MODIFICATIONS OF TUTTE-GROTHENDIECK INVARIANTS AND TUTTE POLYNOMIALS

MARTIN KOCHOL

ABSTRACT. We transform Tutte-Grothedieck invariants thus also Tutte polynomials on matroids so that the contraction-deletion rule for loops (isthmuses) coincides with the general case.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Tutte-Grothendieck invariant (shortly a T-G invariant) Φ is a mapping from the class of finite matroids to a commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that $\Phi(M) = \Phi(M')$ if M is isomorphic to M' and there are constants $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in R$ such that

| | $\Phi(M) = 1$ | if the ground set of M is empty, |
|-----|--|------------------------------------|
| (1) | $\Phi(M) = \alpha_1 \cdot \Phi(M - e)$ | if e is an isthmus of M , |
| | $\Phi(M) = \beta_1 \cdot \Phi(M - e)$ | if e is a loop of M , |
| | $\Phi(M) = \alpha_2 \cdot \Phi(M/e) + \beta_2 \cdot \Phi(M-e)$ | otherwise, |

for every matroid M and every element e of M. We also say that Φ is *determined* by the 4-tuple $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$. In certain sense (see [7, 2]), all T-G invariants can be reduced from the *Tutte polynomial* of M

(2)
$$T(M; x, y) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x - 1)^{r(M) - r(A)} (y - 1)^{|A| - r(A)},$$

where E and r denote the ground set and rank function of M, respectively. This is very important invariant that encodes many properties of graphs and has applications in combinatorics, knot theory, statistical physics and coding theory (see cf. [1, 2, 9]).

M-e = M/e if e is a loop or an isthmus of M. Thus the second (third) row of (1) is contained in the fourth row if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ ($\beta_1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$). In this case Φ is called an *isthmus-smooth* (*loop-smooth*) T-G invariant.

We show that any T-G invariant can be transformed to an isthmus- and loop-smooth T-G invariants. The transformations are studied in framework of matroid duality. Furthermore, we discuss modifications of covariance and convolution formulas known for the Tutte polynomial. Notice that transformations into isthmus-smooth invariants are used by decomposition algorithms of T-G invariants in [5].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C31, 05B35.

MARTIN KOCHOL

2. General modifications

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ be arbitrary elements of a commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$. Then $\tilde{T}(M; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) = \alpha_2^{r(M)} \beta_2^{r^*(M)} T(M; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2)$ is the unique T-G invariant determined by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$.

Proof. For any matroid M, denote $\Phi(M) = \tilde{T}(M; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ (interpreting the formula as the substitution $x_2 = \alpha_2, y_2 = \beta_2$ in the polynomial $\tilde{T}(M; x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$). We use that (T; x, y) is determined by (x, y, 1, 1) and induction on |E|. The statement of lemma holds true if |E| = 0, otherwise choose $e \in E$. If e is an isthmus of M, then

$$\Phi(M) = \alpha_2^{r(M)} \beta_2^{r^*(M)} T(M; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2) = \alpha_2^{r(M-e)+1} \beta_2^{r^*(M-e)} \alpha_1/\alpha_2 T(M-e; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2) = \alpha_1 \Phi(M-e)$$

by induction hypothesis. If e is a loop of M, then

$$\Phi(M) = \alpha_2^{r(M-e)} \beta_2^{r^*(M-e)+1} \beta_1 / \beta_2 T(M-e; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2) = \beta_1 \Phi(M-e).$$

If e is neither a loop nor an isthmus of M, then

$$\Phi(M) = \alpha_2^{r(M/e)+1} \beta_2^{r^*(M/e)} T(M/e; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2) + \alpha_2^{r(M-e)} \beta_2^{r^*(M-e)+1} T(M-e; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2) = \alpha_2 \Phi(M/e) + \beta_2 \Phi(M-e).$$

This proves the statement.

Lemma 1 also follows from results of Oxley and Welsh [7] (see [2, Corollary 6.2.6]).

Theorem 1. Let Φ be a *T*-*G* invariant determined by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2), \beta_2 \neq 0$, and $\xi \in R$ be a multiple of β_2 . Then $\Phi_{\xi}^{is}(M) = \xi^{|E|} \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\beta_2}\right)^{r^*(M)} \Phi(M)$ is an isthmus-smooth *T*-*G* invariant such that for every matroid M,

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M) &= 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M) &= \xi \beta_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) / \beta_2 \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M - e) & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop of } M, \\ \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M) &= \xi \alpha_2 \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M/e) + \xi (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \Phi^{\mathrm{is}}_{\xi}(M - e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{split}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, $\Phi(M) = \alpha_2^{r(M)} \beta_2^{r^*(M)} T(M; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2)$ for each matroid M. Setting $\zeta = \xi\left(\frac{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\beta_2}\right)$ and using equality $|E| = r(M) + r^*(M)$, we get

$$\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{is}}(M) = \xi^{r(M)} \zeta^{r^*(M)} \Phi(M) = (\xi \alpha_2)^{r(M)} (\zeta \beta_2)^{r^*(M)} T(M; \frac{\xi \alpha_1}{\xi \alpha_2}, \frac{\zeta \beta_1}{\zeta \beta_2}),$$

whence by Lemma 1, Φ_{ξ}^{is} is a T-G invariant determined by $(\xi \alpha_1, \zeta \beta_1, \xi \alpha_2, \zeta \beta_2)$. Furthermore, $\xi \alpha_1 = \xi \alpha_2 + \zeta \beta_2$, i.e., Φ_{ξ}^{is} is an isthmus-smooth T-G invariant.

 Φ_{ξ}^{is} is called the ξ -isthmus-smooth modification of Φ . Notice that if Φ is an isthmussmooth invariant (i.e., if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$), then $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M) = \xi^{|E|} \Phi(M)$ for every matroid M.

If R has zero divisors, then ξ/β_2 does not need to be unique. In this case we should formally replace fraction ξ/β_2 by ξ' where $\xi = \xi'\beta_2$. On the other hand if $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \xi''\beta_2$, it suffices to replace fraction $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)/\beta_2$ by ξ'' and allow ξ to be any element of R. If R contains no zero divisors, we can extend R into its quotient field and allow ξ to be any element of R, or any element of the quotient field.

If Φ is a T-G invariant determined by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$, then define Φ^* as the T-G invariant determined by $(\beta_1, \alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_2)$. Clearly, $\Phi = (\Phi^*)^*$. By Lemma 1, $\Phi(M) = \alpha_2^{r(M)} \beta_2^{r^*(M)} T(M; \alpha_1/\alpha_2, \beta_1/\beta_2)$ and $\Phi^*(M^*) = \beta_2^{r^*(M)} \alpha_2^{r(M)} T(M^*; \beta_1/\beta_2, \alpha_1/\alpha_2)$ for each matroid M. The covariance formula (see [2]) is that $T(M; x, y) = T(M^*; y, x)$, whence

(3)
$$\Phi(M) = \Phi^*(M^*)$$

Theorem 2. Let Φ be a T-G invariant determined by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2), \alpha_2 \neq 0$, and $\xi \in R$ be a multiple of α_2 . Then $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{ls}}(M) = \xi^{|E|} \left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\alpha_2}\right)^{r(M)} \Phi(M)$ is a loop-smooth T-G invariant such that for every matroid M,

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M) &= 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M) &= \xi \alpha_1 (\beta_1 - \beta_2) / \alpha_2 \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M - e) & \text{if } e \text{ is an isthmus of } M, \\ \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M) &= \xi (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M/e) + \beta_2 \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M - e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{split}$$

Proof. Set $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{ls}} = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}})^*$. By (3) and Theorem 1, $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{ls}}(M) = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}})^*(M) = (\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M^*)$. Applying Theorem 1 for Φ^* and M^* , we get $(\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M^*) = \xi^{|E|} \left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\alpha_2}\right)^{r(M)} \Phi^*(M^*) = \xi^{|E|} \left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\alpha_2}\right)^{r(M)} \Phi(M)$. Furthermore by definition of Φ^* and Theorem 1, $((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}})^*$ is determined by $(\xi \alpha_1(\beta_1 - \beta_2)/\alpha_2, \xi \beta_1, \xi(\beta_1 - \beta_2), \xi \beta_2)$.

Notice that $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{ls}} = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}})^*$, whence $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{is}} = ((((\Phi^*)^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}})^*)^* = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\text{ls}})^*$. Thus

(4)
$$\Phi_{\xi}^{\rm ls} = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\rm is})^* \text{ and } \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm is} = ((\Phi^*)_{\xi}^{\rm ls})^*$$

 Φ_{ξ}^{ls} is called the ξ -loop-smooth modification of Φ . If Φ is an isthmus invariant, then $\Phi_{\xi}^{\text{ls}}(M) = \xi^{|E|} \Phi(M)$ for every matroid M.

In Theorems 1 and 2 we have assumed that $\beta_2 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, respectively. Let $l_M(i_M)$ denote the number of loops (isthmuses) in a matroid M. If $\alpha_2 = 0$, then by (1), $\Phi(M) = \alpha_1^{r(M)} \beta_1^{l_M} \beta_2^{r^*(M)-l_M}$, whence by (3), $\Phi(M) = \beta_1^{r^*(M)} \alpha_1^{i_M} \alpha_2^{r(M)-i_M}$ if $\beta_2 = 0$. Thus $\Phi(M)$ is easy to evaluate if $\alpha_2 = 0$ or $\beta_2 = 0$ (a contrast with the fact that the Tutte polynomial is difficult to evaluate, see [3, 4, 8]).

3. Modifications of the Tutte Polynomial

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Then ξ is a multiple of 1 whence by Theorem 1, the ξ -isthmus-smooth modification of the Tutte polynomial of M is

(5)
$$T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M;x,y) = \xi^{|E|}(x-1)^{r^*(M)}T(M;x,y)$$

MARTIN KOCHOL

and satisfies

(6)
$$\begin{array}{l} T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M;x,y) = 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M;x,y) = \xi y(x-1)T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M-e;x,y) & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop of } M, \\ T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M;x,y) = \xi T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M/e;x,y) + \xi(x-1)T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M-e;x,y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{array}$$

By Theorem 2, the ξ -loop-smooth modification of the Tutte polynomial of M is

(7)
$$T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M;x,y) = \xi^{|E|}(y-1)^{r(M)}T(M;x,y)$$

and satisfies

(8)
$$\begin{array}{l} T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M;x,y) = 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M;x,y) = \xi x(y-1)T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M-e;x,y) & \text{if } e \text{ is an isthmus,} \\ T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M;x,y) = \xi(y-1)T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M-e;x,y) + \xi T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M/e;x,y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{array}$$

By (3), $T_{\xi}^{\text{ls}}(M; x, y) = (T_{\xi}^{\text{ls}})^*(M^*; x, y)$, and by (4), $(T_{\xi}^{\text{ls}})^*(M^*; x, y) = (T^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M^*; x, y)$. By (2) and (1), we have $T^*(M^*; x, y) = T(M^*; y, x)$, whence $(T^*)_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M^*; x, y) = T_{\xi}^{\text{is}}(M^*; y, x)$, i.e., we have a variant of the covariance formula

(9)
$$T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M;x,y) = T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M^*;y,x).$$

Kook, Reiner, and Stanton [6] introduced the convolution formula

$$T(M; x, y) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} T(M/A; x, 0) \cdot T(M|A; 0, y),$$

(where M|A and M/A denote the restriction of M to A and the contraction of A from M, respectively). Hence by (5) and (7),

$$T(M;x,y) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} \xi^{-|E|} (-1)^{-r(M/A)} T_{\xi}^{\mathrm{ls}}(M/A;x,0)) \cdot (-1)^{-r^*(M|A)} T_{\xi}^{\mathrm{is}}(M|A;0,y).$$

Since $r^*(M|A) = |A| - r(A)$, r(M/A) = r(M) - r(A), and 2r(A) - r(M) - |A| has the same parity as r(M) + |A|, we get a variant of the convolution formula

(10)
$$T(M;x,y) = \xi^{-|E|} (-1)^{r(M)} \sum_{A \subseteq E} (-1)^{|A|} T_{\xi}^{\rm ls}(M/A;x,0) \cdot T_{\xi}^{\rm is}(M|A;0,y).$$

The ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ has no divisors of zero, therefore it has a quotient field $\mathbb{F}[x, y]$, consisting of all rational polynomials with integral coefficients. Thus, as pointed out in the remark after Theorem 1, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{F}[x, y]$, we can consider ξ -isthmus- and ξ -loop-smooth modifications of the Tutte polynomial, thus also formulas (9), (10).

If Φ is a T-G invariant determined by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ and $\xi, \zeta \in R$, then by Lemma 1, there exists a T-G invariant determined by $(\xi \alpha_1, \zeta \beta_1, \xi \alpha_2, \zeta \beta_2)$ denoted by $\Phi_{\xi,\zeta}$. Clearly, $\Phi_{\xi,\zeta}(M) = \xi^{r(M)} \zeta^{r^*(M)} \Phi(M)$ for each matroid M. Suppose that If $\Phi_{\xi,\zeta}$ is isthmus- and loop-smooth in the same time. Then $\xi \alpha_1 = \zeta \beta_1 = \xi \alpha_2 + \zeta \beta_2$, whence $\xi/\zeta = \beta_1/\alpha_1 = \beta_2/(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)/\alpha_2$, and thus

(11)
$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1 \beta_2 / (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2).$$

4

On the other hand (11) implies $\beta_1/\alpha_1 = \beta_2/(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$ and $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)/\alpha_2 = \beta_2/(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$. Thus (11) is a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of ξ and ζ such that $\Phi_{\xi,\zeta}$ is an isthmus- and loop-smooth invariant. Therefore this kind of transforation cannot be applied for each Φ . In particular, (11) is not valid for the Tutte polynomial because $y \neq x/(x-1)$.

References

- L. Beaudin, J. Ellis-Monaghan, G. Pangborn, and R. Shrock, A little statistical mechanics for the graph theorist, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 2037–2053.
- T. Brylawski and J. Oxley, *The Tutte polynomial and its applications*, in: Matroid Applications, (N. White, Editor), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992), pp. 123-225.
- [3] L.A. Goldberg and M. Jerrum, *Inapproximability of the Tutte polynomial*, Inform. and Comput. 206 (2008) 908–929.
- [4] F. Jaeger, D.L. Vertigan, and D.J.A. Welsh, On the computational complexity of the Jones and Tutte polynomials, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 108 (1990) 35–53.
- [5] M. Kochol, Splitting formulas for Tutte-Grothendieck invariants, manuscript (2014).
- [6] W. Kook, V. Reiner, and D. Stanton, A convolution formula for the Tutte polynomial, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 76 (1999) 297–300.
- [7] J.G. Oxley and D.J.A. Welsh, The Tutte polynomial and percolation, in: Graph Theory and Related Topics, (J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Editors), Academic Press, New York (1979), pp. 329-339.
- [8] D. Vertigan, The computational complexity of Tutte invariants for planar graphs, SIAM J. Comput. 135 (2005) 690-712.
- [9] D. J. A. Welsh, Complexity: Knots, Colourings and Counting, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 186, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).

MÚ SAV, ŠTEFÁNIKOVA 49, 814 73 BRATISLAVA 1, SLOVAKIA *E-mail address*: martin.kochol@mat.savba.sk