

# Constructing Premaximal Binary Cube-free Words of Any Level

Elena A. Petrova  
Ural Federal University  
Ekaterinburg, Russia  
captain@akado-ural.ru

Arseny M. Shur  
Ural Federal University  
Ekaterinburg, Russia  
arseny.shur@usu.ru

We study the structure of the language of binary cube-free words. Namely, we are interested in the cube-free words that cannot be infinitely extended preserving cube-freeness. We show the existence of such words with arbitrarily long finite extensions, both to one side and to both sides.

## 1 Introduction

The study of repetition-free words and languages remains quite popular in combinatorics of words: lots of interesting and challenging problems are still open. The most popular repetition-free binary languages are the *cube-free* language CF and the *overlap-free* language OF. The language CF is much bigger and has much more complicated structure. For example, the number of overlap-free binary words grows only polynomially with the length [8], while the language of cube-free words has exponential growth [3]. The most accurate bounds for the growth of OF is given in [6] and for the growth of CF in [13]. Further, there is essentially unique nontrivial morphism preserving OF [10], while there are uniform morphisms of any length preserving CF [5]. The sets of two-sided infinite overlap-free and cube-free binary words also have quite different structure, see [12].

Any repetition-free language can be viewed as a poset with respect to prefix, suffix, or factor order. In case of prefix [suffix] order, the diagram of such a poset is a tree; each node generates a subtree and is a common prefix [respectively, suffix] of its descendants. The following questions arise naturally. *Does a given word generate finite or infinite subtree? Are the subtrees generated by two given words isomorphic? Can words generate arbitrarily large finite subtrees?* For some power-free languages, the decidability of the first question was proved in [4] as a corollary of interesting structural properties. The third question for ternary square-free words constitutes Problem 1.10.9 of [1]. For all  $k$ th power-free languages, it was shown in [2] that the subtree generated by any word has at least one leaf. Note that considering the factor order instead of the prefix or the suffix one, we get a more general acyclic graph instead of a tree, but still can ask the same questions about the structure of this graph. For the language OF, all these questions were answered in [11, 14], but almost nothing is known about the same questions for CF.

In this paper, we answer the third question for the language CF in the affirmative. Namely, we construct cube-free words that generate subtrees of any prescribed depth and then extend this result for the subgraphs of the diagram of factor order.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us recall necessary notation and definitions. We consider finite and infinite words over the binary alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . If  $x$  is a letter, then  $\bar{x}$  denotes the other letter. By default, “word” means a finite word.

Words are denoted by uppercase characters (to denote one-sided infinite words, we add the subscript  $\infty$  at the corresponding side). We write  $\lambda$  for the *empty word*, and  $|W|$  for the length of the word  $W$ . The letters of nonempty finite and right-infinite words are numbered from 1; thus,  $W = W(1)W(2)\cdots W(|W|)$ . The letters of left-infinite words are numbered by *all nonnegative integers*, starting from the right.

We use standard definitions of factors, prefixes, and suffixes of a word. The factor  $W(i)\cdots W(j)$  is written as  $W(i\dots j)$ . A positive integer  $p \leq |W|$  is a *period* of a word  $W$  if  $W(i) = W(i+p)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, |W|-p\}$ . The minimal period of  $W$  is denoted by  $\text{per}(W)$ . The *exponent* of a word is the ratio between its length and its minimal period:  $\text{exp}(W) = |W|/\text{per}(W)$ . Words of exponent 2 and 3 are called squares and cubes, respectively. The *local exponent* of a word is the number  $\text{lexp}(W) = \sup\{\text{exp}(V) \mid V \text{ is a factor of } W\}$ . Periodic words possess the *interaction property* expressed by the textbook Fine and Wilf theorem: if a word  $U$  has periods  $p$  and  $q$ , and  $|U| \geq p + q - \text{gcd}(p, q)$ , then  $U$  has the period  $\text{gcd}(p, q)$ .

A word  $W$  is  $\beta$ -free [ $\beta^+$ -free] if  $\text{lexp}(W) < \beta$  [respectively,  $\text{lexp}(W) \leq \beta$ ]. The 3-free words are called *cube-free*, and the  $2^+$ -free words are *overlap-free*. The language of all cube-free [overlap-free] words over  $\Sigma$  is denoted by CF [respectively, OF]. A morphism  $f : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+$  *avoids an exponent*  $\beta$  if the condition  $\text{lexp}(U) < \beta$  implies  $\text{lexp}(f(U)) < \beta$  for any word  $U$ . The following theorem allows one to check cube-freeness of a morphism over the binary alphabet.

**Theorem 1** ([9]). *A morphism  $f : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+$  is cube-free if and only if the word  $f(aabbababbabbaabaababb)$  is cube-free.*

The *Thue–Morse morphism*  $\theta$  is defined over  $\Sigma^+$  by the rules  $\theta(a) = ab$ ,  $\theta(b) = ba$ . The words

$$T_n^a = \theta^n(a), T_n^b = \theta^n(b) \quad (n \geq 0)$$

are called *Thue–Morse blocks* or simply *n-blocks*. From the definition it follows that  $T_{n+1}^x = T_n^x T_n^{\bar{x}}$ . Hence, the sequences  $\{T_n^a\}$  and  $\{T_n^b\}$  have “limits”, which are right-infinite *Thue–Morse words*  $T_\infty^a$  and  $T_\infty^b$ , respectively. We also consider the reversal  ${}^aT$  of  $T_\infty^a$ . The factors of Thue–Morse words are *Thue–Morse factors*; the set of all these factors is denoted by TM. Note that any word in TM can be written as  $W = xQ_1 \cdots Q_n y$ , where  $x, y \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$ ,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \in \{ba, ab\}$ . It is known since Thue [15] that  $\text{TM} \subset \text{OF}$ .

Let  $L \subset \Sigma^*$  and  $W \in L$ . Any word  $U \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $UW \in L$  is called a *left context* of  $W$  in  $L$ . The word  $W$  is *left maximal* [*left premaximal*] if it has no nonempty left contexts [respectively, finitely many left contexts]. The *level* of the left premaximal word  $W$  is the length of its longest left context; thus, left maximal words are of level 0. The right counterparts of the above notions are defined in a symmetric way. We say that a word is *maximal* [*premaximal*] if it is both left and right maximal [respectively, premaximal]. The *level* of a premaximal word  $W$  is the pair  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n$  and  $k$  are the length of the longest left context of  $W$  and the length of its longest right context, respectively.

In particular, a word  $W \in \text{CF}$  is maximal if by adding any of the two letters on the left or on the right we obtain a cube. The word *aabaaba* is an example of such a word.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.** *In CF, there exist left premaximal words of any level  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*


**Theorem 3.** *In CF, there exist premaximal words of any level  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ .*

### 3 Construction of premaximal words

Theorem 2 is proved by exhibiting a series of left premaximal words, containing words of any level. The series is constructed in two steps:

1. building an auxiliary series  $\{W_n\}_0^\infty$  such that each word  $W_n$  has, up to one easily handled exception, a unique left context of any length  $\leq n$ ;
2. completing the word  $W_n$  to a left premaximal word  $\overline{W}_n$ .

If a word  $W \in \text{CF}$  has a unique left context of length  $n$ , say  $U$ , and two left contexts of length  $n+1$ , then we say that  $U$  is the *fixed* left context of  $W$  (see the picture below).



**Example 1.** Let  $W = aabaaba$ . Since  $aW = aaa\dots$ ,  $abW = (aba)^3$ , but  $aabbW, babbW \in \text{CF}$ , we see that the fixed left context of the word  $W$  equals  $abb$ .

Now let us explain step 1. We build the series  $\{W_n\}_0^\infty$  inductively, one word per iteration, in a way that the fixed left context  $X_n$  of the word  $W_n$  is of length  $\geq n$  (we will discuss the mentioned exception at the moment of its appearance). We put  $W_0 = aabaaba$  and note that the left-infinite word

$${}^\infty T aabaaba = \dots abba baab baab abbW_0$$

is cube-free. So, we require that each word  $W_n$  satisfies the following properties:

- (W1)  $W_n$  starts with  $W_0$ ;
- (W2) any word  ${}^\infty T(k\dots 1)$  is a left context of  $W_n$ ;
- (W3) some word  ${}^\infty T(k\dots 1)$  with  $k \geq n$  is the fixed left context of  $W_n$ , denoted by  $X_n$ ;
- (W4) if  $|X_n| > n$ , then  $W_{n+1} = W_n$  (trivial iterations).

The basic idea for obtaining  $W_{n+1}$  from  $W_n$  at nontrivial iterations is to let

$$W_{n+1} = \underbrace{W_n x X_n W_n x X_n W_n}_{(1)}$$

where  $x$  is the letter “prohibited” at the  $(n+1)$ th iteration, i.e.  $xX_n$  certainly is not a left context of  $W_{n+1}$ . Thus, the fixed left context of  $W_{n+1}$  is longer than the one of  $W_n$  by definition.

**Remark 1.** An attempt to build the series  $\{W_n\}_0^\infty$  directly by (1) fails because cubes will occur at the border of some words  $W_n$  and  $xX_n$ . For instance, let us construct the word  $W_4$ . We have  $W_3 = W_0$  in view of (W4) and Example 1,  $X_3 = abb$ , and the context  $aabb$  should be forbidden in view of (W2), because  ${}^\infty T(4\dots 1) = babb$ . So,  $x = a$  and the word  $W_3 x X_3$  has the factor  $aaa$ .

A way out from this situation is the following idea: we insert a special “buffer” word after each of three occurrences of  $W_n$  in (1). This insertion allows us to avoid local cubes at the border. Below we use the following notation:

- $P'_n = xX_n$ ,  $P_n = \bar{x}X_n$ , where  $x$  is the letter, prohibited at the  $(n+1)$ th iteration; thus,  $P_n \in \text{TM}$ ;
- $S_n$  is the word inserted after  $W_n$  at the  $(n+1)$ th iteration;
- $S'_n = S_0 S_1 \dots S_n$  is the factor of  $W_{n+1}$  between  $W_0$  and the nearest occurrence of  $P'_n$ ;
- $W'_n = P'_n W_n S_n$ .

In these terms, we have the following expressions for  $W_{n+1}$  for any nontrivial iteration:

$$W_{n+1} = \underbrace{W_n S_n x X_n W_n S_n x X_n W_n S_n}_{(2a)} \tag{2a}$$

$$W_{n+1} = \underbrace{W_n S_n P'_n W_n S_n P'_n W_n S_n}_{(2b)} \tag{2b}$$

The structure of the word  $W_{n+1}$  imposes the following restrictions on the words  $S_n$  and  $S_{n+1}$ :

- (S1) Since the word  $X_{n+1}W_{n+1}S_{n+1}$  is a factor of  $W_{n+2}$ ,  $X_{n+1}$  ends with  $X_n$ , and  $X_nW_{n+1}x = (X_nW_nS_nx)^3$  by (2a), the word  $S_{n+1}$  must start with  $\bar{x}$ , which is the first letter of  $P_n$ ;
- (S2) Since the word  $S_nxX_n$  is a factor of  $W_{n+1}$ , if  $X_n$  starts with  $x$  [ $\bar{x}x\bar{x}$ ], then  $S_n$  ends with  $\bar{x}$  [respectively,  $x$ ]. (Recall that  $X_n \in \text{TM}$  is an overlap-free word, whence any other prefix of  $X_n$  does not restrict the last letter of  $S_n$ .)

Thus, our first goal is to find the words  $S_n$  satisfying (S1) and (S2) such that all words  $S'_n$  are cube-free. In other words, we have to construct a cube-free right-infinite word  $S'_\infty = S_0S_1 \cdots S_n \cdots$ . The following lemma is easy.

**Lemma 1.** *The letters  ${}^aT(n)$  and  ${}^aT(n-1)$  coincide if and only if  $n = m \cdot 2^k$  for some odd integers  $m$  and  $k$ .*

**Remark 2.** *If the only left context of length  $n$  of the word  $W_n$  begins with  $xx$ , then  $|X_n| > n$ , because the letter before  $xx$  is also fixed. Thus, by (W4) we have  $W_{n+1} = W_n$  (and then  $S_n = \lambda$ ) for all values of  $n$  mentioned in Lemma 1. For all other values of  $n$  ( $n > 3$ ), the iterations will be nontrivial.*

While constructing the word  $S'_\infty$  we follow the next four rules:

1. For all nontrivial iterations,  $S_n \in \{T_2^x, T_2^x T_2^x, T_4^x, T_2^x T_2^x T_1^x, T_1^x, T_1^x T_2^x \mid x \in \Sigma\}$ ; hence,  $S_n \in \text{TM}$ .
2. Whenever possible, we choose  $S_n$  to be a 2-block or a product of 2-blocks.
3. Otherwise, if  $S_n$  ends with the block  $T_1^x$ , we put  $S_{n+1} = T_1^{\bar{x}}$  or  $S_{n+1} = T_1^{\bar{x}} T_2^x$  (or the same possibilities for  $S_{n+2}$  if  $S_{n+1} = \lambda$ ).
4. If  $S_n \neq \lambda$  and there is no restriction (S2) on the last letter of  $S_n$ , we add this restriction artificially. Namely, we fix the last letter of  $S_n$  to be  $\bar{x}$  if  $S_{n-1}$  ends with  $x$  (or if  $S_{n-2}$  ends with  $x$  while  $S_{n-1} = \lambda$ ).

Taking rules 1–4 into account, we can prove, by case examination, the following lemma about the first and the last letters of the words  $S_n$ .

**Lemma 2.** (1) *If  $S_n$  ends with  $x$ , then either  $S_{n+1}$  ends with  $\bar{x}$ , or  $S_{n+1} = \lambda$  and  $S_{n+2}$  ends with  $\bar{x}$ .*  
 (2) *The first letter of a nonempty word  $S_n$  coincides with the last one for all  $n$ , except for the cases when  $P_n = x\bar{x}\bar{x}\bar{x}\cdots$  or  $P_n = xx\bar{x}\bar{x}\cdots$ .*

The construction of the word  $S'_\infty$ , the correctness of which we will prove, is given by Table 1. According to this table, rule 3 applies to  $S_n$  if and only if  $P_n$  starts with  $x\bar{x}\bar{x}$ . Hence if the word  $P_n$  has such a prefix, then  $P_{n-1}$  (or  $P_{n-2}$  if the  $(n-1)$ th iteration is trivial) has no such prefix; as a result, the word  $S_{n-1}$  (respectively,  $S_{n-2}$ ) ends with a 2-block.

Now consider the case  $P_n = x\bar{x}\bar{x}\bar{x}\cdots$  in more details. Without loss of generality, let  $P_n$  start with  $b$ . Then  $P_n = babaab\cdots$ . Since  $P'_n = aabaab\cdots$ , the word  $S_n$  cannot end with  $a$  or with  $baab$ ; thus, it cannot end with a 2-block and we should use rule 3.

Table 1: the suffixes  $S_n$  for 32 successive iterations starting from some number  $k$  divisible by 32. The righthand [lefthand] part of the table applies if the current letter of  $T_\infty^b$  is equal [resp., not equal] to the previous one. Trivial iterations are omitted.

Iteration no. ( $n$ )	Prohibitions		$S_{n-1}$	Iteration no. ( $n$ )	Prohibitions		$S_{n-1}$
	Start	End			Start	End	
$k$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$	$k$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$
$k+1$				$k+1$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+2$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$k+2$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_1^x$
$k+4$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$	$k+4$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$
$k+5$	$\bar{x}$	$x, T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$T_2^xT_2^{\bar{x}}T_1^x$	$k+5$	$\bar{x}$	$x$	$T_1^x$
$k+6$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+6$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+8$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$k+8$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$
$k+10$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^xT_2^x$	$k+10$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$
$k+12$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$k+12$	$x$	$x$	$T_4^{\bar{x}}$
$k+13$	$x$	$\bar{x}, T_2^x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}T_2^xT_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+13$	$x$	$\bar{x}, T_2^x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}T_2^xT_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+14$	$\bar{x}$	$x$	$T_1^x$	$k+14$	$\bar{x}$	$x$	$T_1^x$
$k+16$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$	$k+16$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$
$k+17$	$\bar{x}$	$x$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+17$	$\bar{x}$	$x$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+18$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+18$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+20$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$k+20$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$
$k+21$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+21$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+22$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$	$k+22$	$\bar{x}\bar{x}x$	$x$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$
$k+24$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$	$k+24$	$xx\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_2^x$
$k+26$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$k+26$	$x$	$x$	$T_2^{\bar{x}}$
$k+28$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_4^x$	$k+28$	$\bar{x}$	$\bar{x}$	$T_4^x$
$k+29$	$\bar{x}$	$x, T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$T_2^xT_2^{\bar{x}}T_1^x$	$k+29$	$\bar{x}$	$x, T_2^{\bar{x}}$	$T_2^xT_2^{\bar{x}}T_1^x$
$k+30$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}(T_1^{\bar{x}}T_2^x)$	$k+30$	$x$	$\bar{x}$	$T_1^{\bar{x}}$

Since  $P_n$  is a factor of  ${}^aT$  while  ${}^aT$  is an infinite product of the blocks  $T_2^a = abba$  and  $T_2^b = baab$ , one of the blocks  $T_2^a$  ends in the second position of  $P_n$ . First consider the following occurrence of  $P_n$  in  ${}^aT$ :

$${}^aT = \cdots \overbrace{abba\ ab\ ba\ baab\ baab}^{P_n} \cdots \tag{3}$$

Since  $P'_{n-1} = bbaab\cdots$ , the word  $S_{n-1}$  ends with  $abba$ . Therefore, we cannot put  $S_n = ab$  (otherwise  $S_n$  will have the suffix  $baab$ ). Further,  $P_{n-1}$  starts with  $abaab$ , whence the first letter of  $S_n$  is  $a$  by (S1). Hence, according to rule 1, the only possibility for  $S_n$  is  $T_2^aT_2^bT_1^a = abbabaabab$ . It is easy to see that  $S_{n+1} = ba$  satisfies both (S1) and (S2).

If the last embraced 2-block of (3) is  $T_2^a$ , not  $T_2^b$ , then we have, up to renaming the letters, the same case as below:

$${}^aT = \dots \underbrace{baab}_{T_2^b} \underbrace{ab}_{T_2^a} \underbrace{babaab}_{T_2^b} \dots$$

$P_n$

We assign, as above,  $S_n = T_2^a T_2^b T_1^a$  and  $S_{n+1} = T_1^b$ . The problem appears on the  $(n+5)$ th iteration, because

$$P'_{n+4} = \underbrace{b}_{T_2^b} \underbrace{bab}_{T_2^a} \underbrace{bab}_{T_2^b} aab \dots,$$

i.e.,  $S_{n+4}$  cannot end with  $ba$  or  $ab$ . Here we have an exclusion from the general method. We use the following trick. At the next three iterations ( $(n+5)$ th to  $(n+7)$ th, the last of them being trivial) we have to add the prefix  $baa$  to the fixed context. We will do this prohibiting 3-letter contexts instead of single letters. The word  $P_{n+3} = babbaba \dots$  has three left contexts of length 3:  $aab$ ,  $baa$ , and  $bba$ . We will prohibit  $bba$  on the  $(n+5)$ th iteration and  $aab$  on the  $(n+6)$ th one. To do this, we deliberately put  $P'_{n+4} = bba babbabaab \dots$ ,  $P'_{n+5} = aab babbabaab \dots$ . This allows us to choose  $S_{n+4} = ba, S_{n+5} = ab$ .

**Remark 3.** *The above trick leads to one local violation of the general rule on  $X_n$ . Namely,  $|X_{n+5}| = n+4$  (this word coincides with  $X_{n+4}$ ). The situation is corrected on the next iteration, when we get  $|X_{n+6}| = n+7$  (and the  $(n+7)$ th iteration is trivial).*

**Remark 4.** *The word  $T_2^a T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a T_2^a = \theta^2(aabaa)$  is not a factor of  ${}^aT$ . Hence, the factor  $T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a$  occurs in  ${}^aT$  inside the factor  $T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a$  or  $T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b$ . Each such factor requires two uses of the above trick with 3-letter contexts.*

Let us consider the 108-uniform morphism  $\psi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , defined by the rules

$$\psi(a) = T_4^a T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a T_4^b T_2^b T_2^a T_4^b T_2^b T_2^a T_4^a T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a, \tag{4a}$$

$$\psi(b) = T_4^b T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b T_4^a T_2^a T_2^b T_4^a T_2^a T_2^b T_4^b T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b. \tag{4b}$$

Note that the words  $\psi(b)$  and  $\psi(a)$  coincide up to renaming the letters. A computer check shows that the word  $\psi(aabbababbbaabaababaabb)$  is cube-free. Hence by Theorem 1,  $\psi$  is a cube-free morphism and the word  $\psi(T_\infty^b)$  is cube-free. So we put  $S'_\infty = \psi(T_\infty^b)$ . The  $\psi$ -image of one letter equals the product  $S_{n-1} S_n \dots S_{n+30}$  for some number  $n$  divisible by 32, see Table 1. The only exception is described below. Thus, such a  $\psi$ -image corresponds to 32 successive iterations, during which a 5-block is added to the fixed left context  $X_{n-1}$  to get  $X_{n+31}$ .


There are two different factorizations of the  $\psi$ -image of a letter, depending on the positions of the factors  $T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a$  and  $T_2^a T_2^b T_2^a T_2^b$  inside and on the borders of the current 5-block of  ${}^aT$ . These factorizations are presented in the two parts of Table 1. The mentioned factors occur in the middle of  $(2k+1)$ -blocks for each  $k \geq 2$ . Thus, these factors occur in the middle of each 5-block, and also at the border of two equal 5-blocks. For the latter case, the factorization of the  $\psi$ -image of the second of two equal letters is given in the righthand part of Table 1. In the lefthand part of Table 1, there are two possibilities for  $S_{n+29}$ : the longer [shorter] one should be used if the next 5-block is equal [respectively, not equal] to the current one. In the first case,  $S_{n+29}$  consists of the last two letters of the  $\psi$ -image of the current letter and first four letters of the  $\psi$ -image of the next letter. In the second case,  $S_{n+29}$  consists exactly of the two last letters of the  $\psi$ -image.

The first several iterations are special. Namely, for the regularity of general scheme, we artificially put  $W_3 = W_0 S_{-1} S_1$  (the 1st and the 3rd iterations are trivial by the general condition).

Thus, we defined the words  $S_n$  and then the words  $W_n$  for all positive integers  $n$ . The correctness of the construction is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *The word  $X_nW_n$  is cube-free for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction that all the words  $V_n = (X_nW_nS_nx_n)^3$ , where  $x_n$  is the letter forbidden on  $(n+1)$ th iteration, have no proper factors that are cubes. This fact immediately implies the statement of the lemma. The inductive base  $n \leq 4$  can be easily checked by hand or by computer. Let us prove the inductive step. The structure of the word  $V_n$  is illustrated by the following picture.



Assume to the contrary that the word  $V_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , contains some cube  $U^3$ . Of course, it is enough to consider the case when the  $(n+1)$ th iteration is nontrivial. The factor  $U^3$  of  $V_n$  has periods  $q = |U|$  and  $p_n = |V_n|/3$ , but obviously does not satisfy the interaction property. Hence,  $|U^3| = 3q \leq q + p_n - 2$  by the Fine and Wilf theorem, yielding  $q \leq p_n/2 - 1$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $W_n$ , the longest proper suffix of the word  $X_nW_n$  coincides with the longest proper prefix of  $V_{n-1}$ . If  $U^3$  contains this prefix, then the latter has periods  $q$  and  $p_{n-1} = |V_{n-1}|/3$ . Applying the Fine and Wilf theorem again, we get  $p_{n-1} \leq q/2 - 1$ . Excluding  $q$  from the two obtained inequalities, we get  $p_n \geq 4p_{n-1} + 3$ . But  $p_n = |V_{n-1}| + |S_n| + 1 \leq 3p_{n-1} + 17$ . Thus,  $p_{n-1} \leq 14$ . For  $n \geq 5$ , this is not the case. So, we conclude that  $U^3$  does not contain the word  $X_nW_n$ .

*Claim 1.* The word  $S'_n$  occurs in  $V_n$  only three times.

*Proof.* Recall that  $S'_n$  is a product of 2-blocks (possibly except the last “odd” 1-block), and if  $n \geq 5$ , then  $S'_n$  begins with a 4-block. Hence,  $S'_n$  has no factor  $W_0$  and, moreover, cannot begin inside  $W_0$ . Furthermore, it can be checked by hand or by computer that  $S'_\infty$  has no Thue-Morse factors of length  $>48$ . Now looking at the structure of  $S'_n$  and of  $V_n$  one can conclude that any “irregular” occurrence of  $S'_n$  in  $V_n$  should be a prefix of some word  $S'_kP'_kW_0$ , where  $k < n$ . The word  $S'_k$  is a proper prefix of  $S'_n$ . The word  $P'_k$  is obtained from a Thue-Morse factor by changing the first letter, and hence never begins with a 2-block. Hence, the only possibility is  $k = n - 1$ , and  $S_n$  should be the 1-block coinciding with the prefix of  $P'_k$ . By Table 1, in all cases when  $S_n$  is a 1-block,  $P'_{n-1}$  begins with the square of letter, so this possibility cannot take place.  $\square$

*Claim 2.* The word  $X_nW_nS_nx_n$  is cube-free.

*Proof.* The word  $X_nW_n$  is a factor of  $V_{n-1}$  and hence is cube-free by the inductive assumption. Using again the fact that  $S'_n$  is “almost” a product of 2-blocks, we conclude that  $S'_nx_n$  is also cube-free. So, a cube in  $X_nW_nS_nx_n$ , if any, contains inside the suffix  $S'_{n-1}$  of the word  $W_n$ . This suffix is preceded by  $W_0 = aabaaba$ ; the latter word breaks all periods of  $S'_{n-1}$  and does not produce a cube. Hence, the cube should contain more than one occurrence of the factor  $S'_{n-1}$ . Applying Claim 1 to the words  $S'_{n-1}$  and  $V_{n-1}$ , we see that the cube has the period  $p_{n-1} = (|X_nW_n| + 1)/3$ . But this is impossible by condition (S1). The claim is proved.  $\square$


Combining Claim 2 with the fact that  $U^3$  has no factor  $X_nW_n$ , we get that  $U^3$  is contained inside the word  $X_nW_nS_nx_nX_nW_n$ . Furthermore, if  $S'_n$  is a factor of  $U^3$ , then the middle occurrence of  $U$  is inside  $S'_n$  (otherwise,  $U^3$  contains one more occurrence of  $S'_n$ , contradicting Claim 1). In this case, the positions of all factors  $aa$  and  $bb$  in  $U$  have the same parity. But the rightmost occurrence of  $U$  in  $U^3$  contains a suffix

of  $S'_n$  followed by a prefix of the word  $x_n X_n = P'_n$ . The letter  $x_n$  breaks this parity of positions, which is impossible. The cases in which all the positions of  $aa$  and  $bb$  in the rightmost occurrence of  $U$  are on the same side of the letter  $x_n$ , can be easily checked by hand. Thus, we obtain that  $S'_n$  is not a factor of  $U^3$ . Thus,  $U^3$  begins inside the factor  $S'_n x_n$ .

Where the word  $U^3$  ends? It is easy to see that the word

$$X_n W_n = \bar{x}_{n-1} X_{n-1} W_{n-1} S_{n-1} x_{n-1} X_{n-1} W_{n-1} S_{n-1} x_{n-1} X_{n-1} W_{n-1} S_{n-1}$$

has the same three occurrences of the factor  $S'_{n-1}$  as  $V_{n-1}$ . So, if  $U^3$  contains  $S'_{n-1}$ , then the middle occurrence of  $U$  is inside  $S'_{n-1}$ . But this is impossible because  $S'_{n-1}$  is a rather short suffix of  $W_{n-1}$  and the whole word  $X_n W_n$  is cube-free. Therefore,  $U^3$  should end inside the prefix  $\bar{x}_{n-1} X_{n-1} W_{n-1} S_{n-1}$  of  $X_n W_n$ , like in the following picture.



Using the same parity argument as above, we conclude that the word  $S'_n x_n X_n = S'_n P'_n$  is cube-free and, moreover,  $U^3$  should contain the prefix  $aabaa$  of the word  $W_{n-1}$ . Two cases are to be considered: either  $aabaa$  is a factor of  $U$  or  $aabaa$  occurs in  $U^3$  only twice, on the borders of consecutive  $U$ 's. The second case is impossible, because two closest occurrences of  $aabaa$  in  $W_{n-1}$  are separated by the factor  $babaababbaabbabaabaabb$  which does not contain  $P'_n$  as a suffix. For the first case, we get that some (not the leftmost) occurrence of  $aabaa$  in  $U^3$  is preceded by the concatenation of some suffix of  $S'_n$  and the word  $P'_n$ . If this occurrence of  $aabaa$  is a prefix of some  $W_0$ , then it is preceded by some  $P'_k$ ,  $k < n$ . But  $P'_k$  is not a suffix of  $P'_n$ , a contradiction. The remaining position for this occurrence of  $aabaa$  is the border of some words  $S'_k$  and  $P'_k$ . But then  $S'_k$  contains the factor which is on the border between  $S'_n$  and  $P'_n$ , and the parity argument shows that  $S'_k$  cannot be partitioned into 2-blocks. This final contradiction shows that  $U^3$  cannot be a factor of  $V_n$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

By construction, the word  $X_n$  is the fixed left extension of  $W_n$ . Now we consider the second step, that is, the completion of such “almost uniquely” extendable word  $W_n$  to a premaximal word. The main idea is the same as at the first step. In order to obtain a premaximal word of level  $n$ , we build the word  $W_{n+1}$  in  $n+1$  iterations by scheme (2a) and then prohibit the extension of  $W_{n+1}$  by the first letter of the word  $P_n$ . We denote the obtained premaximal word of level  $n$  by  $\bar{W}_n$ . Then

$$\bar{W}_n = \underbrace{W_{n+1} \bar{S}_n P_n W_{n+1} \bar{S}_n P_n W_{n+1} \bar{S}_n}_{(5)}$$

where  $\bar{S}_n$  is a “buffer” inserted similarly to  $S_n$  in order to avoid cubes at the border of the occurrences of  $W_{n+1}$  and  $P_n$ . In contrast to the first step, we do not need to build a cube-free right-infinite word, because the construction (5) is used only once. The form of the word  $\bar{S}_n$  depends on the last iteration according to Table 1; this dependence is described in Table 2. We choose  $\bar{S}_n$  to be the left extension of the word  $P_n$  within  ${}^a T$  (recall that  $P_n = {}^a T(n+1 \dots 1)$ ).

The above idea works without additional gadgets in all cases when  $|X_n| = n$ . Due to the following obvious remark, it is enough to construct left premaximal words of level  $n$  for all  $n$  such that  $|X_n| = n$ ; hence, we do not consider constructing the words  $\bar{W}_n$  for other values of  $n$ .



Table 2: the “final” suffixes  $\bar{S}_n$  for the corresponding iterations from Table 1. The first column contains the number of the last iteration.

Iteration no. ( $n$ )	Prohibitions (Start)	$\bar{S}_{n-1}$
$k$		
$k+1$	$\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+3$	$x$	$\bar{x}$
$k+4$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+5$	$\bar{x}$	$xx\bar{xx}$
$k+7$	$\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+9$	$x$	$\bar{x}x$
$k+11$	$\bar{x}$	$x$
$k+12$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+13$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+15$	$x$	$\bar{x}$
$k+16$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+18$	$xx\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+19$		
$k+20$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+23$	$\bar{x}xx$	$\bar{x}x$
$k+25$	$\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+27$	$x$	$\bar{x}$
$k+28$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+29$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+31$	$\bar{x}$	$x$

Iteration no. ( $n$ )	Prohibitions (Start)	$\bar{S}_{n-1}$
$k$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+1$		
$k+3$	$\bar{x}xx$	$\bar{x}$
$k+4$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+5$		
$k+7$	$xx\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+9$	$x$	$\bar{x}x$
$k+11$	$\bar{x}$	$x$
$k+12$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+13$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+15$	$x$	$\bar{x}$
$k+16$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+18$		
$k+19$	$xx\bar{x}$	$x$
$k+20$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+23$	$\bar{x}xx$	$\bar{x}x$
$k+25$	$\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$
$k+27$	$x$	$\bar{x}$
$k+28$	$x$	$\lambda$
$k+29$	$\bar{x}$	$\lambda$
$k+31$	$\bar{x}$	$x\bar{x}$

**Remark 5.** In order to prove the Theorem 2, it is sufficient to show the existence of left premaximal words of level  $n$  for infinitely many different values of  $n$ . Indeed, if a word  $W$  is left premaximal of level  $n$  and  $a_1 \cdots a_n W$  is a left maximal word, then the word  $a_n W$  is left premaximal of level  $n-1$ .

Using the facts that  $W_{n+1} \in CF$ ,  $\bar{S}_n P_n \in TM$ , and the suffix  $S'_n$  of  $W_{n+1}$  has no long Thue-Morse factors (this is the property of any  $\psi$ -image), we prove the following lemma. The proof resembles the one of Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** The word  $X_n \bar{W}_n$  is cube-free for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since the word  $P_n \bar{W}_n$  is a cube by (5) and at the same time  $P_n = X_{n+1}$  is the fixed left context of  $W_{n+1}$ , we conclude that  $X_n$  is the longest left context of the word  $\bar{W}_n$ . Theorem 2 is proved.

**Remark 6.** For any  $n$ , the word  $\text{rev}(\bar{W}_n) = \bar{W}_n(|\bar{W}_n|) \cdots \bar{W}_n(1)$  is right premaximal of level  $n$ .

**Remark 7.** Our construction provides an upper bound for the length of the shortest left premaximal word of any given level  $n$ . The results of [4] suggest that this length is exponential in  $n$ . Let  $l(n) = |W_n|$ . For nontrivial iterations, we have  $l(n) = 3l(n-1) + O(n)$ . It is well known that two successive letters in the Thue-Morse word are equal with probability  $1/3$ . Thus, to obtain  $W_n$ , we make approximately  $2n/3$  nontrivial iterations. So,  $l(n)$  is exponential at base  $3^{2/3} \approx 2.08$ . The same property holds for  $|\bar{W}_n| = 3l(n+1) + O(n)$ . It is interesting whether this asymptotics is the best possible.

*Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.* Similar to Remark 5, it is enough to build premaximal words of level  $(n_i, n_i)$  for some infinite sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_i < \dots$  of positive integers. We take  $n_i = 32i + 3$  (Table 2 indicates that  $\bar{S}_{n_i} = \lambda$ , which makes the construction easier). The natural idea is to concatenate left premaximal and right premaximal words through some “buffer” word. But we cannot use the words  $\bar{W}_n$  for this purpose, because all words  $X_n \bar{W}_n$  appear to be right maximal.

So, we modify the last step in constructing left premaximal words as follows. The proof of Lemma 3 implies that the word  $X_n W_n S_n \cdots S_{n+l}$  is cube-free for any  $l$ . So, we put

$$\tilde{W}_{n_i} = \underbrace{W_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+2} P_{n_i} W_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+2} P_{n_i} W_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+2}}.$$

By Table 1,  $S_{n_i+3} = \lambda$  and  $S_{n_i+4}(1) \neq S_{n_i+1}(1) = x$ . The proof of the fact that  $X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i} \in \text{CF}$  reproduces the proof of Lemma 4. Recall that  $S_{n_i+1}(1) = P_{n_i}(1)$  by (S1), yielding that this letter breaks the period of  $W_{n_i+1}$  (see (2b)). On the other hand, the letter  $\bar{x}$  breaks the global period of the word  $\tilde{W}_{n_i}$ . Hence, the condition  $X_{n_i+1} W_{n_i+1} S_{n_i+1} \cdots S_{n_i+l} \in \text{CF}$  implies  $X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i} S_{n_i+3} \cdots S_{n_i+l} \in \text{CF}$  for any  $l$ . Thus,  $\tilde{W}_{n_i}$  is infinitely extendable to the right, left premaximal word of level  $n_i$ .

Choose an even  $m$  such that  $|X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i}| < 2^{m-2}$  and consider the word  $\tilde{W}_{n_i, n_i} = \tilde{W}_n T_m^{\bar{x}} \text{rev}(\tilde{W}_n)$ :

$$\tilde{W}_{n_i, n_i} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \tilde{W}_{n_i} & & \text{rev}(\tilde{W}_{n_i}) \\ \hline & \boxed{W_0 \quad S'_{n_i+2}} & T_m^{\bar{x}} & \boxed{\text{rev}(S'_{n_i+2})} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

It remains to prove that the word  $X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i, n_i} \text{rev}(X_{n_i})$  is cube-free. By the choice of  $m$  and overlap-freeness of  $T_m^{\bar{x}}$ , no cube can contain the factor  $T_m^{\bar{x}}$ . So, by symmetry, it is enough to check that the word  $U = X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i} T_m^{\bar{x}}$  is cube-free. Assume to the contrary that it contains a cube  $YYY$ . Recall that the word  $X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_{n_i}$  is cube-free. Since the first letter of  $T_m^{\bar{x}}$  breaks the period of  $X_{n_i} \tilde{W}_n$ , one has  $|Y| < \text{per}(\tilde{W}_{n_i})$ . Consider the rightmost factor  $aabaa$  in  $U$ ; it is inside the factor  $W_0$  immediately before the suffix  $S'_{n_i+2}$  of  $\tilde{W}_n$ . If this factor belongs to  $YYY$ , then  $|Y|$  symbols to the left we have another  $aabaa$ , followed by  $S'_{n_i+2}$ . Then  $|Y| = \text{per}(\tilde{W}_{n_i})$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $YYY$  has no factors  $aabaa$ , i.e., is a factor of  $abaaba S'_{n_i+2} T_m^{\bar{x}}$ . One can check that the word  $S'_{n_i+2}$  contains no Thue-Morse factors of length  $> 48$ . The shorter factors can be checked by brute force.

Thus, the word  $\tilde{W}_{n_i, n_i}$  is premaximal of level  $(n_i, n_i)$ . The theorem is proved. □

## References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit (2003): *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, doi:10.1017/CB09780511546563.
- [2] D. R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, G. McNulty (1979): *Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols*, Pacific J. Math. **85**, 261–294.
- [3] F.-J. Brandenburg (1983): *Uniformly growing  $k$ -th power free homomorphisms*, Theor. Comput. Sci. **23**, 69–82, doi:10.1016/0304-3975(88)90009-6.
- [4] J. D. Currie (1995): *On the structure and extendability of  $k$ -power free words*, European J. Comb. **16**, 111–124, doi:10.1016/0195-6698(95)90051-9.
- [5] J. D. Currie, N. Rampersad (2009): *There are  $k$ -uniform cubefree binary morphisms for all  $k \geq 0$* , Discrete Appl. Math. **157**, 2548–2551, doi:10.1016/j.dam.2009.02.010. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.4470v1>.
- [6] R. M. Jungers, V. Y. Protasov, V. D. Blondel (2009): *Overlap-free words and spectra of matrices*, Theor. Comput. Sci. **410**, 3670–3684, doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2009.04.022. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1794>.

- [7] M. Lothaire (1983): *Combinatorics on words*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, doi:10.1017/CB09780511566097.
- [8] A. Restivo, S. Salemi (2002): *Words and Patterns*, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Developments in Language Theory. Springer, Heidelberg, 117–129. (LNCS Vol. **2295**), doi:10.1007/3-540-46011-X\_9.
- [9] G. Richomme, F. Wlazinski (2000): *About cube-free morphisms*, Proc. STACS'2000. Springer, Berlin, 99–109. (LNCS Vol. **1770**), doi:10.1007/3-540-46541-3\_8.
- [10] P. Séébold (1984): *Overlap-free sequences*, Automata on Infinite Words. Ecole de Printemps d'Informatique Theorique, Le Mont Dore. Springer, Heidelberg, 207–215. (LNCS Vol. **192**).
- [11] A. M. Shur (1998): *Syntactic semigroups of avoidable languages*, Siberian Math. J. **39** (1998), 594–610.
- [12] A. M. Shur (2000): *The structure of the set of cube-free Z-words over a two-letter alphabet*, Izv. Math. **64**(4), 847–871, doi:10.1070/IM2000v064n04ABEH000301.
- [13] A. M. Shur (2009): *Two-sided bounds for the growth rates of power-free languages*, Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on Developments in Language Theory. Springer, Berlin, 466–477. (LNCS Vol. **5583**), doi:10.1007/978-3-642-02737-6\_38.
- [14] A. M. Shur (2011): *Deciding context equivalence of binary overlap-free words in linear time*, Semigroup Forum. (Submitted)
- [15] A. Thue (1912): *Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichentreihen*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I, Mat. Nat. Kl. **1**. Christiania, 1–67.