

Generalized Balanced Tournament Packings and Optimal Equitable Symbol Weight Codes for Power Line Communications

Y. M. Chee

Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,
Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371, Singapore,
email: ymchee@ntu.edu.sg

H. M. Kiah

Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences,
Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371, Singapore,
email: hmkiah@ntu.edu.sg

A. C. H. Ling

Department of Computer Science, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA,
email: aling@emba.uvm.edu

C. Wang

School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China,
email: wcm@jiangnan.edu.cn

August 29, 2018

Abstract

Generalized balanced tournament packings (GBTPs) extend the concept of generalized balanced tournament designs introduced by Lamken and Vanstone (1989). In this paper, we establish the connection between GBTPs and a class of codes called equitable symbol weight codes. The latter were recently demonstrated to optimize the performance against narrowband noise in a general coded modulation scheme for power line communications. By constructing classes of GBTPs, we establish infinite families of optimal equitable symbol weight codes with code lengths greater than alphabet size and whose narrowband noise error-correcting capability to code length ratios do not diminish to zero as the length grows.

Keywords. power line communications, equitable symbol weight codes, generalized balanced tournament designs, generalized balanced tournament packings

1 Introduction

Power line communications (PLC) is a technology that enables the transmission of data over electric power lines. It was started in the 1910's for voice communication [27], and used in the 1950's in the form of ripple control for load and tariff management in power distribution. With the emergence of the Internet in the 1990's, research into broadband PLC gathered pace as a promising technology for Internet access and local area networking, since the electrical grid infrastructure provides "last mile" connectivity to premises and capillarity within premises. Recently, there has been a renewed interest in high-speed narrowband PLC due to applications in sustainable energy strategies, specifically in smart grids (see [14, 16, 24, 33]). However, power lines present a difficult communications environment and overcoming permanent narrowband disturbance has remained a challenging problem [2, 25, 29]. Vinck [29] addressed this problem through the use of a coded modulation scheme based on permutation codes. More recently, Chee *et al.* [7] extended Vinck's analysis to general block codes and motivated the study of *equitable symbol weight codes* (ESWCs).

Relatively little is known about optimal ESWCs, other than those that correspond to permutation codes, injection codes and frequency permutation arrays. In particular, only six infinite families of optimal ESWCs with code length greater than alphabet size are known. These have all been constructed by Ding and Yin [12], and Huczynska and Mullen [17] as frequency permutation arrays and they meet the Plotkin bound. One drawback with the code parameters of these families is that the narrowband noise error-correcting capability to length ratio diminishes as length grows.

In this paper, we construct infinite families of optimal ESWCs whose code lengths are larger than alphabet size and whose narrowband noise error-correcting capability to length ratios tend to a positive constant as code length grows. These families of codes all attain the generalized Plotkin bound. Our results are based on the construction of equivalent combinatorial designs called generalized balanced tournament packings (GBTPs).

GBTPs extend the concept of generalized balanced tournament designs (GBTDs) introduced by Lamken and Vanstone [18]. GBTDs have been extensively studied [8, 10, 19–21, 32] and is useful in the constructions of resolvable, near-resolvable, doubly resolvable, and doubly near-resolvable balanced incomplete block designs [19, 22, 23]. Using the classical correspondence given by Semakov and Zinoviev [28] (see also [4, 11, 32]), we construct optimal families of ESWCs from certain families of GBTPs. We establish existence results for these families of GBTPs by borrowing standard recursion and direct construction methods from combinatorial design theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce ESWCs and survey the known results on optimal codes. In Section 3, we introduce GBTPs and establish the equivalence between GBTPs and ESWCs. At the end of the section, we establish two classes of GBTPs that correspond to optimal equitable symbol weight codes. In Sections 4 to 7, we settle the existence of these two classes of GBTPs. Section 4 outlines the general strategy, while Section 5 and Section 6 provide recursive and direct constructions respectively.

Some of the results of the paper have been initially reported at IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory 2012 [6], and the present paper contains detailed proofs and includes a new existence result on a family of GBTPs with block size two and three.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

For positive integer m and prime power q , denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by \mathbb{Z}_m and the finite field of q elements by \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ denote the set of positive integers. Let $[m]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We use angled brackets (\langle and \rangle) for multisets. Disjoint set union is depicted using \sqcup . For sets A and B , an element $(a, b) \in A \times B$ is sometimes written as a_b for succinctness.

A *set system* is a pair $\mathfrak{S} = (X, \mathcal{A})$, where X is a finite set of *points* and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$. Elements of \mathcal{A} are called *blocks*. The *order* of \mathfrak{S} is the number of points in X , and the *size* of \mathfrak{S} is the number of blocks in \mathcal{A} . Let K be a set of nonnegative integers. The set system (X, \mathcal{A}) is said to be *K -uniform* if $|A| \in K$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

2.2 Equitable Symbol Weight Codes

Let Σ be a set of q *symbols*. A q -ary code of length n over the *alphabet* Σ is a subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma^n$. Elements of \mathcal{C} are called *codewords*. The *size* of \mathcal{C} is the number of codewords in \mathcal{C} . For $i \in [n]$, the i th coordinate of a codeword $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ is denoted u_i , so that $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$. Denote the *frequency* of symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$ in codeword $\mathbf{u} \in \Sigma^n$ by $w_\sigma(\mathbf{u})$, that is, $w_\sigma(\mathbf{u}) = |\{u_i = \sigma : i \in [n]\}|$.

An element $\mathbf{u} \in \Sigma^n$ is said to have *equitable symbol weight* if $w_\sigma(\mathbf{u}) \in \{\lfloor n/q \rfloor, \lceil n/q \rceil\}$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$. If all the codewords of \mathcal{C} have equitable symbol weight, then the code \mathcal{C} is called an *equitable symbol weight code* (ESWC). Consider the usual Hamming distance defined on codewords and codes and let d denote the minimum distance of a code \mathcal{C} . In addition, consider the following parameter.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a q -ary code with minimum distance d . The *narrowband noise error-correcting capability* of \mathcal{C} is

$$c(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{e : E_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \geq d\},$$

where $E_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a function $E_{\mathcal{C}} : [q] \rightarrow [n]$, given by

$$E_{\mathcal{C}}(e) = \max_{\substack{\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \\ |\Gamma| = e}} \max_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} w_\sigma(\mathbf{c}) \right\}.$$

Chee *et al.* [7] established that a code \mathcal{C} can correct up to $c(\mathcal{C}) - 1$ narrowband noise errors and demonstrated that an ESWC maximizes the quantity $c(\mathcal{C})$, for fixed n , d and q .

Henceforth, only ESWCs are considered. A q -ary ESWC of length n having minimum distance d is denoted $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$. Denote the maximum size of an $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$ by $A_q^{\text{ESW}}(n, d)$. Any $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$ of size $A_q^{\text{ESW}}(n, d)$ is said to be *optimal*. Taken as a q -ary code of length n , an optimal $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$ satisfies the generalised Plotkin bound [3].

Theorem 2.2 (Generalised Plotkin Bound). If there is a $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$ \mathcal{C} of size M , then

$$\binom{M}{2} d \leq n \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{q-1} M_i M_j, \quad (1)$$

where $M_i = \lfloor (M + i)/q \rfloor$. If q divides M and $\binom{M}{2} d = n \binom{q}{2} (M/q)^2$, then \mathcal{C} is optimal.

In the rest of this paper, ESWCs whose sizes attain the generalised Plotkin bound are constructed. In particular, the following is established.

Theorem 2.3. The following holds.

(i)

$$A_q^{ESW}(2q-1, 2q-2) = \begin{cases} 3, & q = 2, \\ 2q, & q \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$A_q^{ESW}(3q-1, 3q-3) = \begin{cases} 4, & q = 2, \\ 3q, & q \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

(iii)

$$A_q^{ESW}(4q-1, 4q-4) = \begin{cases} 4q-1, & q = 2, 3, \\ 4q, & q \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $q \geq 62$ or $q \in \{5-18, 30, 42, 46, 48-50, 54-57\}$,

$$A_q^{ESW}(5q-1, 5q-5) = 5q.$$

(v) If q is an odd prime power,

$$A_q^{ESW}(q^2-1, q^2-q) = q^2.$$

(vi)

$$A_q^{ESW}\left(\frac{3q-1}{2}, \frac{3q-3}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 4q-6, & q = 3, 5, \\ 3q, & q \geq 7 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(vii)

$$A_q^{ESW}(2q-3, 2q-4) = \begin{cases} 6q-12, & q = 3, 4, \\ 14, & q = 5, 6, \\ 2q+1, & q \geq 7, \text{ except possibly } q \in \{12, 13\}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that any ESWC \mathcal{C} with the above parameters must have $c(\mathcal{C}) = q - 1$. In Table 1, we verify that $c(\mathcal{C})/n$ tends to a positive constant as q grows. In the same table, we compare with known families of optimal ESWC(n, d) $_q$.

In particular, only six infinite nontrivial families of optimal codes with $n > q$ are known. However, code parameters for these six families are such that their relative narrowband noise error-correcting capability to length ratios diminish to zero as q grows. This is undesirable for narrowband noise correction for PLC. Hence, Theorem 2.3 provides infinite families of optimal ESWCs with code lengths are larger than alphabet size and whose relative narrowband noise capability to length ratios tend to a positive constant as length grows.

These optimal ESWCs are constructed from GBTPs using the classical correspondence given by Semakov and Zinoviev [28]*. We remark that GBTPs extend the concept of GBTDs and consequently Theorem 2.3 (i) to (v) follows directly from known classes of GBTDs. We explain the connection in detail in the next section.

*Bogdanova *et al.* [4] gave a survey of connection between equidistant codes and designs. Using this correspondence, Ding and Yin [11] constructed optimal constant-composition codes, while Yin *et al.* [32] constructed near-constant-composition codes.

Table 1: Infinite families of optimal $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$

$\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q \mathcal{C}$	$ \mathcal{C} $	$c(\mathcal{C})$	$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} c(\mathcal{C})/n$	Remarks
$(n, n)_q$ for $q \geq 2$	q	$\min\{n, q\}$	–	easy
$(3, 2)_q$ for $q \geq 3$	$q(q-1)$	2	0	injection code [13]
$(4, 2)_q$ for $q \geq 4, q \neq 7$	$q(q-1)(q-2)$	2	0	injection code [13]
$(n, 1)_q$ for $n < q$	$q(q-1) \cdots (q-n+1)$	1	$1/n$	injection code, easy
$(qn, 2)_q$ for $q \geq 2$	$(qn)!/(n!)^q$	2	0	frequency permutation array, easy
$(q, 3)_q$ for $q \geq 3$	$q!/2$	3	0	permutation code, easy
$(q, q-1)_q$ for prime powers q	$q(q-1)$	$q-1$	1	injection code [9]
$(n, n-1)_q$ for q sufficiently large and $n \leq q$	$q(q-1)$	$n-1$	$1-1/n$	injection code [13]
$(q, q-2)_q$ for prime powers $q-1$	$q(q-1)(q-2)$	$q-2$	1	permutation code [15]
$(q(q+1), q^2)_q$ for prime powers q	q^2	q	0	frequency permutation array [11]
$\left(\frac{q(kq^2-1)}{k-1}, \frac{kq^2(q-1)}{k-1}\right)_q$ for prime powers $q, 2 \leq k \leq 5,$	kq^2	q	0	frequency permutation array [12]
$(k, q) \neq (5, 9)$				
$\left(\frac{\mu q^{s-t}(q^{2s-t}-1)}{q^t-1}, \frac{\mu q^{2s-t}(q^{s-t}-1)}{q^t-1}\right)_{q^{s-t}}$ for prime powers	q^{2s-t}	q^{s-t}	0	frequency permutation array [12]
$q, 1 \leq t < s, \mu = \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{q^{s-i}-1}{q^t-1}$				
$(q^s(q^{2s+c}-1), q^{2s+c}(q^s-1))_{q^s}$, for prime powers $q,$	q^{2s+c}	q^s	0	frequency permutation array [12]
and $s, c \geq 1$				
$\left(\binom{kq}{k}, \frac{kq-k}{kq-1} \binom{kq}{k}\right)_q$ for $q, k \geq 1$	kq	$q-1$	0	frequency permutation array [17]
$(2q^2 - q, 2q^2 - 2q)_q$ for even $q, q \notin \{2, 6\}$	$2q$	q	0	frequency permutation array [17]
$(2q-1, 2q-2)_q$ for $q \geq 3$	$2q$	$q-1$	$1/2$	Theorem 2.3
$(3q-1, 3q-3)_q$ for $q \geq 3$	$3q$	$q-1$	$1/3$	Theorem 2.3
$(4q-1, 4q-4)_q$ for $q \geq 4$	$4q$	$q-1$	$1/4$	Theorem 2.3
$(5q-1, 5q-5)_q$ for $q \geq 62$	$5q$	$q-1$	$1/5$	Theorem 2.3
$(q^2-1, q^2-q)_q$ for $q \geq 4$	q^2	$q-1$	0	Theorem 2.3
$\left(\frac{3q-1}{2}, \frac{3q-3}{2}\right)_q$ for $q \geq 7$ and q odd	$3q$	$q-1$	$2/3$	Theorem 2.3
$(2q-3, 2q-4)_q$ for $q \geq 14$	$2q+1$	$q-2$	$1/2$	Theorem 2.3

3 Constructions of Equitable Symbol Weight Codes

We first determine $A_q^{ESW}(n, d)$ for small values of n , q and d . With the exception of $A_6^{ESW}(9, 8)$, an exhaustive computer search established the following values of $A_q^{ESW}(n, d)$. For $A_6^{ESW}(9, 8)$, an $ESWC(9, 8)_6$ of size 14 was found via computer search. Since an $ESWC(9, 8)_6$ of size 15 cannot exist by the generalized Plotkin bound, it follows that $A_6^{ESW}(9, 8) = 14$. We record the results of the computations in the following proposition and the corresponding optimal codes can be found at [5].

Proposition 3.1. The following holds:

$$\begin{array}{lll} A_2^{ESW}(3, 2) = 3 & A_2^{ESW}(5, 3) = 4 & A_2^{ESW}(7, 4) = 7 \\ A_3^{ESW}(3, 2) = 6 & A_3^{ESW}(4, 3) = 6 & A_3^{ESW}(11, 8) = 11 \\ A_4^{ESW}(5, 4) = 12 & A_5^{ESW}(7, 6) = 14 & A_6^{ESW}(9, 8) = 14. \end{array}$$

The rest of the paper establishes the remaining values in Theorem 2.3. To do so, we define a class of combinatorial designs that is equivalent to ESWCs.

3.1 Equitable Symbol Weight Codes and Generalized Balanced Tournament Packings

Let λ , v be positive integers and K be a set of nonnegative integers. A (v, K, λ) -packing is a K -uniform set system of order v such that every pair of distinct points is contained in at most λ blocks. The value λ is called the *index* of the packing. A *parallel class* (or *resolution class*) of a packing is a subset of the blocks that partitions the set of points X . If the set of blocks can be partitioned into parallel classes, then the packing is *resolvable*, and denoted by $RP(v, K, \lambda)$. An $RP(v, K, \lambda)$ is called a *maximum resolvable packing*, denoted by $MRP(v, K, \lambda)$, if it contains maximum possible number of parallel classes.

Furthermore, an $MRP(v, \{k\}, \lambda)$ is called a *resolvable $(v, \{k\}, \lambda)$ -balanced incomplete block design*, or $RBIBD(v, k, \lambda)$ in short, if every pair of distinct points is contained in exactly λ blocks. A simple computation gives the size of an $RBIBD(v, k, \lambda)$ to be $\frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$.

We define the combinatorial object of study in this paper. We note that this definition is a generalization of GBTDs to packings and various indices.

Definition 3.2. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be an $RP(v, K, \lambda)$ with n parallel classes. Then (X, \mathcal{A}) is called a *generalized balanced tournament packing* if the blocks of \mathcal{A} are arranged into an $m \times n$ array satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) every point in X is contained in exactly one cell of each column,
- (ii) every point in X is contained in either $\lceil n/m \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/m \rfloor$ cells of each row.

We denote such a GBTP by $GBTP_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$.

Unless otherwise stated, the rows of a $GBTP_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$ are indexed by $[m]$ and the columns by $[n]$.

In a $GBTP_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$, given point x and column j , there is a unique row that contains the point x in column j . Hence, for each point $x \in X$ of a $GBTP_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$ (X, \mathcal{A}) , we may

correspond to the codeword $\mathbf{c}(x) = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in [m]^n$, where r_j is the row in which point x appears in column j . It is obvious that $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{c}(x) : x \in X\}$ is an m -ary code of length n over the alphabet $[m]$. We note that this correspondence is precisely the one used by Semakov and Zinoviev [28] to show the equivalence between *equidistant codes* and resolvable balanced incomplete block designs.

For distinct points $x, y \in X$, the distance between $\mathbf{c}(x)$ and $\mathbf{c}(y)$ is the number of columns for which x and y are not both contained in the same row. Since there are at most λ blocks containing both x and y , and that no two such blocks can occur in the same column of the $\text{GBTP}_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$, the distance between $\mathbf{c}(x)$ and $\mathbf{c}(y)$ is at least $n - \lambda$.

Next, we determine $w_i(\mathbf{c}(x))$, for $x \in X$ and $i \in [m]$. From the construction of $\mathbf{c}(x)$, the number of times a symbol i appears in $\mathbf{c}(x)$ is the number of cells in row i that contains x . By the definition of a $\text{GBTP}_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$, this number belongs to $\{\lfloor n/m \rfloor, \lceil n/m \rceil\}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is an ESWC of size v . Finally, this construction of an ESWC from a GBTP can easily be reversed. We record these observations as:

Theorem 3.3. Let K be set of nonnegative integers. Then a $\text{GBTP}_\lambda(K; v, m \times n)$ exists if and only if an $\text{ESWC}(n, n - \lambda)_m$ of size v exists.

We note that the correspondence between GBTPs and ESWCs was observed by Yin *et al.* [32, Theorem 2.2]. However, in the latter paper, the class of codes constructed is called near-constant-composition codes (NCCCs). Indeed, an NCCC is a special class of ESWC and one observes that an $\text{ESWC}(n, d)_q$ is an NCCC when $n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod q$.

Example 3.1. Consider the $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3\}, 6, 3 \times 4)$ below.

	{1, 4}	{2, 6}	{3, 5}
{1, 2, 3}	{2, 5}	{3, 4}	{1, 6}
{4, 5, 6}	{3, 6}	{1, 5}	{2, 4}

Each point $x \in [6]$ gives a codeword $\mathbf{c}(x) = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_5)$, where r_j is the row in which point x appears in column j . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}(1) &= (2, 1, 3, 2), & \mathbf{c}(2) &= (2, 2, 1, 3), & \mathbf{c}(3) &= (2, 3, 2, 1), \\ \mathbf{c}(4) &= (3, 1, 2, 3), & \mathbf{c}(5) &= (3, 2, 3, 1), & \mathbf{c}(6) &= (3, 3, 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

The code $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{c}(1), \mathbf{c}(2), \mathbf{c}(3), \mathbf{c}(4), \mathbf{c}(5), \mathbf{c}(6)\}$ is an $\text{ESWC}(4, 3)_3$ of size six.

Theorem 3.3 sets up the equivalence between GBTPs and ESWCs. In general, a GBTP may not correspond to an optimal ESWC. However, in the following, we look at specific K 's to derive families of optimal ESWCs.

3.2 Optimal Equitable Symbol Weight Codes from Generalized Balanced Tournament Designs

A $\text{GBTP}_\lambda\left(\{k\}; km, m \times \frac{\lambda(km-1)}{k-1}\right)$ is called a *generalized balanced tournament design* (GBTD), denoted by $\text{GBTD}_\lambda(k, m)$. In this case, we check that each pair of distinct points is contained in exactly λ blocks and every point is contained in either $\left\lfloor \frac{\lambda(km-1)}{m(k-1)} \right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil \frac{\lambda(km-1)}{m(k-1)} \right\rceil$ cells of each row.

Applying Theorem 3.3, a $\text{ESWC}\left(\frac{\lambda(km-1)}{k-1}, \frac{\lambda k(m-1)}{k-1}\right)_m$ of size km exists and the corresponding code is optimal by generalized Plotkin bound. So, we have the following.

Theorem 3.4. A $\text{GBTD}_\lambda(k, m)$ exists if and only if an optimal $\text{ESWC}\left(\frac{\lambda(km-1)}{k-1}, \frac{\lambda k(m-1)}{k-1}\right)_m$ of size km exists and attains the generalized Plotkin bound.

We remark that our definition of a GBTD extends that of Lamken and Vanstone [18], which corresponds in our definition to the case when $\lambda = k - 1$. The following summarizes the state-of-the-art results on the existence of $\text{GBTD}_{k-1}(k, m)$.

Theorem 3.5 (Lamken [18–21], Yin *et al.* [32], Chee *et al.* [8], Dai *et al.* [10]). The following holds.

- (i) A $\text{GBTD}_1(2, m)$ exists if and only if $m = 1$ or $m \geq 3$.
- (ii) A $\text{GBTD}_2(3, m)$ exists if and only if $m = 1$ or $m \geq 3$.
- (iii) A $\text{GBTD}_3(4, m)$ exists if and only if $m = 1$ or $m \geq 4$.
- (iv) A $\text{GBTD}_4(5, m)$ exists if $m \geq 62$ or $m \in \{5 - 18, 30, 42, 46, 48 - 50, 54 - 57\}$.
- (v) A $\text{GBTD}_{k-1}(k, k)$ exists if k is an odd prime power.

Theorem 2.3 (i) to (v) is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4, 3.5 and Proposition 3.1. The existence of $\text{GBTD}_\lambda(k, m)$ when $\lambda \neq k - 1$ has not been previously investigated. The smallest open case is when $k = 3$ and $\lambda = 1$, which is the case dealt with in this paper.

It follows readily from the fact that a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ is also an $\text{RBIBD}(3m, 3, 1)$, that a necessary condition for a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ to exist is that m must be odd. We note from Proposition 3.1 that $A_3^{\text{ESW}}(4, 3) = 6$ and $A_5^{\text{ESW}}(7, 6) = 14$, which do not meet the Plotkin bound. Hence, the corresponding designs $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 3)$ and $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 5)$ do not exist by Theorem 3.4.

Hence, a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ can exist only if m is odd and $m \notin \{3, 5\}$. In Sections 4 to 7, we prove that this necessary condition is also sufficient for the existence of $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$. A direct consequence of this is Theorem 2.3 (vi).

3.3 Optimal Equitable Symbol Weight Codes from $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m + 1, m \times (2m - 3))$

Theorem 3.4 constructs optimal ESWCs from GBTDs. In this subsection, we make slight variations to obtain another infinite family of optimal ESWCs.

Consider a $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3\}; v, m \times n)$. If there is exactly one block of size three in each resolution class, then we denote the GBTP by $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; v, m \times n)$. A simple computation then shows $v = 2m + 1$. Now we establish the following construction for optimal ESWCs.

Theorem 3.6. Let $m \geq 7$. If there exists a $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m + 1, m \times (2m - 3))$, then there exists an optimal $\text{ESWC}(2m - 3, 2m - 4)_m$ of size $2m + 1$ which attains the generalized Plotkin bound.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we have a $\text{ESWC}(2m - 3, 2m - 4)_m$ of size $2m + 1$. It remains to verify its optimality.

Suppose otherwise that there exists an $\text{ESWC}(2m - 3, 2m - 4)_m$ of size $2m + 2$. Consider (1) in Theorem 2.2. On the left hand side, we have

$$\binom{2m+2}{2} \cdot (2m-4) = 4m^3 - 2m^2 - 10m - 4.$$

Since $\lfloor \frac{2m+2+i}{m} \rfloor = 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-3$ and $\lfloor \frac{2m+2+(m-2)}{m} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{2m+2+(m-1)}{m} \rfloor = 3$, the term on the right hand is

$$\begin{aligned} & (2m-3) \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-3} 4(m-3-i) + 12 \right) + 9 \right) \\ &= (2m-3)(4m(m-2) - 2(m-3)(m-2) + 9) \\ &= 4m^3 - 2m^2 - 12m + 9 \end{aligned}$$

But for $m \geq 7$,

$$4m^3 - 2m^2 - 10m - 4 > 4m^3 - 2m^2 - 12m + 9,$$

contradicting (1). Hence, an $\text{ESWC}(2m-3, 2m-4)_m$ of size $2m+2$ does not exist and the result follows. \square

In the rest of this paper, we construct a $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m+1, m \times (2m-3))$ for $m \geq 4$, except possibly $m \in \{12, 13\}$. This with Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.1 gives Theorem 2.3 (vii).

4 Proof Strategy of Theorem 2.3 (vi) and Theorem 2.3 (vii)

For the rest of the paper, we determine with finite possible exceptions the existence of $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ and $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m+1, m \times (2m-3))$. Our proof is technical and rather complex. However, it follows the general strategy of the previous work [10, 20, 32]. This section outlines the general strategy used, and introduces some required combinatorial designs.

As with most combinatorial designs, direct construction to settle their existence is often difficult. Instead, we develop a set of recursive constructions, building big designs from smaller ones. Direct methods are used to construct a large enough set of small designs on which the recursions can work to generate all larger designs. For our recursion techniques to work, the GBTPs must possess more structure than stipulated in its definition. First, we consider $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ s that are **colorable* which are defined below.

4.1 *c*-*colorable Generalized Balanced Tournament Designs

We generalize the notion of factored GBTDs (FGBTDs) introduced by Lamken [21]. FGBTDs are crucial in the k -tupling construction for GBTDs of index $k-1$. However, when the index is one, we extend this notion to **colorability*.

Definition 4.1. Let c be positive. A *c*-*colorable RBIBD(v, k, λ) is an RBIBD(v, k, λ) with the property that its $\frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$ blocks can be arranged in a $\frac{v}{k} \times \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k-1}$ array, and each block can be colored with one of c colors so that

- (i) each point appears exactly once in each column, and
- (ii) in each row, blocks of the same color are pairwise disjoint.

Definition 4.2. A $\text{GBTD}_\lambda(k, m)$ is *c*-*colorable if each of its blocks can be colored with one of c colors so that in each row, blocks of the same color are pairwise disjoint.

$0_0 0_1 \infty \clubsuit$	$2_0 4_0 3_1 \clubsuit$	$6_1 4_0 1_0 \diamond$	$2_1 1_1 6_1 \clubsuit$	$5_1 1_0 2_0 \heartsuit$	$4_1 3_1 1_1 \heartsuit$	$5_1 4_1 2_1 \diamond$
$6_1 5_1 3_1 \clubsuit$	$1_0 1_1 \infty \clubsuit$	$3_0 5_0 4_1 \clubsuit$	$3_0 3_1 \infty \diamond$	$5_0 0_0 6_1 \diamond$	$6_0 1_0 0_1 \diamond$	$0_0 2_0 1_1 \heartsuit$
$1_0 3_0 2_1 \clubsuit$	$0_1 6_1 4_1 \clubsuit$	$2_0 2_1 \infty \diamond$	$4_0 6_0 5_1 \clubsuit$	$1_1 6_0 3_0 \diamond$	$5_0 5_1 \infty \heartsuit$	$3_1 1_0 5_0 \diamond$
$4_1 2_0 6_0 \clubsuit$	$5_1 3_0 0_0 \clubsuit$	$1_1 0_1 5_1 \diamond$	$0_1 5_0 2_0 \heartsuit$	$4_0 4_1 \infty \diamond$	$2_1 0_0 4_0 \heartsuit$	$6_0 6_1 \infty \heartsuit$
$1_1 4_0 5_0 \clubsuit$	$2_1 5_0 6_0 \diamond$	$3_1 6_0 0_0 \clubsuit$	$4_1 0_0 1_0 \diamond$	$3_1 2_1 0_1 \heartsuit$	$6_1 2_0 3_0 \clubsuit$	$0_1 3_0 4_0 \diamond$

Figure 1: A 3-*colorable RBIBD(15, 3, 1) (X, \mathcal{A}) , where $X = (\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup \{\infty\}$. The set of colors used is $\{\clubsuit, \diamond, \heartsuit\}$. (X, \mathcal{A}) has property II as 1_0 is a witness for \clubsuit and ∞ is a witnesses for both \diamond and \heartsuit in row 1. For succinctness, a block $\{x, y, z\}$ is written xyz

Definition 4.3. A k -*colorable RBIBD($v, k, 1$) is k -*colorable with property II if there exists a row r such that for each color i , there exists a point (called a *witness* for i) that is not contained in any block in row r that is colored i .

A $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$ that is c -*colorable with property II is similarly defined.

Example 4.1. The RBIBD(15, 3, 1) in Fig. 1 is 3-*colorable with property II.

Proposition 4.4. If an RBIBD($v, k, 1$) is $(k - 1)$ -*colorable, then it is k -*colorable with property II.

Proof. Consider a $(k - 1)$ -*colorable RBIBD($v, k, 1$) with colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1} . There must exist a point, say x , that appears only once in the first row. Recolor the block that contains this point with color c_k . This new coloring shows that the RBIBD($v, k, 1$) is k -*colorable with property II, since for the first row, the point x is a witness for colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1} , and any point not in the block colored by c_k is a witness for c_k . \square

Example 4.2. The $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 9)$ in Fig. 2 is 2-*colorable and is therefore 3-*colorable with property II by Proposition 4.4.

We note that a 3-*colorable RBIBD and a 3-*colorable RBIBD with property II are crucial in the tripling construction of a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ and a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ respectively (see Proposition 5.1). This is an adaptation of the k -tupling construction for GBTDs with index $k - 1$ [21, Theorem 3.1]. However, we note certain differences. An FGBTD by definition is necessary a GBTD, while *colorability and property II are defined for RBIBDs. Hence, we do not need a smaller GBTD to seed the recursion in Proposition 5.1. We make use of this fact to yield a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 15)$ in Lemma 7.1.

4.2 Incomplete Generalized Balanced Tournament Packings

Incomplete designs are ubiquitous in combinatorial design theory and crucial in “filling in the holes” constructions described in section 5.

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{A}) is a (v, K, λ) -packing. Let $W \subset X$ with $|W| = w$. Furthermore, we call (X, W, \mathcal{A}) an *incomplete resolvable packing*, denoted by $\text{IRP}(v, K, \lambda; w)$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) any pair of points from W occurs in no blocks of \mathcal{A} ,

(ii) the blocks in \mathcal{A} can be partitioned into parallel classes and *partial parallel classes* $X \setminus W$.

Definition 4.5. Let (X, W, \mathcal{A}) be an $\text{IRP}(v, K, \lambda; w)$. Then (X, W, \mathcal{A}) is called an *incomplete generalized balanced tournament packing* (IGBTP) if the blocks of \mathcal{A} are arranged into an $m \times n$ array \mathbf{A} , with rows and columns indexed by R and C respectively, satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) there exist a $P \subset R$ with $|P| = m'$ and a $Q \subset C$ with $|Q| = n'$ such that the cell (r, c) is empty if $r \in P$ and $c \in Q$;
- (ii) for any row $r \in P$, every point in $X \setminus W$ is contained in either $\lceil n/m \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/m \rfloor$ cells and the points in W do not appear; for any row $r \in R \setminus P$, every point in X is contained in either $\lceil n/m \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/m \rfloor$ cells;
- (iii) the blocks in any column $c \in Q$ form a partial parallel class of $X \setminus W$ and the blocks in any column $c \in C \setminus Q$ forms a parallel class of X .

Denote such an IGBTP by $\text{IGBTP}_\lambda(K, v, m \times n; w, m' \times n')$.

Example 4.3. An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 29, 14 \times 25; 9, 4 \times 5)$ is given in Fig. 3.

Consider an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{k\}, km, m \times \frac{km-1}{k-1}; k, 1 \times 1)$. Then its corresponding array has one empty cell and we fill this cell with the block W to obtain a $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$. A $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$ obtained in this way is called a *special* $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$ and the cell occupied by W is said to be *special*.

Example 4.4. The $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 9)$ in Fig. 2 is a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 9)$ with special cell $(1, 5)$.

A few more classes of auxiliary designs are also required.

4.3 Group Divisible Designs and Transversal Designs

Definition 4.6. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a set system and let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ be a partition of X into subsets, called *groups*. The triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a *group divisible design* (GDD) when every 2-subset of X not contained in a group appears in exactly one block, and $|A \cap G| \leq 1$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

We denote a GDD $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ by K -GDD if (X, \mathcal{A}) is K -uniform. The *type* of a GDD $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is the multiset $\langle |G| : G \in \mathcal{G} \rangle$. For convenience, the exponential notation is used to describe the type of a GDD: a GDD of type $g_1^{t_1} g_2^{t_2} \dots g_s^{t_s}$ is a GDD with exactly t_i groups of size g_i , $i \in [s]$.

Definition 4.7. A *transversal design* $\text{TD}(k, n)$ is a $\{k\}$ -GDD of type n^k .

The following result on the existence of transversal designs (see [1]) is sometimes used without explicit reference throughout this paper.

Theorem 4.8. Let $\text{TD}(k)$ denote the set of positive integers n such that there exists a $\text{TD}(k, n)$. Then, we have

- (i) $\text{TD}(4) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \setminus \{2, 6\}$,
- (ii) $\text{TD}(5) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \setminus \{2, 3, 6, 10\}$,
- (iii) $\text{TD}(6) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \setminus \{2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22\}$,
- (iv) $\text{TD}(7) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \setminus \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 46, 60\}$,

A	B
---	---

where A is the array

$1_07_1\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$6_03_2\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$0_04_16_2 \clubsuit$	$6_07_10_2 \diamond$	$7_00_11_2 \clubsuit$	$5_05_15_2 \diamond$	$1_14_2\infty_0 \clubsuit$
$5_02_2\infty_1 \diamond$	$2_00_1\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$7_04_2\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$1_05_17_2 \clubsuit$	$4_04_14_2 \diamond$	$0_01_12_2 \clubsuit$	$7_05_1\infty_2 \diamond$
$3_16_2\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$4_17_2\infty_0 \diamond$	$3_01_1\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$0_05_2\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$2_06_10_2 \clubsuit$	$3_07_11_2 \diamond$	$1_02_13_2 \clubsuit$
$3_04_15_2 \clubsuit$	$1_01_11_2 \diamond$	$5_10_2\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$4_02_1\infty_2 \diamond$	$5_03_1\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$2_07_2\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$4_00_12_2 \clubsuit$
$6_02_14_2 \diamond$	$4_05_16_2 \clubsuit$	$5_06_17_2 \diamond$	$6_11_2\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$1_06_2\infty_1 \diamond$	$6_04_1\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$3_00_2\infty_1 \clubsuit$
$0_00_10_2 \diamond$	$5_02_10_2 \clubsuit$	$4_07_13_2 \clubsuit$	$2_01_16_2 \clubsuit$	$7_12_2\infty_0 \diamond$	$1_06_14_2 \clubsuit$	$0_03_17_2 \clubsuit$
$7_06_13_2 \clubsuit$	$7_03_15_2 \diamond$	$6_03_11_2 \clubsuit$	$5_00_14_2 \clubsuit$	$3_02_17_2 \clubsuit$	$0_13_2\infty_0 \diamond$	$2_07_15_2 \clubsuit$
$2_05_11_2 \clubsuit$	$0_07_14_2 \clubsuit$	$2_02_12_2 \diamond$	$7_04_12_2 \clubsuit$	$6_01_15_2 \clubsuit$	$4_03_10_2 \clubsuit$	$6_06_16_2 \diamond$
$4_01_17_2 \clubsuit$	$3_06_12_2 \clubsuit$	$1_00_15_2 \clubsuit$	$3_03_13_2 \diamond$	$0_05_13_2 \clubsuit$	$7_02_16_2 \clubsuit$	$5_04_11_2 \clubsuit$

where B is the array

$0_06_1\infty_2 \diamond$	$4_06_15_2 \clubsuit$	$1_02_04_0 \diamond$	$2_03_05_0 \clubsuit$	$2_27_20_2 \clubsuit$	$2_13_15_1 \clubsuit$
$2_15_2\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$5_07_16_2 \clubsuit$	$3_14_16_1 \clubsuit$	$0_11_13_1 \diamond$	$3_04_06_0 \clubsuit$	$3_20_21_2 \clubsuit$
$2_03_14_2 \diamond$	$6_00_17_2 \clubsuit$	$4_21_22_2 \clubsuit$	$4_15_17_1 \clubsuit$	$5_16_10_1 \diamond$	$4_05_07_0 \clubsuit$
$7_07_17_2 \diamond$	$0_02_11_2 \clubsuit$	$0_25_26_2 \diamond$	$6_07_01_0 \clubsuit$	$6_23_24_2 \clubsuit$	$6_17_11_1 \clubsuit$
$5_01_13_2 \clubsuit$	$1_03_12_2 \clubsuit$	$7_10_12_1 \clubsuit$	$5_22_23_2 \diamond$	$7_00_02_0 \clubsuit$	$7_24_25_2 \clubsuit$
$6_05_12_2 \clubsuit$	$2_04_13_2 \diamond$	$3_07_0\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$1_26_27_2 \diamond$	$5_21_2\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$4_10_1\infty_1 \clubsuit$
$1_04_10_2 \clubsuit$	$3_05_14_2 \diamond$	$1_15_1\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$4_00_0\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$1_12_14_1 \diamond$	$6_22_2\infty_2 \clubsuit$
$3_00_16_2 \clubsuit$	$\infty_0\infty_1\infty_2 \diamond$	$3_27_2\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$2_16_1\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$5_01_0\infty_0 \clubsuit$	$0_01_03_0 \diamond$
$4_01_2\infty_1 \diamond$	$7_01_10_2 \diamond$	$5_06_00_0 \diamond$	$4_20_2\infty_2 \clubsuit$	$3_17_1\infty_1 \clubsuit$	$6_02_0\infty_0 \clubsuit$

Figure 2: A 2-*colorable special GBTD₁(3, 9) (X, \mathcal{A}) , where $X = (\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup \{\infty_0, \infty_1, \infty_2\}$ and colors $\{\clubsuit, \diamond\}$. The cell (1, 5), occupied by the block $7_00_11_2$, is special. For succinctness, a set $\{x, y, z\}$ is written xyz .

(v) $\text{TD}(k) \supseteq \{q : q \geq k - 1 \text{ is a prime power}\}$.

Definition 4.9. A *doubly resolvable* $\text{TD}(k, n)$, denoted by $\text{DRTD}(k, n)$, is a $\text{TD}(k, n)$ whose blocks can be arranged in an $n \times n$ array such that each point appears exactly once in each row and once in each column.

The following proposition describes the relationship between DRTDs and TDs

Proposition 4.10 (Folklore, see [9]). There exists a $\text{TD}(k + 2, n)$ if and only if there exists a $\text{DRTD}(k, n)$.

Corollary 4.11. A $\text{DRTD}(3, n)$ exists for all $n \geq 4$ and $n \notin \{6, 10\}$.

Proof. A $\text{TD}(5, n)$ exists if $n \geq 4$ and $n \notin \{6, 10\}$ by Theorem 4.8. □

A	B
---	---

where A is the array

-	-	-	-	-	2, 13	3, 14	4, 15	5, 16	6, 17	7, 18	8, 19	9, 0
-	-	-	-	-	12, 16	13, 17	14, 18	15, 19	16, 0	17, 1	18, 2	19, 3
-	-	-	-	-	15, 18	16, 19	17, 0	18, 1	19, 2	0, 3	1, 4	2, 5
-	-	-	-	-	1, 3	2, 4	3, 5	4, 6	5, 7	6, 8	7, 9	8, 10
0, 10	2, 7	12, 17	4, 16	14, 6	4, 5, 11	<i>i</i> , 18	<i>h</i> , 1	<i>g</i> , 12	<i>f</i> , 18	<i>e</i> , 13	<i>d</i> , 16	<i>c</i> , 13
1, 11	3, 8	13, 18	5, 17	15, 7	<i>a</i> , 0	5, 6, 12	<i>i</i> , 19	<i>h</i> , 2	<i>g</i> , 13	<i>f</i> , 19	<i>e</i> , 14	<i>d</i> , 17
2, 12	4, 9	14, 19	6, 18	16, 8	<i>b</i> , 7	<i>a</i> , 1	6, 7, 13	<i>i</i> , 0	<i>h</i> , 3	<i>g</i> , 14	<i>f</i> , 0	<i>e</i> , 15
3, 13	5, 10	15, 0	7, 19	17, 9	<i>c</i> , 6	<i>b</i> , 8	<i>a</i> , 2	7, 8, 14	<i>i</i> , 1	<i>h</i> , 4	<i>g</i> , 15	<i>f</i> , 1
4, 14	6, 11	16, 1	8, 0	18, 10	<i>d</i> , 10	<i>c</i> , 7	<i>b</i> , 9	<i>a</i> , 3	8, 9, 15	<i>i</i> , 2	<i>h</i> , 5	<i>g</i> , 16
5, 15	7, 12	17, 2	9, 1	19, 11	<i>e</i> , 8	<i>d</i> , 11	<i>c</i> , 8	<i>b</i> , 10	<i>a</i> , 4	9, 10, 16	<i>i</i> , 3	<i>h</i> , 6
6, 16	8, 13	18, 3	10, 2	0, 12	<i>f</i> , 14	<i>e</i> , 9	<i>d</i> , 12	<i>c</i> , 9	<i>b</i> , 11	<i>a</i> , 5	10, 11, 17	<i>i</i> , 4
7, 17	9, 14	19, 4	11, 3	1, 13	<i>g</i> , 9	<i>f</i> , 15	<i>e</i> , 10	<i>d</i> , 13	<i>c</i> , 10	<i>b</i> , 12	<i>a</i> , 6	11, 12, 18
8, 18	10, 15	0, 5	12, 4	2, 14	<i>h</i> , 19	<i>g</i> , 10	<i>f</i> , 16	<i>e</i> , 11	<i>d</i> , 14	<i>c</i> , 11	<i>b</i> , 13	<i>a</i> , 7
9, 19	11, 16	1, 6	13, 5	3, 15	<i>i</i> , 17	<i>h</i> , 0	<i>g</i> , 11	<i>f</i> , 17	<i>e</i> , 12	<i>d</i> , 15	<i>c</i> , 12	<i>b</i> , 14

where B is the array

10, 1	11, 2	12, 3	13, 4	14, 5	15, 6	16, 7	17, 8	18, 9	19, 10	0, 11	1, 12
0, 4	1, 5	2, 6	3, 7	4, 8	5, 9	6, 10	7, 11	8, 12	9, 13	10, 14	11, 15
3, 6	4, 7	5, 8	6, 9	7, 10	8, 11	9, 12	10, 13	11, 14	12, 15	13, 16	14, 17
9, 11	10, 12	11, 13	12, 14	13, 15	14, 16	15, 17	16, 18	17, 19	18, 0	19, 1	0, 2
<i>b</i> , 15	<i>a</i> , 9	14, 15, 1	<i>i</i> , 8	<i>h</i> , 11	<i>g</i> , 2	<i>f</i> , 8	<i>e</i> , 3	<i>d</i> , 6	<i>c</i> , 3	<i>b</i> , 5	<i>a</i> , 19
<i>c</i> , 14	<i>b</i> , 16	<i>a</i> , 10	15, 16, 2	<i>i</i> , 9	<i>h</i> , 12	<i>g</i> , 3	<i>f</i> , 9	<i>e</i> , 4	<i>d</i> , 7	<i>c</i> , 4	<i>b</i> , 6
<i>d</i> , 18	<i>c</i> , 15	<i>b</i> , 17	<i>a</i> , 11	16, 17, 3	<i>i</i> , 10	<i>h</i> , 13	<i>g</i> , 4	<i>f</i> , 10	<i>e</i> , 5	<i>d</i> , 8	<i>c</i> , 5
<i>e</i> , 16	<i>d</i> , 19	<i>c</i> , 16	<i>b</i> , 18	<i>a</i> , 12	17, 18, 4	<i>i</i> , 11	<i>h</i> , 14	<i>g</i> , 5	<i>f</i> , 11	<i>e</i> , 6	<i>d</i> , 9
<i>f</i> , 2	<i>e</i> , 17	<i>d</i> , 0	<i>c</i> , 17	<i>b</i> , 19	<i>a</i> , 13	18, 19, 5	<i>i</i> , 12	<i>h</i> , 15	<i>g</i> , 6	<i>f</i> , 12	<i>e</i> , 7
<i>g</i> , 17	<i>f</i> , 3	<i>e</i> , 18	<i>d</i> , 1	<i>c</i> , 18	<i>b</i> , 0	<i>a</i> , 14	19, 0, 6	<i>i</i> , 13	<i>h</i> , 16	<i>g</i> , 7	<i>f</i> , 13
<i>h</i> , 7	<i>g</i> , 18	<i>f</i> , 4	<i>e</i> , 19	<i>d</i> , 2	<i>c</i> , 19	<i>b</i> , 1	<i>a</i> , 15	0, 1, 7	<i>i</i> , 14	<i>h</i> , 17	<i>g</i> , 8
<i>i</i> , 5	<i>h</i> , 8	<i>g</i> , 19	<i>f</i> , 5	<i>e</i> , 0	<i>d</i> , 3	<i>c</i> , 0	<i>b</i> , 2	<i>a</i> , 16	1, 2, 8	<i>i</i> , 15	<i>h</i> , 18
12, 13, 19	<i>i</i> , 6	<i>h</i> , 9	<i>g</i> , 0	<i>f</i> , 6	<i>e</i> , 1	<i>d</i> , 4	<i>c</i> , 1	<i>b</i> , 3	<i>a</i> , 17	2, 3, 9	<i>i</i> , 16
<i>a</i> , 8	13, 14, 0	<i>i</i> , 7	<i>h</i> , 10	<i>g</i> , 1	<i>f</i> , 7	<i>e</i> , 2	<i>d</i> , 5	<i>c</i> , 2	<i>b</i> , 4	<i>a</i> , 18	3, 4, 10

Figure 3: An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3\}, 29, 14 \times 25; 9, 4 \times 5)$ (X, \mathcal{A}) , where $X = \mathbb{Z}_{20} \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i\}$ and $W = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i\}$. For succinctness, a block $\{x, y, z\}$ is written x, y, z .

4.4 Frame Generalized Balanced Tournament Design

Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ be a $\{k\}$ -GDD with $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ and $|G_i| \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$ for all $i \in [s]$. Let $R = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^s |G_i|$ and $C = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^s |G_i|$. Suppose there exists a partition $[R] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s R_i$ and a

A	B
---	---

where A is the array

-	-	-	4 ₀ 1 ₀ 7 ₀	4 ₁ 1 ₁ 7 ₁	4 ₂ 1 ₂ 7 ₂	6 ₀ 3 ₀ 9 ₀	6 ₁ 3 ₁ 9 ₁	6 ₂ 3 ₂ 9 ₂
-	-	-	6 ₀ 7 ₂ 8 ₀	6 ₁ 7 ₀ 8 ₁	6 ₂ 7 ₁ 8 ₂	8 ₀ 9 ₂ 0 ₀	8 ₁ 9 ₀ 0 ₁	8 ₂ 9 ₁ 0 ₂
2 ₀ 8 ₁ 1 ₀	2 ₁ 8 ₂ 1 ₁	2 ₂ 8 ₀ 1 ₂	-	-	-	4 ₁ 8 ₂ ∞ ₄	4 ₂ 8 ₀ ∞ ₃	4 ₀ 8 ₁ ∞ ₅
6 ₂ 7 ₂ 3 ₁	6 ₀ 7 ₀ 3 ₂	6 ₁ 7 ₁ 3 ₀	-	-	-	9 ₁ 1 ₂ ∞ ₅	9 ₂ 1 ₀ ∞ ₄	9 ₀ 1 ₁ ∞ ₃
4 ₀ 0 ₁ 3 ₀	4 ₁ 0 ₂ 3 ₁	4 ₂ 0 ₀ 3 ₂	1 ₁ 3 ₀ 9 ₁	1 ₂ 3 ₁ 9 ₂	1 ₀ 3 ₂ 9 ₀	-	-	-
8 ₂ 9 ₂ 5 ₁	8 ₀ 9 ₀ 5 ₂	8 ₁ 9 ₁ 5 ₀	4 ₂ 6 ₁ 8 ₂	4 ₀ 6 ₂ 8 ₀	4 ₁ 6 ₀ 8 ₁	-	-	-
6 ₀ 2 ₁ 5 ₀	6 ₁ 2 ₂ 5 ₁	6 ₂ 2 ₀ 5 ₂	8 ₁ 1 ₂ ∞ ₀	8 ₂ 1 ₀ ∞ ₁	8 ₀ 1 ₁ ∞ ₂	3 ₁ 5 ₀ 1 ₁	3 ₂ 5 ₁ 1 ₂	3 ₀ 5 ₂ 1 ₀
0 ₂ 1 ₂ 7 ₁	0 ₀ 1 ₀ 7 ₂	0 ₁ 1 ₁ 7 ₀	2 ₀ 3 ₁ ∞ ₁	2 ₁ 3 ₂ ∞ ₂	2 ₂ 3 ₀ ∞ ₀	6 ₂ 8 ₁ 0 ₂	6 ₀ 8 ₂ 0 ₀	6 ₁ 8 ₀ 0 ₁
8 ₀ 4 ₁ 7 ₀	8 ₁ 4 ₂ 7 ₁	8 ₂ 4 ₀ 7 ₂	2 ₂ 9 ₀ ∞ ₂	2 ₀ 9 ₁ ∞ ₀	2 ₁ 9 ₂ ∞ ₁	0 ₁ 3 ₂ ∞ ₀	0 ₂ 3 ₀ ∞ ₁	0 ₀ 3 ₁ ∞ ₂
2 ₂ 3 ₂ 9 ₁	2 ₀ 3 ₀ 9 ₂	2 ₁ 3 ₁ 9 ₀	3 ₂ 4 ₁ ∞ ₃	3 ₀ 4 ₂ ∞ ₅	3 ₁ 4 ₀ ∞ ₄	4 ₀ 5 ₁ ∞ ₁	4 ₁ 5 ₂ ∞ ₂	4 ₂ 5 ₀ ∞ ₀
0 ₀ 6 ₁ 9 ₀	0 ₁ 6 ₂ 9 ₁	0 ₂ 6 ₀ 9 ₂	2 ₁ 6 ₂ ∞ ₄	2 ₂ 6 ₀ ∞ ₃	2 ₀ 6 ₁ ∞ ₅	4 ₂ 1 ₀ ∞ ₂	4 ₀ 1 ₁ ∞ ₀	4 ₁ 1 ₂ ∞ ₁
4 ₂ 5 ₂ 1 ₁	4 ₀ 5 ₀ 1 ₂	4 ₁ 5 ₁ 1 ₀	7 ₁ 9 ₂ ∞ ₅	7 ₂ 9 ₀ ∞ ₄	7 ₀ 9 ₁ ∞ ₃	5 ₂ 6 ₁ ∞ ₃	5 ₀ 6 ₂ ∞ ₅	5 ₁ 6 ₀ ∞ ₄

where B is the array

8 ₀ 5 ₀ 1 ₀	8 ₁ 5 ₁ 1 ₁	8 ₂ 5 ₂ 1 ₂	0 ₀ 7 ₀ 3 ₀	0 ₁ 7 ₁ 3 ₁	0 ₂ 7 ₂ 3 ₂	2 ₀ 9 ₀ 5 ₀	2 ₁ 9 ₁ 5 ₁	2 ₂ 9 ₂ 5 ₂
0 ₀ 1 ₂ 2 ₀	0 ₁ 1 ₀ 2 ₁	0 ₂ 1 ₁ 2 ₂	2 ₀ 3 ₂ 4 ₀	2 ₁ 3 ₀ 4 ₁	2 ₂ 3 ₁ 4 ₂	4 ₀ 5 ₂ 6 ₀	4 ₁ 5 ₀ 6 ₁	4 ₂ 5 ₁ 6 ₂
6 ₂ 3 ₀ ∞ ₂	6 ₀ 3 ₁ ∞ ₀	6 ₁ 3 ₂ ∞ ₁	4 ₁ 7 ₂ ∞ ₀	4 ₂ 7 ₀ ∞ ₁	4 ₀ 7 ₁ ∞ ₂	9 ₁ 1 ₀ 7 ₁	9 ₂ 1 ₁ 7 ₂	9 ₀ 1 ₂ 7 ₀
7 ₂ 8 ₁ ∞ ₃	7 ₀ 8 ₂ ∞ ₅	7 ₁ 8 ₀ ∞ ₄	8 ₀ 9 ₁ ∞ ₁	8 ₁ 9 ₂ ∞ ₂	8 ₂ 9 ₀ ∞ ₀	2 ₂ 4 ₁ 6 ₂	2 ₀ 4 ₂ 6 ₀	2 ₁ 4 ₀ 6 ₁
6 ₁ 0 ₂ ∞ ₄	6 ₂ 0 ₀ ∞ ₃	6 ₀ 0 ₁ ∞ ₅	8 ₂ 5 ₀ ∞ ₂	8 ₀ 5 ₁ ∞ ₀	8 ₁ 5 ₂ ∞ ₁	6 ₁ 9 ₂ ∞ ₀	6 ₂ 9 ₀ ∞ ₁	6 ₀ 9 ₁ ∞ ₂
1 ₁ 3 ₂ ∞ ₅	1 ₂ 3 ₀ ∞ ₄	1 ₀ 3 ₁ ∞ ₃	9 ₂ 0 ₁ ∞ ₃	9 ₀ 0 ₂ ∞ ₅	9 ₁ 0 ₀ ∞ ₄	0 ₀ 1 ₁ ∞ ₁	0 ₁ 1 ₂ ∞ ₂	0 ₂ 1 ₀ ∞ ₀
-	-	-	8 ₁ 2 ₂ ∞ ₄	8 ₂ 2 ₀ ∞ ₃	8 ₀ 2 ₁ ∞ ₅	0 ₂ 7 ₀ ∞ ₂	0 ₀ 7 ₁ ∞ ₀	0 ₁ 7 ₂ ∞ ₁
-	-	-	3 ₁ 5 ₂ ∞ ₅	3 ₂ 5 ₀ ∞ ₄	3 ₀ 5 ₁ ∞ ₃	1 ₂ 2 ₁ ∞ ₃	1 ₀ 2 ₂ ∞ ₅	1 ₁ 2 ₀ ∞ ₄
5 ₁ 7 ₀ 3 ₁	5 ₂ 7 ₁ 3 ₂	5 ₀ 7 ₂ 3 ₀	-	-	-	0 ₁ 4 ₂ ∞ ₄	0 ₂ 4 ₀ ∞ ₃	0 ₀ 4 ₁ ∞ ₅
8 ₂ 0 ₁ 2 ₂	8 ₀ 0 ₂ 2 ₀	8 ₁ 0 ₀ 2 ₁	-	-	-	5 ₁ 7 ₂ ∞ ₅	5 ₂ 7 ₀ ∞ ₄	5 ₀ 7 ₁ ∞ ₃
2 ₁ 5 ₂ ∞ ₀	2 ₂ 5 ₀ ∞ ₁	2 ₀ 5 ₁ ∞ ₂	7 ₁ 9 ₀ 5 ₁	7 ₂ 9 ₁ 5 ₂	7 ₀ 9 ₂ 5 ₀	-	-	-
6 ₀ 7 ₁ ∞ ₁	6 ₁ 7 ₂ ∞ ₂	6 ₂ 7 ₀ ∞ ₀	0 ₂ 2 ₁ 4 ₂	0 ₀ 2 ₂ 4 ₀	0 ₁ 2 ₀ 4 ₁	-	-	-

Figure 4: An $\text{FrGBTD}_1(3, 6^6)$ $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where $X = (\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup \{\infty_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{\{t_0, t_1, t_2, (5+t)_0, (5+t)_1, (5+t)_2\} : t \in \mathbb{Z}_5\} \cup \{\infty_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$. For succinctness, a set $\{x, y, z\}$ is written xyz .

partition $[C] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s C_i$ such that for each $i \in [s]$, we have $|R_i| = |G_i|/k$ and $|C_i| = |G_i|/(k-1)$.

We say that $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a *frame generalized balanced tournament design* (FrGBTD) if its blocks can be arranged in an $R \times C$ array such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) the cell (r, c) is empty when $(r, c) \in R_i \times C_i$ for $i \in [s]$,
- (ii) for any row $r \in R_i$, each point in $X \setminus G_i$ appears either once or twice and the points in G_i do not appear,

(iii) for any column $c \in C_i$, each point in $X \setminus G_i$ appears exactly once.

Denote this FrGBTD by $\text{FrGBTD}(k, T)$, where $T = \langle |G_i| : i \in [s] \rangle$.

Example 4.5. An $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^6)$ is given in Fig. 4.

5 Recursive Constructions

In this section, we develop the necessary recursive constructions. We note that these are straightforward adaptations of methods in previous work [10, 20, 21, 32].

5.1 Recursive Constructions for GBTPs

First, for block size three, we have the following tripling construction for GBTDs. This is an adaptation of k -tupling construction for the case of GBTDs with index $k - 1$ [21, Theorem 3.1] and the doubling construction for balanced tournament designs [26].

Proposition 5.1 (Tripling Construction). Suppose there exists a 3-*colorable RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) and a DRTD($3, m$). Then there exists a 2-*colorable GBTD₁($3, m$). Suppose further that the RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) is 3-*colorable with property II. Then the GBTD₁($3, m$) is a special GBTD₁($3, m$).

Proof. Consider a 3-*colorable RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) (X, \mathcal{A}) with colors from \mathbb{Z}_3 and let

$$X' = \{x_i : x \in X \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}.$$

Make three copies of the 3-*colorable RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) as follows: for the j th copy, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, each block $\{x, y, z\}$ of color i in the 3-*colorable RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) is replaced by block $\{x_{i+j}, y_{i+j}, z_{i+j}\}$, where arithmetic in the subscripts is performed modulo three. Stacking these three $\frac{m}{3} \times \frac{m-1}{2}$ arrays together gives an $m \times \frac{m-1}{2}$ array A with the property that

- (i) each point in X' appears exactly once in each column,
- (ii) each point in X' appears at most once in each row.

Now take a DRTD($3, m$) $(X', \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\{x_i : x \in X\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_3\},$$

and adjoin it to A . This gives an $m \times \frac{3m-1}{2}$ array, which we claim is a GBTD₁($3, m$). Indeed it is easy to see that in this array, each point in X' appears exactly once in each column and either once or twice in each row. It remains to show that this array is a BIBD($3m, 3, 1$). To see this, observe that any pair of points contained in a group of the DRTD($3, m$) is contained in a block of one of the copies of the 3-*colorable RBIBD($m, 3, 1$). This GBTD₁($3, m$) is 2-*colorable by giving the blocks from the DRTD($3, m$) one color and the remaining blocks (from the three copies of the RBIBD($m, 3, 1$)) another color.

If, in addition, the RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) is 3-*colorable with property II, and that in row r of this RBIBD($m, 3, 1$), the points x, y, z (not necessarily distinct) are witnesses for colors 0, 1, 2, respectively, then we assume that the DRTD($3, m$) used has the block $\{x_0, y_1, z_2\}$ and that this block can be made to appear in row r , by permuting rows if necessary. The cell that contains $\{x_0, y_1, z_2\}$ is a special cell of the GBTD₁($3, m$). \square

Corollary 5.2. Let $m > 3$ and suppose an RBIBD($m, 3, 1$) that is 3-*colorable with property II exists. Then there exists a special GBTD₁($3, 3^k m$), for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. First note that $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ since this is a necessary condition for the existence of an RBIBD($m, 3, 1$). Hence, there exists a DRTD($3, m$) by Corollary 4.11. By Proposition 5.1, there exists a 2-*colorable special GBTD₁($3, m$), which may be regarded as an RBIBD($3m, 3, 1$) that is 3-*colorable with property II. The corollary then follows by induction. \square

The following propositions are simple generalizations of the standard “filling in the hole” construction to construct GBTPs or GBTDs using IGBTPs and FrGBTDs.

Proposition 5.3 (IGBTP Construction for GBTP). If an IGBTP _{λ} ($K, v, m \times n; w, m' \times n'$) and a GBTP _{λ} ($K, w, m' \times n'$) exists, then a GBTP _{λ} ($K, v, m \times n$) exists.

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be an IGBTP _{λ} ($K, v, m \times n; w, m' \times n'$). Fill in the empty subarray of this IGBTP with an a GBTP _{λ} ($K, w, m' \times n'$), (X', \mathcal{A}') . The resulting array is a GBTP _{λ} ($K, v, m \times n$), $(X, \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}')$. \square

FrGBTD is a useful tool to construct larger GBTPs from smaller ones.

Proposition 5.4 (FrGBTD Construction for GBTP). Let $k \in K$. Suppose there exists an FrGBTD(k, T) $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$, and let $r_i = |G_i|/k$ and $c_i = |G_i|/(k-1)$, for $i \in [s]$. If there exists an IGBTP₁($K, |G_i| + w, (r_i + m) \times (c_i + n); w, m \times n$) for all $i \in [s]$, then there exists an IGBTP₁($K, \sum_{i=1}^s |G_i| + w, (\sum_{i=1}^s r_i + m) \times (\sum_{i=1}^s c_i + n); w, m \times n$). Furthermore, if a GBTP₁($K, w, m \times n$) exists, then an GBTP₁($K, \sum_{i=1}^s |G_i| + w, (\sum_{i=1}^s r_i + m) \times (\sum_{i=1}^s c_i + n)$) exists.

Proof. We use the notations as in the definition of FrGBTD in Section 4.4, and assume that the blocks of the FrGBTD(k, T) are arranged in an $R \times C$ array, with rows and columns indexed by $[R]$ and $[C]$, respectively.

Let P and Q be two sets satisfying $|P| = m, |Q| = n, P \cap [R] = \emptyset, Q \cap [C] = \emptyset$.

For each $i \in [s]$, consider an IGBTP₁($K, |G_i| + w, (r_i + m) \times (c_i + n); w, m \times n$) (X_i, \mathcal{A}_i) , where $X_i = G_i \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_w\}$, and whose rows and columns are indexed by $P \cup R_i$ and $Q \cup C_i$, respectively. It can be verified that (X', \mathcal{A}') , where

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= X \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_w\}, \\ \mathcal{A}' &= \mathcal{A} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_i), \end{aligned}$$

is an IRP($\sum_{i=1}^s |G_i| + w, K, 1$).

Arrange the blocks of (X', \mathcal{A}') into an $(R + m') \times (C + n')$ array \mathbf{A} , whose rows and columns are indexed by $P \cup [R]$ and $Q \cup [C]$, respectively, such that each block in \mathcal{A} that appears in cell (i, j) of either the FrGBTD or the IGBTP, is placed in cell (i, j) of \mathbf{A} .

The definition conditions of an FrGBTD ensures that no cells are occupied by two blocks. It is also easily checked that every point in X' appears exactly once in each column and either once or twice in each row. In addition, the $m \times n$ subarray indexed by $P \times Q$ is empty. This gives an IGBTP₁($K, \sum_{i=1}^s |G_i| + w, (\sum_{i=1}^s r_i + m) \times (\sum_{i=1}^s c_i + n); w, m \times n$).

The last statement follows from Proposition 5.3. \square

Since a GBTD is an instance of GBTP, we have the following recursive construction for GBTDs.

Corollary 5.5 (FrGBTD Construction for GBTD). Suppose an FrGBTD(k, T) exists with groups $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$. Let $g_i = |G_i|/k$, for $i \in [s]$. If there exists a special GBTD $_1(k, g_i + 1)$ for all $i \in [s]$, then there exists a special GBTD $_1(k, \sum_{i=1}^s g_i + 1)$.

When the groups are of the same size, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6. If there exists an FrGBTD($3, (3g)^t$) and a special GBTD $_1(3, g + 1)$, then there exists a special GBTD $_1(3, gt + 1)$.

For Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5 to be useful, we require large classes of FrGBTDs. We give three recursive constructions for FrGBTDs next.

5.2 Recursive Constructions for FrGBTDs

We adapt the standard direct product construction.

Proposition 5.7 (Inflation). Suppose an FrGBTD(k, T) and a DRTD(k, n) exists. Then there exists an FrGBTD(k, nT).

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ be an FrGBTD(k, T) arranged in an $R \times C$ array \mathbf{A} , with rows and columns indexed by $[R]$ and $[C]$, respectively. Define

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= X \times [n], \\ \mathcal{G}' &= \{G \times [n] : G \in \mathcal{G}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for each block $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} X_A &= A \times [n], \\ \mathcal{G}_A &= \{\{x\} \times [n] : x \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

and let $(X_A, \mathcal{G}_A, \mathcal{B}_A)$ be a DRTD(k, n) whose blocks are arranged in an $n \times n$ array with rows and columns both indexed by $[n]$. Let $\mathcal{A}' = \cup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_A$ and the blocks in \mathcal{A}' can be arranged, as follows, in an $Rn \times Cn$ array, whose rows and columns are indexed by $[R] \times n$ and $[C] \times n$, respectively: a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_A$ is placed in cell $((i, a), (j, b))$ if A appears in cell (i, j) of the FrGBTD(k, T) and B appears in cell (a, b) of the DRTD(k, n). Hence, $(X', \mathcal{G}', \mathcal{A}')$ gives an FrGBTD(k, nT). \square

Wilson's Fundamental Construction for GDDs [31] can also be modified to construct FrGBTDs. Fig. 5 describes this construction.

Proposition 5.8 (Fundamental Construction). Suppose there exists a (master) GDD $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ of type T and let $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a weight function. If for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an (ingredient) FrGBTD($k, \langle w(a) : a \in A \rangle$), then there exists an FrGBTD($k, \langle \sum_{x \in G} w(x) : G \in \mathcal{G} \rangle$).

Proof. The Fundamental Construction in Fig. 5 constructs the desired FrGBTD from the master GDD and ingredient FrGBTDs. \square

Proposition 5.8 admits the following specialization.

Proposition 5.9 (PBD Closure). Suppose there exists a PBD(v, K) (X, \mathcal{A}) , and for each block $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an FrGBTD($3, g^{|A|}$). Then there exists an FrGBTD($3, g^v$).




Figure 5: Fundamental Construction for FrGBTDs

Proof. Consider the PBD as a (master) GDD of type 1^v and weight function $w(x) = g$ for all $x \in X$. Now apply the fundamental construction. \square

Proposition 5.10 (FrGBTD from Truncated TD). Let $s > 0$. Suppose there exists a TD($u+s, m$), and g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s are nonnegative integers at most m . If there exists an FrGBTD(k, g^t) for each $t \in \{u, u+1, \dots, u+s\}$, then there exists an FrGBTD(k, T), where $T = (g \cdot m)^u (g \cdot g_1)(g \cdot g_2) \cdots (g \cdot g_s)$.

Proof. For each $i \in [s]$, delete $m - g_i$ points from the i th group of the TD($u+s, m$). This results in a $\{u, u+1, \dots, u+s\}$ -GDD of type $m^u g_1 g_2 \cdots g_s$. Use this as the master GDD and apply the fundamental construction with weight function w that assigns weight g to all points. \square

6 Direct Constructions

This section constructs some small GBTDs and FrGBTDs that are required to seed the recursive constructions given in Section 5. Our main tools are *starters* and the *method of differences*.

Starter-adder constructions are ubiquitous in the constructions for GBTDs with index $k-1$, associated frames and other types of similar designs (see for example, [8, 10, 20, 21, 32]). Unlike previous work and due to the lack of symmetry in our arrays, we fix the positions of the starters in our arrays and ‘develop’ the blocks in a variety of ‘directions’ (see Figures 6, 7 and 8). This removes the use of adders and surprisingly a careful analysis of the starter conditions allows a prime power construction that is given in Proposition 6.3.

First, we recall certain concepts with regards to the method of differences. Let Γ be an additive abelian group and let n be a positive integer. For a set system (Γ, \mathcal{S}) , the *difference list* of \mathcal{S} is the multiset

$$\Delta \mathcal{S} = \langle x - y : x, y \in A, x \neq y, \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{S} \rangle.$$

For a set-system $(\Gamma \times [n], \mathcal{S})$ and $i, j \in [n]$, the multiset

$$\Delta_{ij}\mathcal{S} = \langle x - y : x_i, y_j \in A, x_i \neq y_j, \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{S} \rangle$$

is called a list of *pure differences* when $i = j$, and called a list of *mixed differences* when $i \neq j$.

6.1 Direct Constructions for GBTDs

Definition 6.1 (Starter for GBTD). Let m be an odd positive integer, Γ be an additive abelian group of size m . Let T be an index set of size $(m - 1)/2$. Let $(\Gamma \times [3], \mathcal{S})$ be a $\{3\}$ -uniform set system of size $(3m - 1)/2$, where

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cup \{B_t : t \in T\}.$$

\mathcal{S} is called a $(\Gamma \times [3])$ -GBTD-starter if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\Delta_{ii}\mathcal{S} = \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$, for $i \in [3]$,
- (ii) $\Delta_{ij}\mathcal{S} = \Gamma$, for $i, j \in [3]$, $i \neq j$,
- (iii) $\cup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha = \Gamma \times [3]$,
- (iv) $\{j : \alpha_j \in B_t \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Gamma\} = [3]$, for $t \in T$,
- (v) each element in $\Gamma \times [3]$ appears either once or twice in the multiset

$$R = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha - \alpha \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{t \in T} B_t \right).$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{S} is said to be *special* if

- (vi) each element in A_0 appears exactly once in R .

Also, \mathcal{S} is said to be *3-*colorable with property II* if each of the blocks in

$$\{A_\alpha - \alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\} \text{ and } \{B_t : t \in T\},$$

can be colored with one of three colors so that

- (vii) blocks of the same color are pairwise disjoint,
- (viii) for each color c , there exists a point (a *witness* for c) that is not contained in any block assigned color c .

Proposition 6.2. If a $(\Gamma \times [k])$ -GBTD-starter exists, then a $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$ exists. Similarly, if there exists a special $(\Gamma \times [3])$ -GBTD-starter, then there exists a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$; and if there exists a 3-*colorable $(\Gamma \times [3])$ -GBTD-starter with property II, then there exists a 3-*colorable $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ with property II.

A	B
---	---

where A is the array

A_0	$A_{-\alpha_1} + \alpha_1$	$A_{-\alpha_2} + \alpha_2$	\cdots	$A_{-\alpha_{m-1}} + \alpha_{m-1}$
A_{α_1}	$A_0 + \alpha_1$	$A_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + \alpha_2$	\cdots	$A_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_{m-1}} + \alpha_{m-1}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
$A_{\alpha_{m-1}}$	$A_{\alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_1} + \alpha_1$	$A_{\alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_2} + \alpha_2$	\cdots	$A_0 + \alpha_{m-1}$

and B is the array

B_1	B_2	\cdots	$B_{(m-1)/(k-1)}$
$B_1 + \alpha_1$	$B_2 + \alpha_1$	\cdots	$B_{(m-1)/(k-1)} + \alpha_1$
\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
$B_1 + \alpha_{m-1}$	$B_2 + \alpha_{m-1}$	\cdots	$B_{(m-1)/(k-1)} + \alpha_{m-1}$

Figure 6: A $\text{GBTD}_1(k, m)$ from $(\Gamma \times [k])$ -GBTD-starter $\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cup \{B_t : t \in T\}$, where $\Gamma = \{0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}\}$ and $T = [(m-1)/(k-1)]$.

Proof. Let $X = \Gamma \times [k]$, and suppose $\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cup \{B_t : t \in T\}$ is an $(\Gamma \times [k])$ -GBTD-starter. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}} \{A + \alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then (X, \mathcal{A}) is a $\text{BIBD}(km, k, 1)$, whose blocks can be arranged in an $m \times \frac{(km-1)}{k-1}$ array, whose rows and columns are indexed by Γ and $\Gamma \cup T$, respectively, as follows:

- for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, the block $A_\alpha + \beta$ is placed in cell $(\alpha + \beta, \beta)$, and
- for $t \in T$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the block $B_t + \alpha$ is placed in cell (α, t) .

Fig. 6 depicts the placement of blocks in the array.

For $\beta \in \Gamma$, the set of blocks occupying column β is $\{A_\alpha + \beta : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, which form a resolution class by condition (iii) of Definition 6.1. Similarly, for $t \in T$, the set of blocks occupying column t is $\{B_t + \alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$, which form a resolution class by condition (iv) in Definition 6.1.

The set of blocks occupying row 0 is given by R , and by condition (v) of Definition 6.1, each point in X appears either once or twice in row 0. Since the blocks occupying row α ($\alpha \in \Gamma$) are exactly the translates of the blocks in R by α , every point in X also appears either once or twice in row α .

Suppose $\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cup \{B_t : t \in T\}$ is a special $(\Gamma \times [3])$ -GBTD-starter. Then condition (vi) of 6.1 ensures that the cell $(0, 0)$ is special.

On the other hand, if \mathcal{S} be a 3-*colorable $(\Gamma \times [3])$ -GBTD-starter and let

$$c_i \text{ be the color assigned to } \begin{cases} A_i - i, & \text{if } i \in \Gamma, \\ B_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $t \in T$, assign the block $A_\alpha + \beta$ color c_α and the block $B_t + \beta$ color c_t . Then conditions (vii) and (viii) of Definition 6.1 ensure that the $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ is 3-*colorable with property Π . \square

Proposition 6.3. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a special $(\mathbb{F}_q \times [3])$ -GBTD starter that is 3-*colorable with property Π .

Proof. Let $s = (q - 1)/6$ and ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_q . Consider $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ that satisfies the following conditions (note that ω^{2s} has order three):

- (A) $\gamma \notin \{0, -1, -\omega^{2s}, -\omega^{4s}\}$;
- (B) $\gamma \notin \left\{ \frac{\omega^{2is} - \omega^{t+2js}}{\omega^t - 1} : i \neq j \in [3], t \in [s-1] \right\}$.

The existence of γ is guaranteed since the cardinality of the union of sets in (A) and (B) is at most $4 + 6(s-1) < 6s + 1 = q$.

Define Λ to be $\{-\gamma\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} : t \in [s], j \in [3]\}$ and construct the following $q + 3s = (3q - 1)/2$ blocks. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, let

$$A_\alpha = \begin{cases} \left\{ \left(\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} \right)_i : j \in [3] \right\}, & \text{if } \alpha = -\gamma\omega^{t-1+2(i-1)s} \text{ where } t \in [s], i \in [3], \\ \left\{ \left(-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\omega^{2(i-1)s} \right)_i : i \in [3] \right\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $(t, j) \in [s] \times [3]$, let

$$B_{(t,j)} = \left\{ \left(\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} \left(\omega^{2(i-1)s} + \gamma \right) \right)_i : i \in [3] \right\}$$

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{B_{(t,j)} : (t, j) \in [s] \times [3]\}$ and we claim that \mathcal{S} is the desired starter. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\{\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} : j \in [3]\} : t \in [s]\},$$

and Wilson [30] showed that the blocks in \mathcal{D} are mutually disjoint and $\Delta\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Hence, for condition (i) of Definition 6.1, we check for $i \in [3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{ii}\mathcal{S} &= \Delta_{ii}\{A_\alpha : \alpha = -\gamma\omega^{t-1+2(i-1)s}, t \in [s], i \in [3]\} \\ &= \Delta\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For condition (ii), we verify for $i \neq i' \in [3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i i'}\mathcal{S} &= \bigcup_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \left(\omega^{2(i-1)s} - \omega^{2(i'-1)s} \right) \right) \cup \bigcup_{(t,j) \in [s] \times [3]} \omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} \left(\omega^{2(i-1)s} - \omega^{2(i'-1)s} \right) \\ &= \left(\omega^{2(i-1)s} - \omega^{2(i'-1)s} \right) \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} -\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \cup \bigcup_{(t,j) \in [s] \times [3]} \omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} \right) \\ &= \left(\omega^{2(i-1)s} - \omega^{2(i'-1)s} \right) \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_q. \end{aligned}$$

For condition (iii) of Definition 6.1, since the number of points in $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} A_\alpha$ is kq , it suffices to check that each point $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \times [k]$ belongs to some block A_α . Indeed, if $\beta/\omega^{2(i-1)s} = \omega^{(t-1)+2(j-1)s}$ for some $(t, j) \in [s] \times [3]$, then let $\alpha = -\gamma\omega^{t-1+2(i-1)s}$ and so, $\beta_i = (\omega^{t-1+2(i+j-2)s})_i$ belongs to A_α . Otherwise, $-\gamma\beta/\omega^{2(i-1)s} \notin \Lambda$. Let $\alpha = -\gamma\beta/\omega^{2(i-1)s}$ and $\beta_i \in A_\alpha$ as desired.

Condition (iv) of Definition 6.1 is clearly true from the definition of $B_{(t,j)}$. We establish condition (v) of Definition 6.1 through the following claims:

Claim 6.1. The blocks in $\bigcup_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} (A_\alpha - \alpha) \cup \bigcup_{(t,j) \in [s] \times [3]} B_{(t,j)}$ form a resolution class.

As above, it suffices to check that each point $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \times [3]$ belongs to some block in $\bigcup_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} (A_\alpha - \alpha) \cup \bigcup_{(t,j) \in [s] \times [3]} B_{(t,j)}$ as the total number of points is kq .

Indeed, if $\beta/(\omega^{2(i-1)s} + \gamma) = \omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s}$ for some $(t, j) \in [s] \times [3]$, then $\beta_i \in B_{(t,j)}$. Otherwise, $-\gamma\beta/(\omega^{2(i-1)s} + \gamma) \notin \Lambda$. Let $\alpha = -\gamma\beta/(\omega^{2(i-1)s} + \gamma)$ (note that α is well-defined by Condition (A)) and $\beta_i \in A_\alpha - \alpha$.

Claim 6.2. Each point in $\mathbb{F}_q \times [k]$ appears at most once in $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (A_\alpha - \alpha)$.

Note that the blocks are of the form

$$\left\{ \left(\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} + \gamma\omega^{t-1+2(i-1)s} \right)_i : j \in [3] \right\}$$

for $(t, i) \in [s] \times [3]$. Suppose otherwise that a point appears twice. That is, there exist $j, j' \in [3]$, $(t, i), (t', i) \in [s] \times [3]$ with $t > t'$ such that

$$\omega^{t-1+2(j-1)s} + \gamma\omega^{t-1+2(i-1)s} = \omega^{t'-1+2(j'-1)s} + \gamma\omega^{t'-1+2(i-1)s}.$$

Hence,

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega^{2(j'-i)s} - \omega^{2(j-i)s+(t-t')}}{\omega^{t-t'} - 1}.$$

Since $t \neq t'$, we have $t - t' \in [s - 1]$. If $j \neq j'$, this contradicts Condition (B). Otherwise $j = j'$ implies $\gamma = -\omega^{2(j-i)s}$ contradicting (A).

Next, observe that $A_0 = \{(0, i) : i \in [3]\}$. By Claim 6.1, to establish condition (vi) of Definition 6.1, it suffices to show that $0_i \notin A_\alpha - \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $i \in [3]$. Suppose otherwise. Then there exists $(t, j) \in [s] \times [3]$ and $i \in [3]$ such that

$$(\omega^{(j-1)s} + \gamma)\omega^{t+(i-1)s} = 0,$$

contradicting (A).

Finally, we exhibit that \mathcal{S} is 3-*colorable with property II by assigning the block A_0 color \clubsuit , the blocks $A_\alpha - \alpha$ for $\alpha \notin \Lambda$ and B_t for $t \in T$ color \heartsuit and the blocks $A_\alpha - \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$ color \diamond . Then this assignment satisfies condition (vii) of Definition 6.1. In addition, 0_1 is a witness for both \heartsuit and \diamond and α_1 is a witness for \clubsuit for some $\alpha \neq 0$, satisfying condition (viii) of Definition 6.1. \square

Corollary 6.4. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Then a 3-*colorable GBTD₁(3, m) with property II exists.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3. \square

Corollary 6.5. A special GBTD₁(3, m) exists for $m \in \{1, 17, 29, 35, 47, 53, 55\}$, a 3-*colorable special GBTD₁(3, m) with property II for $m \in \{9, 11, 23\}$ and a 3-*colorable RBIBD(15, 3, 1) with property II.

W	B	B + 0 ₁
A	C	C + 0 ₁

where W is a $(w-1)/2 \times (w-4)$ empty array, A is an $m \times (w-4)$ array,

$\{0_0, 0_1\}$	A_1	$A_1 + 0_1$	A_2	$A_2 + 0_1$	\cdots	$A_{(w-5)/2}$	$A_{(w-5)/2} + 0_1$
$\{1_0, 1_1\}$	$A_1 + 1_0$	$A_1 + 1_1$	$A_2 + 1_0$	$A_2 + 1_1$	\cdots	$A_{(w-5)/2} + 1_0$	$A_{(w-5)/2} + 1_1$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots
$\{(m-1)_0, (m-1)_1\}$	$A_1 - 1_0$	$A_1 - 1_1$	$A_2 - 1_0$	$A_2 - 1_1$	\cdots	$A_{(w-5)/2} - 1_0$	$A_{(w-5)/2} - 1_1$

B and C are the following $(w-1)/2 \times m$ and $m \times m$ arrays,

B_1	$B_1 + 1_0$	\cdots	$B_1 - 1_0$	C_0	$C_{m-1} + 1_0$	\cdots	$C_1 - 1_0$
B_2	$B_1 + 1_0$	\cdots	$B_1 - 1_0$	C_1	$C_0 + 1_0$	\cdots	$C_2 - 1_0$
\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
$B_{(w-1)/2}$	$B_{(w-1)/2} + 1_0$	\cdots	$B_{(w-1)/2} - 1_0$	C_{m-1}	$C_{m-2} + 1_0$	\cdots	$C_0 - 1_0$

Figure 7: An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+w, (m+(w-1)/2) \times (2m+w-4); w, (w-1)/2 \times (w-4))$ from a $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w)$ -GBTP-starter.

Proof. A special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 1)$ exists trivially. In addition, a 3*-colorable special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 9)$ with property II is given by Example 4.4, and a 3*-colorable $\text{RBIBD}(15, 3, 1)$ with property II is given by Example 4.1.

For $m \in \{11, 17, 23, 29, 35, 47, 53, 55\}$, apply Proposition 6.2 with special $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times [3])$ -GBTD-starters and 3*-colorable special $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times [3])$ -GBTD-starters with property II given in [5]. \square

6.2 Direct Constructions for an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+w, (m+(w-1)/2) \times (2m+w-4); w, (w-1)/2 \times (w-4))$

As with GBTDs, we use a set of starters to construct GBTPs. To construct this starters, we need the notion of *infinite elements*, or *intransitive starters*.

Given an abelian group Γ , we augment the point set with *infinite* elements, denoted by ∞_i where i belongs to some index set I . The infinite elements are fixed under addition by elements in Γ . That is, $\infty_i + \gamma = \infty_i$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let w be a positive integer and $W_w = \{\infty_i : i \in [w]\}$. So, given a block $A \subset \Gamma \cup W_w$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $A + \gamma = \{a + \gamma : a \in A \setminus W_w\} \cup (A \cap W_w)$.

We also extend the definition of difference lists. For a set system $(\Gamma \cup W_w, \mathcal{S})$, then the difference list of \mathcal{S} is given by the multiset

$$\Delta\mathcal{S} = \langle x - y : x, y \in A \setminus W_w, x \neq y, A \in \mathcal{S} \rangle.$$

Definition 6.6. Let m be an odd integer with $m \geq 11$ Let $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cup W_w, \mathcal{S})$ be a $\{2, 3\}$ -uniform set system of size $w - 3 + m$, where

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A_i : i \in [(w-5)/2]\} \cup \{B_i : i \in [(w-1)/2]\} \cup \{C_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}.$$

W	B	B + 0 ₁	B + 0 ₂	B + 0 ₃
A	C	D + 0 ₁	C + 0 ₂	D + 0 ₃
	D	C + 0 ₁	D + 0 ₂	C + 0 ₃

where W is a 4×5 empty array, A is a $2m \times 5$ array,

$\{0_0, 0_1\}$	$\{x_0, x_2\}$	$\{y_0, y_3\}$	A	$A + 0_2$
$\{1_0, 1_1\}$	$\{(x + 1)_0, x_2\}$	$\{(y + 1)_0, (y + 1)_3\}$	$A + 1_0$	$A + 1_2$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$\{(m - 1)_0, (m - 1)_1\}$	$\{(x - 1)_0, x_2\}$	$\{(y - 1)_0, (y - 1)_3\}$	$A + (m - 1)_0$	$A + (m - 1)_2$
$\{0_2, 0_3\}$	$\{x_1, x_3\}$	$\{y_1, y_2\}$	$A + 0_1$	$A + 0_3$
$\{1_2, 1_3\}$	$\{(x + 1)_1, x_3\}$	$\{(y + 1)_1, (y + 1)_2\}$	$A + 1_1$	$A + 1_3$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$\{(m - 1)_2, (m - 1)_3\}$	$\{(x - 1)_1, x_3\}$	$\{(y - 1)_1, (y - 1)_2\}$	$A + (m - 1)_1$	$A + (m - 1)_3$

B , C and D are the following $4 \times m$, $m \times m$ and $m \times m$ arrays respectively,

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline B_1 \ B_1 + 1_0 \cdots B_1 - 1_0 \\ \hline B_2 \ B_2 + 1_0 \cdots B_2 - 1_0 \\ \hline B_3 \ B_3 + 1_0 \cdots B_3 - 1_0 \\ \hline B_4 \ B_4 + 1_0 \cdots B_4 - 1_0 \\ \hline \end{array} , \quad
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline C_0 \ C_{m-1} + 1_0 \cdots C_1 - 1_0 \\ \hline C_1 \ C_0 + 1_0 \cdots C_2 - 1_0 \\ \hline \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ \hline C_{m-1} \ C_{m-2} + 1_0 \cdots C_0 - 1_0 \\ \hline \end{array} , \quad
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline D_0 \ D_{m-1} + 1_0 \cdots D_1 - 1_0 \\ \hline D_1 \ D_0 + 1_0 \cdots D_2 - 1_0 \\ \hline \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ \hline D_{m-1} \ D_{m-2} + 1_0 \cdots D_0 - 1_0 \\ \hline \end{array} .$$

Figure 8: An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 4m + 9, (2m + 4) \times (4m + 5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ from a $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9)$ -GBTP-starter.

satisfying $|A_i| = 2$ for $i \in [(w-5)/2]$, $|B_i| = 2$ for $i \in [(w-1)/2]$, $|C_0| = 3$, and $|C_i| = 2$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$.

\mathcal{S} is called a $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w)$ -IGBTP-starter if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\Delta\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus \{0_0, 0_1\}$,
- (ii) $\{j : a_j \in A_i\} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $i \in [(w-5)/2]$,
- (iii) $\{B_i : i \in [(w-1)/2]\} \cup \{C_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} = (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w$,
- (iv) $|C_i \cap W_w| \leq 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$,
- (v) each element in $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w$ appears either once or twice in the multiset

$$R = \{0_0, 0_1\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i \in [(w-5)/2] \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_2}} A_i + 0_j \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i_j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2} C_i - i_j \right).$$

Proposition 6.7. Suppose there exists a $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w)$ -IGBTP-starter. Then there exists an IGBTP₁($\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+w, (m+(w-1)/2) \times (2m+w-4); w, (w-1)/2 \times (w-4)$).

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cup W_w, \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{S + j : S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2\} \cup \{\{i_0, i_1\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, W_w, \mathcal{A}) is an IRP($2m+w, K, 1; w$), whose blocks can be arranged in an $(m+(w-1)/2) \times (2m+w-4)$ array as in Figure 8. We index the rows by $[(w-1)/2] \cup \mathbb{Z}_m$ and the columns by $[w-4] \cup (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

First, check that the cell (r, c) is empty for $(r, c) \in [(w-1)/2] \times [w-4]$.

For $j \in [w-4]$, the set of blocks occupying column j is $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by condition (ii) of Definition 6.6. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, first observe that the set of the blocks occupying the column 0_0 by condition (iii) of Definition 6.6 is $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w$. Since the blocks of column j are translates (by j) of the blocks in column 0_0 , the union of the blocks in column j is also $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_w$.

For $i \in [(w-1)/2]$, each element in $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ appears exactly twice in row i by construction. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, let R_i denote the multiset containing all the points appearing in the blocks of row i . Then $R_0 = R$ and $R_i = R_0 + i_0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Hence, it suffices each element in X appears either once or twice in R , which follows immediately from conditions (v) in Definition 6.6. \square

Definition 6.8. Let m be an odd integer with $m \geq 11$. Let $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9, \mathcal{S})$ be a $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -uniform set system of size $7 + 2m$, where

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x_0\} \cup \{y_0\} \cup A \cup \{B_i : i \in [4]\} \cup \{C_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} \cup \{D_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}.$$

satisfying $|A| = 2$, $|B_i| = 2$ for $i \in [4]$, $|C_0| = 3$, $|C_i| = 2$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$ and $|D_i| = 2$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$.

\mathcal{S} is called a $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9)$ -IGBTP-starter if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\Delta\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \setminus \{0_0, 0_1, 0_2, 0_3\}$,

- (ii) $\{j : a_j \in A\} = \{0, 2\}$,
- (iii) $\{B_i : i \in [(w-1)/2]\} \cup \{C_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} \cup \{D_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} = (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9$,
- (iv) $|C_i \cap W_9| \leq 1$ and $|D_i \cap W_9| \leq 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$,
- (v) each element in $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9$ appears either once or twice in the multisets

$$R_\circ = \{0_0, 0_1, x_0, x_2, y_0, y_3\} \cup A \cup A + 0_2 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, j \in \{0,2\}} C_i - i_j \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, j \in \{1,3\}} D_i - i_j \right),$$

$$R_\bullet = \{0_2, 0_3, x_1, x_3, y_1, y_2\} \cup A + 0_1 \cup A + 0_3 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, j \in \{1,3\}} C_i - i_j \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m, j \in \{0,2\}} D_i - i_j \right).$$

Proposition 6.9. Suppose there exists a $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \cup W_9)$ -IGBTP-starter. Then there exists an IGBTP₁($\{2, 3^*\}, 4m + 9, (2m + 4) \times (4m + 5); 9, 4 \times 5$).

Proof. Let

$$X = (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9,$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{S + j : S \in \mathcal{S}, |S| \neq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2\} \cup \{\{i_0, i_1\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} \cup \{\{i_2, i_3\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$$

$$\cup \{\{(x+i)_0, (x+i)_2\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} \cup \{\{(x+i)_1, (x+i)_3\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$$

$$\cup \{\{(y+i)_0, (y+i)_3\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} \cup \{\{(y+i)_1, (y+i)_2\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}.$$

Then (X, W_9, \mathcal{A}) is an IRP($4m + 9, K, 1; 9$), whose blocks can be arranged in a $(2m + 4) \times (4m + 5)$ array as in Figure 7. We index the rows by $[4] \cup (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \{\circ, \bullet\})$ and the columns by $[5] \cup (\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$.

First, check that the cell (r, c) is empty for $(r, c) \in [4] \times [5]$.

For $j \in [5]$, the set of blocks occupying column j is $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ by condition (ii) of Definition 6.8. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, first observe that the set of the blocks occupying the column 0_0 by condition (iii) of Definition 6.8 is $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9$. Since the blocks of column j are translates (by j) of the blocks in column 0_0 , the union of the blocks in column j is also $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9$.

For $i \in [4]$, each element in $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ appears exactly twice in row i by construction. For $(i, *) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \{\circ, \bullet\}$, let $R_{(i,*)}$ denote the multiset containing all the points appearing in the blocks of row $(i, *)$. Then $R_{(0,*)} = R_*$ and $R_{(i,*)} = R_{(0,*)} + i_0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Hence, it suffices each element in X appears either once or twice in R_* , which follows immediately from conditions (v) in Definition 6.8. \square

Corollary 6.10. An IGBTP₁($\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 9, (m + 4) \times (2m + 5); 9, 4 \times 5$) exists for $m \in \{s : 10 \leq s \leq 45\} \cup \{47, 49, 53, 57, 77\} \setminus \{16, 20, 24, 28, 36, 40, 44\}$, and an IGBTP₁($\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 11, (m + 5) \times (2m + 7); 11, 5 \times 7$) exists for $m \in \{15, 19, 23, 27, 31, 35, 45, 49\}$.

Proof. The required $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup W_9)$ -IGBTP-starter for $m \in \{s : 11 \leq s \leq 49, s \text{ odd}\} \cup \{53, 57, 77\}$ and $((\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cup W_9)$ -IGBTP starter for $m \in \{s : 5 \leq s \leq 21, s \text{ odd}\}$ is given in [5] and we apply Proposition 6.7 and Proposition 6.9 to obtain the corresponding IGBTP.

Similarly, to construct an IGBTP₁($\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 11, (m + 5) \times (2m + 7); 11, 5 \times 7$) for $m \in \{15, 19, 23, 27, 31, 35, 45, 49\}$, we apply Proposition 6.7 to $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cup W_{11})$ -IGBTP starters listed in [5].

It remains to construct an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 33, 16 \times 29; 9, 4 \times 5)$. Consider $((\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_8) \cup W_9, \mathcal{S})$, a $\{2, 3\}$ -uniform set system of size 36, where \mathcal{S} comprise the blocks below:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
A_1 = \{1_0, 1_2\} & A_2 = \{1_1, 1_5\} & A_3 = \{0_0, 0_4\} & A_4 = \{1_3, 1_6\} \\
A_5 = \{0_3, 0_5\} & A_6 = \{1_1, 1_3\} & A_7 = \{1_4, 1_7\} & A_8 = \{0_1, 0_6\} \\
A_9 = \{0_0, 0_5\} & A_{10} = \{0_2, 0_4\} & A_{11} = \{1_4, 1_6\} & A_{12} = \{1_0, 1_3\} \\
A_{13} = \{0_2, 0_5\} & A_{14} = \{1_2, 1_7\} & A_{15} = \{0_1, 0_7\} & A_{16} = \{1_5, 1_7\} \\
A_{17} = \{0_2, 0_6\} & A_{18} = \{0_3, 0_7\} & A_{19} = \{1_1, 1_4\} & A_{20} = \{1_0, 1_6\} \\
B_1 = \{0_0, 0_1\} & B_2 = \{0_5, 1_5\} & B_3 = \{1_1, 2_4\} & B_4 = \{0_7, 1_3\} \\
C_0^1 = \{1_0, 2_1, 2_6\} & C_1^1 = \{1_0, 2_1\} & C_2^1 = \{1_0, 2_1\} & \\
C_0^2 = \{0_2, \infty_1\} & C_1^2 = \{0_4, \infty_2\} & C_2^2 = \{1_2, \infty_3\} & \\
C_0^3 = \{2_0, \infty_4\} & C_1^3 = \{2_3, \infty_5\} & C_2^3 = \{1_6, \infty_6\} & \\
C_0^4 = \{2_7, \infty_7\} & C_1^4 = \{2_2, \infty_8\} & C_2^4 = \{2_5, \infty_9\} & .
\end{array}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_8) \cup W \\
\mathcal{A} &= \{S + j : S \in \mathcal{S}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_8\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (X, W, \mathcal{A}) is an $\text{IRP}(33, \{2, 3^*\}, 1; 9)$, whose blocks can be arranged in a 16×29 array as in Figure 9. It can be readily verified that this arrangement results in an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 33, 16 \times 29; 9, 4 \times 5)$. \square

6.3 Direct Constructions for FrGBTDs

Lemma 6.11. There exists an $\text{FrGBTD}(2, 2^t)$ for $t \in \{4, 5\}$.

Proof. The desired FrGBTDs are given in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. \square

Definition 6.12. Let t be a positive integer, and let $I = [t-1] \times [2]$. Let $(\mathbb{Z}_{3t} \times [2], \mathcal{S})$ be a 3-uniform set system of size $2(t-1)$, where $\mathcal{S} = \{A_i : i \in I\}$. \mathcal{S} is called a $(\mathbb{Z}_{3t} \times [2])$ -FrGBTD-starter if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\Delta_{ij}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}_{3t} \setminus \{0, t, 2t\}$ for $i, j \in [2]$,
- (ii) $\cup_{i \in I} A_i = (\mathbb{Z}_{3t} \setminus \{0, t, 2t\}) \times [2]$,
- (iii) for $j \in [2]$, each element in $(\mathbb{Z}_t \setminus \{0\}) \times [2]$ appears either once or twice in the multiset

$$R_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} A_{(i,j)} - i \pmod{t},$$

- (iv) $r \in (\mathbb{Z}_t \setminus \{0\}) \times [2]$ for each $r \in R_1 \cup R_2$.

Proposition 6.13. If a $(\mathbb{Z}_{3t} \times [2], 6^t)$ -FrGBTD-starter exists, then an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^t)$ exists.

W	B	B + 0 ₁	B + 0 ₂	B + 0 ₃	B + 0 ₄	B + 0 ₅	B + 0 ₆	B + 0 ₇
A	C ₁	C ₄ + 0 ₁	C ₃ + 0 ₂	C ₂ + 0 ₃	C ₁ + 0 ₄	C ₄ + 0 ₅	C ₃ + 0 ₆	C ₂ + 0 ₇
	C ₂	C ₁ + 0 ₁	C ₄ + 0 ₂	C ₃ + 0 ₃	C ₂ + 0 ₄	C ₁ + 0 ₅	C ₄ + 0 ₆	C ₃ + 0 ₇
	C ₃	C ₂ + 0 ₁	C ₁ + 0 ₂	C ₄ + 0 ₃	C ₃ + 0 ₄	C ₂ + 0 ₅	C ₁ + 0 ₆	C ₄ + 0 ₇
	C ₄	C ₃ + 0 ₁	C ₂ + 0 ₂	C ₁ + 0 ₃	C ₄ + 0 ₄	C ₃ + 0 ₅	C ₂ + 0 ₆	C ₁ + 0 ₇

where W is a 4×5 empty array, A is a 12×5 array,

A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	A_5
$A_1 + 1_0$	$A_2 + 1_0$	$A_3 + 1_0$	$A_4 + 1_0$	$A_5 + 1_0$
$A_1 + 2_0$	$A_2 + 2_0$	$A_3 + 2_0$	$A_4 + 2_0$	$A_5 + 2_0$
A_6	A_7	A_8	A_9	A_{10}
$A_6 + 1_0$	$A_7 + 1_0$	$A_8 + 1_0$	$A_9 + 1_0$	$A_{10} + 1_0$
$A_6 + 2_0$	$A_7 + 2_0$	$A_8 + 2_0$	$A_9 + 2_0$	$A_{10} + 2_0$
A_{11}	A_{12}	A_{13}	A_{14}	A_{15}
$A_{11} + 1_0$	$A_{12} + 1_0$	$A_{13} + 1_0$	$A_{14} + 1_0$	$A_{15} + 1_0$
$A_{11} + 2_0$	$A_{12} + 2_0$	$A_{13} + 2_0$	$A_{14} + 2_0$	$A_{15} + 2_0$
A_{16}	A_{17}	A_{18}	A_{19}	A_{20}
$A_{16} + 1_0$	$A_{17} + 1_0$	$A_{18} + 1_0$	$A_{19} + 1_0$	$A_{20} + 1_0$
$A_{16} + 2_0$	$A_{17} + 2_0$	$A_{18} + 2_0$	$A_{19} + 2_0$	$A_{20} + 2_0$

B is a 4×3 array,

B_1	$B_1 + 1_0$	$B_1 + 2_0$
B_2	$B_2 + 1_0$	$B_2 + 2_0$
B_3	$B_3 + 1_0$	$B_3 + 2_0$
B_4	$B_4 + 1_0$	$B_4 + 2_0$

C_i for $i \in [4]$ is a 3×3 array,

C_0^i	$C_2^i + 1_0$	$C_1^i + 2_0$
C_1^i	$C_0^i + 1_0$	$C_2^i + 2_0$
C_2^i	$C_1^i + 1_0$	$C_0^i + 2_0$

Figure 9: An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 33, 16 \times 29; 9, 4 \times 5)$.

—	—	{2,7}	{6,3}	{7,1}	{3,5}	{5,6}	{1,2}
{2,3}	{6,7}	—	—	{3,0}	{7,4}	{0,2}	{4,6}
{5,7}	{1,3}	{3,4}	{7,0}	—	—	{4,1}	{0,5}
{1,6}	{5,2}	{6,0}	{2,4}	{4,5}	{0,1}	—	—

Figure 10: An $\text{FrGBTD}_1(2, 2^4)$ $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where $X = \mathbb{Z}_8$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{\{i, 4 + i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$.

—	—	{7,9}	{2,4}	{3,4}	{8,9}	{6,2}	{1,7}	{1,8}	{6,3}
{7,4}	{2,9}	—	—	{8,0}	{3,5}	{4,5}	{9,0}	{7,3}	{2,8}
{3,9}	{8,4}	{8,5}	{3,0}	—	—	{9,1}	{4,6}	{5,6}	{0,1}
{1,2}	{6,7}	{4,0}	{9,5}	{9,6}	{4,1}	—	—	{0,2}	{5,7}
{6,8}	{1,3}	{2,3}	{7,8}	{5,1}	{0,6}	{0,7}	{5,2}	—	—

Figure 11: An $\text{FrGBTD}_1(2, 2^5)$ $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where $X = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{\{i, 5 + i\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \mathbb{Z}_{3t} \times [2], \\
\mathcal{G} &= \{G_i = \{i_1, (t+i)_1, (2t+i)_1, i_2, (t+i)_2, (2t+i)_2\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_t\}, \\
\mathcal{A} &= \{A_i + j : i \in I \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{3t}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a $\{3\}$ -GDD of type 6^t , whose blocks can be arranged in a $2t \times 3t$ array, with rows and columns indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_t \times [2]$ and \mathbb{Z}_{3t} , respectively, as follows: the block $A_{(i,j)} + k$ is placed in cell $((i+k, j), k)$.

The set of blocks occupying column zero are $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ and by condition (ii) of Definition 6.12, $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = X \setminus G_0$. For other $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{3t}$, observe that the blocks occupying column j are translates (by j) of the blocks in column zero, and hence the union of the blocks in column j is $X \setminus G_{j'}$, where $j' \equiv j \pmod{t}$.

For $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_t \times [2]$, let $R_{(i,j)}$ denote the multiset containing all the points appearing in the blocks of row (i, j) . Then $R_{(i,j)} = R_{(0,j)} + i$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_t$. Hence, it suffices to check that each element of $X \setminus G_0$ appears either once or twice in $R_{(0,j)}$ and the elements of $R_{(0,j)}$ belong to $X \setminus G_0$ for $j \in [2]$. This, however, follows immediately from conditions (iii) and (iv) in Definition 6.12, since $R_{(0,j)} = R_j \cup (R_j + t) \cup (R_j + 2t)$ for $j \in [2]$. \square

Corollary 6.14. There exist an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^t)$ for all $t \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 24^t)$ for all $t \in \{5, 8\}$ and an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 30^t)$ for all $t \in \{5, 7\}$.

Proof. An $\text{FrGBTD}_1(3, 6^6)$ is given by Example 4.5. An $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^t)$ for $t \in \{5, 7\}$ exists by applying Proposition 6.13 with FrGBTD-starters given in [5].

The existence of an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 24^t)$, $t \in \{5, 8\}$ follows by applying Proposition 5.7 with an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^t)$ (constructed in this proof) and a $\text{DRTD}(3, 4)$, whose existence is provided by Corollary 4.11. The existence of an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 30^t)$, $t \in \{5, 7\}$ follows by applying Proposition 5.7 similarly.

To prove the existence of an $\text{FrGBTD}(3, 6^8)$, consider $(\mathbb{Z}_{48}, \mathcal{S})$, a $\{3\}$ -uniform set system of size 7, where \mathcal{S} comprise the blocks below:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \{2, 3, 5\} & A_2 &= \{4, 14, 31\} & A_3 &= \{9, 22, 45\} & A_4 &= \{15, 34, 43\} \\
A_5 &= \{20, 35, 42\} & A_6 &= \{13, 17, 47\} & A_7 &= \{1, 6, 12\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that \mathcal{S} satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\Delta\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}_{48} \setminus \{0, 8, 16, 24, 32, 40\}$,
- (ii) $\cup_{i \in [7]} A_i \bmod 24 = \mathbb{Z}_{24} \setminus \{0, 8, 16\}$,
- (iii) each element in $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \setminus \{0, 8\}$ appears either once or twice in the multiset

$$R = \bigcup_{i \in [7]} A_i - i \bmod 16,$$

- (iv) $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{16} \setminus \{0, 8\}$ for each $r \in R$.

Further, let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{Z}_{48}, \\ \mathcal{G} &= \{\{i + 8k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_6\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}, \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{A_i + j : i \in [7] \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{48}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is a $\{3\}$ -GDD of type 6^8 , whose blocks can be arranged in a 16×24 array, with rows and columns are indexed by \mathbb{Z}_{16} and \mathbb{Z}_{24} , respectively, as follows: the block $A_i + j$ is placed in cell $(i + j, j)$. This array can be verified to be an FrGBTD(3, 6^8). \square

7 Existence of GBTDs and GBTPs

We apply recursive constructions in Section 5 with small designs directly constructed in Section 6 to completely settle the existence of GBTD $_1(3, m)$ and GBTP $_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m + 1, m \times (2m - 3))$.

7.1 Existence of GBTD $_1(3, m)$

Lemma 7.1. There exists a special GBTD $_1(3, 3^r q)$ for all $r \geq 0$ and $q \in Q$, where $Q = \{q : q \equiv 1 \pmod 6 \text{ is a prime power}\} \cup \{5, 9, 11, 23\}$, except when $(r, q) = (0, 5)$.

Proof. Existence of a special GBTD $_1(3, q)$ for all $q \in Q \setminus \{5\}$ is provided by Corollary 6.4 and 6.5. These GBTDs are all 3-*colorable with property II. The lemma then follows by considering these GBTDs as RBIBDs and applying Corollary 5.2. \square

Lemma 7.2. Let $s \in [2]$ and suppose there exists a TD(5 + s, n). If $0 \leq g_i \leq n$, $i \in [s]$ and that there exists a special GBTD $_1(3, m)$ for all $m \in \{2n + 1\} \cup \{2g_i + 1 : i \in [s]\}$, then there exists a special GBTD $_1(3, 10n + 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^s g_i)$.

Proof. By Corollary 6.14, there exists an FrGBTD(3, 6^t) for all $t \in \{5, 6, 7\}$. By Proposition 5.10, there exists an FrGBTD(3, $(6n)^5(6g_1) \cdots (6g_s)$). Now apply Corollary 5.5 to obtain a special GBTD $_1(3, 10n + 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^s g_i)$. \square

Lemma 7.3. A special GBTD $_1(3, m)$ exists for odd $m \geq 7$.

Table 2: Existence of special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$

Authority	m
Corollary 6.5	9, 11, 17, 23, 29, 35, 47, 53, 55
Lemma 7.1	7, 13, 15, 19, 21, 25, 27, 31, 33, 37, 39, 43, 45, 49, 57, 61, 63, 67, 69, 73, 75
Corollary 5.6 with (g, t) in $\{(8, 5), (5, 10), (8, 8), (7, 10)\}$	41, 51, 65, 71
Lemma 7.2 with $n = 5, g_1 = 4$	59
Lemma 7.2 with $n = 7, g_1, g_2 \in \{0\} \cup \{t : 3 \leq t \leq 7\}$	$\{s : 77 \leq s \leq 95, s \text{ odd}\}$

Table 3: Existence of $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 9, (m + 4) \times (2m + 5); 4 \times 5)$

Authority	m
Corollary 6.10	$\{s : 10 \leq s \leq 57\} \setminus \{16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36,40, 44, 48, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56\}$
Lemma 7.4 with $(n, g) \in \{(10, 0), (11, 0), (12, 0), (13, 0), (11, 10), (11, 11), (14, 0)\}$	40, 44, 48, 52, 54, 55, 56

Proof. First, a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ can be constructed for odd m , $7 \leq m \leq 95$. Details are provided in Table 2.

We then prove the lemma by induction on $m \geq 97$.

Let $E = \{t : t \geq 9\} \setminus \{10, 14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 46, 60\}$. By Theorem 4.8, a $\text{TD}(7, n)$ exists for any $n \in E$. If there exists a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m')$ for odd m' , $7 \leq m' \leq 2n + 1$, then apply Lemma 7.2 with $3 \leq g_1, g_2 \leq n$ to obtain a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ for odd m , $10n + 7 \leq m \leq 14n + 1$.

Hence, take $n = 9$ to obtain a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, 97)$.

Suppose there exists a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m')$ for all odd $m' < m$. Then there exists $n \in E$ with $10n + 7 \leq m \leq 14n + 1$. Suppose otherwise. Then there exists $n_1 \in E$ such that $14n_1 + 1 < 10n_2 + 7$ for all $n_2 > n_1$ and $n_2 \in E$. This, together with the fact that $n_1 \geq 9$, implies that $n_2 - n_1 > 3$ for all $n_2 \in E$ and $n_2 > n_1$. However, a quick check on E gives a contradiction.

Since $n \in E$ and there exists a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m')$ for all $m' \leq 2n + 1 < 10n + 7 \leq m$ (induction hypothesis), there exists a special $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ and induction is complete. \square

Lemma 7.3 shows that a $\text{GBTD}_1(3, m)$ exists for all odd $m \neq 3, 5$. Theorem 2.3 (vi) now follows.

7.2 Existence of $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m + 1, m \times (2m - 3))$

Lemma 7.4. Suppose there exists a $\text{TD}(5, n)$. Suppose $0 \leq g \leq n$ and that there exists an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 9, (m + 4) \times (2m + 5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ for $m \in \{n, g\}$. Then there exists an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2M + 9, (M + 4) \times (2M + 5); 9, 4 \times 5)$, where $M = 4n + g$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.11, there exists an $\text{FrGBTD}(2, 2^t)$ for all $t \in \{4, 5\}$. By Proposition 5.10, there exists an $\text{FrGBTD}(2, (2n)^4(2g))$. Now apply Proposition 5.4 to obtain an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2M + 9, (M + 4) \times (2M + 5); 9, 4 \times 5)$. \square

Lemma 7.5. There exists an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m + 9, (m + 4) \times (2m + 5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ for any $m \geq 10$, except possibly for $m \in \{16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 46, 50\}$.

Proof. Let $E = \{16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 46, 50\}$. An $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+9, (m+4) \times (2m+5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ can be constructed for $10 \leq m \leq 57$ and $m \notin E \cup \{51\}$. Details are provided in Table 3. When $m = 51$, consider a $\text{TD}(5, 11)$ and delete four points from a block to form a $\{4, 5\}$ -GDD of type $10^4 11$. Proposition 5.8 yields an $\text{FrGBTD}(2, 20^4 22)$ and hence, Proposition 5.4 yields an $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+9, (m+4) \times (2m+5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ with $m = 51$.

We then prove the lemma by induction on $m \geq 57$. Let $E' = \{4n + g : n \in E, 10 \leq g \leq 13\}$ and assume the lemma is true for $n < m$.

When $m \notin E'$, then write $m = 4n + g$ with $13 \leq n < m$, $n \notin E$ and $g \in \{10, 11, 12, 13\}$. Since a $\text{TD}(5, n)$ which exists by Theorem 4.8, applying Lemma 7.4 with the corresponding n and g , we obtain the desired IGBTP .

When $m \in E'$, we have two cases.

- If $m = 77$, the required IGBTP is given by Corollary 6.10.
- Otherwise, apply Lemma 7.4 with (n, g) taking values in $\{(15, 14), (15, 15), (19, 0), (18, 18), (19, 15), (23, 0), (19, 17), (22, 18), (22, 19), (27, 0), (22, 21), (25, 22), (25, 23), (31, 0), (25, 25), (29, 22), (29, 23), (35, 0), (29, 25), (31, 30), (31, 31), (39, 0), (33, 25), (39, 38), (39, 39), (49, 0), (40, 37), (42, 42), (43, 39), (43, 40), (43, 41)\}$.

This completes the induction. □

Lemma 7.6. A $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+1, m \times (2m-3))$ exists for $m \geq 4$, except possibly for $m \in \{12, 13\}$.

Proof. A $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}; 2m+1, m \times (2m-3))$ can be found via computer search for $4 \leq m \leq 11$. The GBTPs are listed in [5].

For $m \in \{20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 50, 54\}$, set $M = m - 5$ and we apply Proposition 5.3 with the $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 11, 5 \times 7)$ and the $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2M+11, (M+5) \times (2M+7); 11, 5 \times 7)$ constructed in Corollary 6.10.

Finally, for $m \geq 14$ and $m \notin \{20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 50, 54\}$, set $M = m - 4$ and apply Proposition 5.3 with $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 9, 4 \times 5)$ and the $\text{IGBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2M+9, (M+4) \times (2M+5); 9, 4 \times 5)$ constructed in Lemma 7.5. □

Lemma 7.6 shows that a $\text{GBTP}_1(\{2, 3^*\}, 2m+1, m \times (2m-3))$ exists for all $m \geq 4$, except possibly for $m \in \{12, 13\}$. Theorem 2.3 (vii) now follows.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we establish infinite families of ESWCs, whose code lengths are greater than alphabet size and whose relative narrowband noise error-correcting capabilities tend to a positive constant as length grows. The construction method used is combinatorial and reveals interesting interplays with equivalent combinatorial designs called GBTPs . These have enabled us to borrow ideas from combinatorial design theory to construct ESWCs. In return, questions on ESWCs offer new problems to combinatorial design theory. We expect this symbiosis to deepen.

Acknowledgement

Research of Y. M. Chee, H. M. Kiah and C. Wang is supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Singapore under Research Grant NRF-CRP2-2007-03. C. Wang is also supported in part by NSFC under Grant No.10801064 and 11271280.

The authors thank Charlie Colbourn for useful discussions. The authors are also grateful to both anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and pointing out the relevant literature.

References

- [1] R. J. R. ABEL, C. J. COLBOURN, AND J. H. DINITZ, *Mutually orthogonal Latin squares (MOLS)*, in *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, eds., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2nd ed., 2007, pp. 160–193.
- [2] E. BIGLIERI, *Coding and modulation for a horrible channel*, *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, 41 (2003), pp. 92–98.
- [3] G. T. BOGDANOVA, A. E. BROUWER, S. N. KAPRALOV, AND P. R. ÖSTERGÅRD, *Error-correcting codes over an alphabet of four elements*, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 23 (2001), pp. 333–342.
- [4] G. T. BOGDANOVA, V. ZINOVIEV, AND T. J. TODOROV, *On the construction of q -ary equidistant codes*, *Problems of Inform. Transmission*, 43 (2007), pp. 280–302.
- [5] Y. M. CHEE, H. M. KIAH, A. C. H. LING, AND C. WANG, *Addendum to optimal equitable symbol weight codes for power line communications*, <http://www1.spms.ntu.edu.sg/~kiah0001/optimalESWC.html>.
- [6] Y. M. CHEE, H. M. KIAH, A. C. H. LING, AND C. M. WANG, *Optimal equitable symbol weight codes for power line communications*, in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Symp. Inform. Theory*, 2012, pp. 671–675.
- [7] Y. M. CHEE, H. M. KIAH, P. PURKAYASTHA, AND C. WANG, *Importance of symbol equity in coded modulation for power line communications*, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, 61 (2013), pp. 4381–4390.
- [8] Y. M. CHEE, H. M. KIAH, AND C. WANG, *Generalized balanced tournament designs with block size four*, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20 (2013), pp. P51, 14 pp. (electronic).
- [9] C. J. COLBOURN, T. KLØVE, AND A. C. H. LING, *Permutation arrays for powerline communication and mutually orthogonal Latin squares*, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 50 (2004), pp. 1289–1291.
- [10] P. DAI, J. WANG, AND J. YIN, *Two series of equitable symbol weight codes meeting the Plotkin bound*, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, (2013).
- [11] C. DING AND J. YIN, *Combinatorial constructions of optimal constant-composition codes*, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51 (2005), pp. 3671–3674.

- [12] ———, *A construction of optimal constant composition codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 40 (2006), pp. 157–165.
- [13] P. J. DUKES, *Coding with injections*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 65 (2012), pp. 213–222.
- [14] D. DZUNG, I. BERGANZA, AND A. SENDIN, *Evolution of powerline communications for smart distribution: from ripple control to OFDM*, in Proc. IEEE Intl. Symp. Power Line Commun. and its Applicat., 2011, pp. 474–478.
- [15] P. FRANKL AND M. DEZA, *On the maximum number of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 22 (1977), pp. 352–360.
- [16] A. HAIDINE, B. ADEBISI, A. TREYTL, H. PILLE, B. HONARY, AND A. PORTNOY, *High-speed narrowband PLC in smart grid landscape – state-of-the-art*, in Proc. IEEE Intl. Symp. Power Line Commun. and its Applicat., 2011, pp. 468–473.
- [17] S. HUCZYNSKA, *Equidistant frequency permutation arrays and related constant composition codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 54 (2010), pp. 109–120.
- [18] E. LAMKEN AND S. VANSTONE, *Balanced tournament designs and related topics*, Discrete Math., 77 (1989), pp. 159–176.
- [19] E. R. LAMKEN, *Generalized balanced tournament designs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 318 (1990), pp. 473–490.
- [20] ———, *Existence results for generalized balanced tournament designs with block size 3*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 3 (1992), pp. 33–61.
- [21] ———, *Constructions for generalized balanced tournament designs*, Discrete Math., 131 (1994), pp. 127–151.
- [22] E. R. LAMKEN, *The existence of doubly resolvable $(v, 3, 2)$ -bibds*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 72 (1995), pp. 50–76.
- [23] ———, *The existence of partitioned generalized balanced tournament designs with block size 3*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 11 (1997), pp. 37–71.
- [24] J. LIU, B. ZHAO, L. GENG, Z. YUAN, AND Y. WANG, *Communication performance of broadband PLC technologies for smart grid*, in Proc. IEEE Intl. Symp. Power Line Commun. and its Applicat., 2011, pp. 491–496.
- [25] N. PAVLIDOU, A. J. H. VINCK, J. YAZDANI, AND B. HONARY, *Power line communications: state of the art and future trends*, IEEE Commun. Mag., 41 (2003), pp. 34–40.
- [26] P. SCHELLENBERG, G. VAN REES, AND S. VANSTONE, *The existence of balanced tournament designs*, Ars Combinatoria, 3 (1977), pp. 303–318.
- [27] M. SCHWARTZ, *Carrier-wave telephony over power lines: Early history [history of communications]*, IEEE Commun. Mag., 47 (2009), pp. 14–18.
- [28] N. V. SEMAKOV AND V. A. ZINOVIEV, *Equidistant q -ary codes with maximal distance and resolvable balanced incomplete block designs*, Problemy Peredači Informacii, 4 (1968), pp. 3–10.

- [29] A. J. H. VINCK, *Coded modulation for power line communications*, *AEÜ - Int J. Electron. Commun.*, 54 (2000), pp. 45–49.
- [30] R. M. WILSON, *Cyclotomy and difference families in elementary abelian groups*, *J. Number Theory*, 4 (1972), pp. 17–47.
- [31] R. M. WILSON, *An existence theory for pairwise balanced designs. I. Composition theorems and morphisms*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 13 (1972), pp. 220–245.
- [32] J. YIN, J. YAN, AND C. WANG, *Generalized balanced tournament designs and related codes*, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 46 (2008), pp. 211–230.
- [33] W. ZHANG AND L. YANG, *SC-FDMA for uplink smart meter transmission over low voltage power lines*, in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Symp. Power Line Commun. and its Applicat.*, 2011, pp. 497–502.