic letter used to denote the algebra. For example, the universes of \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B}_i , and \mathfrak{C}' are A , B_i , and C' respectively.

Filters will be used in several places. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on a set I and let X_i be a set for $x \in I$. Let \sim be the equivalence relation on the product $W = \prod \langle X_i : i \in I \rangle$ defined by $x \sim y$ iff $\{i : i \in I \text{ and } x_i = y_i\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for $x, y \in W$.

Throughout the paper the equivalence class containing x is denoted by $[x]$.

2. Γ -HOMOMORPHISMS

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_α . For a subset Γ of α , let

$$Z(\Gamma) = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } \Delta x \cap \Gamma = 0\}.$$

It follows that $Z(\alpha) = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } \Delta x = 0\}$. In this case, let $Z = Z(\alpha)$.

In [1], the notion of generalized substitutions was defined by

$$s *_{(\Gamma)} a = s_{\kappa_{n-1}} *_{\kappa_{n-1}} (\cdots (s_{\kappa_1} *_{\kappa_1} (s_{\kappa_0} *_{\kappa_0} a)) \cdots)$$

where $s \in Z^\alpha$, $a \in A$ and $\Gamma = \{\kappa_0, \cdots, \kappa_{n-1}\}$ with $\kappa_0 < \cdots < \kappa_{n-1}$.

We can let $s \in A^\alpha$ in this definition. With suitable restrictions on s , we obtain theorems analogous to Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 of [1]. We state below the parts of these theorems needed for this paper. The proofs are almost identical to their counterparts in [1]. The following theorem shows that a generalized substitution, $s *_{(\Gamma)} a$ is independent of the order of the elements in Γ .

Theorem 2.2. *If $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$, $a \in A$, and $\Gamma = \{\lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1}\}$, then*

$$s *_{(\Gamma)} a = s_{\lambda_{n-1}} *_{\lambda_{n-1}} (\cdots (s_{\lambda_1} *_{\lambda_1} (s_{\lambda_0} *_{\lambda_0} a)) \cdots).$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let Γ and Σ be finite subsets of α .*

- (i) *If $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$ and $\kappa \in \Gamma$, then $s *_{(\Gamma)} a = s *_{(\Gamma - \{\kappa\})} (s_\kappa *_{\kappa} a)$.*
- (ii) *If $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$, then $\Delta(s *_{(\Gamma)} a) \subseteq \bigcup \{\Delta s_\kappa : \kappa \in \Gamma\} \cup (\Delta a - \Gamma)$.*
- (iii) *If $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$, $x \in Z(\Gamma)$, and $\kappa \in \Gamma$, then $s \langle \kappa, x \rangle *_{(\Gamma)} a = x *_{\kappa} (s *_{(\Gamma - \{\kappa\})} a)$.*
- (iv) *If $s \in Z(\Gamma \cup \Sigma \cup \{\kappa\})^\alpha$, and $\kappa \notin \Sigma$, then*

$$(s *_{(\Gamma)} a) *_{\kappa} (s *_{(\Sigma)} b) = s *_{(\Gamma \cap \Sigma)} ((s *_{(\Gamma - \Sigma)} a) *_{\kappa} (s *_{(\Sigma - \Gamma)} b)).$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_{\kappa}, v_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \langle A', *'_{\kappa}, v'_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ be SA_α 's. and let $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$. ϕ is a Γ -homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{A}' if $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$ and for all $\kappa \in \Gamma$ and all $a, b \in A$, $\phi(a *_{\kappa} b) = \phi(a) *'_{\kappa} \phi(b)$ and $\phi(v_{\kappa}) = v'_{\kappa}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_α and let Γ be a finite subset of α . Define a function $\phi_\Gamma : A \rightarrow F_\alpha(Z(\Gamma))$ by $\phi_\Gamma(a)(s) = s *_{(\Gamma)} a$. Then ϕ_Γ is a Γ -homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} to the full FSA_α with base $Z(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. First note that Theorem 2.3 (ii) implies that $s *_{(\Gamma)} a \in Z(\Gamma)$ for $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$ and $s \in A$.

It is easily checked that $\phi_\Gamma(v_{\kappa}) = V_{\kappa}$ for $\kappa \in \Gamma$ where $V_{\kappa}(s) = s_{\kappa}$ for $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$. Let $\kappa \in \Gamma$, $a, b \in A$, and $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_\Gamma(a) *_{\kappa} \phi_\Gamma(b))(s) &= \phi_\Gamma(b)(s \langle \kappa, \phi_\Gamma(a)(s) \rangle) \\ &= s \langle \kappa, s *_{(\Gamma)} a \rangle *_{(\Gamma)} b \\ &= (s *_{(\Gamma)} a) *_{\kappa} (s *_{(\Gamma - \{\kappa\})} b) \text{ by Theorem 2.3 (ii), (iii)} \\ &= s *_{(\Gamma - \{\kappa\})} ((s *_{\kappa} a) *_{\kappa} b) \text{ by Theorem 2.3 (iv)} \\ &= s *_{(\Gamma - \{\kappa\})} (s_\kappa *_{\kappa} (a *_{\kappa} b)) \\ &= s *_{(\Gamma)} (a *_{\kappa} b) \text{ by Theorem 2.3 (i)} \\ &= \phi_\Gamma(a *_{\kappa} b)(s). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. A REPRESENTATION THEOREM AND ULTRAPRODUCTS

Definition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_α . Elements are strongly distinguished in \mathfrak{A} if, for all $s \in Z^\alpha$, there is a finite subset Σ of α , such that $s *_{(\Sigma)} a = s *_{(\Sigma)} b$, then $a = b$.

Theorem 3.2. *Every SA_α in which elements are strongly distinguished is an RSA_α .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_α in which elements are strongly distinguished. Let J be the collection of all finite subsets of α and let

$$P_\Sigma = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \text{ and } \Gamma \supseteq \Sigma\}$$

for $\Sigma \in J$. Since $P_\Sigma \cap P_\Gamma = P_{\Sigma \cup \Gamma}$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{Q : Q \subseteq J \text{ and for some } \Sigma \in J, Q \supseteq P_\Sigma\}$$

is a filter on J .

Let $W = \prod \langle Z(\Gamma) : \Gamma \in J \rangle$, and let U be the collection of all equivalence classes $[f]$ for $f \in W$. U is the base for the FSA_α we seek.

For $X \in U$, let

$$K(X) = \{f : f \in X \text{ and for some } x \in Z, f_\Gamma = x \text{ for all } \Gamma \in J\}.$$

It is easily seen that $K(X)$ has at most one member. Let $c : U \rightarrow W$ be a choice function such that for all $X \in U$, $c(X) \in K(X)$ if $K(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $c(X) \in X$ if $K(X) = \emptyset$.

For each $s \in U^\alpha$ and $\Gamma \in J$, define $s^\Gamma \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$ by $(s^\Gamma)_\lambda = c(s_\lambda)$ for $\lambda < \alpha$. For $\Gamma \in J$, let ϕ_Γ be the Γ -homomorphism of Theorem 2.5. Define a function $\phi : A \rightarrow F_\alpha(U)$ by $\phi(a)(s) = [\langle \phi_\Gamma(a)(s^\Gamma) : \Gamma \in J \rangle]$ where $a \in A$ and $s \in U^\alpha$. We shall show that ϕ is an isomorphism from \mathfrak{A} to the full FSA_α with base U . The result follows immediately from this.

First we show that ϕ is one-one. Suppose that $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$ where $a, b \in A$. Therefore, for all $s \in U^\alpha$, $\phi(a)(s) = \phi(b)(s)$ and hence,

$$\{\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \text{ and } s^\Gamma *_{(\Gamma)} a = s^\Gamma *_{(\Gamma)} b\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

From the definition of \mathcal{F} , it follows that

$$(1) \quad \text{for all } s \in U^\alpha, \text{ there is a } \Sigma \in J \text{ such that } s^\Sigma *_{(\Sigma)} a = s^\Sigma *_{(\Sigma)} b.$$

Since elements are strongly distinguished in \mathfrak{A} , to show that $a = b$, it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad \text{for all } t \in Z^\alpha, \text{ there is a } \Sigma \in J \text{ such that } t *_{(\Sigma)} a = t *_{(\Sigma)} b.$$

To this end, let $t \in Z^\alpha$ and define $s \in U^\alpha$ by $s_\lambda = [\langle t_\lambda : \Gamma \in J \rangle]$ for $\lambda < \alpha$. By (1), there is a $\Sigma \in J$ such that $s^\Sigma *_{(\Sigma)} a = s^\Sigma *_{(\Sigma)} b$. Since $\langle t_\lambda : \Gamma \in J \rangle \in K(s_\lambda)$ we have $c(s_\lambda) = \langle t_\lambda : \Gamma \in J \rangle$. Therefore, for all $\lambda < \alpha$, $(s^\Sigma)_\lambda = c(s_\lambda)^\Gamma = t_\lambda$. Hence, $s^\Sigma = t$ and $t *_{(\Sigma)} a = t *_{(\Sigma)} b$. We therefore have (2).

Next we show that ϕ is a homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} to the full FSA_α with base U . We need the following easily verified fact:

$$(3) \quad \text{For all } s \in U^\alpha \text{ and } X \in U, s \langle \kappa, x \rangle^\Gamma = s^\Gamma \langle \kappa, c(X)_\Gamma \rangle.$$

Let $a, b \in A$ and $s \in U^\alpha$. Let

$$M = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \text{ and } \phi_\Gamma(a *_\kappa b)(s^\Gamma) = (\phi_\Gamma(a) *_\kappa \phi_\Gamma(b))(s^\Gamma)\}.$$

Since ϕ_Γ is a Γ -homomorphism, $M \supseteq P_{\{\kappa\}}$ and hence, $M \in \mathcal{F}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a *_\kappa b)(s) &= [\langle \phi_\Gamma(a *_\kappa b)(s^\Gamma) : \Gamma \in J \rangle] \\ &= [\langle (\phi_\Gamma(a) *_\kappa \phi_\Gamma(b))(s^\Gamma) : \Gamma \in J \rangle] \text{ since } M \in \mathcal{F} \\ &= [\langle \phi_\Gamma(b)(s^\Gamma \langle \kappa, \phi_\Gamma(a)_\Gamma \rangle) : \Gamma \in J \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(a) *_\kappa \phi(b))(s) &= \phi(b)(s \langle \kappa, \phi(a)(s) \rangle) \\ &= [\langle \phi_\Gamma(b)(s \langle \kappa, \phi(a)(s) \rangle)^\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \rangle] \\ &= [\langle \phi_\Gamma(b)(s^\Gamma \langle \kappa, c(\phi(a)(s))_\Gamma \rangle) : \Gamma \in J \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$R = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \text{ and } \phi_\Gamma(a)(s^\Gamma) = c(\phi(a)(s))_\Gamma\}.$$

It is easily seen that $R \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows that $\phi(a *_\kappa b)(s) = (\phi(a) *_\kappa \phi(b))(s)$.

By a similar type of argument, it can be shown that $\phi(v_\kappa) = V_\kappa$ where $V_\kappa(s) = s_\kappa$ for all $s \in U^\alpha$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Elements are strongly distinguished in every full FSA_α .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be a full FSA_α with base U . Suppose that $f, g \in F_\alpha(U)$ such that $f \neq g$. Therefore, $f(s) \neq g(s)$ for some $s \in U^\alpha$. Define $t \in Z^\alpha$ by $t_\lambda(r) = s_\lambda$ for $r \in U^\alpha$. A direct computation shows that for all finite subsets Σ of α , $(t^{*(\Sigma)}f)(s) = f(s)$ and $(t^{*(\Sigma)}g)(s) = g(s)$ and hence, $t^{*(\Sigma)}g \neq t^{*(\Sigma)}f$. Therefore, we have shown that if $f \neq g$, then there is a $t \in Z^\alpha$ such that $t^{*(\Sigma)}g \neq t^{*(\Sigma)}f$ for all finite subsets Σ of α . \square

Theorem 3.4. *An SA_α is representable iff it is embeddable in an SA_α in which elements are strongly distinguished.*

Proof. If an SA_α is representable, then it is isomorphic to an FSA_α and, since every FSA_α is a subalgebra of a full FSA_α , by Theorem 3.3, it is embeddable in an SA_α in which elements are strongly distinguished.

The other implication follows from Theorem 3.2. \square

We use the next theorem to show that an ultraproduct of FSA_α 's is representable.

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on a set I and for all $i \in I$, let \mathfrak{A}_i be an SA_α in which elements are strongly distinguished. Then elements are strongly distinguished in $\mathfrak{B} = \prod \langle \mathfrak{A}_i : i \in I \rangle / \mathcal{F}$, the ultra product of the \mathfrak{A}_i .*

Proof. The universe B of \mathfrak{B} is the collection of equivalence classes $[a]$ where $a \in \prod \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$. Let $a, b \in W$ such that $[a] \neq [b]$. Let $P = \{i : i \in I \text{ and } a_i \neq b_i\}$. Since \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter, $P \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $Z_i = \{x : x \in A_i \text{ and } \Delta x = 0\}$ and $Z = \{x : x \in B \text{ and } \Delta x = 0\}$. For all $i \in I$, elements are strongly distinguished in \mathfrak{A}_i . Therefore, for all $i \in P$, there is a $t^i \in Z_i^\alpha$ such that $t^i *_{(\Sigma)} a_i \neq t^i *_{(\Sigma)} b_i$ for all finite subsets Σ of α . For $i \in I - P$, let t^i be any member of Z_i^α . Define $s \in Z^\alpha$ by letting $s_\lambda = [\langle (t^i)_\lambda : i \in I \rangle]$ for $\lambda < \alpha$.

Let Σ be a finite subset of α . A direct computation shows that for all $x \in W$, $s *_{(\Sigma)} [x] = [\langle t^i *_{(\Sigma)} x_i : i \in I \rangle]$. Let $Q = \{i : i \in I \text{ and } t^i *_{(\Sigma)} a_i \neq t^i *_{(\Sigma)} b_i\}$.

Since $P \in \mathcal{F}$ and $Q \supseteq P$, we have $Q \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} s *_{(\Sigma)} [a] &= [\langle t^i *_{(\Sigma)} a_i : i \in I \rangle] \\ &\neq [\langle t^i *_{(\Sigma)} b_i : i \in I \rangle] \\ &= s *_{(\Sigma)} [b]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 3.6. *An ultraproduct of RSA_α 's is an RSA_α .*

Proof. For $i \in I$ let \mathfrak{A}_i be an RSA_α and let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on I . Each \mathfrak{A}_i is isomorphic to some $FSA_\alpha \mathfrak{A}_i'$ with base U_i . \mathfrak{A}_i' is a subalgebra of the full $FSA_\alpha \mathfrak{B}_i$ with base U_i . $\mathfrak{A} = \prod \langle \mathfrak{A}_i : i \in I \rangle / \mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B} = \prod \langle \mathfrak{B}_i : i \in I \rangle / \mathcal{F}$. By Theorems 3.3, 3.5, and 3.2, \mathfrak{B} is an RSA_α and therefore, \mathfrak{A} is an RSA_α . \square

Theorem 3.7. *The class of RSA_α 's is a UC_Δ .*

Proof. The class of RSA_α 's is closed under isomorphism, subalgebra, and by Theorem 3.6, ultraproducts. By a theorem of Los [4], the class of RSA_α 's is a UC_Δ . \square

4. A CONDITION EQUIVALENT TO REPRESENTABILITY

It is easily seen that if elements are strongly distinguished in an $SA_\alpha \mathfrak{A}$, then elements are distinguished in \mathfrak{A} . Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, elements are distinguished in a full FSA_α .

Theorem 4.1. *An SA_α is an RSA_α iff it is embeddable in an SA_α in which elements are distinguished.*

Proof. Every FSA_α is a subalgebra of a full FSA_α and elements are distinguished in every full FSA_α . Therefore, every representable SA_α is embeddable in an SA_α in which elements are distinguished.

For the converse, let \mathfrak{A}' be an SA_α which is embeddable in \mathfrak{A} in which elements are distinguished. To show that \mathfrak{A}' is representable, it suffices to show that \mathfrak{A} is representable.

Let J be the collection of finite subsets of α . For $\Gamma \in J$, let \mathfrak{A}_Γ be the full FSA_α with base $Z(\Gamma)$. For all $\Gamma \in J$, ϕ_Γ , the function defined in Theorem 2.5, is a Γ -homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{A}_Γ . We show that ϕ_Γ is one-one. Suppose that $\phi_\Gamma(a) = \phi_\Gamma(b)$ where $a, b \in A$. Hence, for all $s \in Z(\Gamma)^\alpha$, $\phi_\Gamma(a)(s) = \phi_\Gamma(b)(s)$; that is, $s^{*(\Gamma)}a = s^{*(\Gamma)}b$. Since $Z \subseteq Z(\Gamma)$, $s^{*(\Gamma)}a = s^{*(\Gamma)}b$ for all $s \in Z^\alpha$. By Theorem 5.5 of [1], $a = b$ since elements are distinguished in \mathfrak{A} .

For $\lambda < \alpha$, let $R_\lambda = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \in J \text{ and } \lambda \in \Gamma\}$. The collection of all R_λ for $\lambda < \alpha$, has the finite intersection property and hence, there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} on J such that $R_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\lambda < \alpha$. Let $\mathfrak{B} = \prod \{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma : \Gamma \in J\} / \mathcal{F}$. Define a map $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ as follows: $\psi(a) = [\langle \phi_\Gamma(a) : \Gamma \in J \rangle]$ for $a \in A$. A straightforward verification shows that ψ is an isomorphism from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{B} . By Theorem 3.6, \mathfrak{B} is representable and hence, \mathfrak{A} is representable. \square

As in the theory of cylindric algebras [2], a dimension-complemented SA_α is defined as follows:

Definition 4.2. An $SA_\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ is dimension-complemented if for all finite $X \subseteq A$,

$$\alpha - \bigcup \{\Delta x : x \in X\}$$

is infinite.

Theorem 4.3. *Every dimension-complemented SA_α is embeddable in a dimension-complemented SA_α in which elements are distinguished.*

Proof. The proof is obtained from the proof of Theorem 5.3 and 5.4 of [1] if we replace “locally finite” wherever it occurs by “dimension-complemented.” \square

In the theory of cylindrical algebras, every dimension-complemented cylindrical algebra is representable [3]. The following is a theorem analogous to this.

Theorem 4.4. *Every dimension-complemented SA_α is representable.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorems 4.3 and 4.1. \square

5. NEAT EMBEDDINGS

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, *_{\kappa}, v_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa < \alpha}$ be an SA_{α} and $\beta \leq \alpha$. The β -reduct of \mathfrak{A} is the algebra $\mathfrak{A}/\beta = \langle A, *_{\kappa}, v_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa < \beta}$.

Clearly, \mathfrak{A}/β is an SA_{β} if \mathfrak{A} is an SA_{α} .

Theorem 5.2. *If \mathfrak{A} is a RSA_{α} and $\beta \leq \alpha$, then \mathfrak{A}/β is an RSA_{β} .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be an RSA_{α} . Then \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to a subalgebra of an FSA_{α} \mathfrak{A}' . \mathfrak{A}' is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{B} , the full FSA_{α} with base U . Therefore, \mathfrak{A}'/β is embeddable in \mathfrak{B}/β . Theorem 3.2 can be easily modified to show that elements are distinguished in \mathfrak{B}/β and hence, by Theorem 4.1, \mathfrak{A}'/β is an RSA_{α} . \mathfrak{A}/β is isomorphic to a subalgebra of \mathfrak{A}'/β . Therefore, \mathfrak{A}/β is an RSA_{β} . \square

Definition 5.3. Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_{α} and $\beta \leq \alpha$. Let $\mathfrak{A}^{(\beta)} = \langle A', *_{\kappa}, v_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa < \beta}$ where $A' = \{s : s \in A \text{ and } \Delta x \subseteq \beta\}$. An SA_{α} \mathfrak{B} is β -neatly embeddable in \mathfrak{A} if \mathfrak{B} is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}^{(\beta)}$.

As in the theory of cylindric algebras, necessary and sufficient conditions for representability of SA_{α} 's can be given in terms of neat embeddings [3].

Theorem 5.4. *Let \mathfrak{A} be an SA_{α} . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathfrak{A} is an RSA_{α} .
- (ii) For all $\kappa < \omega$, \mathfrak{A} is α -neatly embeddable in some $SA_{\alpha+\kappa}$.
- (iii) \mathfrak{A} is α -neatly embeddable in some $SA_{\alpha+\omega}$.

Proof. To show that (i) implies (ii), it suffices to show that if \mathfrak{A} is an FSA_{α} and $\kappa < \omega$, then \mathfrak{A} is α -neatly embeddable in some $FSA_{\alpha+\kappa}$. Let \mathfrak{A} be an FSA_{α} with base U and let $\kappa < \omega$. Define a function $\phi : A \rightarrow U^{U^{\alpha+\kappa}}$ by $\phi(f)(s) = f(s/\alpha)$ where $f \in A$, $s \in U^{\alpha+\kappa}$ and s/α is the restriction of s to α . It is easy to verify that ϕ maps \mathfrak{A} isomorphically onto a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}^{(\alpha)}$ where \mathfrak{B} is the full $FSA_{\alpha+\kappa}$ with base U .

Assume (ii). For $\kappa < \omega$, let ϕ_{κ} map \mathfrak{A} isomorphically onto a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}^{(\alpha)}$ where $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa} = \langle B_{\kappa}, *_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, v_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \rangle_{\lambda < \alpha+\kappa}$ is an $SA_{\alpha+\kappa}$. Define algebras $\mathfrak{C}_{\kappa} = \langle B_{\kappa}, *_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, v_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \rangle_{\lambda < \alpha+\omega}$ where $x *_{\lambda}^{\kappa} y = y$ for $x, y \in B_{\kappa}$ and $\alpha + \kappa \leq \lambda < \alpha + \omega$ and v_{λ}^{κ} is any member of B_{κ} for $\alpha + \kappa \leq \lambda < \alpha + \omega$. Let \mathcal{F} be a non-principal ultrafilter on ω and let $\mathfrak{C} = \prod \langle \mathfrak{C}_{\kappa} : \kappa < \omega \rangle / \mathcal{F}$.

We show that \mathfrak{C} is an $SA_{\alpha+\omega}$. If an instance of an axiom for $SA_{\alpha+\omega}$'s has maximum subscript γ , then for all κ with $\gamma < \alpha + \kappa$, this axiom holds in \mathfrak{B}_{κ} and hence in \mathfrak{C}_{κ} where $\gamma < \alpha + \kappa$. Hence, it holds in all but finitely many of the \mathfrak{C}_{κ} and therefore, in \mathfrak{C} .

Define a function $\phi : A \rightarrow C$ by $\phi(a) = [\langle \phi_{\kappa}(a) : \kappa < \omega \rangle]$ where $a \in A$. It is easily verified that ϕ is an isomorphism from \mathfrak{A} into a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}^{(\alpha)}$. Hence, we have (iii).

To show that (iii) implies (i), assume that \mathfrak{A} is mapped isomorphically by ϕ onto a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}^{(\alpha)}$ where \mathfrak{B} is a $SA_{\alpha+\omega}$. Using $\Delta(x *_{\kappa} y) \subseteq \Delta x \cup (\Delta y - \{\kappa\})$ [1], it can be shown that the subalgebra \mathfrak{A}' generated by $\{\phi(a) : a \in A\}$ in \mathfrak{B} is a dimension-complemented $SA_{\alpha+\omega}$. By Theorem 4.4, \mathfrak{A}' is representable. \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to a subalgebra of \mathfrak{A}'/α . By Theorem 5.2, \mathfrak{A}'/α is representable and hence, \mathfrak{A} is representable. \square

References

- [1] N. FELDMAN, *Representation of polynomial substitution algebras*, **Journal of symbolic logic**, vol. 47 (1982), pp. 481-492.
- [2] L.HENKIN, J.D. MONK, and A. TARSKI, ***Cylindric algebras, part I***, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam,1971.
- [3] L.HENKIN, J.D. MONK, and A. TARSKI, ***Cylindric algebras, part II***, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam,1985.
- [4] J. LOŚ, *Quelques remarques, théorèmes et problèmes sur les classes définissables d'algèbres*, **Mathematical interpretations of formal systems**, North Holland Publishing Company., Ansterdam, 1955 pp. 98-113.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, ROHNERT PARK, CA 94928, USA
E-mail address: `norm.feldman@sonoma.edu`