

# Large induced forests in planar graphs with girth 4 or 5

François Dross<sup>a</sup>, Mickael Montassier<sup>b</sup>, and Alexandre Pinlou<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*ENS de Lyon, LIRMM*

<sup>b</sup>*Université Montpellier 2, LIRMM*

<sup>c</sup>*Université Montpellier 3, LIRMM*

*161 rue Ada, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France*

*francois.dross@ens-lyon.fr, {mickael.montassier, alexandre.pinlou}@lirmm.fr*

November 21, 2021

## Abstract

We give here some new lower bounds on the order of a largest induced forest in planar graphs with girth 4 and 5. In particular we prove that a triangle-free planar graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{6n+7}{11}$ , improving the lower bound of Salavatipour [M. R. Salavatipour, Large induced forests in triangle-free planar graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 22:113–126, 2006]. We also prove that a planar graph of order  $n$  and girth at least 5 admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{44n+50}{69}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph. A *decycling set* or *feedback vertex set*  $S$  of  $G$  is a subset of the vertices of  $G$  such that removing the vertices of  $S$  from  $G$  yields an acyclic graph. Thus  $S$  is a decycling set of  $G$  if and only if the graph induced by  $V(G)\setminus S$  in  $G$  is an induced forest of  $G$ . The FEEDBACK VERTEX SET DECISION PROBLEM (which consists of, given a graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ , deciding whether there is a decycling set of  $G$  of size  $k$ ) is known to be NP-complete, even restricted to the case of planar graphs, bipartite graphs or perfect graphs [10]. It is thus legitimate to seek bounds for the size of a

decycling set or an induced forest. The smallest size of a decycling set of  $G$  is called the *decycling number* of  $G$ , and the highest order of an induced forest of  $G$  is called the *forest number* of  $G$ , denoted respectively by  $\phi(G)$  and  $a(G)$ . Note that the sum of the decycling number and the forest number of  $G$  is equal to the order of  $G$  (i.e.  $|V(G)| = a(G) + \phi(G)$ ).

Mainly, the community focuses on the following challenging conjecture due to Albertson and Berman [3]:

**Conjecture 1** (*Albertson and Berman [3]*). *Every planar graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{n}{2}$ .*

Conjecture 1, if true, would be tight (for  $n \geq 3$  multiple of 4) because of the disjoint union of the complete graph on four vertices (Akiyama and Watanabe [1] gave examples showing that the conjecture differs from the optimal by at most one half for all  $n$ ), and would imply that every planar graph has an independent set on at least a quarter of its vertices, the only known proof of which relies on the Four-Color Theorem.

The best known lower bound to date for the forest number of a planar graph is due to Borodin and is a consequence of the acyclic 5-colorability of planar graphs [6]. We recall that an acyclic coloring is a proper vertex coloring such that the graph induced by the vertices of any two color classes is a forest. From this result we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2** (*Borodin [6]*). *Every planar graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{2n}{5}$ .*

Hosono [9] showed the following theorem as a consequence of the acyclic 3-colorability of outerplanar graphs and showed that the bound is tight.

**Theorem 3** (*Hosono [9]*). *Every outerplanar graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{2n}{3}$ .*

The tightness of the bound is shown by the example in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Example to prove the tightness of Theorem 3.

Other results were deduced from results on acyclic coloring, for other classes of graphs. Fertin et al. [8] gave such results for several classes of graphs, stated in Table 1.

Family $\mathcal{F}$	Forest number:	
	Lower bound	Upper bound
Planar	$\frac{2n}{5}$	$\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$
Planar with girth 5, 6	$\frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{7n}{10} + 2$
Planar with girth $\geq 7$	$\frac{2n}{3}$	$\frac{5n}{6} + 1$

Table 1: Bounds on the forest number for some families  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs [8].

Akiyama and Watanabe [1], and Albertson and Rhaas [2] independently raised the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 4** (*Akiyama and Watanabe [1], and Albertson and Rhaas [2]*). *Every bipartite planar graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{5n}{8}$ .*

This conjecture, if true, would be tight for  $n$  multiple of 8: for example if  $G$  is the disjoint union of  $k$  cubes, then we have  $a(G) = 5k$  and  $G$  has order  $8k$  (see Figure 2). Motivated by Conjecture 4, Alon [4] proved the following theorem using probabilistic methods:




Figure 2: The cube admits an induced forest on five of its vertices, but no induced forest on six or more of its vertices.

**Theorem 5** (*Alon [4]*). *There exist some  $b > 0$  and  $b' > 0$  such that:*

- *For every bipartite graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and average degree at most  $d$  ( $\geq 1$ ),  $a(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} + e^{-bd^2})n$ .*
- *For every  $d \geq 1$  and all sufficiently large  $n$  there exists a bipartite graph with  $n$  vertices and average degree at most  $d$  such that  $a(G) \leq (\frac{1}{2} + e^{-b'\sqrt{d}})n$ .*

The lower bound was later improved by Colon et al. [7] to  $a(G) \geq (1/2 + e^{-b''d})n$  for a constant  $b''$ .

Conjecture 4 also led to some research for lower bounds of the forest number of triangle-free planar graphs (as a superclass of bipartite planar graphs). Alon et al. [5] proved the following theorems and corollary:

**Theorem 6** (Alon et al. [5]). *Every triangle-free graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $n - \frac{m}{4}$ .*

**Corollary 7** (Alon et al. [5]). *Every triangle-free cubic graph of order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{5n}{8}$ .*

**Theorem 8** (Alon et al. [5]). *Every connected graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , order  $n$ , and size  $m$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\alpha(G) + \frac{n - \alpha(G)}{(\Delta - 1)^2}$ .*

Theorem 6 is tight because of the union of cycles of length 4.

In a planar graph with girth at least  $g$ , order  $n$  and size  $m$  with at least a cycle, the number of faces is at most  $2m/g$  (since all the faces' boundaries have length at least  $g$ ). Then, by Euler's formula,  $2m/g \geq m - n + 2$ , and thus  $m \leq (g/(g - 2))(n - 2)$ . In particular, triangle-free planar graphs of order  $n \geq 3$  have size at most  $2n - 4$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 6, for  $G$  a triangle-free planar graph of order  $n$ ,  $a(G) \geq n/2$ . This lower bound was improved for  $n \geq 1$  by Salavatipour [12].

**Theorem 9** (Salavatipour [12]). *Every triangle-free planar graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{29n - 6m}{32}$  and thus at least  $\frac{17n + 24}{32}$ .*

In 2010, Kowalik et al. [11] proposed that for triangle-free planar graphs of order  $n$  and size  $m$ ,  $a(G) \geq \frac{119n - 24m - 24}{128} \geq \frac{71n + 72}{128}$ . However, it seems that the proof has a flaw. We give here an infinite family of counter-examples for  $a(G) \geq \frac{119n - 24m - 24}{128}$  (see Section 2). We propose an improvement of Theorem 9, which thus leads to the best known bound to our knowledge (see Section 2):

**Theorem 10.** *Every triangle-free planar graph of order  $n$  and size  $m$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\max\{\frac{38n - 7m}{44}, n - \frac{m}{4}\}$ .*

Hence by Euler's formula the following corollary holds:

**Corollary 11.** *Every triangle-free planar graph of order  $n \geq 1$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{6n + 7}{11}$ .*

Kowalik et al. [11] made the following conjecture on planar graph of girth at least 5:

**Conjecture 12** (Kowalik et al. [11]). *Every planar graph with girth at least 5 and order  $n$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $7n/10$ .*

This conjecture, if true, would be tight for  $n$  multiple of 20, as shown by the example of the union of dodecahedron, given by Kowalik et al. [11] (see Figure 3). We prove the following theorem which is a first step toward




Figure 3: The dodecahedron admits an induced forest on fourteen of its vertices, but no induced forest on fifteen or more of its vertices.

Conjecture 12 (see Section 3):

**Theorem 13.** *Every planar graph with girth at least 5, order  $n$  and size  $m$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $n - \frac{5m}{23}$ .*

Hence by Euler's formula the following corollary holds:

**Corollary 14.** *Every planar graph with girth at least 5 and order  $n \geq 1$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $\frac{44n+50}{69}$ .*

From Theorem 13 we can deduce, with Euler's formula (which implies that  $m \leq (g/(g-2))(n-2)$ ), the following corollary:

**Corollary 15.** *Every planar graph with girth at least  $g \geq 5$  and order  $n \geq 1$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $n - \frac{(5n-10)g}{23(g-2)}$ .*

Finally, we summarize lower and upper bounds in Table 2. The upper bounds for girth 6 and 7 are obtained by the graphs in Figures 4 and 5. There is no bigger induced forest for any of them since all vertices have degree at most 3, and thus at least one vertex per two faces have to be removed.

Girth higher than	Lower bound for $a(G)$	$a(G)$ for a graph of this class
4	$\frac{6n+7}{11}$	$\frac{5n}{8}$
5	$\frac{44n+50}{69}$	$\frac{7n}{10}$
6	$\frac{31n+30}{46}$	$\frac{23n}{30}$
7	$\frac{16n+14}{23}$	$\frac{17n}{21}$

Table 2: Our lower bounds on  $a(G)$  for  $G$  planar graph of high enough girth, compared to the best possible lower bounds for  $a(G)$  on the corresponding classes of graphs.




Figure 4: A planar graph of girth 6 on 30 vertices that admits an induced forest on 23 of its vertices, but no induced forest on 24 or more of its vertices.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 10

We first give a counter-example to the bound of Kowalik et al. [11]: we consider the disjoint union of  $k$  cubes. There are  $8k$  vertices and  $12k$  edges, hence Kowalik et al.'s lower bound tells us that there is an induced forest of size at least  $\frac{119(8k)-24(12k)-24}{128} = 5k + (k-1)\frac{3}{16}$ . However there cannot be an induced forest of more than 5 vertices in a cube (see Figure 2), and thus the biggest induced forest in our graph contains  $5k$  vertices, which contradicts the lower bound. Furthermore, by increasing  $k$ , we can see that the biggest induced forest can be arbitrarily smaller than the supposed lower bound.




Figure 5: A planar graph of girth 7 on 42 vertices that admits an induced forest on 34 of its vertices, but no induced forest on 35 or more of its vertices.

The proofs of Theorems 10 and 13 follow the same scheme. They consist in looking for a minimal counter-example  $G$ , proving some structural properties on  $G$  and concluding that it cannot verify Euler's formula, which is contradictory.

Consider  $G = (V, E)$ . For a set  $S \subset V$ , let  $G - S$  be the graph constructed from  $G$  by removing the vertices of  $S$  and all the edges incident to some vertex of  $S$ . If  $x \in V$ , then we denote  $G - \{x\}$  by  $G - x$ . For a set  $S$  of vertices such that  $S \cap V = \emptyset$ , let  $G + S$  be the graph constructed from  $G$  by adding the vertices of  $S$ . If  $x \notin V$ , then we denote  $G + \{x\}$  by  $G + x$ . For a set  $F$  of pairs of vertices of  $G$  such that  $F \cap E = \emptyset$ , let  $G + F$  be the graph constructed from  $G$  by adding the edges of  $F$ . If  $e$  is a pair of vertices of  $G$  and  $e \notin E$ , we denote  $G + \{e\}$  by  $G + e$ . For a set  $W \subset V$ , we denote by  $G[W]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $W$ .

We call a vertex of degree  $d$ , at least  $d$  and at most  $d$ , a  $d$ -vertex, a  $d^+$ -vertex and a  $d^-$ -vertex respectively. Similarly, we call a cycle of length  $l$ , at least  $l$  and at most  $l$  a  $l$ -cycle, a  $l^+$ -cycle and a  $l^-$ -cycle respectively, and by extension a face of length  $l$ , at least  $l$  and at most  $l$  a  $l$ -face, a  $l^+$ -face and a  $l^-$ -face respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_4$  be the class of triangle-free planar graphs, and  $\mathcal{P}_5$  be the class of planar graphs of girth at least 5.

We will prove of the following more general statement than Theorem 10:

**Theorem 16.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants such that equations (1)–(5)*

are verified, then  $a(G) \geq an - bm$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{P}_4$ .

$$0 \leq a \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

$$0 \leq b \tag{2}$$

$$a - 6b \leq 0 \tag{3}$$

$$3a - 10b \leq 1 \tag{4}$$

$$8a - 12b \leq 5 \tag{5}$$




Figure 6: The top-left part of the polygon of the constraints on  $a$  and  $b$ .

This series of inequalities defines a polygon represented in Figure 6, and for a triangle-free planar graph of given order  $n$  and size  $m$ , the highest lower bound will be given by maximizing  $an - bm$  for  $a$  and  $b$  in this polygon. This maximum will be achieved at a vertex of the polygon. Moreover, by Euler's formula, every triangle-free planar graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size  $m$  satisfies  $0 \leq m \leq 2n - 4$ . Therefore for  $n \geq 3$  the maximum will always be achieved at the intersection of either  $3a - 10b = 1$  and  $8a - 12b = 5$ , or  $8a - 12b = 5$  and  $a = 1$ . The corresponding intersections are  $(b, a) = (\frac{7}{44}, \frac{38}{44})$  and  $(b, a) = (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ , represented in Figure 6.

Let us show that any of the two lower bounds can be higher than the other, for graphs of arbitrarily high order.

For the disjoint union of  $k$  cubes (which is a graph of order  $8k$  and size  $12k$ ), the two lower bounds are equal to  $5k$ .

We consider now a graph composed of  $k$  disjoint cubes, where we remove an edge from each cube. This graph has  $8k$  vertices and  $11k$  edges. In this



case we have  $n - \frac{m}{4} = \frac{21}{4}k > \frac{38n-7m}{44} = \frac{227}{44}k$ . More simply, for an independent set,  $n - \frac{m}{4} = n > \frac{38n-7m}{44} = \frac{38n}{44}$ .

We now consider a graph composed of  $k$  disjoint cubes, where we add an edge from each cube to the next one and an edge from the last one to the first one. This graph has  $8k$  vertices and  $13k$  edges. In this case, we have  $n - \frac{m}{4} = \frac{19}{4}k < \frac{38n-7m}{44} = \frac{213}{44}k$ . For a quadrangulation on  $n$  vertices and  $2n - 4$  edges (i.e. a planar graph on  $n$  vertices that has only 4-faces),  $n - \frac{m}{4} = \frac{n}{2} + 1 < \frac{38n-7m}{44} = \frac{6n+7}{11}$ .

Let us now proceed to the proof of Theorem 16. For this proof we mainly adapt the methods of Kowalik et al. [11].

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a counter-example to Theorem 16 with the minimum order. Let  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ . We will use the scheme presented in Observation 17 for most of our lemmas.

**Observation 17.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be integers satisfying  $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$  and  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ .*

*Let  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_4$  be a graph with  $|V(H^*)| = n - \alpha$  and  $|E(H^*)| \leq m - \beta$ .*

*By minimality of  $G$ ,  $H^*$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $a(n - \alpha) - b(m - \beta)$ .*

*For all induced forest  $F^*$  of  $H^*$  of order at least  $a(n - \alpha) - b(m - \beta)$ , if there is an induced forest  $F$  of  $G$  of order at least  $|V(F^*)| + \gamma$ , then we get a contradiction: as  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ , we have  $|V(F)| \geq an - bm$ .*

Table 3 contains the values of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  that will be used throughout this section. For each one, the inequality  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$  is a consequence of the constraints (1)–(5).

We will now prove a series of lemmas on the structure of  $G$ .

**Lemma 18.** *Graph  $G$  is 2-edge-connected.*

*Proof.* By contradiction, suppose  $V(G)$  is partitioned into two partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that there is at most one edge between vertices of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Consider graph  $G[V_i]$  induced by the vertices of  $V_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ) with  $n_i = |V_i|$  vertices and  $m_i = |E(G[V_i])|$  edges. By minimality of  $G$ ,  $G[V_i]$  admits an induced forest, say  $F_i$ , with at least  $an_i - bm_i$  vertices. Now the union of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  (more formally,  $G[V(F_1) \cup V(F_2)]$ ) is an induced forest of  $G$  having at least  $an_1 - bm_1 + an_2 - bm_2 = a(n_1 + n_2) - b(m_1 + m_2) \geq an - bm$  vertices as  $m \geq m_1 + m_2$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

In particular, Lemma 18 implies that there is no  $1^-$ -vertex in  $G$ .

**Lemma 19.** *Every vertex in  $G$  has degree at most 5.*

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	proof
1	6	0	(3)
2	5	1	$((1) + (4))/2$
3	5	2	$(3(1) + (4))/2$
1	1	1	(1) + (2)
5	9	3	$((1) + (3) + (5))/2$
6	8	4	$((1) + (5)) * 2/3$
4	10	2	(1) + (4)
7	13	4	$((1) + 3(4) + 4(5))/6$
3	10	1	(4)
8	12	5	(5)
6	14	3	$((3) + (4) + (5))/2$
8	19	4	$((1) + (3) + 2(4) + (5))/2$
9	24	4	$((3) + 3(4) + (5))/2$
10	23	5	$((1) + 9(4) + 4(5))/6$
9	19	5	$(3(1) + (3) + 2(4) + (5))/2$

Table 3: The various triples  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and the combinations of inequalities which imply  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ .

*Proof.* By contradiction, suppose  $v \in V(G)$  is a  $6^+$ -vertex. Observation 17 applied to  $H^* = G - v$  with  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 6, 0)$  and  $F = F^*$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 20.** *If  $v$  is a 3-vertex adjacent to a  $4^+$ -vertex  $w$  in  $G$ , then the two other neighbors of  $v$  have a common neighbor different from  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two neighbors of  $v$  different from  $w$ . Suppose that they do not have a common neighbor different from  $v$ . Let  $H^* = G + xy - \{w, v\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n-2$  vertices and  $m' \leq m-5$  edges. As  $x$  and  $y$  do not have a common neighbor in  $G$  other than  $v$ , the addition of the edge  $xy$  does not create any triangle in  $H^*$ , thus  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_4$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  (more formally, consider  $G[V(F') \cup \{v\}]$ ) leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, 5, 1)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 21.** *There is no 2-vertex adjacent to a  $4^+$ -vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 2-vertex adjacent to a  $4^+$ -vertex  $w$  and  $H^* = G - \{v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n-2$  vertices and  $m' \leq m-5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, 5, 1)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** *There is no 3-vertex adjacent to two 2-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 3-vertex adjacent to two 2-vertices  $u$  and  $w$  and  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u$  and  $w$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 23.** *Every vertex in  $G$  has degree at least 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 2-vertex.

Suppose that  $v$  has a neighbor  $u$  of degree 2 and a neighbor  $w$  of degree 3. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u$  and  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction.

Suppose that  $v$  has two neighbors of degree 3, say  $u$  and  $w$ . Consider three cases according to the number of neighbors  $u$  and  $w$  have in common.

- Suppose  $u$  and  $w$  have only  $v$  in common. Let  $H^* = G + uw - v$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 1$  vertices and  $m' = m - 1$  edges. Observe that  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_4$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  (more formally, consider  $G[V(F') \cup \{v\}]$ ) does not create any cycle (the edge  $uw$  is just subdivided in  $uv, vw$ ). Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 1, 1)$  leads to a contradiction.
- Suppose  $u$  and  $w$  have two neighbors in common, say  $v$  and  $x$ . Let  $y$  be the last neighbor of  $u$ . By Lemma 22, both  $x$  and  $y$  have degree at least 3. Note that  $x$  and  $y$  are not adjacent because  $G$  has girth at least 4. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and, since  $y$  and  $w$  are not adjacent (otherwise  $u$  and  $w$  have three common neighbors),  $m' \leq m - 9$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u, v$  and  $w$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 9, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.
- Suppose  $u$  and  $w$  have three neighbors in common. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the ones that are not  $v$ . Suppose  $x$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex and let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 9$  edges (recall that  $y$  is a  $3^+$ -vertex by Lemma 22). Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u, v$  and  $w$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 9, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.  
W.l.o.g. we assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are 3-vertices. Let  $z$  be the third neighbor of  $x$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n -$

6 vertices and  $m' \leq m - 8$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u, v, x$  and  $y$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 8, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, by Lemmas 18 and 21, every 2-vertex has only neighbors of degree 2. As  $G$  is connected (Lemma 18), either  $G$  does not have any 2-vertex or it is 2-regular. If  $G$  is 2-regular, then  $G$  is a  $n$ -cycle and thus  $m = n$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{P}_4$ , we have  $n \geq 4$ . It is clear that  $G$  has an induced forest of size  $n - 1$ . Recall that  $8a - 12b \leq 5$  and  $a \leq 1$ ; this gives that  $4(a - b) \leq 3$ . Since  $n \geq 4$ , we can deduce that  $an - bm = (a - b)n \leq n - 1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G$  is a counter-example. Therefore,  $G$  has minimum degree at least 3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *There is no 4-cycle in  $G$  with*

- *at least one  $4^+$ -vertex and two opposite 3-vertices*
- *or one 3-vertex opposite to a 4-vertex that has an edge going to the interior of the cycle and one going to the exterior of it.*

*In particular there is no 4-cycle with exactly three 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* • Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be a cycle such that  $v_0$  and  $v_2$  have degree 3 and  $v_3$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex. Suppose  $v_1$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex. Let  $H^* = G - C$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 4$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (4, 10, 2)$  leads to a contradiction. Therefore  $v_1$  has degree 3.

Let  $u_0, u_1$  and  $u_2$  be the third neighbors of  $v_0, v_1$ , and  $v_2$ , respectively. Suppose  $u_0 = u_2$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 9$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 9, 3)$  leads to a contradiction. So  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  are distinct.

By Lemma 20,  $u_0u_1 \in E$  and  $u_1u_2 \in E$ . Assume  $u_0$  (or  $u_2$ ) has at most one neighbor  $w \notin \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_2\}$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 13$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  and  $u_0$  to  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 13, 4)$  leads to a contradiction. Thus both of the vertices  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  have at least two neighbors that are not in  $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_2\}$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_2\}$ . Graph

$H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.

- Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be a cycle such that  $v_0$  is a 3-vertex and  $v_2$  is a 4-vertex with an edge going to the interior of the cycle and one going to the exterior of it. If  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  have degree 3, then we fall into the previous case. Therefore w.l.o.g.  $v_1$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex. Let  $H^* = G - C$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 4$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Indeed, if adding  $v_2$  creates a cycle, then there is a path from the interior to the exterior of  $C$  in  $H^*$ , which is impossible. Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (4, 10, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 25.** *There is no 4-face with four 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is such a 4-face  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$ , and let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i = 0..3$ . In the following, we consider the indices of the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  modulo 4. If for some  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $u_{i_0} = u_{i_0+1}$ , then we have a triangle. Suppose now that  $u_{i_0} = u_{i_0+2}$  for some  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , w.l.o.g. say  $i_0 = 0$ . In the cycle  $v_0v_1v_2u_0$ , the vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_2$  are two opposite 3-vertices. By Lemma 24,  $u_0$  is a 3-vertex. Observe that  $u_1v_1$  and  $u_3v_3$  are separated by the cycle  $v_0v_1v_2u_0$ . Hence one of them is a bridge, contradicting Lemma 18.

Therefore all the  $u_i$  are distinct. We now consider the question of the presence or not of the edges  $u_iu_{i+1}$ . Consider the case  $u_iu_{i+1} \notin E$  and  $u_{i+1}u_{i+2} \notin E$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , w.l.o.g. say  $i = 0$ . If  $u_0u_2 \in E$ , then either  $u_2u_3 \notin E$  or  $u_0u_3 \notin E$  (otherwise  $G$  has a triangle), and  $u_1u_3 \notin E$  by planarity of  $G$ . Therefore up to the permutation of the indices,  $u_0u_1 \notin E$ ,  $u_1u_2 \notin E$  and  $u_0u_2 \notin E$ . We then define  $H^* = G + x + \{xu_0, xu_1, xu_2\} - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges and belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_4$  as  $u_0u_1, u_0u_2$  and  $u_1u_2$  are not in  $E$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Let  $F$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $V(F') \setminus \{x\}$  plus  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  if  $x \in F'$  or plus  $v_0$  and  $v_2$  if  $x \notin F'$ . Subgraph  $F$  is an induced forest of  $G$ . Hence, Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction. Therefore there must be an  $i$  such that  $u_iu_{i+1} \in E$  and  $u_{i+2}u_{i+3} \in E$ , w.l.o.g.  $u_0u_1 \in E$  and  $u_2u_3 \in E$ .

Let  $G' = G - C$ . Graph  $G'$  has  $n - 4$  vertices and  $m - 8$  edges.

Let us now count, for each of the  $u_i$ 's, the number of the neighbors of  $u_i$  that are not in  $A = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . The edges that are known

in  $G[A]$  are represented in Figure 7.




Figure 7: The graph  $G[A]$  (only the edges that are known to be there are represented).

- Suppose w.l.o.g.  $u_0$  has only neighbors in  $A$ , and another  $u_{i'}$  has at most one neighbor not in  $A$ . Let  $H^* = G' - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices. By Lemma 23, each of the  $u_i$  has degree at least 3. Graph  $H^*$  has  $m' \leq m - 12$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $u_0, u_{i'}, v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 12, 5)$  leads to a contradiction.
- Suppose w.l.o.g.  $u_0$  has at most one neighbor not in  $A$ , and all the other  $u_i$  have each at least one neighbor not in  $A$ . Vertex  $u_0$  is not adjacent both to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  since  $G$  has girth at least 4. Let  $i_0$  be such that  $i_0 \neq 0$  and  $u_0 u_{i_0} \notin E$  (either  $i_0 = 2$  or  $i_0 = 3$ ). Let  $H^* = G' - \{u_{i_0+1}, u_{i_0+2}, u_{i_0+3}\}$  (we remove all the vertices of  $A$  except  $u_{i_0}$ ). Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices. Let us count the number of edges in  $G'$  that have an endvertex in  $\{u_{i_0+1}, u_{i_0+2}, u_{i_0+3}\}$ . If  $i_0 = 2$ , then there are at least two edges for the neighbors of  $u_1$  and  $u_3$  that are not in  $A$ , plus the edges  $u_0 u_1$  and  $u_2 u_3$ , plus one edge since  $u_0$  has degree at least 3, thus at least 5 edges of  $H^*$  have an endvertex in  $\{u_{i_0+1}, u_{i_0+2}, u_{i_0+3}\}$ . If  $i_0 = 3$ , then there are at least two edges for the neighbors of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  that are not in  $A$ , plus the edges  $u_0 u_1$  and  $u_2 u_3$ , plus one edge since  $u_0$  has degree at least 3, thus at least 5 edges of  $H^*$  have an

endvertex in  $\{u_{i_0+1}, u_{i_0+2}, u_{i_0+3}\}$ . In both cases,  $H^*$  has  $m' \leq m - 13$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $u_0, v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ , since there is no path between  $u_0$  and  $u_{i_0}$  in  $G[\{v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_{i_0}\}]$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 13, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.

- So all the  $u_i$  have at least two neighbors not in  $A$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges, and if  $F'$  is any induced forest in  $H^*$ , then adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction and completes the proof. □

**Lemma 26.** *There is no separating 4-cycle with four 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be such a cycle. We will consider the indices of the  $v_i$  modulo 4 in what follows. Since  $G$  is 2-edge-connected (Lemma 18), two of the  $v_i$  have their third neighbor in the interior of  $C$ , and the two other have theirs outside of it. There is a  $v_i$  such that the third neighbors of  $v_{i+1}$  and  $v_{i+2}$  are separated by  $C$ , w.l.o.g. for  $i = 0$ . Then let  $u$  be the third neighbor of  $v_0$ . Let  $H^* = G - C - u$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices, and  $m' \leq m - 9$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  to  $F'$  leads to a forest of  $G$ , thus Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 9, 3)$  leads to a contradiction. □

**Lemma 27.** *There is no 3-vertex adjacent to a 5-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 3-vertex adjacent to a 5-vertex  $u$ . Let  $w$  and  $x$  be the two other neighbors of  $v$ .

We first assume that  $w$  or  $x$ ,  $w$  without loss of generality, is a  $4^+$ -vertex. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Thus Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 10, 1)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore  $w$  and  $x$  are 3-vertices. By Lemma 20,  $w$  and  $x$  have a common neighbor (distinct from  $v$ ), which has degree 3 by Lemma 24. Finally Lemmas 25 and 26 lead to a contradiction, completing the proof. □

**Lemma 28.** *There is no separating 4-cycle with at least two 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be such a cycle. By Lemmas 24 and 26,  $C$  has exactly two 3-vertices. By Lemmas 23, 24 and 27, the two 3-vertices are adjacent, the two other vertices have degree 4 and none of the 4-vertices has a neighbor inside  $C$  and the other one outside  $C$ . W.l.o.g. the 3-vertices are  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ . Let  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  be the third neighbors of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  respectively.

If  $u_0v_2 \in E$  or  $u_1v_3 \in E$ , say  $u_0v_2 \in E$  w.l.o.g., then either  $v_0v_1v_2u_0$  or  $v_0v_3v_2u_0$  has a 3-vertex ( $v_0$ ) opposite to a 4-vertex ( $v_2$ ) with an edge going inside and one going outside of it, contradicting Lemma 24. Therefore  $u_0v_2 \notin E$  and  $u_1v_3 \notin E$ .

By Lemma 20,  $u_0u_1 \in E$ ; thus  $C$  does not separate  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ , say  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  are in the exterior of  $C$  up to changing the plane embedding. By Lemmas 23–27,  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  are 4-vertices. At least one of  $v_2$  or  $v_3$ , say  $v_2$ , has two neighbors inside of  $C$  (otherwise the cycle is not separating). Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_3, u_1\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 4$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges, and if  $F'$  is any induced forest of  $H^*$ , then adding  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$  (since  $v_2$  is only connected to the interior and  $u_0$  to the exterior of  $C$ ). Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (4, 10, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.** *There is no 4-face with exactly two 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be such a face. By Lemmas 23 and 24 the two 3-vertices are adjacent. W.l.o.g.  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  have degree 3, and  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  have degree 4 (by Lemmas 23 and 27). Let  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  be the third neighbors of  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  respectively. By Lemma 20 applied to  $v_0$  and  $v_3$ , and  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  $u_0u_1 \in E$ . Then by Lemma 28,  $v_0v_1u_1u_0$  cannot be a separating cycle, and so it is the boundary of some 4-face. If both  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  have degree 3, we have a contradiction by Lemma 25. If one has degree 3 and the other has degree at least 4, we have a contradiction by Lemma 24. Finally, by Lemma 27,  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  are 4-vertices.

If  $v_2$  is adjacent to  $u_0$ , then  $u_0v_0v_1v_2$  is a separating 4-cycle, with two 3-vertices, contradicting Lemma 28. Hence  $v_2u_0$  is not in  $E$ . Similarly,  $v_3u_1$  is not in  $E$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{P}_4$ , either  $u_0$  and  $v_2$  do not have a common neighbor, or  $u_1$  and  $v_3$  do not have a common neighbor. By symmetry assume that  $u_0$  and  $v_2$  do not have a common neighbor. Let  $H^* = G + u_0v_2 - \{u_1, v_0, v_1, v_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 4$  vertices,  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges and belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_4$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$  (intuitively the edge  $u_0v_2$  is just subdivided). Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (4, 10, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 30.** *There is no 4-cycle with at least two 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemmas 24, 25, 28 and 29.  $\square$



**Lemma 31.** *There is no 4-face with exactly one 3-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3$  be such a face. W.l.o.g.  $v_0$  is the 3-vertex and  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  are  $4^+$ -vertices. By Lemma 27,  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  are 4-vertices. Let  $u_0$  be the third neighbor of  $v_0$ . Vertex  $u_0$  is different from  $v_2$  and non-adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  ( $G$  is triangle-free).

Let us first assume that  $u_0v_2 \in E$ . By Lemmas 23, 27 and 30,  $u_0$  is a 4-vertex. Assume  $v_2$  has degree 5. Let  $H^* = G - \{u_0, v_0, v_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertex  $v_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 10, 1)$  leads to a contradiction. Hence  $v_2$  has degree 4. Then either  $v_0v_1v_2u_0$  or  $v_0v_3v_2u_0$  has a 3-vertex opposite to a 4-vertex with a neighbor in the interior and one in the exterior of it, contradicting Lemma 24.

Thus  $u_0$  is non-adjacent to  $v_2$ . By Lemma 20,  $v_1$  and  $u_0$  have a common neighbor other than  $v_0$ , say  $u_1$ . It is distinct from all the vertices we defined previously. By Lemma 30 applied to  $v_0v_1u_1u_0$ ,  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  have degree at least 4. By Lemma 27,  $u_0$  has degree exactly 4.

Suppose  $u_1v_3 \in E$ . As  $C$  is a face, the last neighbor of  $v_1$  ( $\neq v_0, v_2, u_1$ ), say  $w_1$ , is not in the interior of  $C$ . The cycle  $v_0v_1u_1v_3$  separates  $u_0$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose first that  $v_0v_1u_1v_3$  does not separate  $u_0$  and  $w_1$ . Then  $v_0v_1u_1u_0$  separates  $v_3$  and  $w_1$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1\}$ . Graph  $G^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_3$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Hence Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction. Therefore  $v_0v_1u_1v_3$  separates  $u_0$  and  $w_1$ . Assume  $u_1$  has degree 5. Let  $H^* = G - \{u_1, v_0, v_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertex  $v_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 10, 1)$  leads to a contradiction. Hence  $u_1$  has degree 4. Then  $v_0v_1u_1v_3, v_0u_0u_1v_3$  or  $v_0v_1u_1u_0$  has a 3-vertex opposite to a 4-vertex with a neighbor in the interior and one in the exterior of it, contradicting Lemma 24.

So  $u_1$  cannot be adjacent to  $v_3$ . As  $u_1v_3 \notin E$  and  $u_0v_2 \notin E$ , by Lemma 20  $v_3$  and  $u_0$  have a common neighbor distinct from  $v_0$ , say  $u_3$ . By what precedes and by symmetry, it is of degree at least 4 and non-adjacent to  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  and  $u_1$  (it has a role similar to that of  $u_1$ , and is non-adjacent to  $u_1$  because of the girth assumption). See Figure 8 for a reminder of the structure of  $G[\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3\}]$ . Vertex  $v_0$  has degree 3,  $v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  are 4-vertices, and  $v_2, u_1$  and  $u_3$  are  $4^+$ -vertices. Recall that  $u_1v_3 \notin E, u_3v_1 \notin E$  and  $u_0v_2 \notin E$ .

Let  $w_0, w_1$  and  $w_3$  be the fourth neighbors of  $u_0, v_1$  and  $v_3$  respec-




Figure 8: Graph  $G[\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3\}]$ .

tively. In the following we will no longer use the fact that  $C$  is a face. By the girth assumption,  $w_0$  is not adjacent to  $u_1$  or  $u_3$ . Suppose  $w_0$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  or to  $v_3$ , say  $w_0v_1 \in E$ . Then by the girth assumption,  $w_0v_2 \notin E$ . By Lemma 30 applied to  $v_0v_1w_0u_0$ ,  $w_0$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Hence Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 19, 4)$  leads to a contradiction. So  $w_0$  is not adjacent to  $v_1$  or  $v_3$ . By symmetry,  $w_0, w_1$  and  $w_3$  are distinct.

Suppose  $w_0v_2 \in E$ . Assume that  $C$  separates  $w_1$  and  $w_3$ , or that it does not separate  $w_1$  and  $w_3$  nor  $w_0$  and  $w_1$ . Then either  $C$  or  $v_0v_1v_2w_0u_0$  separates  $w_1$  and  $w_3$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Hence Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 19, 4)$  leads to a contradiction. Thus  $C$  does not separate  $w_1$  and  $w_3$  but separates  $w_1$  and  $w_0$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Hence Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 19, 4)$  leads to a contradiction. So  $w_0v_2 \notin E$ , and similarly  $w_1u_3 \notin E$  and  $w_3u_1 \notin E$ .

Thus the only edges that may or may not exist between the vertices we defined are  $w_0w_1, w_0w_3$  and  $w_1w_3$ . See Figure 9 for a reminder of the edges and vertices we know to this point. Vertex  $v_0$  has degree 3,  $v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  are 4-vertices and  $v_2, u_1$  and  $u_3$  are  $4^+$ -vertices. Vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  have all their incident edges represented in Figure 9.

Suppose  $w_0w_1 \notin E, w_0w_3 \notin E, w_1w_3 \notin E$ . Let  $H^* = G + x + \{xw_0, xw_1, xw_3\} - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges, and is in  $\mathcal{P}_4$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Either  $x \in F'$ , then the graph induced by  $V(F') \cup \{v_0, v_1, v_3, u_0\} \setminus \{x\}$  in  $G$  is a




Figure 9: Vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1$  and  $w_3$ .

forest, or  $x \notin F'$ , then adding  $v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction. Thus there is at least one edge among  $w_0w_1, w_0w_3$  and  $w_1w_3$ . Moreover, since there is no triangle in  $G$ , there are no more than two of these edges. W.l.o.g. let us assume that  $w_0w_1 \notin E$  and  $w_0w_3 \in E$ .

Let us now prove some claims that we will use later :

- (a) Suppose that  $w_0$  and  $w_1$  are  $4^+$ -vertices, or that one is a  $3$ -vertex, the other a  $4^+$ -vertex, and  $v_2, u_1$  or  $u_3$  has degree 5. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 9$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 24$  edges, and adding  $v_0, v_1, v_3$  and  $u_0$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (9, 24, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.
- (b) Suppose  $w_0$  or  $w_3$ , say  $w_{i_0}$ , is a  $3$ -vertex and either one of the  $w_i$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex, or  $w_1w_3 \notin E$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1, w_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 10$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges, and adding  $v_0, v_1, v_3, u_0$  and  $w_{i_0}$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (10, 23, 5)$  leads to a contradiction.
- (c) Suppose  $w_0$  and  $w_3$  are  $3$ -vertices and  $w_1$  and  $w_3$  are adjacent. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1, w_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 9$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges, and adding  $v_0, v_1, u_0, w_0$  and  $w_3$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$  (by planarity, since  $w_1w_3 \in E$  and  $w_0w_3 \in E$ , the cycle  $v_0v_1w_1w_3v_3$  separates  $v_2$  from  $w_0$  in  $G$ ). Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (9, 19, 5)$  leads to a contradiction.

If  $w_1w_3 \in E$ , then both  $w_0$  and  $w_3$  are  $4^+$ -vertices (by (b) and (c)), and

by symmetry  $w_1$  is also a  $4^+$ -vertex, which is impossible (by (a)). Hence  $w_1w_3 \notin E$ .




Figure 10: Vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1$  and  $w_3$ .

Therefore  $w_0$  and  $w_3$  are  $4^+$ -vertices (by (b)), thus  $w_1$  has degree 3 (by (a)), and  $v_2, u_1$  and  $u_3$  have degree 4 (by (a)) (see Figure 10). Let  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  the two neighbors of  $w_1$  other than  $v_1$ . By Lemma 20 they have a common neighbor other than  $w_1$ , say  $t$ . So by Lemmas 27 and 30 in  $w_1y_0ty_1$ ,  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  have degree 4, and by Lemma 20 each one is adjacent either to  $v_2$  or to  $u_1$ . If they are both adjacent to the same one, say  $v_2$  w.l.o.g., then either  $v_2v_1w_1y_0$  or  $v_2v_1w_1y_1$  is a 4-cycle with a 3-vertex ( $w_1$ ) opposite to a 4-vertex ( $v_2$ ) that has both an edge going outside and one going inside of it, which is impossible by Lemma 24. W.l.o.g., say  $y_0$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  and  $y_1$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . At this point we know that  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, w_1, y_0$  and  $y_1$  are distinct and do not share an edge that we do not already know. See Figure 11 for a reminder of the edges and vertices we know to this point.




Figure 11: Vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, u_3, w_0, w_1, w_3, y_0$  and  $y_1$ .

Let  $z$  be the neighbor of  $v_2$  different from  $v_1, v_3$  and  $y_0$ . The only edges that may or not be among  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, w_1, y_0, y_1$  and  $z$  are  $zy_1$

and  $zu_1$ , and as  $G$  is triangle-free, there is at most one of those edges. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_1, w_1, y_0, y_1, z\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 10$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges (recall that  $u_1$  cannot be adjacent both to  $y_0$  and  $y_1$ , and thus is not adjacent to  $y_0$ ). Adding to any induced forest of  $H^*$  the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, u_1$  and  $w_1$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ , so Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (10, 23, 5)$  leads to a contradiction, completing the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 32.** *There is no 5-face with only 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a face, and  $u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3$ , and  $u_4$  be the third neighbors of  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$  respectively. The  $u_i$  are all distinct due to the girth assumption and Lemma 28. We will consider the indices of the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  modulo 5. There is no edge  $u_iu_{i+1}$  for any  $i$  due to Lemma 30. Let  $H^* = G + x + y + \{xu_0, xu_1, yu_2, yu_3, xy\} - C$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Let  $F$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the vertices of  $V(F') \setminus \{x, y\}$ , plus the vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_3$ , plus  $v_1$  if  $x \in V(F')$ , and plus  $v_2$  if  $y \in V(F')$ . Subgraph  $F$  is an induced forest of  $G$ . Thus Observation 17 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction completing the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 33.** *There is no 3-vertex adjacent to a 3-vertex and to a 4-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 3-vertex adjacent to a 3-vertex  $u$  and to a 4-vertex  $w$ . Let  $x$  be the third neighbor of  $v$ . By Lemma 20,  $x$  and  $u$  have a common neighbor distinct from  $v$  which contradicts Lemma 30.  $\square$

For every face  $f$  of  $G$ , let  $l(f)$  be the length of  $f$ , and let  $c_{4^+}(f)$  be the number of  $4^+$ -vertices in  $f$ . For every vertex  $v$ , let  $d(v)$  be the degree of  $v$ . Let  $k$  be the number of faces of  $G$ , and for every  $3 \leq d \leq 5$  and every  $4 \leq l$ , let  $k_l$  be the number of faces of length  $l$  and  $n_d$  the number of  $d$ -vertices in  $G$ .

Each 4-vertex is in the boundary of at most four faces, and each 5-vertex is in the boundary of at most five faces. Therefore the sum of the  $c_{4^+}(f)$  over all the 4-faces and 5-faces is  $\sum_{f, 4 \leq l(f) \leq 5} c_{4^+}(f) \leq 4n_4 + 5n_5$ . From Lemmas 27, 32 and 33 we can deduce that for each 5-face  $f$  we have  $c_{4^+}(f) \geq 2$ . Moreover, by Lemmas 30 and 31, for each 4-face  $f$ ,  $c_{4^+}(f) \geq 4$ . Thus  $\sum_{f, l(f)=4} c_{4^+}(f) + \sum_{f, l(f)=5} c_{4^+}(f) \geq 4k_4 + 2k_5$ . Thus we have the following:

$$4n_4 + 5n_5 \geq 4k_4 + 2k_5$$

By Euler's formula, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
-12 &= 6m - 6n - 6k \\
&= 2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} l(f) - 6n - 6k \\
&= \sum_{d \geq 3} (2d - 6)n_d + \sum_{l \geq 4} (l - 6)k_l \\
&\geq 2n_4 + 4n_5 - 2k_4 - k_5 \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, which ends the proof of Theorem 16.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 13

The proof of Theorem 13 follows the same scheme as that of Theorem 10. We will prove the following more general statement than Theorem 13:

**Theorem 34.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants such that equations (6)–(9) are verified, then  $a(G) \geq an - bm$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{P}_5$ .*

$$0 \leq a \leq 1 \tag{6}$$

$$0 \leq b \tag{7}$$

$$a - 5b \leq 0 \tag{8}$$

$$11a - 23b \leq 6 \tag{9}$$

This series of inequalities defines a polygon represented in Figure 12, and for a graph in  $\mathcal{P}_5$  of given order  $n$  and size  $m$ , the highest lower bound will be given by maximizing  $an - bm$  for  $a$  and  $b$  in this polygon. This maximum will be achieved at a vertex of the polygon. Moreover, by Euler's formula, every planar graph of girth at least 5, order  $n \geq 4$  and size  $m$  satisfies  $0 \leq m \leq \frac{5n-10}{3}$ . Then for  $n \geq 4$  the maximum will always be achieved at the intersection of  $11a - 23b = 6$  and  $a = 1$ . The corresponding intersection is  $(b, a) = (\frac{5}{23}, 1)$ , represented in Figure 12.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a counter-example to Theorem 34 of minimum order. Let  $n = |V|$  and  $m = |E|$ . We will use the scheme presented in Observation 35 for most of our lemmas.




Figure 12: The top-left part of the polygon of the constraints on  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Observation 35.** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be integers satisfying  $\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$  and  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ .

Let  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_5$  be a graph with  $|V(H^*)| = n - \alpha$  and  $|E(H^*)| \leq m - \beta$ .

By minimality of  $G$ ,  $H^*$  admits an induced forest of order at least  $a(n - \alpha) - b(m - \beta)$ .

For all induced forest  $F^*$  of  $H^*$  of order at least  $a(n - \alpha) - b(m - \beta)$ , if there is an induced forest  $F$  of  $G$  of order at least  $|V(F^*)| + \gamma$ , then we get a contradiction: as  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ , we have  $|V(F)| \geq an - bm$ .

Table 4 contains the values of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  that will be used throughout this section. For each one, the inequality  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$  is a consequence of the constraints (6)–(9).

We will now prove a series of lemmas on the structure of  $G$ .

**Lemma 36.** Graph  $G$  is 2-edge-connected.

*Proof.* See the proof of Lemma 18. □

**Lemma 37.** Every vertex in  $G$  has degree at most 4.

*Proof.* By contradiction, suppose  $v \in V(G)$  has degree at least 5. Observation 35 applied to  $H^* = G - v$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 5, 0)$  and  $F = F'$  leads to a contradiction. □

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	proof
1	5	0	(8)
2	5	1	(6) + (8)
3	5	2	2(6) + (8)
5	10	3	3(6) + 2(8)
1	0	1	(6)
6	14	3	((8) + (9))/2
6	10	4	4(6) + 2(8)
7	14	4	(6) + ((8) + (9))/2
7	10	5	5(6) + 2(8)
10	15	7	7(6) + 3(8)
8	14	5	2(6) + ((8) + (9))/2
10	20	6	6(6) + 4(8)
11	19	7	4(6) + (3(8) + (9))/2
12	23	7	(6) + (9)
8	19	4	2(6) + (3(8) + (9))/2
9	15	6	6(6) + 3(8)
11	23	6	(9)
13	23	8	2(6) + (9)

Table 4: The various triples  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and the combinations of inequalities which imply  $a\alpha - b\beta \leq \gamma$ .

**Lemma 38.** *If  $v$  is a 3-vertex adjacent to a 4-vertex  $w$  in  $G$ , and if  $x$  and  $y$  are the two other neighbors of  $v$ , then there are two other vertices  $x'$  and  $y'$  such that  $vxx'y'y$  is a cycle.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is no cycle as in the statement of the lemma. Let  $H^* = G + xy - \{w, v\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 2$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 5$  edges. As there are no  $x'$  and  $y'$  as in the lemma, adding the edge  $xy$  does not create any  $4^-$ -cycle in  $H^*$ , and thus  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_5$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to a forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, 5, 1)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 39.** *There is no 2-vertex adjacent to a 4-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 2-vertex and  $w$  a 4-vertex adjacent to  $v$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 2$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, 5, 1)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 40.** *There is no 3-vertex adjacent to two 2-vertices in  $G$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 3-vertex adjacent to two 2-vertices  $u$  and  $w$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u$  and  $w$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 41.** *There is no separating 5-cycles with only 3-vertices in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a cycle. W.l.o.g.  $v_0$  has his third neighbor in the interior of  $C$  and  $v_1$  in the exterior of it. Let  $H^* = G - C$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and  $m' = m - 10$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_3$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 10, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 42.** *Every vertex in  $G$  has degree at least 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a 2-vertex in  $G$ .

Suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to a 2-vertex  $u$  and a 3-vertex  $w$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u$  and  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction.

Suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to two 3-vertices  $u$  and  $w$ . Consider two cases according to the presence or not of 5-cycles containing  $uvw$ .

- Suppose there is no 5-cycle containing  $uvw$ . Let  $H^* = G + uw - v$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 1$  vertices and  $m - 1$  edges. As there is no 5-cycle containing  $uvw$ , adding the edge  $uw$  does not create any cycle of length 3 or 4 in  $H^*$ , thus  $H^* \in \mathcal{P}_5$ . Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 0, 1)$  leads to a contradiction.
- Suppose there is a 5-cycle containing  $uvw$ , say  $uvwxy$ . By Lemma 40, both  $x$  and  $y$  are  $3^+$ -vertices.

Suppose  $x$  or  $y$ , say  $x$ , has degree 3, and the other one has degree 4. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and, since there is no chord in the 5-cycle,  $m' = m - 10$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u, v$  and  $x$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 10, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.

Suppose both  $x$  and  $y$  have degree 3. Let  $u', w', x'$ , and  $y'$  be the third neighbors of  $u, w, x$  and  $y$  respectively. They are all distinct by the girth assumption. By Lemma 40,  $u'$  and  $w'$  are  $3^+$ -vertices. Suppose  $x'$  or  $y'$ , say  $x'$ , has degree 2. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, x'\}$ . Graph  $H'$

has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' = m - 10$  edges. Adding  $u, v, x$  and  $x'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 10, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.

Hence  $u', w', x'$  and  $y'$  are  $3^+$ -vertices. Suppose  $u'$  or  $y'$  is a 4-vertex. By the girth assumption,  $u'y' \notin E$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, u', y'\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Adding  $u, v, w$  and  $y$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  leads to a contradiction. Therefore  $u', w', x'$  and  $y'$  are 3-vertices.

Let us now show that  $u'x' \notin E$  (and by symmetry  $w'y' \notin E$ ). Suppose by contradiction that  $u'x' \in E$ . By Lemma 41, the cycle  $uyxx'u'$  bounds a face, hence the cycle  $uvwxx'u'$  separates  $y'$  from the third neighbor of  $x'$ . Let  $H^* = H - \{u, v, w, x, y, u', x'\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges. Adding  $u, v, x, y$  and  $x'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 10, 5)$  leads to a contradiction.

Suppose that there is no vertex adjacent to both  $u'$  and  $y'$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w\} + u'y$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $n - 5$  edges, and has girth at least 5 since  $u'x' \notin E$  and there is no vertex adjacent to  $u'$  and  $y'$ . Adding  $u$  and  $v$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction. Hence there is a vertex  $z$  adjacent to  $u'$  and  $y'$ .

Suppose that there is no vertex adjacent to  $x'$  and  $y'$ . Let  $H^* = G - \{v, w, x\} + x'y$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $n - 5$  edges, and has girth at least 5 since  $u'x' \notin E$  and there is no vertex adjacent to  $x'$  and  $y'$ . Adding  $x$  and  $v$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  leads to a contradiction. Hence there is a vertex  $z'$  adjacent to  $x'$  and  $y'$ .

Suppose  $z$  is a 2-vertex. Vertices  $z$  and  $z'$  are distinct, and non-adjacent. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, u', x', y', z, z'\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 10$  vertices and  $n - 15$  edges. Adding  $u, v, x, u', x', y'$  and  $z$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (10, 15, 7)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore  $z$  is a  $3^+$ -vertex. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, u', y', z\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices and  $n - 14$  edges ( $w' \neq z$ , since  $w'y' \notin E$ ). Adding  $u, v, x, u'$ , and  $y'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 14, 5)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore  $x$  and  $y$  have degree 4. By Lemma 38, there is an other 5-cycle containing  $uvw$ , and as  $G$  has girth at least 5, there are  $x'$  and  $y'$  distinct from all the vertices defined previously such that  $uvwx'y'$  is a cycle. By symmetry,  $x'$  and  $y'$  are 4-vertices. Let  $H^* = G - \{u, v, w, x, y, x'\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u, v$  and  $w$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore by Lemmas 36, 37, and 39, every 2-vertex is only adjacent to 2-vertices, so either  $G$  does not have any 2-vertex, or it is 2-regular. If  $G$  is 2-regular, then  $G$  is a  $n$ -cycle and thus  $m = n$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{P}_5$ , we have  $n \geq 5$ . It is clear that  $G$  has an induced forest of size  $n - 1$ . Recall that  $a \leq 5b$  and  $a \leq 1$ ; this gives that  $5(a - b) \leq 4$ . Since  $n \geq 5$ , we can deduce that  $an - bm = (a - b)n \leq n - 1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G$  is a counter-example. Therefore,  $G$  has minimum degree at least 3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 43.** *Let  $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be a 5-cycle in  $G$  such that  $v_0$  is a 4-vertex and the other  $v_i$  are 3-vertices. The third neighbors of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are 3-vertices.*

*Proof.* Let  $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be a 5-cycle in  $G$  such that  $v_0$  is a 4-vertex and the other  $v_i$  are 3-vertices. Let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Suppose  $u_1$  or  $u_2$ , say  $u_{i_0}$ , is a 4-vertex. Let  $H^* = G - C - u_{i_0}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' = m - 14$  edges. Adding  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 44.** *There is no separating 5-cycles with at most one 4-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a cycle. By Lemma 41,  $C$  has exactly one 4-vertex, say  $v_0$ . Let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By the girth assumption, all the  $u_i$  are distinct. By Lemma 43, all the  $u_i$  have degree 3.

Suppose  $C$  separates  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Let  $H^* = G - C$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 5$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 10$  edges, and adding  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (5, 10, 3)$  leads to a contradiction.

So  $C$  does not separate  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , and by symmetry it does not separate  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  either.

Suppose  $C$  separates some of the  $u_i$ . Say  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are in the interior of  $C$  w.l.o.g., and  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  are in the exterior of  $C$ . By Lemma 38 there is a

vertex  $w$  such that  $u_1v_1v_2u_2w$  is a cycle. Since  $u_1, v_1, v_2$  and  $u_2$  have degree 3, and  $v_0$  has degree 4,  $w$  has degree 3 by Lemma 43. Vertex  $w$  cannot be adjacent to  $v_0, v_1$  or  $v_2$  by the girth assumption, and it cannot be adjacent to  $v_3, v_4, u_3$  or  $u_4$  by planarity. Let  $w'$  be the third neighbor of  $u_1$ . It is also non-adjacent to all the vertices defined previously (except for  $u_1$ ) by the girth assumption and planarity of  $G$ . Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_1, u_2, u_3, w, w'\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 10$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 20$  edges, and adding  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1$  and  $u_2$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (10, 20, 6)$  leads to a contradiction.

Therefore  $C$  does not separate any of the  $u_i$ , say the  $u_i$  are in the exterior of  $C$  up to changing the plane embedding. Then as  $G$  is 2-edge-connected by Lemma 36, the two neighbors of  $v_0$  distinct from  $v_1$  and  $v_4$  are in the interior of  $C$ . By Lemma 38, either  $u_1u_3 \in E$ , or there is a vertex  $w$  such that  $u_1v_1v_2u_2w$  is a cycle. If  $u_1u_3 \in E$ , then the cycle  $v_1v_2v_3u_3u_1$  is separating with only 3-vertices, contradicting Lemma 41. Thus  $u_1u_3 \notin E$  (and  $u_2u_4 \notin E$  by symmetry), and there is a vertex  $w$  such that  $u_1v_1v_2u_2w$  is a cycle. Since  $u_1, v_1, v_2$  and  $u_2$  have degree 3, and  $v_0$  has degree 4, by Lemma 43  $w$  has degree 3. If  $w = u_4$ , then  $u_2u_4 \in E$ , which is impossible; hence  $w$  is not adjacent to  $v_4$ . It is not adjacent to the other  $v_i$  by girth assumption. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1, u_2, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $u_1, u_2, v_2$ , and  $v_4$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 45.** *Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be a 5-cycle in  $G$  with only 3-vertices, and  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then there is a vertex  $w$  adjacent either to  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  or to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be a 5-cycle with only vertices of degree 3 in  $G$ , and let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . See Figure 15 for an illustration of the statement of the lemma. By Lemma 41,  $C$  is the boundary of a face.

Let us first show that no two  $u_i$  can be adjacent. Suppose two of the  $u_i$  are adjacent. By the girth assumption, w.l.o.g.  $u_0u_2 \in E$ . Then by Lemma 44,  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  have degree 4. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$  by planarity. Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.

Suppose by contradiction that there is no vertex  $w$  adjacent either to  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ , or to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . Let  $H^* = G - C + \{x, y\} + \{u_0x, u_1x, u_2y, u_3y, xy\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  is of girth at least 5 by hypothesis and because the  $u_i$  are not




Figure 13: The construction of Lemma 45. At least one of the two  $w$  represented exists.

adjacent. Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 3$  vertices and  $m' = m - 5$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Removing  $x$  and  $y$ , adding  $v_0$  and  $v_3$ , plus  $v_1$  if  $x \in F'$ , and  $v_2$  if  $y \in F'$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 5, 2)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 46.** *There is no 5-face with exactly one 4-vertex in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a face, with  $v_0$  the 4-vertex, and let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By Lemma 43, the  $u_i$  have degree 3. The  $u_i$  are all distinct and not adjacent to  $v_0$  by the girth assumption. By Lemma 38, either  $u_1u_3 \in E$ , or there is a vertex adjacent to both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . However in the former case, the cycle  $u_1v_1v_2v_3u_3$  is a separating cycle with five vertices of degree 3, contradicting Lemma 41. Hence  $u_1u_3 \notin E$  and  $u_2u_4 \notin E$  by symmetry. We also have  $u_1u_4 \notin E$  by Lemma 44 applied to  $u_1v_1v_0v_4u_4$ . Let  $w$  be the vertex adjacent to both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . By Lemma 43,  $w$  has degree 3. By the girth assumption,  $wv_0 \notin E$  and  $wv_3 \notin E$ . By Lemma 41,  $v_1v_2u_2wu_1$  is the boundary of a face. Moreover,  $wv_4 \notin E$  and  $wu_3 \notin E$  by applying Lemma 44 to the cycle  $wv_4v_3v_2u_2$  and  $wu_3v_3v_2u_2$  respectively. By symmetry, let  $w'$  ( $\neq w$ ) be the vertex adjacent to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$ . Vertex  $w$  has degree 3,  $w'v_0 \notin E$ ,  $w'v_1 \notin E$ ,  $w'v_2 \notin E$ ,  $w'u_2 \notin E$  and  $u_4v_4v_3u_3w'$  is the boundary of a face.

Observe now that  $wu_4 \notin E$  and  $w'u_1 \notin E$  (by symmetry). By contradiction assume  $wu_4 \in E$ . Consider  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_4, u_1, u_4, w\}$  which has

$n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Adding the vertices  $w, u_1, v_1$  and  $v_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  completes the proof.

Observe now that  $ww' \notin E$ . Otherwise, consider  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_4, u_1, u_4, w, w'\}$  which has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Adding the vertices  $u_1, v_1, v_4$  and  $w'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  completes the proof.

See Figure 14 for a summary of the edges between the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w$  and  $w'$ .




Figure 14: The vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w$  and  $w'$ , and the edges between these vertices. All the vertices except for  $v_0$  are 3-vertices.

Let  $x$  be the third neighbor of  $u_1$  ( $x$  is distinct from all previously defined vertices). By the girth assumption  $xw \notin E$ ,  $xu_2 \notin E$  and  $xv_0 \notin E$ .

Observe that  $xu_4 \notin E$  and  $xw' \notin E$ . Otherwise consider  $H^* = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w, w', x\}$ , which has  $n - 11$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Adding the vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3, u_1, u_4, w$  and  $w'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (11, 19, 7)$  completes the proof.

Similarly,  $xu_3 \notin E$  (just add  $u_3$  to  $F'$  instead of  $w'$ ).

Finally, let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w, w', x\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 12$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_1, w$  and  $w'$  to any

induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (12, 23, 7)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 47.** *There is no 5-face  $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  in  $G$  such that all the  $v_i$  are 3-vertices, and three of the  $v_i$  have a 4-vertex as their third neighbor.*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a face, and let  $u_i$  be the third neighbor of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Suppose two of the  $u_i$  are adjacent. By the girth assumption the corresponding  $v_i$  are not adjacent. W.l.o.g., say  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  are adjacent. Then since  $C$  is a face,  $v_0v_1v_2u_2u_0$  is separating, and thus by Lemma 44,  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  have degree 4. Let  $H^* = G - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_0, u_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 6$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (6, 14, 3)$  leads to a contradiction. Therefore no two  $u_i$  are adjacent.

Let  $H^*$  obtained from  $G$  where we remove  $C$  and three  $u_i$  of degree 4. Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 8$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding the three  $v_i$  that correspond to the  $u_i$  we removed, plus another  $v_i$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (8, 19, 4)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 48.** *If there are two 5-cycles  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  and  $C' = v_0v_1u_2u_3u_4$  sharing an edge  $v_0v_1$  in  $G$  with only 3-vertices, then for all  $x \in \{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ ,  $xv_3 \notin E$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in \{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ ,  $x$  and  $v_3$  do not share a common neighbor.*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$ ,  $C' = v_0v_1u_2u_3u_4$ , and  $x \in \{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ . Cycles  $C$  and  $C'$  are the boundaries of faces by Lemma 44. If  $x$  is either  $u_2$  or  $u_4$ , then we can conclude by the girth assumption and Lemma 44.

Consider now the case  $x = u_3$ . By Lemma 41,  $v_3u_3 \notin E$ . Finally assume that there is a vertex  $w$  adjacent to both  $v_3$  and  $u_3$ . Let  $H^* = G - (C \cup C') - w$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 9$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 15$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_3$  and  $u_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (9, 15, 6)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 49.** *There is no 5-face in  $G$  with only 3-vertices.*

*Proof.* Let  $C = v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$  be such a face, and let  $u_i$  be the third neighbors of  $v_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By Lemma 47, no more than two of the  $u_i$  are 4-vertices.

By the girth assumption, all the  $u_i$  are distinct and two  $u_i$  whose corresponding  $v_i$  are adjacent are not adjacent.




Figure 15: The construction of Lemma 48. All the edges between the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_2, u_3$  and  $u_4$  are represented.

We prove now that there is no edge between the  $u_i$ . W.l.o.g. suppose  $u_0u_2 \in E$ . By Lemma 44,  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  are 4-vertices. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_2\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 7$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 14$  edges. Let  $F'$  be any induced forest of  $H^*$ . Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  to  $F'$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (7, 14, 4)$  leads to a contradiction.

We now consider four cases:

- Suppose two  $u_i$  have degree 4, and the corresponding  $v_i$  are adjacent. W.l.o.g.  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  have degree 4.

Let us first assume that there is a vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . Vertex  $w$  has degree 3 by Lemmas 44 and 46 (in particular  $w \neq u_0$ ). Vertex  $w$  is not adjacent to any of the  $v_i$  or  $u_i$  except for  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  by Lemma 48. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 11$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_4, u_2$  and  $u_3$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (11, 23, 6)$  leads to a contradiction.

So there is no vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ , and by symmetry there is no vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$ . By Lemma 45 there is a vertex  $w'$  adjacent to  $u_4$  and  $u_0$ . By Lemmas 44 and 46,  $w'$  has degree 4. By Lemma 38, since there is no edge among the  $u_i$  and by the girth assumption, there is a vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$ , a contradiction.

- Suppose two  $u_i$  have degree 4, and the corresponding  $v_i$  are not adja-



cent. W.l.o.g.  $u_0$  and  $u_2$  have degree 4. Then by Lemma 45 there is a vertex  $w'$  adjacent either to  $u_0$  and  $u_4$  or to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . W.l.o.g.  $w'$  is adjacent to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . By Lemmas 44 and 46,  $w'$  has degree 4. By Lemma 38, since there is no edge among the  $u_i$  and by the girth assumption, there is a vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$ . Vertex  $w$  has degree 3 by Lemmas 44 and 46. Vertex  $w$  is not adjacent to any of the  $v_i$  or  $u_i$  except  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  by Lemma 48. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 11$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_4, u_3$  and  $u_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (11, 23, 6)$  leads to a contradiction.

- Suppose exactly one  $u_i$  has degree 4,  $u_0$  w.l.o.g., and  $u_0$  is adjacent to a vertex  $w$  that is adjacent to either  $u_1$  or  $u_4$ , say  $u_1$ . Vertex  $w$  has degree 4 by Lemmas 44 and 46. By Lemma 38, since there is no edge among the  $u_i$  and by the girth assumption, there is a vertex  $w'$  adjacent to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Moreover  $w'$  has degree 3 by Lemmas 44 and 46. Vertex  $w'$  is not adjacent to any of the  $v_i$  or  $u_i$  except for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  by Lemma 48. By Lemma 45, there is a vertex  $w''$  adjacent either to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  or to  $u_0$  and  $u_4$ .

Suppose  $w''$  is adjacent to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ . By Lemmas 44 and 46,  $w''$  has degree 3, and  $w''$  is not adjacent to any of the  $v_i$  or  $u_i$  except  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  by Lemma 48. By the girth assumption,  $w'w'' \notin E$  and  $ww' \notin E$ . By Lemmas 44 and 46,  $ww'' \notin E$ . By Lemma 48 applied to  $v_2u_2w''u_3v_3$  and  $v_1u_1w'u_2v_2$ ,  $wu_3 \notin E$ . Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, w, w', w''\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 12$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_2, v_4, u_1, u_2, u_3$ , and  $w'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (12, 23, 7)$  leads to a contradiction.

Thus  $w''$  is adjacent to  $u_0$  and  $u_4$ . By the same arguments as above,  $w''$  being the symmetrical of  $w$ ,  $w''$  has degree 4 and there is a 3-vertex  $w'''$  adjacent to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$ , and not to any other of the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ .

Suppose  $w'w''' \in E$ . Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w', w'''\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 11$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 19$  edges. Adding  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_3, u_4$  and  $w'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (11, 19, 7)$  leads to a contradiction.

Thus  $w'w''' \notin E$ . Recall that  $w'$  and  $w'''$  are not adjacent to any of the  $v_i$  or  $u_i$  except for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , and  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  respectively. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w', w'''\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 12$  vertices

and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_3, u_4$  and  $w'$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (12, 23, 7)$  leads to a contradiction.

- Thus either all the  $u_i$  have degree 3, or  $u_0$  has degree 4 and there is no  $w$  adjacent to  $u_0$  and either to  $u_1$  or to  $u_4$ . In both cases  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  and  $u_4$  have degree 3, and, w.l.o.g., by Lemma 45 there are vertices  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  adjacent to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , to  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  and to  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  respectively. For all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , by Lemmas 44 and 46,  $w_j$  has degree 3, and by Lemma 48,  $w_j$  is not adjacent to any of the  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  except for  $u_j$  and  $u_{j+1}$ . We have  $w_1w_2 \notin E$  and  $w_2w_3 \notin E$  by the girth assumption, and  $w_1w_3 \notin E$  by Lemma 41. Let  $H^* = G - C - \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ . Graph  $H^*$  has  $n - 13$  vertices and  $m' \leq m - 23$  edges. Adding  $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, u_1, u_2, u_3$  and  $u_4$  to any induced forest of  $H^*$  leads to an induced forest of  $G$ . Observation 35 applied to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (13, 23, 8)$  completes the proof.

□

Each 4-vertex is in the boundary of at most four faces. Therefore the sum of the  $c_4(f)$  over all the 5-faces is  $\sum_{f, l(f)=5} c_4(f) \leq 4n_4$ . From Lemmas 46 and 49 we can deduce that for each 5-face  $f$  we have  $c_4(f) \geq 2$ . Thus  $\sum_{f, l(f)=5} c_4(f) \geq 2k_5$ . Thus we have the following:

$$4n_4 \geq 2k_5$$

By Euler's formula, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} -12 &= 6m - 6n - 6k \\ &= 2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} l(f) - 6n - 6k \\ &= \sum_{d \geq 3} (2d - 6)n_d + \sum_{l \geq 5} (l - 6)k_l \\ &\geq 2n_4 - k_5 \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, which ends the proof of Theorem 34.

## References

- [1] J. Akiyama and M. Watanabe. Maximum induced forests of planar graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 3:201–202, 1987.
- [2] M. Albertson and R. Haas. A problem raised at the DIMACS Graph Coloring Week, New Jersey, 1998.
- [3] M. O. Albertson and D. M. Berman. A conjecture on planar graphs. *Graph Theory and Related Topics* (J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, eds.), 1979.
- [4] N. Alon. Problems and results in extremal combinatorics—I. *Discrete Mathematics*, 273(1):31–53, 2003.
- [5] N. Alon, D. Mubayi, and R. Thomas. Large induced forests in sparse graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 38:113–123, 2001.
- [6] O.V. Borodin. A proof of Grünbaum’s conjecture on the acyclic 5-colorability of planar graphs (russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 231(1):18–20, 1976.
- [7] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. Essays in extremal combinatorics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.1300*, 2012.
- [8] G. Fertin, E. Godard, and A. Raspaud. Minimum feedback vertex set and acyclic coloring. *Information Processing Letters*, 84:131–139, 2002.
- [9] K. Hosono. Induced forests in trees and outerplanar graphs. *Proceedings of the Faculty of Science of Tokai University*, 25:27–29, 1990.
- [10] R. M. Karp. *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*. Springer, 1972.
- [11] L. Kowalik, B. Lužar, and R. Škrekovski. An improved bound on the largest induced forests for triangle-free planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 12(1):87–100, 2010.
- [12] M. R. Salavatipour. Large induced forests in triangle-free planar graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 22:113–126, 2006.