

ℓ -facial edge colorings of graphs

Borut Lužar*, Martina Mockovčiaková[†],
Roman Soták[‡], Riste Škrekovski[‡], Peter Šugerek[†]

July 6, 2018

Abstract

An ℓ -facial edge coloring of a plane graph is a coloring of the edges such that any two edges at distance at most ℓ on a boundary walk of some face receive distinct colors. It is conjectured that $3\ell + 1$ colors suffice for an ℓ -facial edge coloring of any plane graph. We prove that 7 colors suffice for a 2-facial edge coloring of any plane graph and therefore confirm the conjecture for $\ell = 2$.

Keywords: ℓ -facial edge coloring, facial coloring, discharging method

1 Introduction

An ℓ -facial coloring of a plane graph is a coloring of its vertices such that vertices at distance at most ℓ on a boundary walk of some face receive distinct colors. This type of colorings was introduced by Král', Madaras, and Škrekovski [5, 6] as an extension of cyclic colorings in order to obtain some results on diagonal colorings. They showed that $\frac{18}{5}\ell$ colors suffice for an ℓ -facial vertex coloring of any plane graph and any $\ell \geq 5$. Moreover, they proved that every plane graph admits a 2-facial, 3-facial, and 4-facial coloring with at most 8, 12, and 15 colors, respectively. The obtained bounds are not tight, in fact, the following conjecture was proposed.

Conjecture 1 (Král', Madaras, and Škrekovski). *Every plane graph admits an ℓ -facial coloring with at most $3\ell + 1$ colors for every $\ell \geq 0$.*

Graphs that achieve the conjectured bound are plane embeddings of K_4 , where the three edges incident to a same vertex are subdivided $\ell - 1$ times.

Conjecture 1, if true, has several interesting implications. In case when $\ell = 1$, it implies the Four Color Theorem. If $\ell = 2$, it implies Wegner's conjecture restricted to

*Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia. Operation partially financed by the European Union, European Social Fund. E-Mail: borut.luzar@gmail.com

[†]Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia. Supported in part by Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVV-0023-10 (R. Soták, P. Šugerek), Slovak VEGA Grant No. 1/0652/12 (M. Mockovčiaková, R. Soták), and VVGS UPJŠ No. 59/12-13 (M. Mockovčiaková, P. Šugerek) E-Mails: roman.sotak@upjs.sk, {martina.mockovciakova, peter.sugerek}@student.upjs.sk

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana & Faculty of Information Studies, Novo mesto, Slovenia. Partially supported by ARRS Program P1-0383. E-Mail: skrekovski@gmail.com

subcubic plane graphs [8], which states that the square of every subcubic plane graph admits a proper vertex coloring with at most 7 colors.

Currently the best known bound for an ℓ -facial coloring is due to Havet et al. [3].

Theorem 1 (Havet et al.). *Every plane graph admits an ℓ -facial coloring with at most $\lfloor \frac{7}{2} \ell \rfloor + 6$ colors.*

There are also several results regarding the small values of ℓ . In 2006, Montassier and Raspaud [7] considered 2-facial colorings of plane graphs with big girth and K_4 -minor free graphs. In 2008, Havet et al. [4] proved that every plane graph admits a 3-facial coloring with at most 11 colors, which is just one color more as Conjecture 1 claims.

In this paper we consider the edge version of facial colorings. An ℓ -facial edge coloring, ℓ -FEC, of a plane graph G with k colors is a mapping $\varphi : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that for any pair of edges e, f of G at distance at most ℓ on a boundary of some face $\varphi(e) \neq \varphi(f)$. The minimum number of colors for which G admits an ℓ -facial edge coloring is the ℓ -facial chromatic index, $\chi'_{\ell-f}(G)$.

Notice that all the upper bounds established for ℓ -facial vertex colorings hold also for the edge version. Consider the medial graph $M(G)$ of a plane graph G , which is also a plane graph. An ℓ -facial vertex coloring of $M(G)$ corresponds to an ℓ -facial edge coloring of G . Thus, the problem of ℓ -facial edge coloring is just a restricted case of the problem of ℓ -facial coloring. However, there exist graphs whose ℓ -facial chromatic index achieves the $3\ell + 1$ bound (see Fig. 1). Therefore, a weaker version of Conjecture 1 may




Figure 1: Graphs with the ℓ -facial chromatic index equal to $3\ell + 1$.

be proposed.

Conjecture 2. *Every plane graph admits an ℓ -facial edge coloring with at most $3\ell + 1$ colors for every $\ell \geq 1$.*

As mentioned above, the case with $\ell = 1$ is already confirmed. Our aim in this paper is to confirm that the case with $\ell = 2$ holds.

Theorem 2. *Every plane graph admits a 2-facial edge coloring with at most 7 colors.*

1.1 Preliminaries

In the paper the following definitions and notation are used. A vertex of degree k , at most k , and at least k is called a k -vertex, a k^- -vertex, and a k^+ -vertex, respectively. Similarly, a k -face, a k^- -face, and a k^+ -face is a face of length k , at most k , and at least k , respectively. By (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) we denote a k -face on which the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k appear on the boundary in the given order. We say that two faces are adjacent if they

share an edge. Let V be some subset of vertices of a graph G . As usual, $G[V]$ is a subgraph of G induced by the vertices of V .

For a given cycle C in a plane embedding of a graph G we define $\text{int}(C)$ to be the graph induced by the vertices lying strictly in the interior of C . Similarly, $\text{ext}(C)$ is the graph induced by the vertices lying strictly in the exterior of C . A *separating* cycle is a cycle C such that both, $\text{int}(C)$ and $\text{ext}(C)$, contain at least one vertex.

Two edges are *facially adjacent* or *facial neighbors* if they are consecutive on the boundary of some face. An ℓ -*facial neighbor* of an edge is any edge at distance at most ℓ on the boundary of some face, hence, facially adjacent edges are 1-facial neighbors. In a partial coloring, we say that a color c is *available* for an edge e , if there is no 2-facial neighbor of e colored by c . Let H be a subset of edges of a graph G . A graph $M_G^2(H)$ is a graph with the vertex set H , and two vertices x and y are adjacent in $M_G^2(H)$ if they are 2-facial neighbors in G , we call it the *2-medial graph of H in G* . Obviously, a proper vertex coloring of $M_G^2(H)$ corresponds to 2-FEC of the edges in H .

We say that L is a *list-assignment* for the graph G if it assigns a list $L(v)$ of available colors to each vertex v of G . If G has a proper vertex coloring c_l such that $c_l(v) \in L(v)$ for all vertices in $V(G)$, then G is *L -colorable* or c_l is an *L -coloring* of G . The graph G is *k -choosable* if it is L -colorable for every assignment L , where $|L(v)| \geq k$, for every $v \in V(G)$. In the sequel, we make use of the following result.

Theorem 3 ([1, 2]). *Let G be a connected graph. Suppose that L is a list-assignment where $|L(v)| \geq d(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$. If*

1. $|L(v)| > d(v)$ for some vertex v , or
2. G contains a block which is neither a complete graph nor an induced odd cycle (i.e. G is not a Gallai tree),

then G admits an L -coloring.

Notice that a vertex v of a graph G with $|L(v)| > d(v)$, we call it *free*, retains at least one available color after all its neighbors are colored, therefore we may ignore it, i.e., consider the coloring of $G - v$. After a recursive removal of all free vertices from G , we obtain a graph, which we call the *core of G* , and denote by $\zeta(G)$. Observe that G is L -colorable if and only if $\zeta(G)$ is L -colorable. The *null graph* is a graph on zero vertices. Every graph G whose core is the null graph, is L -colorable.

2 Proof of Theorem 2

In the proof of Theorem 2, we assume that there exists a minimal counterexample G to the claim and show that it cannot exist by studying its structure. First, we show that certain configurations cannot occur in the minimal counterexample. Then we assign charges to the vertices and faces of G . Using Euler's formula we compute that the total charge is negative. However, by redistributing the charge among vertices and faces, we show that it is nonnegative, obtaining a contradiction on the existence of G . This approach is the well known discharging method which remains the only technique for proving the Four Color Theorem.

2.1 Structure of the minimal counterexample

In this part, we list several configurations that do not appear in the minimal counterexample G . All the proofs proceed in a similar way, first we modify G to obtain a smaller

graph which, by the minimality of G , admits a 2-FEC φ with at most 7 colors and then show that φ can be extended to G .

Lemma 1. *G is 2-connected.*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that x is a cutvertex of G . Let G_1 be a component of $G - x$ and $H_2 = G \setminus G_1$. Let $H_1 = G[V(G_1) \cup \{x\}]$, and let φ_1 and φ_2 be 2-FECs of H_1 and H_2 with at most 7 colors, respectively. There are at most 4 edges e_i , $i \leq 4$, in H_1 that are 2-facially adjacent to some edges in H_2 , and similarly at most 4 edges f_j , $j \leq 4$, in H_2 that are 2-facially adjacent to some edges in H_1 . In case when the sum $i + j = k$ is at most 7, it is easy to see that there exists a permutation of colors in φ_2 such that all k edges receive different colors, and so the colorings φ_1 and φ_2 induce a 2-FEC of G with at most 7 colors.

Otherwise $k = 8$ and there exist i and j such that the two edges e_i and f_j are not 2-facially adjacent. Thus, we can permute the colors in φ_2 such that e_i and f_j are colored with the same color and color the other 6 edges with different colors. Again, φ_1 and φ_2 induce a 2-FEC of G with at most 7 colors. \square

Lemma 2. *For G it holds that:*

- (i) $\delta(G) \geq 2$;
- (ii) every 2-vertex has two 3^+ -neighbors;
- (iii) every face in G has size at least 4;
- (iv) there is no separating cycle of length at most 5.

Proof. We consider each case separately.

- (i) This is a simple corollary of Lemma 1.
- (ii) Suppose that uv is an edge of G with $d(u) = d(v) = 2$. By the minimality, there is a 2-FEC with at most 7 colors of $G - v$. Let w be the second neighbor of v in G . The edges vw and uv have at most 5 colored 2-facial neighbors, therefore we can color both, a contradiction.
- (iii) Suppose that α is a face of G of size $i \leq 3$. If $i \in \{1, 2\}$, α contains a loop uu or two parallel edges uv^1, uv^2 . By the minimality, there is a 2-FEC with at most 7 colors of $G - xy$, $xy \in \{uu, uv^2\}$. The edge uu has at most 4 and uv^2 at most 5 2-facial neighbors, so the coloring can be extended to G again.

For $i = 3$, let $\alpha = (u, v, w)$. Let G' be a graph obtained from G by removing the edges on the boundary of α and identifying its vertices. Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors. In order to extend φ to G , it remains to color the edges uv , vw , and uw . Each of them has at most 4 colored 2-facial neighbors and thus at least three available colors, so we can color them.

- (iv) Suppose that C is a separating cycle of length at most 5. By the minimality, there exist a 2-FEC φ_1 of $\text{int}(C)$ together with the edges of C , and a 2-FEC φ_2 of $\text{ext}(C)$ together with the edges of C . Since the length of C is at most 5, all the edges of C are colored differently. Notice that, by a permutation of colors in φ_2 such that the colors of the edges of C coincide in φ_1 and φ_2 , we obtain a 2-FEC of G . \square

Lemma 3. *There are no 6-faces in G .*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\alpha = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)$ is a 6-face of G . By Lemma 1, we have that all the six vertices are distinct. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by identifying the two edges v_1v_6 and v_3v_4 such that the vertex v_1 goes to v_3 , and v_4 goes to v_6 (see Fig. 2) By the minimality, there exists a 2-FEC φ of G' with at most 7




Figure 2: The 6-face α in G (left) and in G' (right).

colors. Let ψ be the partial 2-FEC of G induced by φ , where the edges v_1v_2 , v_2v_3 , v_4v_5 , and v_5v_6 remain noncolored, since their 2-facial neighborhoods in G' are different as in G . Notice that v_1v_6 and v_3v_4 are not at facial distance 2, for otherwise we have either a separating cycle of length at most 5 or adjacent 2-vertices in G , which are reducible by Lemma 2. In order to complete ψ , we color them as follows.

Since the edges v_1v_6 and v_3v_4 receive the same color, each of the four noncolored edges has at most 5 forbidden colors. Hence, there are at least 2 available colors for each of them. Let H be the set of the edges v_1v_2 , v_2v_3 , v_4v_5 , and v_5v_6 . The graph $M_G^2(H)$ is isomorphic to a 4-cycle, which is 2-choosable by Theorem 3. Therefore, we can color the four edges to obtain a 2-FEC of G , a contradiction. \square

In the following lemmas we consider the appearance of 2-vertices in G .

Lemma 4. *A 7^- -face in G is not incident to a 2-vertex.*

Proof. Let α be a 7^- -face of G . By Lemmas 2 and 3, the length of α is either 4, 5, or 7. Let v be a 2-vertex incident to α and u and w the two neighbors of v . Let β be the second face incident to v . We consider the cases regarding the length of α .

Case 1: α is a 4-face. Let $G' = G - v$ and φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors. For the edges uv and vw there are at least 2 available colors. Thus, φ can easily be extended to uv and vw , a contradiction.

Case 2: $\alpha = (u, v, w, x_1, x_2)$ is a 5-face. By Case 1, we have that β is either a 5-face or a 7^+ -face. In the former case, consider the graph $G' = G - v$ and notice that α and β form a 6-face γ in G' . By the proof of Lemma 3, we have that there is a 2-FEC of G' such that two edges of γ are assigned the same color. Hence, the edges uv and vw have at most 5 distinct colors in the 2-facial neighborhood, which means that they have at least two available colors and we can color them.

Therefore, we may assume that β is a 7^+ -face. Let y_2, y_1, u, v, w, z_1 , and z_2 be the vertices appearing on the boundary of β in the given order (see Fig. 3). Let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing the vertex v and identifying the vertices y_1 and z_1 . Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' . Notice that the edges y_1y_2 and z_1z_2 are assigned distinct colors, since they are facially adjacent in G' . In order to extend φ to G , we need to recolor the edges uy_1 and wz_1 , which have at least one available color each, and color the edges uv and vw . After uy_1 and wz_1 being recolored, there is at least one available color for uv and vw . Moreover, notice that the union of the sets of available colors of uv and vw has




Figure 3: The faces α and β of Case 2.

at least two elements, since y_1y_2 and z_1z_2 are assigned distinct colors, so we can color them. Hence, φ is extended to G , a contradiction.

Case 3: $\alpha = (u, v, w, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a 7-face. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by identifying the edges ux_4 and x_1x_2 such that the vertex u goes to x_1 and x_4 goes to x_2 . Again, notice that ux_4 and x_1x_2 are not at facial distance 2, otherwise a separating cycle of length at most 5 or adjacent 2-vertices appear in G , what contradicts Lemma 2. Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' . Uncolor the edges uv, vw, wx_1, x_2x_3 and x_3x_4 . Since the edges ux_4 and x_1x_2 are assigned the same color in G , the edges uv and vw have at least 3 available colors and each of the remaining three edges has at least 2. Therefore, the core $\zeta(M_G^2(H))$, where H is the set of noncolored edges in G , is the null graph. It follows that φ can be extended to G , what establishes the lemma. \square

Lemma 5. *The facial distance between any two 2-vertices is at least 4 in G .*

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have that 2-vertices are not adjacent in G . In order to prove this lemma, we consider the cases when the facial distance between two 2-vertices u and v incident to some face α is 2 and 3, respectively. Note that α is of length at least 8 by Lemma 4.

In the former case, let w be a common neighbor of u and v such that they are consecutive on the boundary of α and let x and y be the second neighbors of u and v , respectively. Let $G' = G - u - v$ and let φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors. The coloring φ induces a 2-FEC of G where the edges $ux, uw, vw,$ and vy remain noncolored.

Observe that ux and vy have at least 2 available colors, while uw and vw have at least 3 each. Hence, the graph $M_G^2(\{ux, uw, vw, vy\})$, which is isomorphic to two triangles sharing an edge, is colorable by Theorem 3.

In the latter case, we assume that the facial distance between u and v is 3. Let x, u, w, z, v, y be the vertices appearing on the boundary of some face α of G . Let $G' = G - u - v$ and φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors. Similarly as in the previous case, there are four noncolored edges, $ux, uw, vy,$ and vz , in G whose 2-medial graph is isomorphic to a 4-path p . The two endvertices of p have at least one available color, while the two middle vertices have at least two. In case when the core graph of p is not the null graph (otherwise we can extend φ to G), we have that all 2-facial neighbors of every noncolored edge are assigned distinct colors. Thus, we may uncolor the edge wz and use its color for the edges ux and vz , color with an available color uw and vy , and finally color wz , which has at least one available color. So, φ can be extended to G , a contradiction. \square

It follows that there are at most two 2-vertices incident to an 8-face. In the following lemma we show that an 8-face is incident to at most one 2-vertex.

Lemma 6. *An 8-face in G is incident to at most one 2-vertex.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_8)$ be an 8-face of G incident to two 2-vertices. By Lemma 5, they are at facial distance 4, so we may assume that $d(v_1) = d(v_5) = 2$. Let G' be a graph obtained by identifying the edges v_2v_3 and v_6v_7 , where the vertex v_2 goes to v_7 and v_3 goes to v_6 . The edges v_2v_3 and v_6v_7 are not 2-facial neighbors in G , otherwise a separating cycle of length at most 5 or adjacent 2-vertices appear in G , contradicting Lemma 2. Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors which induces a partial 2-FEC of G where the edges $v_1v_2, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_6, v_7v_8$, and v_1v_8 remain noncolored. Notice that there are at least 2 available colors for the edges v_3v_4 and v_7v_8 and at least 3 available colors for the remaining edges, since the edges v_2v_3 and v_6v_7 are assigned the same color. Consider the graph $M_G^2(H)$, where H is the set of noncolored edges. It is easy to deduce that $\zeta(M_G^2(H))$ is the null graph hence $M_G^2(H)$ is colorable and φ can be extended to G , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 7. *There are no adjacent 4-faces in G .*

Proof. Let α and β be two adjacent 4-faces sharing an edge e . By the minimality, there is a 2-FEC of $G - e$ with at most 7 colors. The edge e has at most 6 colored facial neighbors in the 2-neighborhood, so we can color it with an available color, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 8. *Let a 4-face and a 5-face be adjacent in G by an edge uv . Then, both vertices, u and v , are of degree at least 4.*




Figure 4: Adjacent 4- and 5-faces in G do not have common 3^- -vertices.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\alpha = (u, v, v_1, u_1)$ is a 4-face and $\beta = (u, v, v_2, w, u_2)$ is a 5-face of G where $d(u) = 3$ (see Fig. 4). The edges vv_1 and u_2w are not at facial distance 2, for otherwise there are adjacent 2-vertices or a separating cycle of length at most 5 in C . Therefore, let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing the edge uv and identifying the edges vv_1 and u_2w , where v goes to w and v_1 goes to u_2 . Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors. To obtain a 2-FEC of G from φ , we uncolor and assign new colors to the edges $uu_1, u_1v_1, vv_2, v_2w, uu_2$, and color uv . Observe that the edges u_1v_1, vv_2 , and v_2w have at most 5 colored 2-facial neighbors in G , and the edges uu_1 and uu_2 have at most 4 such neighbors. The only colored 2-facial neighbors of uv are the edges vv_1 and u_2w colored by the same color, hence, uv has 6 available colors. Again, notice that the core of the 2-medial graph of the noncolored edges is the null graph, hence φ can be extended to G , a contradiction. \square

Lemma 9. *Let two 5-faces of G be adjacent by an edge uv . Then, at least one of the vertices u and v is of degree at least 4.*




Figure 5: Adjacent 5-faces in G have at least one common 4^+ -vertex.

Proof. Let $\alpha = (u, v, v_1, w_1, u_1)$ and $\beta = (u, v, v_2, w_2, u_2)$ be two adjacent 5-faces of G where $d(u) = d(v) = 3$ (see Fig. 5). Let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing the edge uv and identifying the edges u_1w_1 and v_2w_2 , where the vertex u_1 goes to w_2 , and w_1 goes to v_2 (similarly as in the previous arguments, it is easy to see that the edges u_1w_1 and v_2w_2 are not 2-facially adjacent). Let φ be a 2-FEC of G' with at most 7 colors, which induces an improper 2-FEC φ' of G . Again, due to the changes of their 2-facial neighborhoods we uncolor the edges uu_1 , v_1w_1 , vv_1 , vv_2 , u_2w_2 , and uu_2 .

Notice that u_1w_1 and v_2w_2 are assigned the same color, say g . In what follows, we color the noncolored edges of G . The edges v_1w_1 , u_2w_2 have at most 5 and the edges uu_1 , vv_1 , vv_2 , and uu_2 have at most 4 colored 2-facial neighbors in G , the edge uv has two, but they are assigned the same color.

Consider the graph $M_G^2(H)$ (see Fig. 6), where H is the set of noncolored edges. We will show that we can color its vertices. Observe that the lists of available colors of the




Figure 6: The 2-medial subgraph induced by the noncolored edges. In the brackets the minimal numbers of available colors are given.

noncolored edges together contain at most 6 distinct colors, since each noncolored edge has a 2-facial neighbor of color g . Furthermore, all of these 6 colors are available for uv . We consider the properties of these lists and show that we can always extend the coloring to G .

First, notice that *the lists of any two vertices that are not adjacent in $M_G^2(H)$ are disjoint*. Otherwise, we may assume that two nonadjacent vertices x and y may receive the same color, say a , and we color them by a . Observe that regardless of choice of x and y , the sizes of lists of the remaining vertices may decrease by at most 1, so the vertex uv retains at least 5 available colors and has four noncolored neighbors, which means that it does not appear in the core $\zeta(M_G^2(H))$. Therefore, $\zeta(M_G^2(H))$ is either the null graph or a 4-cycle where every vertex has at least two available colors. Thus $M_G^2(H)$ is colorable.

Hence, we may assume that the lists of any two vertices that are not adjacent in $M_G^2(H)$ are disjoint. Without loss of generality, let $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq L(uu_1)$, $\{d, e, f\} \subseteq L(vv_2)$,

and $\{d, e\} \subseteq L(v_2w_2)$. Consider the lists $L(uu_1)$ and $L(uu_2)$. Both edges, uu_1 and uu_2 , are 2-facially adjacent to two common edges and to the edges of color g . It means that $|L(uu_1) \cap L(uu_2)| \geq 2$. Therefore, $|L(vv_2) \cup L(uu_2)| \geq 5$ and so $(L(vv_2) \cup L(uu_2)) \cap L(v_1w_1) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 10. *A 4-face in G is incident to at least one 4^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ be a 4-face such that $d(v_i) = 3$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and let u_i be the third neighbor of v_i . By Lemmas 7 and 8, it follows that α is adjacent only to 7^+ -faces. Let $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and φ be a 2-FEC coloring of G' with at most 7 colors. In order to extend φ to G , we need to color the 8 edges incident to the vertices v_i . Let H be the set of these edges and consider the graph $M_G^2(H)$ (see Fig. 7). The 4-vertices have at least 3 available colors, while the 5-vertices have at least 5.




Figure 7: The 2-medial subgraph induced by the noncolored edges. In the brackets the minimal numbers of available colors are given.

Consider the properties of lists of available colors of the 4-vertices. Suppose first that there is some color, say a , available for the vertices u_1v_1 and u_3v_3 . Then, we color both vertices by a . Notice that the sizes of lists of available colors of the remaining vertices decrease by at most 1. Thus, the remaining vertices form a graph that is colorable by Theorem 3. Hence, we may assume that *the lists $L(u_1v_1)$ and $L(u_3v_3)$ are disjoint*. By the symmetry, we also have that *the lists $L(u_2v_2)$ and $L(u_4v_4)$ are disjoint*.

Hence, there is a color b in $L(u_2v_2)$ which is not available for u_1v_1 or u_3v_3 , say u_1v_1 , and there is a color c available for u_4v_4 , which is not available for u_3v_3 . Therefore, after coloring u_2v_2 by b and u_4v_4 by c , the lists of available colors of the remaining 6 vertices decrease by at most one, and the vertices comprise a graph isomorphic to the graph from the previous paragraph, which is colorable. Hence, the coloring can be extended to G , a contradiction. \square

2.2 Discharging

In this part we show that the graph G with the structural properties described in the previous part cannot exist. By $n_k(\alpha)$ we denote the number of k -vertices incident to the face α , and by $l(\alpha)$ the length of a face α . Now, we assign charges to the vertices and faces of G as follows:

- $\text{ch}(v) = 5d(v) - 14$, for every vertex v of G ;

- $\text{ch}(\alpha) = 2l(\alpha) - 14$, for every face α of G .

By Euler's formula, we have that the total sum of all charges is

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \text{ch}(v) + \sum_{\alpha \in F(G)} \text{ch}(\alpha) = -28.$$

In order to show that a minimal counterexample G does not exist, we redistribute the charges among the vertices and faces using the following rules:

- R1** Every 4^+ -vertex v sends $\frac{\text{ch}(v)}{d(v)}$ to every incident 5^- -face.
- R2** Every 4^+ -vertex v sends additional $\frac{\text{ch}(v)}{2d(v)}$ to an incident 5^- -face α along each edge incident to v , α and a 7^+ -face.
- R3** (i) Every 3-vertex incident to two 7^+ -faces sends 1 to an incident 5^- -face.
(ii) Every 3-vertex incident to one 7^+ -face sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every incident 5-face.
(iii) Every 3-vertex incident only to 5-faces sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to every incident 5-face.
- R4** Every 8^+ -face α sends $\frac{\text{ch}(\alpha)}{n_2(\alpha)} \geq 2$ to every incident 2-vertex.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We prove that after applying the discharging rules the final charge $\text{ch}^*(x)$ of every $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ is nonnegative. First, we compute the final charges of the faces. By Lemma 2, there are only faces of size at least 4 in G . Moreover, there are no 6-faces by Lemma 3. Notice also that only 8^+ -faces may send charge by R4, however, they send only the positive portions and thus retain nonnegative charges.

Hence, only 4- and 5-faces have negative initial charges. We consider them separately.

- *Let $\alpha = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ be a 4-face.* By Lemma 4, α is incident only to 3^+ -vertices. Moreover, by Lemma 10, at least one of its neighbors is of degree at least 4. If $n_3(\alpha) = 0$, α receives at least $\frac{3}{2}$ from each neighbor by the rule R1, so its final charge is nonnegative. In case when $n_3(\alpha) = 1$, let $d(v_1) = 3$. By Lemmas 7 and 8, the other two faces, the vertex v_1 is incident to, are 7^+ -faces. Hence, the vertices v_2 and v_4 send at least $\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ by R1 and R2, v_3 sends at least $\frac{3}{2}$ by R1, and v_1 sends 1 to α by R3. Thus, $\text{ch}^*(\alpha) \geq -6 + 2(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}) + \frac{3}{2} + 1 = 1$.

Suppose now that $n_3(\alpha) = 2$. The 3-vertices incident to α may share an edge of α or have the facial distance 2 on the boundary of α . In both cases 3-vertices are incident to 7^+ -faces by Lemma 8. First, suppose $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = 3$. Hence, the vertices v_3 and v_4 send at least $\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ by R1 and R2, v_1 and v_2 send 1 by R3, so the final charge is at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Second, suppose that v_1, v_3 are 3-vertices. Then v_2 and v_4 send at least $\frac{3}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{4}$ by R1 and R2, and v_1 and v_3 send 1 by R3 to α , so $\text{ch}^*(\alpha) \geq -6 + 2(\frac{3}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{4}) + 2 \cdot 1 = 2$.

Finally, suppose that $n_3(\alpha) = 3$. Then, α is adjacent only to 7^+ -faces. Let v_1, v_2 , and v_3 be the 3-vertices. Each of them sends 1 by R3 to α and v_4 sends at least $\frac{3}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{4}$ by R1 and R2. Hence, the final charge of α is positive.

- *Let $\alpha = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$ be a 5-face.* By Lemma 4, α is incident only to 3^+ -vertices. If $n_3(\alpha) \leq 2$, α receives at least $3 \cdot \frac{3}{2}$ from incident 4^+ -vertices, hence $\text{ch}^*(\alpha) > 0$.

Suppose now that $n_3(\alpha) = 3$. In case when all three 3-vertices are consecutive on α , say $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 3$, v_2 is incident to two 7^+ -faces by Lemma 9. Then,

v_1 and v_3 send at least $\frac{1}{2}$ by R3, v_2 sends 1 by R3 and v_4, v_5 send at least $\frac{3}{2}$ by R1 and R2 to α . Hence, $\text{ch}^*(\alpha) \geq -4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 1$.

In the second case, one of the 3-vertices has two 4^+ -neighbors on the boundary of α , so we may assume that $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = d(v_4) = 3$. Then, v_1 and v_2 send at least $\frac{1}{2}$ by R3, v_3 and v_5 send at least $\frac{3}{2}$ by R1 and R2 and v_4 sends at least $\frac{1}{3}$ by R3 to α . So, the final charge of α is at least $\frac{1}{3}$.

Next, let $n_3(\alpha) = 4$ and, say, $d(v_5) \geq 4$. By Lemmas 8 and 9, two faces incident to v_2 and v_3 are of size at least 7. Then, v_1 and v_4 send at least $\frac{1}{2}$ by R3, v_2 and v_3 send 1 by R3, and v_5 sends at least $\frac{3}{2}$ to α by R1 and R2. The final charge of α is at least $\frac{1}{2}$.

In case when $n_3(\alpha) = 5$, α is adjacent only to 7^+ -faces, by Lemmas 8 and 9. Each vertex incident to α sends 1 by R3, therefore the final charge of α is 1.

Hence, all the faces have nonnegative final charge. It remains to consider the vertices. After applying the rules, the charge of the 3^+ -vertices remains nonnegative, since they redistribute only the positive portions of their charges. So, we consider only the 2-vertices.

Let v be a 2-vertex incident to faces α and β . By Lemmas 4 and 5, α and β are 8^+ -faces. By R4, each of them sends at least 2 of charge to v , so v has nonnegative final charge. It follows that all the vertices and faces of G have nonnegative final charge, a contradiction. This establishes Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank S. Jendroř who introduced the problem to P. Šugerek.

References

- [1] BORODIN, O. V. Criterion of chromaticity of a degree prescription. In *Abstracts of IV All-Union Conf. on Theoretical Cybernetics* (1977), pp. 127–128.
- [2] ERDŐS, P., RUBIN, A. L., AND TAYLOR, H. Choosability in graphs. In *West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (1980), pp. 125–157.
- [3] HAVET, F., KRÁL', D., SERENI, J.-S., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. Facial colorings using Hall's theorem. *European J. Combin.* 31, 3 (2010), 1001–1019.
- [4] HAVET, F., SERENI, J.-S., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. 3-facial coloring of plane graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 22, 1 (2008), 231–247.
- [5] KRÁL', D., MADARAS, T., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. Cyclic, diagonal and facial colorings. *European J. Combin.* 26, 3–4 (2005), 473–490.
- [6] KRÁL', D., MADARAS, T., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. Cyclic, diagonal and facial colorings—a missing case. *European J. Combin.* 28, 6 (2007), 1637–1639.
- [7] MONTASSIER, M., AND RASPAUD, A. A note on 2-facial coloring of plane graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.* 98, 6 (2006), 235–241.
- [8] WEGNER, G. Graphs with given diameter and a coloring problem. *Technical report, University of Dortmund, Germany* (1977).